JAXtronomy: A JAX port of lenstronomy

Alan Huang [1], **Simon Birrer** [1], **Natalie B. Hogg** [2], **Aymeric Galan** [3,4], **Daniel Gilman** [5], **Anowar J. Shajib** [5,6,7], and **Nan Zhang** [8]

1 Department of Physics and Astronomy, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 1794, USA **2** Laboratoire Univers et Particules de Montpellier, CNRS and Université de Montpellier (UMR-5299), 34095 Montpellier, France **3** Max-Planck-Institut für Astrophysik, Karl-Schwarzschild Straße 1, 85748 Garching, Germany **4** Technical University of Munich, TUM School of Natural Sciences, Physics Department, James-Franck-Straße 1, 85748 Garching, Germany **5** Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637, USA **6** Kavli Institute for Cosmological Physics, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA **7** Center for Astronomy, Space Science and Astrophysics, Independent University, Bangladesh, Dhaka 1229, Bangladesh **8** Department of Physics, University of Illinois, 1110 West Green St., Urbana, IL 61801, USA

Summary

JAXtronomy is a re-implementation of the gravitational lensing software package `lenstronomy`[1] (Birrer, 2021; Birrer & Amara, 2018) using JAX[2], a Python library that uses an accelerated linear algebra (XLA) compiler to improve the performance of computing software. Our core design principle of JAXtronomy is to maintain an identical API to that of `lenstronomy`.

The main JAX features utilized in JAXtronomy are just-in-time-compilation, which can lead to significant reductions in execution time, and automatic differentiation, which allows for the implementation of gradient-based algorithms that were previously impossible. Additionally, JAX allows code to be run on GPUs, further boosting the performance of JAXtronomy.

Statement of need

`lenstronomy` has been widely applied to numerous science cases, with more than 200 publications making use of the software, and has an increasing number of dependent packages relying on features of `lenstronomy`. For instance, science cases directly involving `lenstronomy` include galaxy evolution studies using strong lensing (Anowar J. Shajib et al., 2021; Sheu et al., 2025; Tan et al., 2024) and detailed lens modeling for measuring the Hubble constant using time-delay cosmography by the TDCOSMO collaboration (Birrer, S. et al., 2020; Birrer, Simon & Treu, Tommaso, 2021; Collaboration et al., 2025; Gilman, D. et al., 2020; Millon, M. et al., 2020; Schmidt et al., 2025; A. J. Shajib et al., 2022; **?**).

Examples of packages dependent on `lenstronomy` for general-purpose lensing computations and image modelling include the `dolphin` package (Anowar J. Shajib et al., 2025) for automated lens modeling, the `galight` package (Ding et al., 2020) for galaxy morphology measurements, SLSim (Khadka et al, 2025, in prep) for simulating large populations of strong lenses, pyHalo (Gilman et al., 2019) and `mejiro` (Wedig et al., 2025) for simulating strong lenses with dark matter substructure, and PALTAS (Wagner-Carena et al., 2023) for neural network inference tasks.

In many of these applications, computational constraints are the key limiting factor for strong gravitational lensing science. For example, increased data quality and number of lenses to

---

[1]https://github.com/lenstronomy/lenstronomy
[2]https://github.com/jax-ml/jax

40 analyze makes lens modeling a computational bottleneck, and expensive ray-tracing through
41 tens of thousands of dark matter substructures limit the amount of images that can be
42 simulated, especially for the training of neural networks and simulation-based inferences.

43 These ever-increasing computational costs have lead to the development of several JAX-
44 accelerated strong-lensing packages, such as gigalens (Gu et al., 2022), herculens (Galan
45 et al., 2022), paltax (Wagner-Carena et al., 2024), GLaD (Wang et al., 2025), and Google
46 Research's jaxstronomy[3]. Such packages have been directly inspired by lenstronomy and/or
47 support specific use cases. With JAXtronomy, we aim to support a wide range of features
48 offered by lenstronomy while maintaining an identical API so that packages dependent on
49 lenstronomy can transition seamlessly to JAXtronomy.

