# Explainable Artificial Intelligence

Understanding the Foundations and Motivations

FAMAF - UNC

September 5, 2025



**Artificial Intelligence** 

#### Disclaimer

#### This course is based on

#### **Explainable Artificial Intelligence**

From Simple Predictors to Complex Generative Models Spring 2023, Harvard University

https://interpretable-ml-class.github.io/

# Agenda

- Course Goals & Logistics
- Model Understanding: Use Cases
- Inherently Interpretable Models vs. Post-hoc Explanations
- Defining and Understanding Interpretability

#### Goals of this Course

Learn and improve upon the state-of-the-art literature on ML interpretability and explainability:

- Understand where, when, and why is interpretability/explainability needed
- Read, present, and discuss research papers
- Formulate, optimize, and evaluate algorithms
- Implement state-of-the-art algorithms; Do research!
- Understand, critique, and redefine literature

#### **EMERGING FIELD!!**

#### Course Overview

- Foundations and Human Factors definitions, taxonomies, and cognitive aspects
- Interpretability and Explainability Methods inherently interpretable models and post-hoc explanations
- Advanced Techniques and Evaluation attention-based explanations and quality metrics
- Interpretability of Large Models mechanistic interpretability and LLM understanding
- Emerging Frontiers of XAI automated circuit discovery and multimodal techniques

#### Who should take this course?

- - ► Not a surface level course! 🖖
  - Not just applications!
- Goal is to push you to question existing work and make new contributions to the field

#### Class Format

- Course comprises of lectures, guests, and student presentations
- Each lecture will cover: at least 2 papers 🗎 🖺
- Students are expected to "at least" skim through the papers beforehand 60
- Students will divide into groups

#### Each breakout group is expected to come up with:

- A list of 2 to 3 weaknesses of each of the works discussed
- Strategies for addressing those weaknesses

### Course Components

**Research project (60%)** - 3 checkpoints (10% each) - Proposal + Baseline Implementation + Midterm Progress - Final Report (20%) - Final Presentation (10%) - Teams of 2 to 3

Research Paper Presentation (30%) - Teams of 2 to 3; Each team presents two papers in the class

Class Participation (10%) - Being active in discussions in class - Attending classes

# **HASTA ACA LLEGUE!**

### Research Projects

#### Biggest component of the course

We will release: - A list of problem statements next week - All the final project reports from last year's course

You can either work on the problem statements we provide, or come up with your own

**Note:** All problem statements need to be approved by our teaching team - Please talk to us before submitting your proposal - Otherwise, you may be asked to change your problem/rewrite your proposal

# **Project Milestones**

**Proposal (10%)** - 2 page overview of your project direction - What is the problem? - Why haven't prior works solved it? - How do you propose to solve it? - How will you measure success?

Baseline Implementation (10%) - Implement a baseline for your project - (Preferably) one of the papers in the course - Implement the paper's core methodology, reproduce results, critique it and discuss how you would improve

# Project Milestones (cont.)

**Midterm Progress (10%)** - 2 to 3 page update - Formal problem statement - Detailed solution -  $\frac{1}{2}$  Preliminary results

**Final Report (20%)** - 5 to 6 page expanded writeup - Formal problem statement - Detailed solution - Thorough empirical results - Findings and conclusions

## Background

**Strong understanding:** - Linear algebra - Probability - Algorithms - Machine learning (cs181 or equivalent) - Programming in python, numpy, sklearn

Familiarity with: - Statistics - Optimization

#### Motivation

#### Machine Learning is EVERYWHERE!!

Healthcare, Justice System, Social Media, E-commerce, Finance, and more. . .

