

# TD: Logical Relations for Type Systems Safety

Jacques-Henri Jourdan, MPRI 2-4

2023/02/21

We are interested in the logical relations approach to proving type system safety. We focus on a very simple setting: the safety of system F, extended with integers and some arithmetic operations.

All the definitions, including that of the logical relation, are given in Figure 1. Note that division by 0 is considered safe, but non-deterministically returns an arbitrary integer (this is an excuse to introduce non-determinism in the calculus).

Moreover, note that the definition of the logical relation uses a predicate  $\text{Safe}(e)$ , meaning that  $e$  cannot reduce in a stuck state, in any number of steps.

**Exercise 1.** State and prove *adequacy* of the logical relation.

**Exercise 2.** State and establish lemmas for proving  $e \in \mathcal{E}^\rho(\tau)$  in the following cases:

- $e$  is a value;
- $e$  is of the form  $E[e']$ ;
- the set of direct reducts of  $e$  (i.e.,  $\{e' \mid e \longrightarrow e'\}$ ) is known.

**Exercise 3.** State and prove the fundamental theorem of the logical relation.

**Exercise 4.** Conclude the safety of the type system.

**Exercise 5.** Discuss difficulties arising with extensions of this proof: what if we added tuples to the type system? Subtyping? Recursive types? References?

**Syntax:**

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau &::= \alpha \mid \tau \rightarrow \tau \mid \forall \alpha. \tau \mid \mathbf{int} \\
e &::= x \mid ee \mid \lambda x. e \mid n \mid e + e \mid e/e \\
v &::= \lambda x. e \mid n \\
E &::= \square \mid Ee \mid vE \mid E + e \mid v + e \mid E/e \mid v/e
\end{aligned}$$

**Operational semantics:**

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{e_1 \longrightarrow e_2}{E[e_1] \longrightarrow E[e_2]} \quad (\lambda x. e) v \longrightarrow e[x/v] \quad \frac{n_1 + n_2 = n \text{ (as an arithmetic operation)}}{n_1 + n_2 \longrightarrow n} \\
&\frac{n_2 \neq 0 \quad \lfloor n_1/n_2 \rfloor = n \text{ (as an arithmetic operation)}}{n_1/n_2 \longrightarrow n} \quad n/0 \longrightarrow n'
\end{aligned}$$

**Typing rules:**

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{VAR} \quad \frac{x : \tau \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : \tau} \quad \text{LAM} \quad \frac{\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash e : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. e : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2} \quad \text{APP} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 e_2 : \tau_2} \\
&\text{ALLINTRO} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau \quad \alpha \notin \mathcal{FV}(\Gamma)}{\Gamma \vdash e : \forall \alpha. \tau} \quad \text{ALLELIM} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \forall \alpha. \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau_1[\alpha/\tau_2]} \quad \text{INT} \quad \frac{n \in \mathbb{Z}}{\Gamma \vdash n : \mathbf{int}} \\
&\text{ADD} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \mathbf{int} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \mathbf{int}}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 + e_2 : \mathbf{int}}
\end{aligned}$$

**Logical relation:**

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{V}^\rho(\alpha) &\triangleq \rho(\alpha) \\
\mathcal{V}^\rho(\mathbf{int}) &\triangleq \mathbb{Z} \\
\mathcal{V}^\rho(\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2) &\triangleq \{v \mid \forall v_1 \in \mathcal{V}^\rho(\tau_1). (v v_1) \in \mathcal{E}^\rho(\tau_2)\} \\
\mathcal{V}^\rho(\forall \alpha. \tau) &\triangleq \{v \mid \forall A. v \in \mathcal{V}^{\rho[\alpha \leftarrow A]}(\tau)\} \\
\mathcal{E}^\rho(\tau) &\triangleq \{e \mid \text{Safe}(e) \wedge \forall v. e \longrightarrow^* v \implies v \in \mathcal{V}^\rho(\tau)\} \\
\mathcal{G}^\rho(\Gamma) &\triangleq \{\gamma \mid \forall (x : \tau) \in \Gamma. \gamma(x) \in \mathcal{V}^\rho(\tau)\} \\
\Gamma \models e : \tau &\triangleq \forall \rho. \forall \gamma \in \mathcal{G}^\rho(\Gamma). \gamma(e) \in \mathcal{E}^\rho(\tau)
\end{aligned}$$

Figure 1: Definitions