

¹ JAXtronomy: A JAX port of lenstronomy

² Alan Huang  ¹, Simon Birrer  ¹, Natalie B. Hogg  ², Aymeric Galan  ^{3,4},
³ Daniel Gilman  ⁵, Anowar J. Shajib  ^{5,6,7}, and Nan Zhang  ⁸

⁴ 1 Department of Physics and Astronomy, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 11794, USA
⁵ Laboratoire Univers et Particules de Montpellier, CNRS and Université de Montpellier (UMR-5299),
⁶ 34095 Montpellier, France ³ Max-Planck-Institut für Astrophysik, Karl-Schwarzschild Straße 1, 85748
⁷ Garching, Germany ⁴ Technical University of Munich, TUM School of Natural Sciences, Physics
⁸ Department, James-Franck-Straße 1, 85748 Garching, Germany ⁵ Department of Astronomy and
⁹ Astrophysics, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637, USA ⁶ Kavli Institute for Cosmological
¹⁰ Physics, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA ⁷ Center for Astronomy, Space Science and
¹¹ Astrophysics, Independent University, Bangladesh, Dhaka 1229, Bangladesh ⁸ Department of Physics,
¹² University of Illinois, 1110 West Green St., Urbana, IL 61801, USA

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

Software

- [Review](#) 
- [Repository](#) 
- [Archive](#) 

Editor: [Open Journals](#) 

Reviewers:

- [@openjournals](#)

Submitted: 01 January 1970

Published: unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyright ²³ and release the work under a ²⁴ Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 ²⁵ International License ([CC BY 4.0](#)). ²⁶ ²⁷

¹³ Summary

¹⁴ Gravitational lensing is a phenomenon where light bends around massive objects, resulting in
¹⁵ distorted images seen by an observer. Studying gravitationally lensed objects can give us key
¹⁶ insights into cosmology and astrophysics, such as constraints on the expansion rate of the
¹⁷ universe and dark matter models.

¹⁸ Thus, we introduce JAXtronomy, a re-implementation of the gravitational lensing software
¹⁹ package `lenstronomy`¹ ([Birrer, 2021; Birrer & Amara, 2018](#)) using JAX². JAX is a Python
²⁰ library that uses an accelerated linear algebra (XLA) compiler to improve the performance of
²¹ computing software. Our core design principle of JAXtronomy is to maintain an identical API
²² to that of `lenstronomy`.

²³ The main JAX features utilized in JAXtronomy are just-in-time-compilation, which can lead to
²⁴ significant reductions in execution time, and automatic differentiation, which allows for the
²⁵ implementation of gradient-based algorithms that were previously impossible. Additionally,
²⁶ JAX allows code to be run on GPUs or parallelized across CPU cores, further boosting the
²⁷ performance of JAXtronomy.

²⁸ Statement of need

²⁹ `lenstronomy` has been widely applied to numerous science cases, with more than 200
³⁰ publications making use of the software, and has an increasing number of dependent packages
³¹ relying on features of `lenstronomy`. For instance, science cases directly involving `lenstronomy`
³² include galaxy evolution studies using strong lensing ([Anowar J. Shajib et al., 2021; Sheu et](#)
³³ [al., 2025; Tan et al., 2024](#)) and detailed lens modeling for measuring the Hubble constant
³⁴ using time-delay cosmography by the TDCOSMO collaboration ([Birrer, S. et al., 2020; Birrer,](#)
³⁵ [Simon & Treu, Tommaso, 2021; Collaboration et al., 2025; Gilman, D. et al., 2020; Millon, M.](#)
³⁶ [et al., 2020; Schmidt et al., 2025; A. J. Shajib et al., 2022; ?](#)).

