

Machine Learning HW5

b06502152, 許書銓

Hard-Margin SVM and Large Margin

1. The answer should be (d).

After a polynomial transform we can rewrite the three examples as,

$$(z_1, y_1) = (1, -2, 4, -1)$$

$$(z_2, y_2) = (1, 0, 0, 1)$$

$$(z_3, y_3) = (1, 2, 4, -1)$$

From the lecture note, we know that standard SVM must fulfill that $\forall n \in [1, n], y_n(w^T x_n + b) = 1$. Hence, we can write the equations,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} -w_1 + 2w_2 - 4w_3 - b = -1 & (1.0) \\ w_1 + b = 1 & (1.1) \\ -w_1 - 2w_2 - 4w_3 - b = -1 & (1.2) \end{array} \right.$$

By doing elementary operations, we can get that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} w_1 + b = 1 & (1.3) \\ w_2 = 0 & (1.4) \\ w_3 = -\frac{1}{2} & (1.5) \end{array} \right.$$

From equation (1.3), we can see that we cannot compute the exact w_1 from equations (1.0) ~ (1.2). However, we can constrain w_1 as equation (1.3). In order to compute the optimal w^* , which our goal is to minimize $\frac{1}{2}||w^2||$, we can pick $w_1 = 0$ to have the optimal $w^* = (0, 0, -1/2)$.

2. The answer should be (b).

From the previous problem, we have selected our optimal w^* . Now we would like to know the optimal margin when $w = w^* \wedge b = b^*$. From the equation (2.0) in the lecture note,

$$\text{margin} = \frac{1}{\|w\|} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}} = 2 \quad (2.0)$$

3. The answer should be (e).

From the concept of hard margin SVM, we try to find the optimal hyperplane, which can separate all points and its label. Now, we have a 1D data from x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N , which fulfill that

$x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_M \leq x_{M+1} \leq \dots \leq x_N$. Moreover, from the problem, we know that all labels from x_1 to x_M are -1 , and all labels from x_{M+1} to x_N are 1 . Hence, the best point, which can separate all labels is between x_M and x_{M+1} .

The margin in the scenario is in the middle of the points x_M and x_{M+1} , which means $\frac{1}{2}(x_{M+1} - x_M)$.

4. The answer should be (a).

The expected value of dichotomy is the possibility times the dichotomies. Here, we can divide the problem into two possible conditions.

- $(-, -), (+, +)$

In this condition, we can always generate these two dichotomies by setting the $h(x) = \lambda$, which $\lambda < 0$ or $\lambda > 1$. If $\lambda < 0$, we will always generate $(+, +)$ condition. On the other hand, if $\lambda > 1$, we will always generate $(-, -)$ condition.

Hence, in this case the expected value will yield $\mathbb{E} = 2 * 1 = 2$.

- $(-, +), (+, -)$

In this condition, we would like to find two points which fulfill that

$$|x_1 - h(x)| > \rho \wedge |x_2 - h(x)| > \rho \quad (4.0)$$

Hence, the possibility of the condition will be $(1 - 2\rho)^2$. Since, if we randomly pick a hypothesis $h(x) = \lambda$, $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, then each point must be selected outside the interval $[\lambda - \rho, \lambda + \rho]$. The possibility of the condition then will be $(1 - 2\rho)^2$. Hence, the expected value $\mathbb{E} = 2 * (1 - 2\rho)^2$.

To sum up, the expected value of the dichotomies will be $\mathbb{E} = 2 + 2 * (1 - 2\rho)^2$.

