

Imperial College London

3RD YEAR COMPUTING
INTERIM REPORT

Implementation of a New Web Language

Author:

William DE RENZY-MARTIN

Supervisor:

Sergio MAFFEIS

February 21, 2014

1 Introduction

The applied π -calculus is an expressive formal language describing computations as communicating processes. Its primary use in both industry and academia is to model security protocols. These models being built, they can then be statically analysed either by hand, or automatically by using a tool such as ProVerif.

For the purposes of static analysis, models built using applied π -calculus have proven very useful. Applied π -calculus has been used to verify numerous security protocols, including but not limited to [RS13]:

- Email certification
- Privacy and verifiability in electronic voting
- Authorisation protocols in trusted computing
- Authentication protocols and key agreement

However, these models are limited by the fact that they cannot currently be executed directly, as there is no existing language implementation.

Without an implementation, any models built using the applied π -calculus cannot be used to actually demonstrate protocols they are modelling, and so those models can be very difficult to debug.

1.1 Objective

The aim of this project is to provide an implementation of the applied π -calculus such that one might be able to build a model of a web protocol and then execute it interoperably with existing implementations written in PHP, Javascript or any other web language. The resulting implementation will hopefully not only be a very powerful and concise language for reasoning about and implementing protocols, but a useful scripting tool for the web.

At the very least, we would like to be able to write something similar to:

```
in(a,M);  
out(b,M);
```

Compile it and have it execute successfully; receiving a message in on channel a, and sending the same message out again on channel b. However, ideally we would like to write something like the following:

```
out(net,httpRequest(uri,headers,httpGet()));  
in(net,(hs : list(Header), message : String));  
out(stdout, message);  
|  
in(net,(hs : list(Header), req: HttpReq));  
out(process,req);  
in(process, resp : HttpResp);  
out(net,httpResponse(origin(hs),resp));
```

which would start one process which would send out an HTTP GET request on the channel net, and await a response. Once that response has been received, it will de-structure it and send the contents of the HTTP Response to stdout. Meanwhile, another process is set up to receive the same request on net, de-structure it into its component parts, then handle the request, and send back an appropriate HTTP response. The latter may well be out of the our abilities, however the we would aim to create something capable of handling something a little more impressive than the former.

1.2 Approach

We aim to build a compiler for the applied π -calculus. The language we plan to do this in is Haskell, due to familiarity using both the language itself and the parsec library [LM01], a powerful parser combinator library.

We will also be building a little web playground for our initial efforts to interact with. This will give us a good idea of how our implementation will interact with real world servers, if at all.

2 Background

2.1 Process Calculi

Process calculi, sometimes referred to as process algebras are a family of languages and models for describing concurrent systems. They allow for the description of communication and synchronization between two or more concurrent processes. The algebraic laws which govern process calculi allow the process descriptions they provide to be reasoned about easily. All process calculi allow for the following operations [Pro14]:

- Communication
- Sequential Composition
- Parallel Composition
- Reduction Semantics
- Hiding
- Recursion and Replication
- The Null Process

2.1.1 Communication

Processes are able to send messages between each other. Process calculi will generally have a pair of operators defining both input and output. Formally these are often $\bar{x}\langle y \rangle$ for a process sending out message y on channel x , and $x(v)$ for a process receiving a message on channel x and binding the variable v to the value of that message in subsequent processes. It is the type of data that can be sent/received by processes which sets apart different process calculi

2.1.2 Sequential Composition

Processes can potentially perform communications in order. This is signified by the sequential composition operator, often $;$. A process may need to wait for input on channel x before continuing with other processes, which could be formally written $x(v).P$

2.1.3 Parallel Composition

Processes can perform actions concurrently and independently. Process P and Q running in parallel, written $P|Q$ are able to communicate across any shared channels, however they are not limited to one channel only. These channels may be either synchronous, where the sending process must wait until the message is received, or asynchronous, where no such waiting is required.

