

# Mathematics for Computer Science:

## Homework 10

Yiming Liu  
2023010747

### Problem 1

Answer:

**a.**

We use the fact that  $\langle x_i, x_j \rangle_\pi = \delta_{ij}$ , which means that in the inner product space  $(R^\Omega, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\pi)$ ,  $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{|\Omega|}$  is an orthonormal basis. Then we assume  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i f_i$ . Since  $\langle f, f_1 \rangle_\pi = 0$ , whence  $a_1 = 0$ ,  $f = \sum_{i=2}^n a_i f_i$ . Since  $\langle f, f \rangle_\pi = 1$ , we have  $\sum_{i=2}^n a_i^2 = 1$ .

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle Lf, f \rangle_\pi &= \sum_{x \in \Omega} \pi(x) (Lf)(x) f(x) \\&= \sum_{x \in \Omega} \pi(x) ((I - P)f)(x) f(x) \\&= \sum_{x \in \Omega} \pi(x) f(x) f(x) - \sum_{x \in \Omega} \pi(x) (Pf)(x) f(x) \\&= \langle f, f \rangle_\pi - \sum_{x \in \Omega} \pi(x) \left( \sum_{i=2}^n a_i \lambda_i f_i(x) \right) \left( \sum_{j=2}^n a_j f_j(x) \right) \\&= \langle f, f \rangle_\pi - \sum_{i=2}^n a_i^2 \lambda_i \langle f_i, f_i \rangle_\pi \\&= 1 - \sum_{i=2}^n a_i^2 \lambda_i \\&\geq 1 - \lambda_2 \sum_{i=2}^n a_i^2 \\&= 1 - \lambda_2.\end{aligned}$$

Because  $\lambda_1 = 1$ , so  $\langle Lf, f \rangle_\pi \geq 1 - \lambda_2 = \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = \gamma$ .

**b.**

Similar to a, except we don't have  $\langle f, f \rangle_\pi = 1$ . We still assume  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i f_i$ . Since  $\langle f, f_1 \rangle_\pi = 0$ , whence  $a_1 = 0$ ,  $f = \sum_{i=2}^n a_i f_i$ . The difference is that now we have  $\sum_{i=2}^n a_i^2 = \langle f, f \rangle_\pi$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle Lf, f \rangle_\pi &= \sum_{x \in \Omega} \pi(x) (Lf)(x) f(x) \\
&= \sum_{x \in \Omega} \pi(x) ((I - P)f)(x) f(x) \\
&= \sum_{x \in \Omega} \pi(x) f(x) f(x) - \sum_{x \in \Omega} \pi(x) (Pf)(x) f(x) \\
&= \langle f, f \rangle_\pi - \sum_{x \in \Omega} \pi(x) \left( \sum_{i=2}^n a_i \lambda_i f_i(x) \right) \left( \sum_{j=2}^n a_j f_j(x) \right) \\
&= \langle f, f \rangle_\pi - \sum_{i=2}^n a_i^2 \lambda_i \langle f_i, f_i \rangle_\pi \\
&= \langle f, f \rangle_\pi - \sum_{i=2}^n a_i^2 \lambda_i \\
&\geq \langle f, f \rangle_\pi - \lambda_2 \sum_{i=2}^n a_i^2 \\
&= \langle f, f \rangle_\pi (1 - \lambda_2).
\end{aligned}$$

And if we let  $a_1 = a_3 = a_4 = \dots = a_n = 0$  and  $a_2 = \sqrt{\langle f, f \rangle_\pi}$ , the equality is reached and we have  $\frac{\langle Lf, f \rangle_\pi}{\langle f, f \rangle_\pi} = 1 - \lambda_2 = \gamma$ .

Thus,  $\gamma = \min_{f \in R^n, \langle f, f_1 \rangle_\pi = 0, \langle f, f \rangle_\pi > 0} \frac{\langle Lf, f \rangle_\pi}{\langle f, f \rangle_\pi}$ .

