Determinant

Len Fu

11.20.2024

Abstract

This is the note maded by Len Fu while his learning progress. The main content is from $Linear\ Algebra\ Done\ Right$ and $Linear\ Algebra\ Allenby$.

Contents

1	\mathbf{Per}	mutation	2
	1.1	N Permutation	2
	1.2	Inversion and Inversion Number	2
	1.3	Transposition	2
		1.3.1 Theorem	2
		1.3.2 Theorem	2
2	N-C	Order Determinant	3
	2.1	Definition	3
		2.1.1 n-order Determinant	3
		2.1.2 Minor	3
		2.1.3 Algebraic Cofactor(Cofactor)	3
		2.1.4 k-minor k-cofactor and k-algebraic cofactor	3
	2.2	Properties	4
3	3 Cramer Rule		5
4	4 Laplace Theorem		
5	Exe	rcise	8

1 Permutation

1.1 N Permutation

An n-permutation is an arrangement of all the numbers 1, 2, ..., n. The total number of n-permutations is n!.

1.2 Inversion and Inversion Number

Formally, for a sequence a_n with elements a_i and a_j with i < j, an inversion is present if $a_i > a_j$.

The inversion number of a permutation is the number of inversions in it.

A permutation with an odd inversion number is called an odd permutation. And a permutation with an even inversion number is called an even permutation.

1.3 Transposition

Formally, a transposition is a permutation that exchanges two elements and leaves all others unchanged. For example, in permutation $\tau = (1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$ the transposition (1, 2) exchanges 1 and 2. Then the resulting permutation is $\tau = (2, 1, 3, 4, 5)$.

1.3.1 Theorem

A transposition changes the parity of a permutation. **Proof:**

Let $\tau = (i_1 i_2 ... i_j i_{j+1} ... i_n)$, and we exchange i_j and i_{j+1} , then the remain permutation $(i_1 i_2 ... i_j ... i_n)$ and $(i_1 i_2 ... i_{j+1} ... i_n)$ keep the same parity. But the paritr of $(i_j i_{j+1})$ changes, so the total parity of τ changes.

Now consider that if the transposition is between $(i_j i_k)$ like $(...j i_1 i_2 ... i_s k ...)$, then we first transpose s times to set j into i_s like $(...i_1 i_2 ... i_s j k ...)$. And we transpose j and k $(...i_1 i_2 ... i_s k j ...)$, then we transpose s times to set k into i_1 like $(...k i_1 i_2 ... i_s j ...)$. The total transposition is 2s + 1. So the parity of the permutation changes.

Corollary 1 In all n permutation, the number of even permutation is equal to the number of odd permutation, which is $\frac{n!}{2}$.

Proof:

Suppose there are s odd permutation, then there are t even permutation. Now transpose the first two elements of all even permutation, then we get s odd permutation. Then $s \le t$, conversly, transpose the first two elements of all odd permutation, then we get t even permutation, and $t \le s$. So $s = t = \frac{n!}{2}$.

1.3.2 Theorem

Any n-permutation can be transposed from (123...n) and the times of transposition equals to the inversion number of the permutation.

2 N-Order Determinant

2.1 Definition

2.1.1 n-order Determinant

The n-order determinant is a scalar value that can be computed from the elements of a square matrix of size $n \times n$. Actually, it can be written abstract

$$\det |A| = \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \sum_{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_n} (-1)^{\tau(j_1 j_2 \cdots j_n)} a_{1j_1} a_{2j_2} \cdots a_{nj_n}$$

$$= \sum_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n} (-1)^{\tau(i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n)} a_{i_1 1} a_{i_2 2} \cdots a_{i_n n}$$

$$= a_{i1} A_{i1} + a_{i2} A_{i2} + \cdots + a_{in} A_{in}$$

2.1.2 Minor

The minor of an element in a matrix is the determinant of the submatrix formed by deleting the row and column that contain the element. That is

$$M_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1,j-1} & a_{1,j+1} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \cdots & & & \cdots & & & \\ a_{i-1,1} & a_{i-1,2} & \cdots & a_{i-1,j-1} & a_{i-1,j+1} & \cdots & a_{in,j+1} & \cdots & a_{inn} \\ \cdots & & & & \cdots & & \\ a_{i+1,1} & a_{i+1,2} & \cdots & a_{i+1,j-1} & a_{i+1}j+1 & \cdots & a_{i+1n} \\ \cdots & & & & \cdots & & \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{n,j-1} & a_{n,j+1} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$