³⁷ Examples of packages dependent on `lenstronomy` for general-purpose lensing computations and
³⁸ image modelling include the `dolphin` package ([Anowar J. Shajib et al., 2025](#)) for automated
³⁹ lens modeling, the `galight` package ([Ding et al., 2020](#)) for galaxy morphology measurements,

¹<https://github.com/lenstronomy/lenstronomy>

²<https://github.com/jax-ml/jax>

40 SLSim (Khadka et al, 2025, in prep) for simulating large populations of strong lenses, pyHalo
 41 (Gilman et al., 2019) and mejiro (Wedig et al., 2025) for simulating strong lenses with dark
 42 matter substructure, and PALTAS (Wagner-Carena et al., 2023) for neural network inference
 43 tasks.

44 In many of these applications, computational constraints are the key limiting factor for strong
 45 gravitational lensing science. For example, increased data quality and number of lenses to
 46 analyze makes lens modeling a computational bottleneck, and expensive ray-tracing through
 47 tens of thousands of dark matter substructures limit the amount of images that can be
 48 simulated, especially for the training of neural networks and simulation-based inferences. These
 49 ever-increasing computational costs have lead to the development of several JAX-accelerated
 50 and GPU-accelerated strong-lensing packages, such as gigalens (Gu et al., 2022), herculens
 51 (Galan et al., 2022), paltax (Wagner-Carena et al., 2024), GLaD (Wang et al., 2025), caustics³
 52 (Stone et al., 2024) and Google Research's jaxstronomy⁴.

53 Why JAXtronomy?

54 JAXtronomy inherits a wide range of features from lenstronomy that are not offered by any
 55 of the aforementioned JAX-accelerated or GPU-accelerated software. Such features include
 56 lenstronomy's linear amplitude solver, which reduces the number of sampled parameters during
 57 lens modeling, as well as a variety of log likelihood functions and optional punishment terms
 58 to improve robustness during fitting. Furthermore, JAXtronomy aims to maintain an identical
 59 API to lenstronomy so that packages dependent on lenstronomy can transition seamlessly to
 60 JAXtronomy.

61 Improvements over lenstronomy in image simulation

62 The simulation of a lensed image comes in three main steps. The first step begins with a
 63 coordinate grid in the angles seen by the observer. These coordinates are ray-traced through
 64 the deflectors back to the source plane. This process requires the calculation of light ray
 65 deflection angles at each deflector. Second, the surface brightness of the source is calculated
 66 on the ray-traced coordinate grid. This produces a lensed image. Third, the lensed image gets
 67 convolved by the point spread function (PSF) originating from diffraction of the telescope
 68 optics and atmospheric turbulence. Due to the various choices in deflector mass profiles, light
 69 model profiles, grid size, and PSF kernel size, the overall runtime of the pipeline can vary
 70 significantly.

71 In the following sections, we outline the improvements in performance that JAXtronomy has
 72 over lenstronomy for each step in the pipeline. These performance benchmarks were run using
 73 an Intel(R) Xeon(R) Gold 6338 CPU @ 2.00GHz, an NVIDIA A100 GPU, and JAX version
 74 0.7.0.

75 Deflection angle calculations

76 Each entry in the table indicates how much faster JAXtronomy is compared to lenstronomy
 77 at computing deflection angles for the corresponding deflector profile and grid size. Some
 78 comparisons vary significantly with values of function arguments, so a range is given rather
 79 than a number.

Deflector Profile	60x60 grid (cpu)	180x180 grid (cpu)	180x180 grid (gpu)
CONVERGENCE	0.4x	1.1x	0.5x
CSE	1.6x	2.6x	2.6x