Dual Problem of Quadratic Programming

5. The answer should be (c).

From the lecture note, we can rewrite the problem

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{b, \mathbf{w}} \quad & \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w} \\ \text{subject to} \quad & y_n (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_n + b) \geq \rho_+ \quad \text{for } n \text{ such that } y_n = +1 \\ & y_n (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_n + b) \geq \rho_- \quad \text{for } n \text{ such that } y_n = -1 \end{aligned}$$

into

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(b, \mathbf{w}, \alpha) = \\ \max_{\text{all } \alpha \geq 0, \Sigma y_n \alpha_n = 0} \left(\min_{b, \mathbf{w}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w} + \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \Sigma_{n=1}^N \alpha_n [|y_n = +1|] (\rho_+ - y_n (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_n + b)) + \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \Sigma_{n=1}^N \alpha_n [|y_n = -1|] (\rho_- - y_n (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_n + b)) \right) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (5.0)$$

At optimal $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(b, \mathbf{w}, \alpha)}{\partial b}$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(b, \mathbf{w}, \alpha)}{\partial b} &= 0 \\ &= \Sigma_{n=1}^N \alpha_n [|y_n = +1|] y_n + \Sigma_{n=1}^N \alpha_n [|y_n = -1|] y_n \\ &= \Sigma_{n=1}^N \alpha_n y_n \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

Hence, the equation (5.0) may rewrite as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(b, \mathbf{w}, \alpha) = \\ \max_{\text{all } \alpha \geq 0, \Sigma y_n \alpha_n = 0} \left(\min_{b, \mathbf{w}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w} + \Sigma_{n=1}^N \alpha_n [|y_n = +1|] (\rho_+ - y_n (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_n)) + \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \Sigma_{n=1}^N \alpha_n [|y_n = -1|] (\rho_- - y_n (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_n)) \right) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

At optimal $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(b, \mathbf{w}, \alpha)}{\partial w_i}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(b, \mathbf{w}, \alpha)}{\partial w_i} &= 0 \\
&= \mathbf{w} - \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n [|y_n = +1|] y_n x_n + \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n [|y_n = -1|] y_n x_n \\
&= \mathbf{w} - \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n y_n x_{n,i}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Hence, the equation (5.1) can rewrite as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}(b, \mathbf{w}, \alpha) &= \max_{\text{all } \alpha \geq 0, \sum y_n \alpha_n = 0, \mathbf{w} = \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n y_n x_{n,i}} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w} + \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n [|y_n = +1|] \rho_+ + \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n [|y_n = -1|] \rho_- \right) \tag{5.4}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \min_{\text{all } \alpha \geq 0, \sum y_n \alpha_n = 0, \mathbf{w} = \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n y_n x_{n,i}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w} - \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n [|y_n = +1|] \rho_+ - \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n [|y_n = -1|] \rho_- \right) \tag{5.4}$$

6. The answer should be (e).

From complementary slackness in lecture 202, we know the support vectors fulfill the condition,

$$\text{if } y_n = 1, \quad 1 - \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{z}_n - b = 0 \tag{6.0}$$

$$\text{if } y_n = -1, \quad 1 + \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{z}_n + b = 0 \tag{6.1}$$

for tht all support vectors's $\alpha > 0$.

To consider that ρ_+ and ρ_- may not be the same, we have to write the complementary slackness for this condition.

$$\text{if } y_n = 1, \quad \alpha_n (\rho_+ - \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{z}_n - b) = 0 \tag{6.2}$$

$$\text{if } y_n = -1, \quad \alpha_n (\rho_- + \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{z}_n + b) = 0 \tag{6.3}$$

Consider support vectors, whose $\alpha > 0$; hence,

$$\text{if } y_n = 1, \quad \rho_+ - \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{z}_n - b = 0 \tag{6.4}$$

$$\text{if } y_n = -1, \quad \rho_- + \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{z}_n + b = 0 \tag{6.5}$$

If we try to add equation (6.0) and (6.1), we will have

$$2 = \mathbf{w}_{\alpha^*}^T (\mathbf{z}_n - \mathbf{z}_m), \quad \mathbf{z}_n \text{ for } y_n = 1 \text{ and } \mathbf{z}_m \text{ for } y_n = -1 \tag{6.6}$$

$$(\mathbf{z}_n - \mathbf{z}_m) = \frac{2}{\mathbf{w}_{\alpha^*}^T} \tag{6.7}$$

Now, we do the same steps for the consition that ρ_+ and ρ_- may not be the same. Summing up equation (6.4) and (6.5), we will get

$$\rho_+ + \rho_- = \mathbf{w}_{\alpha^*}^T (\mathbf{z}_n - \mathbf{z}_m), \quad \mathbf{z}_n \text{ for } y_n = 1 \text{ and } \mathbf{z}_m \text{ for } y_n = -1 \tag{6.8}$$