**c.**

Use  $\pi(x)P(x, y) = \pi(y)P(y, x)$  we can know that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{x \in \Omega} \pi(x) f(x)^2 &= \sum_{x \in \Omega} \pi(x) \sum_{y \in \Omega} P(x, y) f(x)^2 \\
&= \sum_{x, y \in \Omega} \pi(x) P(x, y) f(x)^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x, y \in \Omega} (\pi(x) P(x, y) f(x)^2 + \pi(y) P(y, x) f(y)^2) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x, y \in \Omega} (\pi(x) P(x, y) (f(x)^2 + f(y)^2))
\end{aligned}$$

Also notice that  $(Pf)(x) = \sum_{y \in \Omega} P(x, y) f(y)$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle Lf, f \rangle_\pi &= \sum_{x \in \Omega} \pi(x) (Lf)(x) f(x) \\
&= \sum_{x \in \Omega} \pi(x) ((I - P)f)(x) f(x) \\
&= \sum_{x \in \Omega} \pi(x) f(x) f(x) - \sum_{x \in \Omega} \pi(x) (Pf)(x) f(x) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x, y \in \Omega} (\pi(x) P(x, y) (f(x)^2 + f(y)^2)) - \sum_{x \in \Omega} \pi(x) \sum_{y \in \Omega} P(x, y) f(y) f(x) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x, y \in \Omega} (\pi(x) P(x, y) (f(x) - f(y))^2).
\end{aligned}$$

For the second equality, we can calculate the right side,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{RHS} &= \sum_{x,y \in \Omega} \pi(x)\pi(y)f(x)f(y) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x,y \in \Omega} \pi(x)\pi(y)(f(x)f(x) + f(y)f(y) - 2f(x)f(y)) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x,y \in \Omega} \pi(x)\pi(y)(f(x)f(x) + f(y)f(y)) \\
&= \sum_{x,y \in \Omega} \pi(x)\pi(y)f(x)f(x) \\
&= \sum_{x \in \Omega} \pi(x)f(x)^2 \sum_{y \in \Omega} \pi(y) \\
&= \sum_{x \in \Omega} \pi(x)f(x)^2 \\
&= \text{LHS}.
\end{aligned}$$

d.

$$\langle f_S, f_S \rangle_\pi = \sum_{x \in \Omega} \pi(x)f_S(x)f_S(x) = \sum_{x \in S} \pi(x)\pi(S^C)^2 + \sum_{x \in S^C} \pi(x)\pi(S)^2 = \pi(S)\pi(S^C).$$

$$\langle Lf_S, f_S \rangle_\pi = \sum_{x \in \Omega} \pi(x)(Lf_S)(x)f_S(x) = \langle f_S, f_S \rangle_\pi - \sum_{x \in \Omega} \pi(x) \sum_{y \in \Omega} P(x,y)f_S(y)f_S(x).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{x \in \Omega} \pi(x) \sum_{y \in \Omega} P(x,y)f_S(y)f_S(x) &= \sum_{x \in S, y \in S} \pi(x)P(x,y)(-\pi(S^C))(-\pi(S^C)) + \sum_{x \in S^C, y \in S^C} \pi(x)P(x,y)\pi(S)\pi(S) \\
&\quad + \sum_{x \in S, y \in S^C} \pi(x)P(x,y)(-\pi(S^C))\pi(S) + \sum_{x \in S^C, y \in S} \pi(x)P(x,y)\pi(S)(-\pi(S^C)) \\
&= \pi(S^C)^2 \sum_{x \in S, y \in S} \pi(x)P(x,y) + \pi(S)^2 \sum_{x \in S^C, y \in S^C} \pi(x)P(x,y) \\
&\quad - 2\pi(S)\pi(S^C) \sum_{x \in S, y \in S^C} \pi(x)P(x,y) \\
&= \pi(S^C)^2 \sum_{x \in S} \pi(x) \left( 1 - \sum_{y \in S^C} P(x,y) \right) + \pi(S)^2 \sum_{x \in S^C} \pi(x) \left( 1 - \sum_{y \in S^C} P(x,y) \right) \\
&\quad - 2\pi(S)\pi(S^C) \sum_{x \in S, y \in S^C} \pi(x)P(x,y) \\
&= \pi(S^C)^2 \pi(S) + \pi(S)^2 \pi(S^C) - (\pi(S) + \pi(S^C))^2 \sum_{x \in S, y \in S^C} \pi(x)P(x,y) \\
&= \pi(S)\pi(S^C) - \sum_{x \in S, y \in S^C} \pi(x)P(x,y).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\langle Lf_S, f_S \rangle_\pi = \sum_{x \in S, y \in S^C} \pi(x)P(x,y)$ . And we can know that