³<https://github.com/Ciela-Institute/caustics>

⁴<https://github.com/google-research/google-research/tree/master/jaxstronomy>

Deflector Profile	60x60 grid (cpu)	180x180 grid (cpu)	180x180 grid (gpu)
EPL	5.1x - 15x	9.2x - 17x	37x - 120x
EPL (jax) vs	1.4x	3.0x	13x
EPL_NUMBA			
EPL_MULTIPOLE_M1M3M4	2.1x - 7x	6.4x - 13x	42x - 108x
HERNQUIST	2.0x	3.4x	5.8x
HERNQUIST_ELLIPSE_CSE	3.8x	5.4x	40x
MULTIPOLE	0.9x	1.0x	8.3x - 14x
MULTIPOLE_ELL	1.5x - 2.1x	2.0x - 2.8x	70x
NIE/SIE	0.5x	0.5x	2.0x
NFW	1.6x	3.3x	4.5x
NFW_ELLIPSE_CSE	4.1x	6.7x	31x
PJAFFE	1.0x	1.2x	2.8x
PJAFFE_ELLIPSE_POTENTIAL	1.4x	1.6x	3.1x
SHEAR	0.7x	2.0x	0.9x
SIS	1.4x	3.3x	2.0x
TNFW	2.4x	5.8x	7.5x

80 For small enough grid sizes, JAXtronomy computes deflection angles slower than lenstronomy
 81 when using certain deflector profiles. This is because function call overheads are significantly
 82 higher in JAX than in standard Python, so computations that are already fast in Python
 83 can end up slower in JAX. In these cases, the benefit of using JAX is to have automatic
 84 differentiation for lens modeling.

85 Flux calculations

86 An analogous table for the different light profiles is shown below. The MULTI_GAUSSIAN
 87 and MULTI_GAUSSIAN_ELLIPSE profiles include five GAUSSIAN and GAUSSIAN_ELLIPSE
 88 components, respectively, highlighting JAX's improved performance in sequential computations.

Light Profile	60x60 grid (cpu)	180x180 grid (cpu)	180x180 grid (gpu)
CORE_SERSIC	2.0x	6.7x	4.2x
GAUSSIAN	1.0x	2.5x	1.3x
GAUSSIAN_ELLIPSE	1.5x	3.6x	2.0x
MULTI_GAUSSIAN	3.7x	11x	7.8x
MULTI_GAUSSIAN_ELLIPSE	4.0x	13x	6.9x
SERSIC	1.0x	1.7x	3.9x
SERSIC_ELLIPSE	1.9x	5.7x	3.2x
SERSIC_ELLIPSE_Q_PHI	1.7x	5.5x	3.3x
SHAPELETS (n_max=6)	6.2x	3.4x	15x
SHAPELETS (n_max=10)	6.0x	4.5x	17x

89 FFT Convolution

90 We find that FFT convolution using JAX on CPU results in variable performance boosts or
 91 slowdowns compared to lenstronomy (which uses scipy's FFT convolution). On a 60x60
 92 grid, and kernel sizes ranging from 3 to 45, JAX on CPU ranges from being 1.1x to 2.9x faster
 93 than lenstronomy, with no obvious correlation to kernel size. On a 180x180 grid, and kernel
 94 sizes ranging from 9 to 135, JAXtronomy on CPU ranges from being 0.7x to 2.5x as fast as
 95 lenstronomy, with no obvious correlation to kernel size.

96 However, FFT convolution using JAX on GPU is significantly faster than `scipy`. On a 60x60
 97 grid, and kernel sizes ranging from 3 to 45, JAX on GPU ranges from being 1.5x to 3.5x
 98 faster than `lenstronomy`, with JAX performing better at higher kernel sizes. On a 180x180
 99 grid, and kernel sizes ranging from 9 to 135, `JAXtronomy` on GPU is about 10x to 20x as fast
 100 as `lenstronomy`, again with JAX performing better at higher kernel sizes.

101 Improvements over `lenstronomy` in lens modelling

102 The process of lens modelling involves finding best-fit parameters describing a lensed system from
 103 real data. In `lenstronomy`, this typically involves a Particle Swarm Optimizer (PSO) ([Kennedy & Eberhart, 1995](#)) for optimization and Monte Carlo Markov Chains for posterior sampling.
 104 `JAXtronomy` retains these lens modelling algorithms from `lenstronomy` while benefitting from
 105 the increased performance outlined above.

