# 1 Contingency Tables

## 1.1

Row Marginal Totals (Total for each group):

- Without Alcohol: 120 + 60 + 20 = 200
- With Alcohol: 60 + 100 + 40 = 200

Interpreting the row marginal totals: These represent the total number of subjects in each group (without alcohol and with alcohol).

Column Marginal Totals (Total for each reaction time category):

- Good: 120 + 60 = 180
- Medium: 60 + 100 = 160
- Strong: 20 + 40 = 60
- Delay: 20 + 40 = 60

These represent the total number of subjects falling into each reaction time category, regardless of whether they had alcohol or not.

Overall Marginal Total (Total for the entire table):

• 180 + 160 + 60 + 60 = 460

This represents the total number of subjects in the entire experiment, combining both groups and all reaction time categories.

## 1.2

The conditional relative frequency is calculated as:

$$f(\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B}) = \frac{\text{Frequency of A and B}}{\text{Total frequency of B}}$$

1. f(without alcohol - good)

$$f(\text{without alcohol} - \text{good}) = \frac{120}{120 + 60} = \frac{2}{3}$$

Among subjects with a "good" reaction time, 2/3 of them did not have alcohol.

2. f(with alcohol - medium)

$$f(\text{with alcohol} - \text{medium}) = \frac{100}{60 + 100} = \frac{5}{6}$$

Among subjects with a "medium" reaction time, 5/6 of them had alcohol.

3. f(without alcohol - delay)

$$f(\text{without alcohol} - \text{delay}) = \frac{20}{20 + 40} = \frac{1}{3}$$

Among subjects with a "delay" in reaction time, 1/3 of them did not have alcohol.

### 1.3

Null Hypothesis:  $H_0$  - No association between alcohol consumption and reaction time Alternative Hypothesis:  $H_1$  - There is an association between alcohol consumption and reaction time

	$\operatorname{Good}$	Medium	Strong
Delay			
Without Alcohol	120	60	20
With Alcohol	60	100	40

Chi-squared statistic:  $\chi^2 = 36.67$ 

P-value: 
$$p = 1.09 \times 10^{-8}$$

Degrees of freedom: df = 2

Expected frequencies:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 90 & 80 & 30 \\ 90 & 80 & 30 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since  $\chi^2=36.67$  is greater than the critical value of 10.596 (for df=2 and  $\alpha=0.005$ ), we reject the null hypothesis.

# 2 Feature Types

## 1. Determine the feature type:

Temperature (Fahrenheit):	Interval
Socio-Economic Status:	Ordinal
Temperature (Kelvin):	Ratio
Distance (cm):	Ratio
Grades in School:	Ordinal
Description:	Nominal
Date:	Ordinal

# 2. Two more examples for each interval and ratio scaled:

Interval: Ratio:

1.IQ scores 1.Height (in centimeters)
2.pH level 2.Income (in dollars)

# 3 Time Series

## 3.1

1. L1 distance (Manhattan distance):

$$L1(A, B) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |A_i - B_i|$$

2. L $\infty$  distance (Chebyshev distance):

$$L\infty(A,B) = \max_{i=1}^{n} |A_i - B_i|$$

where n is the length of the time series. Calculated Distances:

$$A = [-1.66, 0.30, -0.08, 0.10, -1.17, -0.05, 0.84, -0.66, 0.42, -0.99]$$

$$B = [0.29, 0.89, 0.82, 0.97, 0.53, 0.83, 1.06, 0.67, 0.86, 0.51]$$

1. L1 distance:

$$\begin{split} L1(A,B) = & |(-1.66-0.29)| + \\ & |(0.30-0.89)| + \\ & |(-0.08-0.82)| + \\ & |(0.10-0.97)| + \\ & |(-1.17-0.53)| + \\ & |(-0.05-0.83)| + \\ & |(0.84-1.06)| + \\ & |(-0.66-0.67)| + \\ & |(0.42-0.86)| + \\ & |(-0.99-0.51)|. \end{split}$$

$$L1(A, B) = 5.73$$

## 2. L $\infty$ distance:

$$\begin{aligned} |-1.66-0.29|,\\ |0.30-0.89|,\\ |-0.08-0.82|,\\ |0.10-0.97|,\\ L_{\infty}(A,B) &= \max \{ \begin{vmatrix} -1.17-0.53|,\\ |-0.05-0.83|, \end{vmatrix} \\ |0.84-1.06|,\\ |-0.66-0.67|,\\ |0.42-0.86|,\\ |-0.99-0.51| \end{aligned}$$

$$L\infty(A, B) = 2.66$$

The L1 distance between A and B is 5.73, and the L $\infty$  distance is 2.66.