### Model understanding facilitates debugging

**Example:** Image classification model - Input: Dog in snow - Prediction: Siberian Husky - **Problem:** Model relies on snow background, not dog features - **Solution:** Model understanding reveals incorrect feature usage

#### Model understanding facilitates bias detection

**Example:** Criminal justice risk assessment - Input: Defendant details - Prediction: High risk to release -

Problem: Model uses race and gender inappropriately - Solution: Model understanding reveals biased

features

[Larson et. al. 2016]

#### Model understanding helps provide recourse

Example: Loan application system - Input: Applicant financial data - Prediction: Loan denied -

**Solution:** Model explains actionable steps: - "Increase salary by \$50K" - "Pay credit card bills on time for next 3 months"

#### Model understanding helps assess when to trust predictions

**Example:** Medical diagnosis system - Different logic for male vs. female patients - For females: Uses irrelevant ID number - For males: Uses relevant symptoms - **Decision:** Don't trust predictions for female subpopulation

# Summary of Use Cases

Utility	Stakeholders	
Debugging	Researchers and engineers	
Bias Detection	Regulatory agencies (FDA, European commission)	
Recourse	End users (loan applicants)	
Trust Assessment	Decision makers (doctors, judges)	
Deployment Vetting	Regulatory agencies	

# Achieving Model Understanding

### Take 1: Build inherently interpretable predictive models

Examples: - Linear regression - Decision trees - Rule-based models [Letham and Rudin 2015; Lakkaraju et. al. 2016]

# Achieving Model Understanding

### Take 2: Explain pre-built models in a post-hoc manner

Black Box Model  $\rightarrow$  **Explainer**  $\rightarrow$  Human-interpretable explanation [Ribeiro et. al. 2016, 2018; Lakkaraju et. al. 2019]

# Inherently Interpretable Models vs. Post hoc Explanations

### Accuracy-Interpretability Trade-offs

In certain settings, accuracy-interpretability trade offs may exist.

**Example scenarios:** - Simple boundary: Can build interpretable + accurate models - Complex boundary: Complex models might achieve higher accuracy

[Ciresan et. al. 2012, Caruana et. al. 2006, Frosst et. al. 2017, Stewart 2020]

Inherently Interpretable Models vs. Post hoc Explanations

Sometimes, you don't have enough data to build your model from scratch.

And, all you have is a (proprietary) black box!

[Ribeiro et. al. 2016]

# Inherently Interpretable Models vs. Post hoc Explanations

#### Recommendation

If you can build an interpretable model which is also adequately accurate for your setting, DO IT! Otherwise, post hoc explanations come to the rescue!

# Defining and Understanding Interpretability

#### Motivation for Interpretability

ML systems are being deployed in complex high-stakes settings: - Accuracy alone is no longer enough - Auxiliary criteria are important: - Safety - Nondiscrimination - Right to explanation

# Motivation for Interpretability (cont.)

Auxiliary criteria are often hard to quantify (completely): - E.g.: Impossible to enumerate all scenarios violating safety of an autonomous car

**Fallback option: interpretability** - If the system can explain its reasoning, we can verify if that reasoning is sound w.r.t. auxiliary criteria

# Prior Work: Defining and Measuring Interpretability

Little consensus on what interpretability is and how to evaluate it.

#### Interpretability evaluation typically falls into:

- Evaluate in the context of an application
  - ▶ If a system is useful in a practical application or a simplified version, it must be interpretable
- Evaluate via a quantifiable proxy
  - ▶ Claim some model class is interpretable and present algorithms to optimize within that class
  - ► E.g. rule lists

"You will know it when you see it!"

### Lack of Rigor?

**Yes and No** - Previous notions are reasonable - **However:** - Are all models in all "interpretable" model classes equally interpretable? - Model sparsity allows for comparison - How to compare a linear model with a decision tree? - Do all applications have same interpretability needs?

Important to formalize these notions!!!

# What is Interpretability?

**Definition:** Ability to explain or to present in understandable terms to a human

**No clear answers in psychology to:** - What constitutes an explanation? - What makes some explanations better than the others? - When are explanations sought?

