· 2 has influite growth rate when N=0 and tends to zero growth rate as N=00. . 37 is costant and independent of N. . N is linear and grows slowly. · The power functions: JN=N°5, N15, N2N3 . The logarithmic functions: NloglogN, NlogN, NlogN, Nlog(N2), Nlog2N, N2 logN. . The exponential functions: 2 N/2, 2N We take a few of the above Let's test them. - NlogN and Nlog(N2): Nlog(N2) = N(2/09N) = 2N logN = O(NlogN) the same growth rate as NlogN which is O(NlogN). - 9N/2 and 2N ...

1/m 2N/2 = 1/m 2N/2 = 1/m 1 = 0

N-700 2N = 1/m 2N/2 = 0 - $N^{1.5}$ and $N\log^2 N$: $N^{1.5} = \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{N^{1.5-1}}{\log^2 N} = \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{0.5 N^{0.5}}{\log N}$ $N^{1.5} = \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{N^{1.5-1}}{\log^2 N} = \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{0.5 N^{0.5}}{\log N}$ N1.5 has larger order of growth than N 692 N. - Nlog2N and NloglogN: Nlog2N = NClogN)2 = NlogNlogN × NloglogN thus NlogeN and NloglogN do not growth at the some rate.

If there exists the costant values c>0 and N>O sach that A(N) < c ×g(N) where N = n, then we can say that A(N)=O(g(N)) If there exists two costant Values c>o and n >0 such that g(N) \ Cxf(N) where N≥n, then we can say that g(W)=O(fW). Consider the following functions: F(N) = COS(N) 9 (N) = sin (N) To say that f(N) = O(g(N)) is not true, we need to prove that $f(N) \leq c \times g(N)$ is not possible. Now, assume that N is from 0 to th, where M>0. N(degree term) 0 30 45 60 90 g(N)=sIn(N) 0 0.50 0.767 0.866 1 J(N)= COS (N) 1 0.866 0.707 0.50 0 g(N) < f(N) g(N) = f(N) g(N) > f(N)From the above table: we conclude that Pan>gai and f(N)<g(N). Thus, f(N) < cxg(N) and g(N) < cxf(N) is not possible for all N values. Result: f(N) = 0 (g(N)) and g(N) = 0 ((N)) is not time.

2.7) (1). Arst line executes c numer of times.

second line: N times . third line c insblelop So, NXC=CN. Total= C+CN=O(N) (2) . first line c times. · se cond like n times. - third line n times. . toward line CXN = C.N. So, the total is: C+C·N2 = O(N2) (3) . C times. · NXN times, · c times inside the loops. Total: C+C·N3 = O (N3) (4) The inner loop executes "i" times, the end being (n-1). We have n(n-1). In the worst case: O(N2) based on the previous analysis. (5) 11511 can be as large as 111211, which could be as large as 11211 which is No. The total is: N.N. N. N. The total (6) If we ignore the outer loop for now and analyze the problem in terms of i The mid loop runs is times. The inner loop is invoked whenever; % i == 0, that means: 1,21,31, and, 12. At each time we run until the relevant , so this means:

itel+3it + (1-1).1=1(1+2+...+1-1)=
= i. (i.(i-1)/2)

The last equality comes from the

sum of arithmetic progression.

The above is O(i3).

If we repeat for the outer loop

which is 1 to n we have

O(N4) in total.

$$T(N_{2}) = \frac{N_{2}^{2}}{N_{1}^{2}} \times T(N_{1})$$

$$= \frac{500^{2}}{100^{2}} \times 0.5$$

$$= 25 \times 0.5$$

$$= 12.5 \text{ mJ}$$

$$T(N_{2}) = \frac{N_{2}^{3}}{N_{1}^{3}} \times T(N_{1})$$

$$= \frac{500^{3}}{100^{3}} \times 0.5$$

$$= 125 \times 0.5$$

$$= 125 \times 0.5$$

$$= 62.5 \text{ mJ}$$

2.12) N1=100, T(N1)=0.5ms, T(N2)=1min al T(N2) = 1 min = 60 sec = 60,000 ms $N_2 = \frac{T(N_2)}{T(N_1)} \times N_1$ = 60000 × 100 = 12 00000×100 = 12×106 input size b) NalogNa = T(Na) ×MlogN1 = 60000 × 100 × 100 × 100 = 120000 × 100 × 100 × 100 = 12×10°×6.643856 = 79.726272×106 Nalog Na= 79,79.6272×106 log 2N2 = 79.786279 × 106 $N_2^{N_2} = 979.726842 \times 10^6$ We whom's method Input Size