Improvements over lenstronomy in image simulation

The simulation of a lensed image comes in three main steps. The first step begins with a
coordinate grid in the angles seen by the observer. These coordinates are ray-traced through
the deflectors back to the source plane. This process requires the calculation of light ray
deflection angles at each deflector. Second, the surface brightness of the source is calculated
on the ray-traced coordinate grid. This produces a lensed image. Third, the lensed image gets
convolved by the point spread function (PSF) originating from diffraction of the telescope
optics and atmospheric turbulence. Due to the various choices in deflector mass profiles, light
model profiles, grid size, and PSF kernel size, the overall runtime of the pipeline can vary
significantly.

In the following sections, we outline the improvements in performance that JAXtronomy has
over lenstronomy for each in the pipeline. These performance benchmarks were run using
an Intel(R) Xeon(R) Gold 6338 CPU @ 2.00GHz, an NVIDIA A100 GPU, and JAX version
0.7.0.

Deflection angle calculations

Each entry in the table indicates how much faster JAXtronomy is compared to lenstronomy at
computing deflection angles for the corresponding deflector profile and grid size. Those profiles
which are already computationally inexpensive for lenstronomy are excluded from this table.
Some comparisons vary significantly with values of function arguments, so a range is given
rather than a number.

Deflector Profile	60x60 grid (cpu)	180x180 grid (cpu)	180x180 grid (gpu)
CSE	1.6x	2.6x	2.6x
EPL	5.1x - 15x	9.2x - 17x	37x - 120x
EPL (jax) vs EPL_NUMBA	1.4x	3.0x	13x
EPL_MULTI- POLE_M1M3M4	2.1x - 7x	6.4x - 13x	42x - 108x
HERNQUIST	2.0x	3.4x	5.8x
HERNQUIST_EL- LIPSE_CSE	3.8x	5.4x	40x
MULTIPOLE	0.9x	1.0x	8.3x - 14x
MULTIPOLE_ELL	1.5x - 2.1x	2.0x - 2.8x	70x
NFW	1.6x	3.3x	4.5x
NFW_ELLIPSE_CSE	4.1x	6.7x	31x
TNFW	2.4x	5.8x	7.5x

---

[3]https://github.com/google-research/google-research/tree/master/jaxstronomy

Flux calculations

An analogous table for the different light profiles is shown below.

Light Profile	60x60 grid (cpu)	180x180 grid (cpu)	180x180 grid (gpu)
CORE_SERSIC	2.0x	6.7x	4.2x
GAUSSIAN	1.0x	2.5x	1.3x
GAUSSIAN_ELLIPSE	1.5x	3.6x	2.0x
SERSIC	1.0x	1.7x	3.9x
SERSIC_ELLIPSE	1.9x	5.7x	3.2x
SHAPELETS (n_max=6)	6.2x	3.4x	15x
SHAPELETS (n_max=10)	6.0x	4.5x	17x

FFT Convolution

We find that FFT convolution using JAX on CPU results in variable performance boosts or slowdowns compared to lenstronomy (which uses scipy's FFT convolution). On a 60x60 grid, and kernel sizes ranging from 3 to 45, JAX on CPU ranges from being 1.1x to 2.9x faster than lenstronomy, with no obvious correlation to kernel size. On a 180x180 grid, and kernel sizes ranging from 9 to 135, JAXtronomy on CPU ranges from being 0.7x to 2.5x as fast as lenstronomy, with no obvious correlation to kernel size.

However, FFT convolution using JAX on GPU is significantly faster than scipy. On a 60x60 grid, and kernel sizes ranging from 3 to 45, JAX on GPU ranges from being 1.5x to 3.5x faster than lenstronomy, with JAX performing better at higher kernel sizes. On a 180x180 grid, and kernel sizes ranging from 9 to 135, JAXtronomy on GPU is about 10x to 20x as fast as lenstronomy, again with JAX performing better at higher kernel sizes.

Improvements over lenstronomy in lens modelling

The process of lens modelling involves finding best-fit parameters describing a lensed system from real data. In lenstronomy, this typically involves a Particle Swarm Optimizer (PSO) (Kennedy & Eberhart, 1995) for optimization and Monte Carlo Markov Chains for posterior sampling.

JAXtronomy retains all of the lens modelling algorithms from lenstronomy while benefitting from the increased performance outlined above. Additionally, using JAX's autodifferentiation, we have implemented the L-BFGS gradient descent algorithm from the Optax[4] library (DeepMind et al., 2020) for optimization. This is a significant improvement over lenstronomy's PSO, which does not have access to gradient information.