106 In the following table, we compare `JAXtronomy`'s PSO performance to that of `lenstronomy`
 107 when modeling a lens with an elliptical power-law (EPL) mass profile, Sersic light profile, and
 108 a quadruply-imaged point source. The image is simulated using a 100x100 grid and FFT
 109 convolved using a PSF kernel with a size of 13 pixels. These benchmarks were performed using
 110 the same hardware as in the previous section.

112 Device 64 Particles 128 Particles 256 Particles 512 Particles
113 :----- :----- :----- :----- :-----
114 1 CPU core 4x 4x 5x 5x
115 2 CPU cores 6x 7x 9x 8x
116 4 CPU cores 11x 11x 17x 15x
117 8 CPU cores 14x 17x 24x 30x
118 16 CPU cores 16x 21x 33x 38x
119 32 CPU cores 16x 18x 30x 34x
120 GPU 5x 6x 9x 11x

121 The following table shows the same comparison but with the EPL mass profile replaced by a
 122 singular isothermal ellipsoid (SIE).

123 Device 64 Particles 128 Particles 256 Particles 512 Particles
124 :----- :----- :----- :----- :-----
125 1 CPU core 3x 3x 3x 4x
126 2 CPU cores 5x 6x 6x 7x
127 4 CPU cores 8x 12x 11x 12x
128 8 CPU cores 11x 17x 17x 24x
129 16 CPU cores 13x 20x 22x 29x
130 32 CPU cores 13x 20x 20x 29x
131 GPU 8x 7x 27x 46x

132 Additionally, using JAX's autodifferentiation, we have implemented the L-BFGS gradient descent
 133 algorithm from the Optax⁵ library ([DeepMind et al., 2020](#)) for optimization. This is a significant
 134 improvement over `lenstronomy`'s PSO, which does not have access to gradient information.
 135 Due to the random nature of the PSO, we do not present a concrete comparison between
 136 `lenstronomy` and `JAXtronomy` for how long it takes to find best-fit parameters. However, we
 137 note that `JAXtronomy` can find a good fit within one minute, while `lenstronomy` can take
 138 hours.