2.1.3 Algebraic Cofactor(Cofactor)

The algebraic cofactor of an element in a matrix is the product of the minor of the element and $(-1)^{i+j}$, where i is the row number and j is the column number of the element. We denote it by

$$A_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} M_{ij}.$$

2.1.4 k-minor k-cofactor and k-algebraic cofactor

A k-minor of a matrix is the determinant of a square submatrix obtained by deleting (n - k) rows and (n - k) columns from the original nn matrix, where n is the dimension of the matrix.

A k-cofactor of a matrix is a determinant that the original determinant deleting the k-minor that remains as the follow order.

A k-algebraic cofactor of a matrix is a product of $(-1)^{i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_k;j_1,j_2,\cdots,j_k}$ and the k-cofactor.

2.2 Properties

1. Transcope the matrix, the determinant does not change.

2.

$$\begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \cdots & & & \ddots \\ ka_{i1} & ka_{i2} & \cdots & ka_{in} \\ \cdots & & & \ddots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{vmatrix} = k \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \cdots & & & \ddots \\ a_{i1} & a_{i2} & \cdots & a_{in} \\ \cdots & & & \ddots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{vmatrix}$$

3.

$$\begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \cdots & & & & & \\ b_1 + c_1 & b_2 + c_2 & \cdots & b_n + c_n \\ \cdots & & & & & \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \cdots & & & & \\ b_1 & b_2 & \cdots & b_n \\ \cdots & & & & \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \cdots & & & & \\ c_1 & c_2 & \cdots & c_n \\ \cdots & & & & \\ c_1 & c_2 & \cdots & c_n \\ \cdots & & & & \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{vmatrix}$$

- 4. If there are two rows or columns that are the same, the determinant is 0. is 0.
- 5. If there are two rows or columns are proportionable, the determinant is 0.
- 6. Add a row's or a column's k-times into another one, the determinant keeps the same.
- 7. Exchange two rows or columns, the determinant changes its sign.

Proof.

1. If we transcope the determinant,

$$\begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \cdots & & & \ddots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{vmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{21} & \cdots & a_{n1} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{n2} \\ \cdots & & & \ddots \\ a_{1n} & a_{2n} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{vmatrix}$$

like this, then we expand the right one with respect to the rows like this

$$\sum_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n} (-1)^{\tau(i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n)} a_{i_1 1} a_{i_2 2} \cdots a_{i_n n}$$

Actually it keeps from the left one.

2.

$$\begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ ka_{i1} & ka_{i2} & \cdots & ka_{in} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{vmatrix} = k \left(a_{i1}A_{i1} + a_{i2}A_{i2} + \cdots + a_{in}A_{in} \right)$$

$$= k \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{i1} & a_{i2} & \cdots & a_{in} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{vmatrix}$$

3.

$$\begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \cdots & & & & & \\ b_1 + c_1 & b_2 + c_2 & \cdots & b_n + c_n \\ \cdots & & & & & \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{vmatrix} = (b_1 + c_1)A_{i1} + (b_2 + c_2)A_{i2} + \cdots + (b_n + c_n)A_{in}$$

$$= (b_1A_{i1} + b_2A_{i2} + \cdots + b_nA_{in}) + (c_1A_{i1} + c_2A_{i2} + \cdots + c_nA_{in})$$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \cdots & & & & \\ b_1 & b_2 & \cdots & b_n \\ \cdots & & & & \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \cdots & & & \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{vmatrix}$$

4. $det = \sum_{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_n} (-1)^{\tau()} \ \mathbf{5}.$