References

Birrer, S. (2021). Gravitational lensing formalism in a curved arc basis: A continuous description of observables and degeneracies from the weak to the strong lensing regime. *The Astrophysical Journal*, *919*(1), 38. https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac1108

Birrer, S., & Amara, A. (2018). Lenstronomy: Multi-purpose gravitational lens modelling software package. *Physics of the Dark Universe*, *22*, 189–201. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dark.2018.11.002

Birrer, Simon, & Treu, Tommaso. (2021). TDCOSMO - v. Strategies for precise and accurate measurements of the hubble constant with strong lensing. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, *649*, A61. https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202039179

[4]https://github.com/google-deepmind/optax

Birrer, S., Shajib, A. J., Galan, A., Millon, M., Treu, T., Agnello, A., Auger, M., Chen, G. C.-F., Christensen, L., Collett, T., Courbin, F., Fassnacht, C. D., Koopmans, L. V. E., Marshall, P. J., Park, J.-W., Rusu, C. E., Sluse, D., Spiniello, C., Suyu, S. H., … Van de Vyvere, L. (2020). TDCOSMO - IV. Hierarchical time-delay cosmography – joint inference of the hubble constant and galaxy density profiles⋆. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, *643*, A165. https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202038861

Collaboration, T., Birrer, S., Buckley-Geer, E. J., Cappellari, M., Courbin, F., Dux, F., Fassnacht, C. D., Frieman, J. A., Galan, A., Gilman, D., Huang, X.-Y., Knabel, S., Langeroodi, D., Lin, H., Millon, M., Morishita, T., Motta, V., Mozumdar, P., Paic, E., … Wong, K. C. (2025). *TDCOSMO 2025: Cosmological constraints from strong lensing time delays*. https://arxiv.org/abs/2506.03023

DeepMind, Babuschkin, I., Baumli, K., Bell, A., Bhupatiraju, S., Bruce, J., Buchlovsky, P., Budden, D., Cai, T., Clark, A., Danihelka, I., Dedieu, A., Fantacci, C., Godwin, J., Jones, C., Hemsley, R., Hennigan, T., Hessel, M., Hou, S., … Viola, F. (2020). *The DeepMind JAX Ecosystem*. http://github.com/google-deepmind

Ding, X., Silverman, J., Treu, T., Schulze, A., Schramm, M., Birrer, S., Park, D., Jahnke, K., Bennert, V. N., Kartaltepe, J. S., Koekemoer, A. M., Malkan, M. A., & Sanders, D. (2020). The Mass Relations between Supermassive Black Holes and Their Host Galaxies at $1 < z < 2$ HST-WFC3. *The Astrophysical Journal*, *888*(1), 37. https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab5b90

Galan, A., Vernardos, G., Peel, A., Courbin, F., & Starck, J.-L. (2022). Using wavelets to capture deviations from smoothness in galaxy-scale strong lenses. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, *668*, A155. https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202244464

Gilman, D., Birrer, S., Nierenberg, A., Treu, T., Du, X., & Benson, A. (2019). Warm dark matter chills out: Constraints on the halo mass function and the free-streaming length of dark matter with eight quadruple-image strong gravitational lenses. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, *491*(4), 6077–6101. https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz3480

Gilman, D., Birrer, S., & Treu, T. (2020). TDCOSMO - III. Dark matter substructure meets dark energy. The effects of (sub)halos on strong-lensing measurements of H0. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, *642*, A194. https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202038829

Gu, A., Huang, X., Sheu, W., Aldering, G., Bolton, A. S., Boone, K., Dey, A., Filipp, A., Jullo, E., Perlmutter, S., Rubin, D., Schlafly, E. F., Schlegel, D. J., Shu, Y., & Suyu, S. H. (2022). GIGA-lens: Fast bayesian inference for strong gravitational lens modeling. *The Astrophysical Journal*, *935*(1), 49. https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac6de4

Kennedy, J., & Eberhart, R. (1995). Particle swarm optimization. *Proceedings of ICNN'95 - International Conference on Neural Networks*, *4*, 1942–1948 vol.4. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICNN.1995.488968