⁵<https://github.com/google-deepmind/optax>

139 References

- 140 Birrer, S. (2021). Gravitational lensing formalism in a curved arc basis: A continuous
 141 description of observables and degeneracies from the weak to the strong lensing regime.
 142 *The Astrophysical Journal*, 919(1), 38. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac1108>
- 143 Birrer, S., & Amara, A. (2018). Lenstronomy: Multi-purpose gravitational lens modelling
 144 software package. *Physics of the Dark Universe*, 22, 189–201. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dark.2018.11.002>
- 145 Birrer, Simon, & Treu, Tommaso. (2021). TDCOSMO - v. Strategies for precise and accurate
 146 measurements of the hubble constant with strong lensing. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 649,
 147 A61. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202039179>
- 148 Birrer, S., Shajib, A. J., Galan, A., Millon, M., Treu, T., Agnello, A., Auger, M., Chen, G.
 149 C.-F., Christensen, L., Collett, T., Courbin, F., Fassnacht, C. D., Koopmans, L. V. E.,
 150 Marshall, P. J., Park, J.-W., Rusu, C. E., Sluse, D., Spinelli, C., Suyu, S. H., ... Van de
 151 Vyvere, L. (2020). TDCOSMO - IV. Hierarchical time-delay cosmography – joint inference
 152 of the hubble constant and galaxy density profiles*. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 643, A165.
 153 <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202038861>
- 154 Collaboration, T., Birrer, S., Buckley-Geer, E. J., Cappellari, M., Courbin, F., Dux, F.,
 155 Fassnacht, C. D., Frieman, J. A., Galan, A., Gilman, D., Huang, X.-Y., Knobel, S.,
 156 Langeroodi, D., Lin, H., Millon, M., Morishita, T., Motta, V., Mozumdar, P., Paic, E., ...
 157 Wong, K. C. (2025). *TDCOSMO 2025: Cosmological constraints from strong lensing time*
 158 *delays*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2506.03023>
- 159 DeepMind, Babuschkin, I., Baumli, K., Bell, A., Bhupatiraju, S., Bruce, J., Buchlovsky, P.,
 160 Budden, D., Cai, T., Clark, A., Danihelka, I., Dedieu, A., Fantacci, C., Godwin, J., Jones,
 161 C., Hemsley, R., Hennigan, T., Hessel, M., Hou, S., ... Viola, F. (2020). *The DeepMind*
 162 *JAX Ecosystem*. <http://github.com/google-deepmind>
- 163 Ding, X., Silverman, J., Treu, T., Schulze, A., Schramm, M., Birrer, S., Park, D., Jahnke, K.,
 164 Bennert, V. N., Kartaltepe, J. S., Koekemoer, A. M., Malkan, M. A., & Sanders, D. (2020).
 165 The Mass Relations between Supermassive Black Holes and Their Host Galaxies at $1 < z$
 166 < 2 HST-WFC3. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 888(1), 37. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab5b90>
- 167 Galan, A., Vernardos, G., Peel, A., Courbin, F., & Starck, J.-L. (2022). Using wavelets
 168 to capture deviations from smoothness in galaxy-scale strong lenses. *Astronomy &*
 169 *Astrophysics*, 668, A155. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202244464>
- 170 Gilman, D., Birrer, S., Nierenberg, A., Treu, T., Du, X., & Benson, A. (2019). Warm dark
 171 matter chills out: Constraints on the halo mass function and the free-streaming length of
 172 dark matter with eight quadruple-image strong gravitational lenses. *Monthly Notices of the*
 173 *Royal Astronomical Society*, 491(4), 6077–6101. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz3480>
- 174 Gilman, D., Birrer, S., & Treu, T. (2020). TDCOSMO - III. Dark matter substructure meets
 175 dark energy. The effects of (sub)halos on strong-lensing measurements of H0. *Astronomy*
 176 & *Astrophysics*, 642, A194. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202038829>
- 177 Gu, A., Huang, X., Sheu, W., Aldering, G., Bolton, A. S., Boone, K., Dey, A., Filipp, A.,
 178 Jullo, E., Perlmutter, S., Rubin, D., Schlafly, E. F., Schlegel, D. J., Shu, Y., & Suyu, S. H.
 179 (2022). GIGA-lens: Fast bayesian inference for strong gravitational lens modeling. *The*
 180 *Astrophysical Journal*, 935(1), 49. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac6de4>
- 181 Kennedy, J., & Eberhart, R. (1995). Particle swarm optimization. *Proceedings of ICNN'95*
 182 - *International Conference on Neural Networks*, 4, 1942–1948 vol.4. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICNN.1995.488968>