3 Cramer Rule

Theorem 3.1 (Cramer Rule). If the system of linear equations'

$$Ax = b$$

coefficent matrix

$$A = \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \cdots & & & \ddots \\ a_{i1} & a_{i2} & \cdots & a_{in} \\ \cdots & & & \ddots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{vmatrix}$$

its determinant is $d = |A| \neq 0$, then the system of linear equations has solution, and the solution is unique, and can be expressed as

$$x_1 = \frac{d_1}{d}, \ x_2 = \frac{d_2}{d}, \ \cdots, \ x_n = \frac{d_n}{d}.$$

where d_i is the determinant of the matrix whose the j-th column is replaced by the constant column vector b.

$$d_{j} = \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1,j-1} & b_{1} & \cdots & a_{in} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ a_{i1} & \cdots & a_{i,j-1} & b_{i} & \cdots & a_{in} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ a_{n1} & \cdots & a_{n,j-1} & b_{n} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{vmatrix}, \ j = 1, 2, \cdots, n.$$

There are three results inside the theorem:

- 1. The system of linear equations has solution.
- 2. The solution is unique.
- 3. The solution is expressed as the formula.

Proof.

Theorem 3.2. If the homogenous system of linear equations' coefficient matrix's determinant $|A| \neq 0$, then it only has zero solution. On other word, if the homogenous system of linear equations has non-zero solution, then |A| = 0 is certainly.

Proof. Using the Cramer Rule, we have $d_j = 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. That is to say,

$$(0,0,\cdots,0)$$

is its unique solution. \Box

4 Laplace Theorem

Lemma 4.1.

Theorem 4.1 (Laplace Theorem). If the system of linear equations

Theorem 4.2 (Product Rule). Suppose there are two matrix A and B, and there determinant is $D_1 = |A|$, $D_2 = |B|$. Then the determinant of the product of A and B is

$$C = D_1 D_2.$$

5 Exercise

Excercise 5.1 (Vandermonde Determinant).

$$d = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & \cdots & a_n \\ a_1^2 & a_2^2 & a_3^2 & \cdots & a_n^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_1^n & a_2^n & a_3^n & \cdots & a_n^n \end{vmatrix}$$

is the n-order determinant of the Vandermonde matrix. Now we prove that for any $n \ge 2$, d equals to the product of these n numbers all possible differences.

Solution 5.1. Use the method of induction, for k = 2, we have

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ a_1 & a_2 \end{vmatrix} = (a_2 - a_1).$$

Assume that for k = n - 1 the result keeps, then we consider the k = n case.

$$d_{n} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ a_{1} & a_{2} & a_{3} & \cdots & a_{n} \\ a_{1}^{2} & a_{2}^{2} & a_{3}^{2} & \cdots & a_{n}^{2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{1}^{n-1} & a_{2}^{n-1} & a_{3}^{n-1} & \cdots & a_{n}^{n-1} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & a_{2} - a_{1} & a_{3} - a_{1} & \cdots & a_{n} - a_{1} \\ 0 & a_{2}(a_{2} - a_{1}) & a_{3}(a_{3} - a_{1}) & \cdots & a_{n}(a_{n} - a_{1}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & a_{2}^{n-2}(a_{2} - a_{1}) & a_{3}^{n-2}(a_{3} - a_{1}) & \cdots & a_{n}^{n-2}(a_{n} - a_{1}) \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} a_{2} - a_{1} & a_{3} - a_{1} & \cdots & a_{n} - a_{1} \\ a_{2}(a_{2} - a_{1}) & a_{3}(a_{3} - a_{1}) & \cdots & a_{n}(a_{n} - a_{1}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{2}^{n-2}(a_{2} - a_{1}) & a_{3}^{n-2}(a_{3} - a_{1}) & \cdots & a_{n}^{n-2}(a_{n} - a_{1}) \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= (a_{2} - a_{1})(a_{3} - a_{1}) \cdots (a_{n} - a_{1}) \times d_{n-1}$$

$$= (a_{2} - a_{1})(a_{3} - a_{1}) \cdots (a_{n} - a_{1}) \times d_{n-1}$$

Then the result holds for k = n. For simplicity, we write

$$d_n = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} (a_j - a_i).$$