# When and Why Interpretability?

Not all ML systems require interpretability - E.g., ad servers, postal code sorting - No human intervention - No explanation needed because: - No consequences for unacceptable results - Problem is well studied and validated well in real-world applications  $\rightarrow$  trust system's decision

When do we need explanation then?

# When and Why Interpretability?

### Incompleteness in problem formalization

- Hinders optimization and evaluation
- Incompleteness ≠ Uncertainty
- Uncertainty can be quantified
- E.g., trying to learn from a small dataset (uncertainty)

### Incompleteness: Illustrative Examples

Scientific Knowledge - E.g., understanding the characteristics of a large dataset - Goal is abstract

Safety - End to end system is never completely testable - Not possible to check all possible inputs

**Ethics** - Guard against certain kinds of discrimination which are too abstract to be encoded - No idea about the nature of discrimination beforehand

# Taxonomy of Interpretability Evaluation

	Humans	Tasks
Application-grounded Evaluation	Real Humans	Real Tasks
Human-grounded Evaluation	Real Humans	Simple Tasks
Functionally-grounded Evaluation	No Real Humans	Proxy Tasks

Claim of the research should match the type of the evaluation!

# Application-grounded evaluation

**Real humans (domain experts), real tasks** - Domain experts experiment with exact application task - Domain experts experiment with a simpler or partial task - Shorten experiment time - Increases number of potential subjects - Typical in HCI and visualization communities

### Human-grounded evaluation

**Real humans, simplified tasks** - Can be completed with lay humans - Larger pool, less expensive **Potential experiments:** - Pairwise comparisons - Simulate the model output - What changes should be made to input to change the output?

### Functionally-grounded evaluation

#### No humans, just proxies

**Appropriate for:** - A class of models already validated (E.g., decision trees) - A method is not yet mature - Human subject experiments are unethical

#### What proxies to use?

**Potential experiments:** - Complexity (of a decision tree) compared to other models of the same (similar) class - How many levels? How many rules?

# Open Problems: Design Issues

- What proxies are best for what real world applications?
- What factors to consider when designing simpler tasks in place of real world tasks?

# Taxonomy based on applications/tasks

Global vs. Local - High level patterns vs. specific decisions

**Degree of Incompleteness** - What part of the problem is incomplete? How incomplete is it? - Incomplete inputs or constraints or costs?

Time Constraints - How much time can the user spend to understand explanation?

# Taxonomy based on applications/tasks (cont.)

**Nature of User Expertise** - How experienced is end user? - Experience affects how users process information - E.g., domain experts can handle detailed, complex explanations compared to opaque, smaller ones

**Note:** These taxonomies are constructed based on intuition and are not data or evidence driven. They must be treated as hypotheses.

### Taxonomy based on methods

**Basic units of explanation:** - Raw features? E.g., pixel values - Semantically meaningful? E.g., objects in an image - Prototypes?

**Number of basic units of explanation:** - How many does the explanation contain? - How do various types of basic units interact? - E.g., prototype vs. feature

# Taxonomy based on methods (cont.)

**Level of compositionality:** - Are the basic units organized in a structured way? - How do the basic units compose to form higher order units?

**Interactions between basic units:** - Combined in linear or non-linear ways? - Are some combinations easier to understand?

**Uncertainty:** - What kind of uncertainty is captured by the methods? - How easy is it for humans to process uncertainty?

# Relevant Conferences to Explore

ML/AI Venues: - ICML, NeurIPS, ICLR - UAI, AISTATS, KDD, AAAI

Ethics/HCI Venues: - FAccT, AIES - CHI, CSCW, HCOMP

# Breakout Groups

#### Say hi to your neighbors! Introduce yourselves!

- What topics are you most excited about learning as part of this course?
- Are you convinced that model interpretability/explainability is important?
- Do you think we can really interpret/explain models (correctly)?
- What is your take on inherently interpretable models vs. post hoc explanations? Would you favor one over the other? Why?