From equation (6.7), equation (6.8) can be rewritten as

$$(\rho_+ + \rho_-) \mathbf{w}_{\alpha^*}^T = 2 \mathbf{w}_{\alpha}^T \quad (6.9)$$

Since $\mathbf{w} = \sum y_n \alpha_n z_n$, and y_n and z_n is from the data itself, which will not change with different hypothesis. Thus, the only term that will affect \mathbf{w} is α term. The equation (6.9) can further be rewritten as

$$(\rho_+ + \rho_-) \alpha^* = 2\alpha \quad (6.10)$$

$$\alpha = \frac{\rho_+ + \rho_-}{2} \alpha^* \quad (6.11)$$

Properties of Kernels

7. The answer should be (d).

From the lecture note, the necessary condition for a valid kernel function is that the function $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$ must always that the matrix K be positive semi-finite. Hence, we should check each option's validity by checking whether it is a positive semi-finite K .

Moreover, from the problem itself, we all know $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$ will lead matrix K to a positive semi-finite matrix, which implies that

$$\mathbf{y}^T K \mathbf{y} \geq 0 \quad (7.0)$$

Furthermore, a positive semi-finite matrix must satisfy that the k th **leading principal minor** of a matrix K is the **determinant** of its upper-left $k \times k$ sub-matrix. It turns out that a matrix is positive semi-definite if and only if all these determinants are non-negative.

(d) Since the element of $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$ is $\in [0, 2)$, if the element in the diagonal in $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$ is $\frac{1}{2}$. Then, it will lead the element in the kernel of $\log_2 K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$ to be $\log_2(1/2) = -1$, which is a negative element, violating the definition of positive semi-finite kernel.

construct the matrix K from the kernel $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.25 \\ 0.25 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (7.1)$$

Hence, the matrix K' from the kernel $\log_2 K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$ will be

$$K' = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ -2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (7.2)$$

It is obvious see that K' is not positive semi-finite, since its determinant is less than 0.

8. The answer should be (c).

From the lecture note, we realize that the distance between two examples in \mathcal{Z} domain will be $\|\phi(x) - \phi(x')\|^2$,

$$\|\phi(x) - \phi(x')\|^2 = \phi(x)^T \phi(x) - 2\phi(x)^T \phi(x') + \phi(x')^T \phi(x') \quad (8.0)$$

Furthermore, knrenl function gives us

$$\phi(x)^T \phi(x) = \exp(-\gamma \|x - x\|^2) = \exp(0) = 1 \quad (8.1)$$

which implies that the first and thir term in equation (8.0) will be 1. Hence, we can write the equation (8.0) into

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi(x) - \phi(x')\|^2 &= 2 - 2\phi(x)^T \phi(x') \\ &\leq 2 - 0 = 2, \end{aligned} \quad (8.2)$$

9. The answer should be (d).

From the probelm itself, our hypothesis will be

$$h_{1,0}(\mathbf{x}) = \hat{h}(\mathbf{x}) = \text{sign} \left(\sum_{n=1}^N y_n \exp(-\gamma \|\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}\|^2) \right) \quad (9.0)$$

Now, to make $E_{in}(\hat{h}) = 0$,

$$E_{in}(\hat{h}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \forall y_k, k \in [1, N], \text{ s. t. } \text{sign}(y_k) = \text{sign} \left(\sum_{n=1}^N y_n \exp(-\gamma \|\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}_k\|^2) \right) \quad (9.1)$$

From the equation (9.1), the condition may rewrite as,

$$y_k (\sum_{n=1}^N y_n \exp(-\gamma \|\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}_k\|^2)) > 0 \quad (9.2)$$

$$y_k (\sum_{n=1}^N ([y_k \neq y_n]) y_n \exp(-\gamma \|\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}_k\|^2) + y_k) > 0 \quad (9.3)$$

$$y_k \sum_{n=1}^N ([y_k \neq y_n]) y_n \exp(-\gamma \|\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}_k\|^2) > -1 \quad (9.4)$$

