

CS 344: Design and Analysis of Computer Algorithms

Instructor: Kangning Wang

Homework 3: Due on **March 14, 2025**

Acknowledgment: Our team members are Alexander Lin (al1655), Pranav Tikkawar (pt422), and Ivan Zheng (iz72) .

Problem 1

We are given an $n \times n$ matrix, where each entry a_{ij} is a number. We start from the top left corner, and in each step, we move one square either downward or to the right. We end when we reach the bottom right corner. We aim to maximize the sum of the numbers that we have visited.

1. Give an $O(n^2)$ -time DP algorithm for the problem.
2. Now suppose that we do this process twice, but each number is only counted once even if we have visited it twice. Give an $O(n^3)$ -time DP algorithm for the new problem.

Solution. Here is my solution.

Problem 2

We are given two number sequences (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) and (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) . Among their common subsequences (not necessarily consecutive), find one with the maximum sum. Give an $O(n^2)$ -time DP algorithm for this problem.

Solution.

To solve this problem, we can use dynamic programming. We will create a 2D array dp where $dp[i][j]$ represents the maximum sum of common subsequences between the first i elements of sequence a and the first j elements of sequence b . We can initialize the array with all zeros and to fill it up, then iterate through each element of the two sequences and update the dp array based on the following conditions:

- If $a_i = b_j$, then we can add the value of a_i (or b_j) to the maximum sum of common subsequences of the previous elements, i.e., $dp[i][j] = dp[i-1][j-1] + a[i]$.
- If $a_i \neq b_j$, then we take the maximum of the previous sums, i.e., $dp[i][j] = \max(dp[i-1][j], dp[i][j-1])$.

The final answer will be stored in $dp[n][n]$. The time complexity of this algorithm is $O(n^2)$ because we are iterating through each element of the two sequences and updating the dp array in constant time.

Problem 3

We have n identical magic eggs. We can drop a magic egg from a building with m floors. There is an unknown threshold $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, so that dropping a magic egg from floor $t - 1$ has no impact on the egg, but dropping a magic egg from floor t breaks it and makes it disappear.

We want to know the answer to the following question. What is the minimum number $f(n, m)$ so that we can always deduce the threshold t with at most $f(n, m)$ egg drops? For example, if $n = 1$, then the optimal strategy is to drop the egg from floor 1, then floor 2, and so on, until the egg survives floor $m - 1$ or breaks. Therefore, $f(1, m) = m - 1$.

Give a DP algorithm for this problem, and write down its running time.

Solution.

We first need to build the function $f(a, b)$ which gives us the minimum number of drops needed to determine the threshold t given a eggs and b floors.

Consider that we drop the egg from some floor x , $1 < x < b$. We then have two cases:

1. The egg breaks, in which case we have one less egg to work with but know that the threshold t is below x
2. The egg survives, in which case we have the same number of eggs and know that the threshold t is above x

Case 1 tells us that we must then search for $f(a - 1, x - 1)$, as we know floor x is above the threshold. Case 2 tells us that we must search for $f(a, b - x)$ since floor x is below the threshold, so there are $b - x$ floors remaining above floor x to test.

Since we must always be able to deduce the threshold t in $f(a, b)$ drops, we need to account for whichever case between 1 and 2 that actually gives us the greatest number of remaining tests we must conduct, so we want:

$$\max\{f(a - 1, x - 1), f(a, b - x)\}$$

We want to choose x to *minimize* the value of $f(a, b)$, so therefore we have:

$$f(a, b) = 1 + \min_{x \in [1, b]} \{\max\{f(a - 1, x - 1), f(a, b - x)\}\}$$

Here, we need to add 1 no matter what since when we drop the egg at floor x , we add one drop.

Now that we have a formula for $f(a, b)$, we must consider how to implement it into an algorithm.

Considering that we likely need to store $f(a, b)$ for each value of a and b possible, we initialize a $n + 1 \times m + 1$ matrix, adding 1 to each dimension to account for having 0 eggs and/or 0 floors. We note that $f(1, b) = b - 1$ as given in the problem, base cases $f(a, 0) = 0$ and $f(a, 1) = 1$ for when we have 0 floors and 1 floor left, and edge case $f(0, b) = 0$ for when we have 0 eggs left. This is chosen deliberately to be 0 since we don't want to end up with 0 eggs left when there are multiple floors left, since that means we are not able to determine t . Setting $f(0, b) = 0$ ensures that the $\max\{\dots\}$ portion of the formula will always avoid said scenario unless there are also 0 floors left, in which case we have 0 tests left to run anyway.

We can now construct the full matrix describing the problem by looping over every set of indices ij of the matrix, then looping over all possible values of x for each ij , calculating the optimal x , and storing the value of $f(a, b)$. Looping over all elements of the matrix is $O(nm)$, and since x can range up to m , calculating the optimal x is worst-case $O(m)$, giving us an overall worst-case runtime of $O(nm^2)$.

Problem 4

We need to build a binary search tree with n nodes whose keys are $1, 2, \dots, n$ in this order. Let p_i be the frequency with which node i will be queried. Each time node i is queried, we need to spend time equal to one plus the depth of node i . Give an $O(n^3)$ -time (or better) DP algorithm to find an optimal binary search tree that minimizes the total time spent on all queries.

Solution. Here is my solution.

Problem 5

We covered the 0/1 knapsack and unbounded knapsack problems in class. The bounded knapsack problem is another variant. In this problem, there are n types of items, and type i has c_i identical copies. An item of type i has an integer weight $w_i \geq 1$ and a value v_i . Given a weight limit W , the goal is to find the maximum sum of values using a subset of items whose sum of weights is at most W .

Give an $O(nW^2)$ -time DP algorithm for the bounded knapsack problem. As a voluntary challenge, improve the running time to $O(nW \log W)$ or $O(nW)$. (Hint: One can use the monotonic queue to achieve an $O(nW)$ running time. Alternatively, for an $O(nW \log W)$ running time, consider the binary representation of each c_i .)

Solution. Here is my solution.