- 186 Millon, M., Galan, A., Courbin, F., Treu, T., Suyu, S. H., Ding, X., Birrer, S., Chen, G. C.-F.,
 187 Shajib, A. J., Sluse, D., Wong, K. C., Agnello, A., Auger, M. W., Buckley-Geer, E. J.,
 188 Chan, J. H. H., Collett, T., Fassnacht, C. D., Hilbert, S., Koopmans, L. V. E., ... Van
 189 de Vyvere, L. (2020). TDCOSMO - i. An exploration of systematic uncertainties in the
 190 inference of H0 from time-delay cosmography. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 639, A101.
 191 <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201937351>
- 192 Schmidt, T., Treu, T., Birrer, S., Millon, M., Sluse, D., Galan, A., Shajib, A., Lemon, C.,
 193 Dux, F., & Courbin, F. (2025). TDCOSMO. XVIII. Strong lens model and time-delay
 194 predictions for J1721+8842, the first einstein zigzag lens. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*.
 195 <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202449984>
- 196 Shajib, Anowar J., Nihal, N. S., Tan, C. Y., Sahu, V., Birrer, S., Treu, T., & Frieman, J. (2025).
 197 *dolphin: A fully automated forward modeling pipeline powered by artificial intelligence for*
 198 *galaxy-scale strong lenses.* <https://arxiv.org/abs/2503.22657>
- 199 Shajib, Anowar J., Treu, T., Birrer, S., & Sonnenfeld, A. (2021). Dark matter haloes of
 200 massive elliptical galaxies at $z \sim 0.2$ are well described by the Navarro-Frenk-White
 201 profile. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 503(2), 2380–2405. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stab536>
- 202 Shajib, A. J., Wong, K. C., Birrer, S., Suyu, S. H., Treu, T., Buckley-Geer, E. J., Lin, H., Rusu, C.,
 203 E., Poh, J., Palmese, A., Agnello, A., Auger-Williams, M. W., Galan, A., Schuldt, S., Sluse,
 204 D., Courbin, F., Frieman, J., & Millon, M. (2022). TDCOSMO. IX. Systematic comparison
 205 between lens modelling software programs: Time-delay prediction for WGD 2038–4008.
 206 *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 667, A123. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202243401>
- 207 Sheu, W., Shajib, A. J., Treu, T., Sonnenfeld, A., Birrer, S., Cappellari, M., Oldham, L. J., &
 208 Tan, C. Y. (2025). Project Dinos II: redshift evolution of dark and luminous matter density
 209 profiles in strong-lensing elliptical galaxies across $0.1 < z < 0.9$. *Monthly Notices of the*
 210 *Royal Astronomical Society*, 541(1), 1–27. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staf976>
- 211 Stone, C., Adam, A., Coogan, A., Yantovski-Barth, M. J., Philipp, A., Setiawan, L., Core,
 212 C., Legin, R., Wilson, C., Barco, G. M., Hezaveh, Y., & Perreault-Levasseur, L. (2024).
 213 Caustics: A python package for accelerated strong gravitational lensing simulations. *Journal*
 214 *of Open Source Software*, 9(103), 7081. <https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.07081>
- 215 Tan, C. Y., Shajib, A. J., Birrer, S., Sonnenfeld, A., Treu, T., Wells, P., Williams, D.,
 216 Buckley-Geer, E. J., Drlica-Wagner, A., & Frieman, J. (2024). Project Dinos I: A joint
 217 lensing-dynamics constraint on the deviation from the power law in the mass profile of
 218 massive ellipticals. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 530(2), 1474–1505.
 219 <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stae884>
- 220 Wagner-Carena, S., Aalbers, J., Birrer, S., Nadler, E. O., Darragh-Ford, E., Marshall, P. J., &
 221 Wechsler, R. H. (2023). From images to dark matter: End-to-end inference of substructure
 222 from hundreds of strong gravitational lenses. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 942(2), 75.
 223 <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aca525>
- 224 Wagner-Carena, S., Lee, J., Pennington, J., Aalbers, J., Birrer, S., & Wechsler, R. H.
 225 (2024). A strong gravitational lens is worth a thousand dark matter halos: Inference on
 226 small-scale structure using sequential methods. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 975(2), 297.
 227 <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ad6e70>
- 228 Wang, H., Suyu, S. H., Galan, A., Halkola, A., Cappellari, M., Shajib, A. J., & Cernetic, M.
 229 (2025). GPU-accelerated gravitational lensing & dynamical (GLaD) modeling for cosmology
 230 and galaxies. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2504.01302>
- 231 Wedig, B., Daylan, T., Birrer, S., Cyr-Racine, F.-Y., Dvorkin, C., Finkbeiner, D. P., Huang, A.,
 232 Huang, X., Karthik, R., Khadka, N., Natarajan, P., Nierenberg, A. M., Peter, A. H. G., Pierel,
 233 J. D. R., Tang, X. T., & Wechsler, R. H. (2025). The roman view of strong gravitational
 234

²³⁵ lenses. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 986(1), 42. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/adc24f>

DRAFT