Since $y_k \sum_{n=1}^N ([y_k \neq y_n]) y_n$ is a negative term, the equation (9.4) can rewrite as,

$$\exp(-\gamma \|\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}_k\|^2) < - \frac{1}{y_k \sum_{n=1}^N ([y_k \neq y_n]) y_n} \quad (9.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} -\gamma \|\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}_k\|^2 &< \ln\left(-\frac{1}{y_k \sum_{n=1}^N ([y_k \neq y_n]) y_n}\right) \\ &= \ln(y_k \sum_{n=1}^N ([y_k \neq y_n]) y_n) \end{aligned} \quad (9.6)$$

$$\gamma > - \frac{\ln(y_k \sum_{n=1}^N ([y_k \neq y_n]) y_n)}{\|\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}_k\|^2} \quad (9.7)$$

Since $\|\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}_k\| \geq \epsilon$, the equation (9.7) can rewrite as,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &> - \frac{\ln(y_k \sum_{n=1}^N ([y_k \neq y_n]) y_n)}{\|\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}_k\|^2} \\ &> - \frac{\ln(y_k \sum_{n=1}^N ([y_k \neq y_n]) y_n)}{\epsilon^2} \end{aligned} \quad (9.8)$$

To obtain the tightest lowerbond of γ , if all $\text{sign}(y_n) \neq \text{sign}(y_k), \forall y_k \neq y_n$ will lead the equation (9.8) to

$$\gamma > \frac{\ln(N-1)}{\epsilon^2} \quad (9.9)$$

Kernel Perceptron Learning Algorithm

10. The answer should be (c).

From the lecture note in Perceptron Learning Algorithm, we updates \mathbf{w}_t to \mathbf{w}_{t+1} by the equation (10.0)

$$\mathbf{w}_{t+1} \leftarrow \mathbf{w}_t + y_{n(t)} \phi(\mathbf{x}_n) \quad (10.0)$$

Since every update is based on the previous example, if we take $\mathbf{w} = 0$, we can represent every \mathbf{w}_t as a linear combination of $\{\phi(\mathbf{x}_n)\}_{n=1}^N$.

$$\mathbf{w}_t = \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_{t,n} \phi(\mathbf{x}_n) \quad (10.1)$$

As we focus on \mathbf{w}_{t+1} , the equation (10.1) can be rewritten as,

$$\mathbf{w}_{t+1} = \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_{t+1,n} \phi(\mathbf{x}_n) \quad (10.2)$$

By using relationship in the equation (10.1) in the equation (10.0), the equation (10.0) can be rewritten as,

$$\mathbf{w}_{t+1} \leftarrow \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_{t,n} \phi(\mathbf{x}_n) + y_{n(t)} \phi(\mathbf{x}_n) \quad (10.3)$$

Hence, by comparing equation (10.2) and equation (10.3), it is obvious that α will be updated as,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{t+1} &\leftarrow \alpha_t \\ \text{except } \alpha_{t+1,n(t)} &\leftarrow \alpha_{t,n(t)} + y_n(t) \end{aligned} \quad (10.4)$$

11. The answer should be (a).

In the previous problem (10), we realized the equation (10.1),

$$\mathbf{w}_t = \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_{t,n} \phi(\mathbf{x}_n) \quad (10.1)$$

Now we would like to compute

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{w}_t^T \phi(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{n=1}^T \alpha_{t,n} \phi(x_n)^T \phi(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^T \alpha_{t,n} K(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}) \end{aligned} \quad (10.2)$$

Soft-Margin SVM

12. The answer should be (b).

From complementary slackness properties,

$$\alpha_n(1 - \xi_n - y_n(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{z}_n + b)) = 0 \quad (12.0)$$

$$(C - \alpha_n)\xi_n = 0 \quad (12.1)$$

From problem itself, all $\alpha_n^* = C$. Hence, from equation (12.0),

$$1 - \xi_n - y_n(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{z}_n + b) = 0 \quad (12.2)$$

$$\xi \geq 0 \quad (12.3)$$

Using the result in equation (12.3), and rewrite the equation (12.2).

$$1 - y_n(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{z}_n + b) \geq 0 \quad (12.4)$$

Here, i would like to divided the case into $y_n > 0$, or $y_n < 0$.