$$\frac{\langle Lf_S, f_S \rangle_\pi}{\langle f_S, f_S \rangle_\pi} = \frac{\sum_{x \in S, y \in S^C} \pi(x)P(x,y)}{\pi(S)\pi(S^C)} = \frac{Q(S, S^C)}{\pi(S)\pi(S^C)}.$$

e.

We can verify that

$$\langle f_S, f_1 \rangle_\pi = \sum_{x \in \Omega} \pi(x) f_S(x) f_1(x) = \sum_{x \in S} \pi(x) (-\pi(S^C)) + \sum_{x \in S^C} \pi(x) \pi(S) = 0,$$

and

$$\langle f_S, f_S \rangle_\pi = \pi(S) \pi(S^C) (\pi(S^C) + \pi(S)) > 0.$$

Thus from  $b$  and  $d$  we can deduce that  $\gamma \leq \frac{\langle Lf_S, f_S \rangle_\pi}{\langle f_S, f_S \rangle_\pi} = \frac{Q(S, S^C)}{\pi(S) \pi(S^C)} \leq \frac{2Q(S, S^C)}{\min(\pi(S), \pi(S^C))} = 2\Phi_*$ .

## Exercise 4.25

Answer:

(1) We denote the probability of reaching vertex 1 before vertex 5 when starting at vertex  $i$  is  $p_i$ . Then we know that:  $p_1 = 1, p_5 = 0$ . And we can also get a recursive formula:  $p_i = \frac{1}{2}p_{i-1} + \frac{1}{2}p_{i+1}$  for  $i = 2, 3, 4$ .

Thus  $p_2 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{p_3}{2}, p_3 = \frac{p_2}{2} + \frac{p_4}{2}, p_4 = \frac{p_3}{2}$ . Solve these equations we can get  $p_2 = \frac{3}{4}, p_3 = \frac{1}{2}, p_4 = \frac{1}{4}$ .

Thus, the probability of reaching vertex 1 before vertex 5 when starting at vertex 4 is  $\frac{1}{4}$ .

(2) We denote the probability of reaching vertex 1 before vertex 5 when starting at vertex  $i$  is  $p_i$ . Then we know that:  $p_1 = 1, p_5 = 0$ . And we can also get some equations:

$$p_2 = \frac{1}{4}p_1 + \frac{1}{4}p_3 + \frac{1}{4}p_4 + \frac{1}{4}p_6,$$

$$p_3 = \frac{1}{4}p_1 + \frac{1}{4}p_2 + \frac{1}{4}p_4 + \frac{1}{4}p_6,$$

$$p_4 = \frac{1}{4}p_2 + \frac{1}{4}p_3 + \frac{1}{4}p_5 + \frac{1}{4}p_6,$$

$$p_6 = \frac{1}{4}p_2 + \frac{1}{4}p_3 + \frac{1}{4}p_4 + \frac{1}{4}p_5.$$

Solve these equations and we can get  $p_2 = p_3 = \frac{3}{5}, p_4 = p_6 = \frac{2}{5}$ .

Thus, the probability of reaching vertex 1 before vertex 5 when starting at vertex 4 is  $\frac{2}{5}$ .