

TD 1 Cryptography Engineering

Léo COLISSON PALAIS

Exercise 1:

1. Compute $\Pr[\mathcal{A}_1 \diamond \mathcal{L}_1 = \text{true}]$, $\Pr[\mathcal{A}_1 \diamond \mathcal{L}_2 = \text{true}]$, $\Pr[\mathcal{A}_2 \diamond \mathcal{L}_1 = \text{true}]$, $\Pr[\mathcal{A}_2 \diamond \mathcal{L}_2 = \text{true}]$ with

| \mathcal{A}_1 | \mathcal{A}_2 | \mathcal{L}_1 | \mathcal{L}_2 |
|--|---|--|---|
| $r_1 \leftarrow \text{RAND}(6)$ $r_2 \leftarrow \text{RAND}(6)$ $\text{return } r_1 \stackrel{?}{=} r_2$ | $r_1 \leftarrow \text{RAND}(6)$ $r_2 \leftarrow \text{RAND}(6)$ $\text{return } r_1 \stackrel{?}{\geq} 3$ | $\text{RAND}(n):$ $r \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_n$ $\text{return } r$ | $\text{RAND}(n):$ $\text{return } 0$ |

2. Are the following libraries indistinguishable? (if so, describe the distinguisher (you can test it in Caseine) and **compute** its success probability:

- (a) \mathcal{L}_A $\stackrel{?}{\approx}$ \mathcal{L}_B
- | | |
|--|--|
| \mathcal{L}_A | \mathcal{L}_B |
| $\text{SAMPLE}():$ return 42 | $\text{SAMPLE}():$ return 45 |
- (b) \mathcal{L}_A $\stackrel{?}{\approx}$ \mathcal{L}_B
- | | |
|---|--|
| \mathcal{L}_A | \mathcal{L}_B |
| $\text{SAMPLE}():$ $x \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_{10}$ return x | $\text{SAMPLE}():$ $x \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_9$ return x |
- (c) \mathcal{L}_A $\stackrel{?}{\approx}$ \mathcal{L}_B
- | | |
|---|---|
| \mathcal{L}_A | \mathcal{L}_B |
| $\text{SAMPLE}():$ $x \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_{10}$ return x | $\text{SAMPLE}():$ $x \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_{10}$ return $2 + x$ |
- (d) \mathcal{L}_A $\stackrel{?}{\approx}$ \mathcal{L}_B
- | | |
|---|--|
| \mathcal{L}_A | \mathcal{L}_B |
| $\text{SAMPLE}():$ $x \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_{10}$ return x | $\text{SAMPLE}():$ $x \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_{10}$ return $(2 + x) \bmod 10$ |
- (e) \mathcal{L}_A $\stackrel{?}{\approx}$ \mathcal{L}_B
- | | |
|--|--|
| \mathcal{L}_A | \mathcal{L}_B |
| $a := 0$ $\text{SAMPLE}():$ return 42 | $a := 1$ $\text{SAMPLE}():$ $b := 8$ return 42 |
- (f) \mathcal{L}_A $\stackrel{?}{\approx}$ $\mathcal{L}_B \diamond \mathcal{L}_C$
- | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| \mathcal{L}_A | \mathcal{L}_B | \mathcal{L}_C |
| $\text{SAMPLE}():$ return 9 | $\text{SAMPLE}():$ return SQUARE(3) | $\text{SQUARE}(x):$ return x^2 |
- (g) \mathcal{L}_A $\stackrel{?}{\approx}$ \mathcal{L}_B
- | | |
|---|---|
| \mathcal{L}_A | \mathcal{L}_B |
| $\text{SAMPLE}():$ $x \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_{10}$ if $x \stackrel{?}{=} 0$ then $x \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_{10}$ return x | $\text{SAMPLE}():$ $x \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_{10}$ return x |

- (h) The libraries of the IND-CPA security definition with the encryption scheme $\text{Gen}(1^\lambda)$ always returning 0, and $\text{Enc}_k(m) := \bar{m}$, where $m \in \{0, 1\}^\lambda$, \bar{m} is the bitwise flip of m (0 becomes 1 and 1 becomes 0).

- (i) The libraries of the IND-CPA security definition with the One-Time Pad encryption scheme.
- (j) The libraries of the IND-CPA security definition with any unknown deterministic encryption scheme.

Exercise 2: First security proof

We say that a scheme is *One-Time uniform ciphertexts* secure iff

$$\boxed{\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{L}_{\text{ots-real}} \\ \text{CTXT}(m): \\ k \leftarrow \text{Gen}(1^\lambda) \\ c \leftarrow \text{Enc}_k(m) \\ \text{return } c \end{array}} \equiv \boxed{\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{L}_{\text{ots-real}} \\ \text{CTXT}(m): \\ c \xleftarrow{\$} \{0, 1\}^\lambda \\ \text{return } c \end{array}} \quad (1)$$

1. Prove that the OTP is One-Time uniform ciphertexts secure by explicitly computing the probability.
2. We define the double-OTP construction by sampling two OTP keys k_1, k_2 , and by encrypting the message twice as follows: $\text{Enc}_{k_1, k_2}(m) := k_2 \oplus (k_1 \oplus m)$.
 - (a) Describe the decryption procedure.
 - (b) Show that the double-OTP construction is One-Time uniform ciphertexts secure. (Your are not allowed to follow the same strategy as you did in the first question. See the exercise in Caseine to get advices and/or check your solution.)
 - (c) If we reuse the key, i.e. $k_2 = k_1$, is the double-OTP construction One-Time uniform ciphertexts secure? Prove it by exhibiting a distinguisher or proving its security.
 - (d) Prove that any One-Time uniform ciphertexts secure scheme satisfies One-Time secrecy:

$$\boxed{\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{L}_{\text{cpa-L}}^\Sigma \\ \text{EAVESDROP}(m_L, m_R \in \mathcal{M}): \\ k \leftarrow \text{Gen}(1^\lambda) \\ \text{return } \text{Enc}_k(m_{\textcolor{yellow}{L}}) \end{array}} \approx \boxed{\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{L}_{\text{cpa-R}}^\Sigma \\ \text{EAVESDROP}(m_L, m_R \in \mathcal{M}): \\ k \leftarrow \text{Gen}(1^\lambda) \\ \text{return } \text{Enc}_k(m_{\textcolor{yellow}{R}}) \end{array}}$$

- (e) How does One-Time secrecy compare with IND-CPA secure (is one implying the other?)

Exercise 3: Negligible functions

1. Which of the following functions are negligible? (justify, you may use $a^b = 2^{b \log a}$)

$$\frac{1}{2^{\lambda/2}} \quad \frac{1}{2^{\log(\lambda^2)}} \quad \frac{1}{\lambda \log(\lambda)} \quad \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \quad \frac{1}{2^{\log \lambda^2}} \quad \frac{1}{\lambda^{1/\lambda}} \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \quad \frac{1}{2^{\sqrt{\lambda}}}$$

2. Show that if f and g are negligible, so are $f + g$ and fg .
3. Show that if $f = \text{poly}(\lambda)$ and $g = \text{negl}(\lambda)$, $fg = \text{negl}(\lambda)$.