- Case of $y_n > 0$

We times y_n , which $y_n > 0$ both side of equation (12.4)

$$y_n - (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{z}_n + b) \geq 0 \quad (12.5)$$

Moreover, all bounded SVs must be satisfied the above equation (12.5)

$$b \leq y_n - \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{z}_n \quad (12.6)$$

$$= 1 - y_n \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{z}_n \quad (12.7)$$

$$= 1 - \sum_{m=1}^N y_m \alpha_m K(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_m) \quad (12.8)$$

Now, compareing which min or averagewould lead to the largest, from the equation (12.7), the second term would be smaller when we choose the smaller y_n . Hence, min one would lead the second term smaller and make the overall b^* larger. Thus, the upper bound of b would be

$$b = b^* = 1 - \sum_{m=1}^N y_m \alpha_m K(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_m) \quad (12.8)$$

- Case of $y_n < 0$

We times y_n , which $y_n < 0$ both side of equation (12.4)

$$y_n - (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{z}_n + b) \leq 0 \quad (12.9)$$

Moreover, all bounded SVs must be satisfied the above equation (12.9)

$$b \geq y_n - \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{z}_n \quad (12.10)$$

$$= 1 - y_n \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{z}_n \quad (12.11)$$

$$= 1 - \sum_{m=1}^N y_m \alpha_m K(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_m) \quad (12.12)$$

Hence, it shows that the lower bound of b would be equation (12.12).

13. The answer should be (e).

From the problem, (P_2) is rewritten as,

$$\begin{aligned} (P_2) \quad & \min \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w} + C \sum_{n=1}^N \xi_n^2 \\ & \text{subject to} \quad y_n (\mathbf{w} \phi(\mathbf{x}_n) + b) \geq 1 - \xi_n, \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots, N \end{aligned} \quad (13.0)$$

Our goal is to make P_2 look like primal SVM form as,

$$\begin{aligned} (P_0) \quad & \min \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w} \\ & \text{subject to} \quad y_n (\mathbf{w} \phi(\mathbf{x}_n) + b) \geq 1, \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots, N \end{aligned} \quad (13.1)$$

Hence, we try to write ξ in w , which means to let $\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w} + C \sum_{n=1}^N \xi_n^2 = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\mathbf{w}}^T \tilde{\mathbf{w}}$.

$$\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w} + C \sum_{n=1}^N \xi_n^2 = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\mathbf{w}}^T \tilde{\mathbf{w}} \quad (13.2)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w} + 2C \sum_{n=1}^N \xi_n^2) = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\mathbf{w}}^T \tilde{\mathbf{w}} \quad (13.3)$$

From the equation (13.3), we know that $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}$ must be,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{w}} = \begin{bmatrix} w \\ \sqrt{2C}\xi_1 \\ \sqrt{2C}\xi_2 \\ \dots \\ \sqrt{2C}\xi_n \end{bmatrix} \quad (13.4)$$

Furthermore, the constrain can be written in

$$\begin{aligned} y_n(\mathbf{w}\phi(\mathbf{x}_n) + b) &\geq 1 - \xi_n \\ \Leftrightarrow y_n(\mathbf{w}\phi(\mathbf{x}_n) + b) + \frac{1}{y_n}\xi_n &\geq 1 \\ \Leftrightarrow y_n(\mathbf{w}\phi(\mathbf{x}_n) + b + y_n\xi_n) &\geq 1 \\ \Leftrightarrow y_n(\mathbf{w}\phi(\mathbf{x}_n) + b + (\sqrt{2C}\xi_n)(\frac{y_n}{\sqrt{2C}})) &\geq 1 \end{aligned} \quad (13.5)$$

From the equation (13.3), we know that $\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x})$ must be,

$$\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \dots \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2C}}y_n \\ \dots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (13.6)$$

which means, only when $n = m$ term will have a value $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2C}y_n}$.