#### 3.2

Perform an offset translation by a constant c. The new series A' and B' would be:

$$A_i' = A_i + c$$
$$B_i' = B_i + c$$

Let c=2.

$$A' = [-1.66 + 2, 0.30 + 2, -0.08 + 2, 0.10 + 2, -1.17 + 2, -0.05 + 2, 0.84 + 2, -0.66 + 2, 0.42 + 2, -0.99 + 2]$$

$$B' = [0.29 + 2, 0.89 + 2, 0.82 + 2, 0.97 + 2, 0.53 + 2, 0.83 + 2, 1.06 + 2, 0.67 + 2, 0.86 + 2, 0.51 + 2]$$

$$A' = [0.34, 2.30, 1.92, 2.10, 0.83, 1.95, 2.84, 1.34, 2.42, 1.01]$$
  
 $B' = [2.29, 2.89, 2.82, 2.97, 2.53, 2.83, 3.06, 2.67, 2.86, 2.51]$ 

Recalculate Distances;

1. L1 distance:

$$|(0.34 - 2.29)| + |(2.30 - 2.89)| + |(1.92 - 2.82)| + |(1.92 - 2.82)| + |(2.10 - 2.97)| + |(2.83 - 2.53)| + |(1.95 - 2.83)| + |(2.84 - 3.06)| + |(1.34 - 2.67)| + |(2.42 - 2.86)| + |(1.01 - 2.51)|$$

$$L1(A', B') = 20.59$$

2. L $\infty$  distance:

$$|0.34 - 2.29|,$$
 
$$|2.30 - 2.89|,$$
 
$$|1.92 - 2.82|,$$
 
$$|2.10 - 2.97|,$$
 
$$L_{\infty}(A', B') = \max \{ \begin{vmatrix} 0.83 - 2.53 \\ |1.95 - 2.83 \end{vmatrix}, \}$$
 
$$|2.84 - 3.06|,$$
 
$$|1.34 - 2.67|,$$
 
$$|2.42 - 2.86|,$$
 
$$|1.01 - 2.51|$$

$$L\infty(A', B') = 1.83$$

After the offset translation, the L1 distance between A' and B' is 20.59, and the L $\infty$  distance is 1.83.

#### 1.3

Multiply each element in the series by a constant factor s. New series A'' and B'' would be:

$$A_i'' = s \cdot A_i'$$
$$B_i'' = s \cdot B_i'$$

Let s = 0.5.

$$A'' = 0.5 \times [0.34, 2.30, 1.92, 2.10, 0.83, 1.95, 2.84, 1.34, 2.42, 1.01]$$
  
 $B'' = 0.5 \times [2.29, 2.89, 2.82, 2.97, 2.53, 2.83, 3.06, 2.67, 2.86, 2.51]$ 

$$A'' = [0.17, 1.15, 0.96, 1.05, 0.42, 0.97, 1.42, 0.67, 1.21, 0.51]$$
  
$$B'' = [1.15, 1.44, 1.41, 1.49, 1.27, 1.42, 1.53, 1.34, 1.43, 1.26]$$

Recalculate the distances:

## 1. L1 distance:

$$|(0.17 - 1.15)| + |(1.15 - 1.44)| + |(0.96 - 1.41)| + |(0.96 - 1.41)| + |(1.05 - 1.49)| + |(1.05 - 1.42)| + |(0.97 - 1.42)| + |(1.42 - 1.53)| + |(0.67 - 1.34)| + |(1.21 - 1.43)| + |(0.51 - 1.26)|.$$

$$L1(A'', B'') = 4.12$$

### 2. L $\infty$ distance:

$$|0.17 - 1.15|,$$
 
$$|1.15 - 1.44|,$$
 
$$|0.96 - 1.41|,$$
 
$$|1.05 - 1.49|,$$
 
$$|0.42 - 1.27|,$$
 
$$|0.97 - 1.42|,$$
 
$$|1.42 - 1.53|,$$
 
$$|0.67 - 1.34|,$$
 
$$|1.21 - 1.43|,$$
 
$$|0.51 - 1.26|$$

$$L\infty(A'', B'') = 0.92$$

After the amplitude scaling, the L1 distance between A" and B" is 4.12, and the L $\infty$  distance is 0.92.