

# Homework Set 2 - Practical Skills and Concurrency

Yiming Liu 2023010747

## Problem 1

Answer:

- (1) `/^[\w.-]+@(mails?\.)?tsinghua\.edu\.cn$/`
- (2) `/^[\w.-]+@(\w+\.)?tsinghua\.(edu|org)\.cn$/`
- (3) `/^[\w.-]+@(!mails?)(\w+)\.tsinghua\.edu\.cn$/`
- (4) `sort departments.txt | uniq | wc -l`

## Problem 2

Answer:

Scenario 1: After  $A$  creates a `newNode(A)` it switch to  $B$ , then  $B$  creates its own `newNode(B)`.  $B$  sets `tail` to `newNode(B)` and links `oldTail.next` to its node.

After  $B$  finishes,  $A$  sets `oldTail = tail`, which means that  $A$ 's `oldTail` is `newNode(B)`. Then  $A$  links `oldTail.next` to its `newNode(A)`. In this way, `head` points to `newNode(B)` and `newNode(B)` points to `newNode(A)`. So there's no problem.

Scenario 2: After  $A$  sets `oldTail = tail`, it switch to  $B$ . Then  $B$  creates its own `newNode(B)`.  $B$  sets `tail` to `newNode(B)` and links `oldTail.next` to its node.

Back to  $A$ , `oldTail` now is  $B$ 's `oldTail`.  $A$  links `oldTail.next` to its `newNode(A)`. In this way, `newNode(A)` replace `newNode(B)` and `newNode(B)` is lost.

Scenario 3: After  $A$  sets `tail = newNode(A)` it switch to  $B$ , then  $B$  creates its own `newNode(B)`.  $B$  sets `oldTail` to be `tail` which is `newNode(A)`. Then  $B$  sets `tail` to `newNode(B)` and links `oldTail.next` to its node. So `newNode(A)` points to `newNode(B)`.

After  $B$  finishes,  $A$  sets `oldTail.next = newNode(A)`. This `oldTail` is  $A$ 's `oldTail` so it's head. So `head` points to `newNode(A)` and `newNode(A)` points to `newNode(B)`. Hence there's no problem.

## Problem 3

(1) No need to change the code. Because `lock.Acquire()` ensures that only one thread can modify the queue at a time (mutual exclusion).

(2)

```
1  Lock lock;
2  Condition dataready;
3  Condition notFull;
4  int max_size;
5  Queue queue;
6  AddToQueue(item) {
7      lock.Acquire(); // Get Lock
8      while (queue.size() == max_size) {
9          notFull.wait(&lock);
```

```

10  }
11  queue.enqueue(item); // Add item
12  dataready.signal(); // Signal any waiters
13  lock.Release(); // Release Lock
14  }
15  RemoveFromQueue() {
16      lock.Acquire(); // Get Lock
17      while (queue.isEmpty()) {
18          dataready.wait(&lock); // If nothing, sleep
19      }
20      item = queue.dequeue(); // Get next item
21      notFull.signal();
22      lock.Release(); // Release Lock
23      return(item);
24  }

```

(3)

```

1  ReadFromQueue() {
2      lock.Acquire(); // Get Lock
3      while (queue.isEmpty()) {
4          dataready.wait(&lock); // If nothing, sleep
5      }
6      item = queue.read();
7      lock.Release(); // Release Lock
8      return(item);
9  }

```

## Problem 4

```

1  Semaphore lock = 1;
2  int owner = -1;
3  void RLock() {
4      int cur_id = getMyTID();
5      if (owner != cur_id) {
6          lock.P();
7          owner = cur_id;
8      }
9  }
10 void RUnLock() {
11     int cur_id = getMyTID();
12     if (owner == cur_id) {
13         owner = -1;
14         lock.V();
15     }
16 }

```

## Problem 5

(1)

```
1  Semaphore barberReady = 0;
2  Semaphore accessWaitRoomSeats = 1;
3  Semaphore customerReady = 0;
4  int numberOfFreeWaitRoomSeats = N;
5  void Barber () {
6      while (true) {
7          customerReady.P();
8          accessWaitRoomSeats.P();
9          numberOfFreeWaitRoomSeats += 1;
10         accessWaitRoomSeats.V();
11         cutHair(); // Cut customer's hair
12         barberReady.V();
13     }
14 }
15 void Customer () {
16     accessWaitRoomSeats.P();
17     if (numberOfFreeWaitRoomSeats > 0) {
18         numberOfFreeWRSeats -= 1;
19         customerReady.V();
20         accessWaitRoomSeats.V();
21         barberReady.P();
22         getHairCut(); // Customer gets haircut
23     } else {
24         accessWaitRoomSeats.V();
25         leaveWithoutHaircut(); // No haircut
26     }
27 }
```

(2) `accessWaitRoomSeats` is used for mutex, and `customerReady` and `barberReady` are used for scheduling constraints.

(3) Customer  $A_0$  is having haircut when customer  $B$  arrives and has many hair.  $B$  starts waiting, but every time  $A_i$  nearly finishes,  $A_{i+1}$  arrives and  $A_{i+1}$  has no hair at all. Thus,  $B$  will wait forever.

Deadlock will not happen because the barber will always be able to cut hair for the next customer.

The starvation will happen with less probability if the barber randomly selects a customer to cut hair.

We can arrange the order in the waiting room to avoid starvation. In other words, FIFO (First In, First Out) queueing discipline would be effective. Which means barber always pick the one who come earliest.