Hence, from the lecture note in (201 & 202 & 204), in primal SVM, we can rewrite the equation (P_2) to

$$\begin{aligned} (P_0) \quad \min \quad & \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\mathbf{w}}^T \tilde{\mathbf{w}} \\ \text{subject to} \quad & y_n(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_n) + b) \geq 1, \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots, N \end{aligned} \quad (13.1)$$

\Leftrightarrow

$$\begin{aligned} (P_0) \quad \min \quad & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{m=1}^N \alpha_n \alpha_m y_n y_m \tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_n)^T \tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_m) - \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n \\ \text{subject to} \quad & \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \alpha_n = 0 \\ & \alpha_n \geq 0, \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots, N \end{aligned} \quad (13.7)$$

which

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x})^T \tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) &= \phi(\mathbf{x})^T \phi(\mathbf{x}) + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2C}}\right)^2 [|n = m|] \\
&= K(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_m) + \frac{1}{2C} [|n = m|]
\end{aligned} \tag{13.8}$$

14. The answer should be (e).

From the form of P_2 ,

$$\begin{aligned}
(P_2) \quad & \min \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w} + C \sum_{n=1}^N \xi_n^2 \\
& \text{subject to} \quad y_n(\mathbf{w} \phi(\mathbf{x}_n) + b) \geq 1 - \xi_n, \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots, N
\end{aligned} \tag{13.0}$$

we can hide the constrain into

$$(P_2) \quad \mathcal{L} = \max_{\alpha_n \geq 0} \left(\min \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w} + C \sum_{n=1}^N \xi_n^2 + \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n (1 - \xi_n - y_n(\mathbf{w}^T \phi(\mathbf{x}) + b)) \right) \tag{14.0}$$

To find the optimal solution,

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \xi_n} = 0 = 2C\xi_n^* - \alpha_n^* \tag{14.1}$$

$$\alpha^* = \frac{1}{2C} \xi^* \tag{14.2}$$

15. The answer should be (d).

```

## prob 15
import numpy as np
import pandas as pd
import math
import scipy
import sys

# add the path of liblinear package
LIB_LINSVM_PATH = "./libsvm-master/python"
sys.path.append(LIB_LINSVM_PATH)
from svm import *
from svmutil import *

#main
train_y, train_x = svm_read_problem('./satimage.shape', return_scipy = True)
train_y = train_y == 3

```

```

m = svm_train(train_y, train_x, '-s 0 -t 0 -c 10')

support_vector_coefficients = m.get_sv_coef()
support_vector_coefficients = np.squeeze(support_vector_coefficients)
support_vectors = m.get_SV()
w = np.zeros(train_x.shape[1])
sv = pd.DataFrame(support_vectors,
index=np.arange(len(support_vectors))).sort_index(axis=1).fillna(0).to_numpy()

for i in range(len(support_vectors)):
    w += support_vector_coefficients[i] * sv[i]

print(np.linalg.norm(w))

```

16. The answer should be (b).

```

## problem 16
import numpy as np
import pandas as pd
import math
import scipy
import sys

# add the path of liblinear package
LIB_LINSVM_PATH = "./libsvm-master/python"
sys.path.append(LIB_LINSVM_PATH)
from svm import *
from svmutil import *

#main
train_y, train_x = svm_read_problem('./satimage.shape', return_scipy = True)

for i in range(5):
    print(str(i+1) + ' versus not ' + str(i+1))
    train_y_target = train_y == i+1
    m = svm_train(train_y_target, train_x, '-s 0 -t 1 -g 1 -r 1 -d 2 -c 10')
    p_label, p_acc, p_val = svm_predict(train_y_target, train_x, m)