$$C | N^{2} = \frac{7(N^{2})}{7(N_{1})} \times N^{2}_{1}$$

$$= \frac{60000}{50000} \times (700)^{2}$$

$$= 1200000 \times (700)^{2}$$

$$= 12 \times 10^{4} \times 10^{4}$$

$$N_{2} = \sqrt{12 \times 10^{4} \times 10^{4}}$$

$$= 3.46410 \times 10^{4}$$

$$= 34641 | \text{liput Size}$$

$$= \sqrt{100} \times \sqrt{100} \times$$

$$= \frac{60000}{0.5} \times (100)^3$$
$$= 120000 \times (100)^3$$

$$N_2 = 3\sqrt{120000} \times (100)^3$$

= 49.324 × 100
= 49.32 hpur size

2.25) Program A: 150 N/og N (1)
Program B: Nº (2)
a) Consider N to be the large power of 10, let's say 10°.
(1 =) 150 N/09 N = 150 × 10 × 109 × 109 2106
$(U-)$ $N=(10^{\circ})=10^{12}$ (4)
3<9, so A runs faster than B.
b) Consider N to be the small value power of 10, let's say 10.
(1 =) 150 Nloga N=150 ×10× loga 10
= 4950 (5)
$9 = 10^{10}$ $N^2 = (10^1)^2 = 10^2$
6<5, so Bruns faster
c) Besides the worst case, the running time
c) Besides the worst case, the running time also depends on the following information - hardware configuration

· storage · clock speed · the bit operations of a program It is difficult to determine based on average values.

d) Yes, according to (c).

However, Program A seems to run faster for large inputs and vice versa (for small inputs), See a and b. Usually, we are considered about large inputs in most algorithms, where B cannot run faster than A.

3.1) Check code (on Moodle). of the list P. . The total number of iterations is equal to the size of the list P. · Running time is O(NO (N), where nis the size of the list P. . The makelist method creates an 3.7 object for the Array List to store the integers, . It adds the integers I through given N at the end of the list and trims to the size of the list. . It uses a for loop and adds i then, uses trimtolise.
The for loop executes N times, So, it needs O(N). . The add is costantly so O(1) . The trintaine (execute) N times to more the elements from the old list to the new list. So, it needs O(N). . The total time is NX(c+N)=(N+N2 . For the makelist method we have:

0 (N) +0 (N2)=0 (N2)

- . The remove First Half method occupts a like 3,8) of elements as. a parameter. elements from the list. . It uses the first the size and remove methods for the list and a for loop. a) In each iteration of the for loop the size method returns a different value, which is I less than its previous value. It causes to produce the in correct result. If we always
 - call the Size () in the loop, the running time will increase.
 - of . The size will take (c) costant time.
 - . The call to remove method need, linear time,
 - . The for loop calls the remove FirstHalf
 - . The total for the for loop block 1: N×N=N2.
 - · For the entire method we have; N2 +c = 0 (N2) +0 (1) =0 (N2)

3.8 c) . The size needs (c) time. Continuation> . The remove method takes (c) costant time since there is no need to loop is again linear so N times . For the for loop block we have: Nxc=c.N . The total will be eN + c = O(N) + O(1) = O(N)Java util terator it= |st. Iterator()
int 1=0;
while (itr. hasNext() && | xthe Size) (tremove()) For both (and (a) data structures nothing will change in terms of time complexity.

3.20) a) Lazy deleton.

Advantages

· Lazy deletton takes less time to delete the node as it only marks the node as deleted rather than removing it from the list. Therefore it takes less time as a normal deletton.

. It makes programming simpler if the operation performed on the list is not only deletion.

Disadvan tages

- The list takes extra unnecessary space. After performing a deletton the node which is been deleted occupy the space in the disc.
- . Since the deleted nodes are only marked, it takes more time to traverse the list.

Search the web and check Modle for more.

a) 15x opens 3.25 With the \$1 (stack 1), compared with the top of se . It the item that is pushed 15 less than push a copy on the · If the contents of the si equal then pop their contents Push (100) 100 100 200 Ctop Puh (75) Push (200)

red For the singly linked list:

Null
Push (200) 1000 Mul 10 Push(200) 2000 6) Check Moodle 3.28 Check the code on Moodle.