Millon, M., Galan, A., Courbin, F., Treu, T., Suyu, S. H., Ding, X., Birrer, S., Chen, G. C.-F., Shajib, A. J., Sluse, D., Wong, K. C., Agnello, A., Auger, M. W., Buckley-Geer, E. J., Chan, J. H. H., Collett, T., Fassnacht, C. D., Hilbert, S., Koopmans, L. V. E., … Van de Vyvere, L. (2020). TDCOSMO - i. An exploration of systematic uncertainties in the inference of H0 from time-delay cosmography. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, *639*, A101. https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201937351

Schmidt, T., Treu, T., Birrer, S., Millon, M., Sluse, D., Galan, A., Shajib, A., Lemon, C., Dux, F., & Courbin, F. (2025). TDCOSMO. XVIII. Strong lens model and time-delay predictions for J1721+8842, the first einstein zigzag lens. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*. https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202449984

Shajib, Anowar J., Nihal, N. S., Tan, C. Y., Sahu, V., Birrer, S., Treu, T., & Frieman, J. (2025). *dolphin: A fully automated forward modeling pipeline powered by artificial intelligence for*

153   *galaxy-scale strong lenses*. https://arxiv.org/abs/2503.22657

154   Shajib, Anowar J., Treu, T., Birrer, S., & Sonnenfeld, A. (2021). Dark matter haloes of
155   massive elliptical galaxies at z ∼ 0.2 are well described by the Navarro-Frenk-White
156   profile. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, *503*(2), 2380–2405. https:
157   //doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stab536

158   Shajib, A. J., Wong, K. C., Birrer, S., Suyu, S. H., Treu, T., Buckley-Geer, E. J., Lin, H., Rusu, C.
159   E., Poh, J., Palmese, A., Agnello, A., Auger-Williams, M. W., Galan, A., Schuldt, S., Sluse,
160   D., Courbin, F., Frieman, J., & Millon, M. (2022). TDCOSMO. IX. Systematic comparison
161   between lens modelling software programs: Time-delay prediction for WGD 2038−4008.
162   *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, *667*, A123. https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202243401

163   Sheu, W., Shajib, A. J., Treu, T., Sonnenfeld, A., Birrer, S., Cappellari, M., Oldham, L. J., &
164   Tan, C. Y. (2025). Project Dinos II: redshift evolution of dark and luminous matter density
165   profiles in strong-lensing elliptical galaxies across 0.1 < z < 0.9. *Monthly Notices of the*
166   *Royal Astronomical Society*, *541*(1), 1–27. https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staf976

167   Tan, C. Y., Shajib, A. J., Birrer, S., Sonnenfeld, A., Treu, T., Wells, P., Williams, D.,
168   Buckley-Geer, E. J., Drlica-Wagner, A., & Frieman, J. (2024). Project Dinos I: A joint
169   lensing-dynamics constraint on the deviation from the power law in the mass profile of
170   massive ellipticals. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, *530*(2), 1474–1505.
171   https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stae884

172   Wagner-Carena, S., Aalbers, J., Birrer, S., Nadler, E. O., Darragh-Ford, E., Marshall, P. J., &
173   Wechsler, R. H. (2023). From images to dark matter: End-to-end inference of substructure
174   from hundreds of strong gravitational lenses. *The Astrophysical Journal*, *942*(2), 75.
175   https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aca525

176   Wagner-Carena, S., Lee, J., Pennington, J., Aalbers, J., Birrer, S., & Wechsler, R. H.
177   (2024). A strong gravitational lens is worth a thousand dark matter halos: Inference on
178   small-scale structure using sequential methods. *The Astrophysical Journal*, *975*(2), 297.
179   https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ad6e70

180   Wang, H., Suyu, S. H., Galan, A., Halkola, A., Cappellari, M., Shajib, A. J., & Cernetic, M.
181   (2025). *GPU-accelerated gravitational lensing & dynamical (GLaD) modeling for cosmology*
182   *and galaxies*. https://arxiv.org/abs/2504.01302

183   Wedig, B., Daylan, T., Birrer, S., Cyr-Racine, F.-Y., Dvorkin, C., Finkbeiner, D. P., Huang, A.,
184   Huang, X., Karthik, R., Khadka, N., Natarajan, P., Nierenberg, A. M., Peter, A. H. G., Pierel,
185   J. D. R., Tang, X. T., & Wechsler, R. H. (2025). The roman view of strong gravitational
186   lenses. *The Astrophysical Journal*, *986*(1), 42. https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/adc24f