2.1.4 Reduction Semantics

The details of reduction semantics are different for each process calculus, but the theory is the same. The process $\bar{x}\langle y \rangle.P|x(v).Q$ reduces to the process $P|Q[\frac{y}{v}]$, which is to say the following: the left hand process sends out message y on channel x and becomes the process P , and the right hand process receives a message (y) on channel x , binding that message to the variable v for the remaining processes in Q .

2.1.5 Hiding

The ability to hide a name in a process is vital for the control of communications made in parallel. Hiding the name x in P could be written $P \setminus [x]$.

2.1.6 Recursion and Replication

Recursion and replication allow for a process to continue indefinitely. Recursion of a process is a sequential concept and would be written $P = P.P$. Replication is the concurrent equivalent i.e. $!P = P|!P$

2.1.7 The Null Process

Finally, the null process, generally represented as 0 or \emptyset , does not interact with any other processes. It acts as the terminal process, and is the basis for processes which actually do things.

2.2 π -calculus and the Calculus of Communicating systems

The applied π -calculus [AF01] is an extension of π -calculus [MPW92] which itself is an extension of the work Robert Milner did on the Calculus of Communicating Systems (CCS) [Mil82]. All three languages are process modelling languages, that is to say that they are used to describe concurrent processes and interactions between them. CCS is able to describe communications between two participants, and can

2.3 Haskell

2.3.1 Parsec

Parsec is a monadic parser combinator library for Haskell which is fast, robust, simple and well-documented [LM01]. We use parsec by building a series of low-level parsers and combining them into a single high level one. For example, a simple

2.3.2 Concurrency

3 Plan

Currently, we have completed most of our background research, and have started building a small web server in Haskell. The purpose of the latter is firstly to learn more about web frameworks in general, and secondly, once we have built a basic implementation, we can start to see how easy it will be to interact with conventional servers.

3.1 Milestone Dates

There are roughly 20 weeks between now and 23rd June, the preliminary archive submission deadline. We therefore aim to split that time into ten periods of two weeks, and at the end of each of those periods we would like to achieve the following:

3.1.1 7th March

Basic playground built Able to parse a subset of the language [0, in , out , let , ; , |]
Basic interaction with small servers i.e. in(a,message);out(a,message) Able to parse [if then else, new,
Basic handling of types Able to parse basic functions such as pair(x,y) , enc(x)

3.1.2 21st March

3.1.3 4th April

3.1.4 18th April

3.1.5 2nd May

3.1.6 16th May

3.1.7 30th May

3.1.8 13th June

3.1.9 20th June

3.1.10 23rd June - Preliminary Archive Submission Deadline

3.1.11 27th June

3.1.12 30th June - Final Project Archive Submission Deadline

4 Evaluation

There already exist languages built upon π -calculus (Pict [PT97] [Pie96], occam- π , BPML). At this stage it is difficult to tell whether

References

- [AF01] Martín Abadi and Cédric Fournet. Mobile values, new names, and secure communication. In *POPL'01: Proceedings of the 28th ACM SIGPLAN-SIGACT Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages*, pages 104–115. ACM Press, 2001.
- [LM01] Daan Leijen and Erik Meijer. Parsec: Direct style monadic parser combinators for the real world. Technical Report UU-CS-2001-27, Department of Computer Science, Universiteit Utrecht, 2001.
- [Mil82] R. Milner. *A Calculus of Communicating Systems*. Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., Secaucus, NJ, USA, 1982.
- [MPW92] R. Milner, J. Parrow, and D. Walker. A calculus of mobile processes (parts i and ii). *Information and Computation*, 100:1–77, 1992.
- [Pie96] Benjamin C. Pierce. Programming in the pi-calculus: A tutorial introduction to pict (pict version 3.8d). Technical report, 1996.
- [Pro14] Process Calculus. Process calculus — Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia, 2014. [Online; accessed 20-February-2014].
- [PT97] Benjamin C. Pierce and David N. Turner. Pict: A programming language based on the pi-calculus. Technical report, Indiana University, 1997.
- [RS13] Mark D. Ryan and Ben Smyth. Applied pi calculus, 2013. 2013 revision.