```

17. The answer should be (c).

```

##problem 17
import numpy as np
import pandas as pd
import math

```

```

import scipy
import sys

# add the path of liblinear package
LIB_LINSVM_PATH = "./libsvm-master/python"
sys.path.append(LIB_LINSVM_PATH)
from svm import *
from svmutil import *

#main
train_y, train_x = svm_read_problem('./satimage.shape', return_scipy = True)

for i in range(5):
    print(str(i+1) + ' versus not ' + str(i+1))
    train_y_target = train_y == i+1
    m = svm_train(train_y_target, train_x, '-s 0 -t 1 -g 1 -r 1 -d 2 -c 10')
    print(len(m.get_SV()))
    #p_label, p_acc, p_val = svm_predict(train_y_target, train_x, m)

```

18. The answer should be (d) or (e).

```

## problem 18
import numpy as np
import pandas as pd
import math
import scipy
import sys

# add the path of liblinear package
LIB_LINSVM_PATH = "./libsvm-master/python"
sys.path.append(LIB_LINSVM_PATH)
from svm import *
from svmutil import *

#main
train_y, train_x = svm_read_problem('./satimage.shape', return_scipy = True)
test_y, test_x = svm_read_problem('./satimage.scale.t', return_scipy = True)

C = [0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, 100]
for i in range(5):
    print('C = ' + str(C[i]))
    train_y_target = train_y == 6
    test_y_target = test_y == 6
    m = svm_train(train_y_target, train_x, '-s 0 -t 2 -g 10 -c ' + str(C[i]))
    p_label, p_acc, p_val = svm_predict(test_y_target, test_x, m)

```

19. The answer should be (b).

```
## problem 19
import numpy as np
import pandas as pd
import math
import scipy
import sys

# add the path of liblinear package
LIB_LINSVM_PATH = "./libsvm-master/python"
sys.path.append(LIB_LINSVM_PATH)
from svm import *
from svmutil import *

#main
train_y, train_x = svm_read_problem('./satimage.shape', return_scipy = True)
test_y, test_x = svm_read_problem('./satimage.scale.t', return_scipy = True)

r = [0.1, 1, 10, 100, 1000]
for i in range(5):
    print('\gamma = ' + str(r[i]))
    train_y_target = train_y == 6
    test_y_target = test_y == 6
    m = svm_train(train_y_target, train_x, '-s 0 -t 2 -g ' + str(r[i]) + ' -c 0.1')
    p_label, p_acc, p_val = svm_predict(test_y_target, test_x, m)
```

20. The answer should be (b).

```
## problem20
import numpy as np
import pandas as pd
import math
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import random
import scipy
import sys

# add the path of liblinear package
LIB_LINSVM_PATH = "./libsvm-master/python"
sys.path.append(LIB_LINSVM_PATH)
from svm import *
from svmutil import *

#main
train_y, train_x = svm_read_problem('./satimage.shape', return_scipy = True)
test_y, test_x = svm_read_problem('./satimage.scale.t', return_scipy = True)

x = np.zeros((train_y.shape[0], 36))
for i in range(train_y.shape[0]):
```

```

    for j in range(36):
        if train_x[i, j] == None:
            x[i][j] = 0
        else:
            x[i][j] = train_x[i, j]

train_target_y = train_y == 6
train_target_y = train_target_y.reshape(-1,1)
data = np.append(train_target_y, x, axis = 1)

r = [0.1, 1, 10, 100, 1000]

result = []
for i in range(10):
    print(i)
    np.random.shuffle(data)

    train_y = data[:, 0]
    train_x = data[:, 1: ]

    val_y = train_y[:200]
    val_x = train_x[:200, :]
    train_minus_y = train_y[200: ]
    train_minus_x = train_x[200:, :]

    best_acc = 0
    best_idx = 0
    for j in range(5):
        m = svm_train(train_minus_y, train_minus_x, '-s 0 -t 2 -g ' + str(r[j]) + ' -
c 0.1')
        p_label, p_acc, p_val = svm_predict(val_y, val_x, m)

        if(p_acc[0] > best_acc):
            best_acc = p_acc[0]
            best_idx = j

    result.append(best_idx)

plt.hist(result)
print("most number of idx: ", np.bincount(result).argmax())

```