

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION EIGHT

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

HAROLD HOWARD,

Defendant and Appellant.

B275496

(Los Angeles County
Super. Ct. No. BA441742)

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of Los Angeles County, Craig E. Veals, Judge. Affirmed.

James Koester, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.

* * * * *

Defendant Harold Howard pled no contest to one count of possession of a controlled substance for sale (Health & Saf. Code, § 11351). The trial court sentenced defendant to state prison for two years in accordance with his plea agreement. Defendant subsequently filed a notice of appeal specifying that his appeal is from “the sentence or other matters occurring after the plea that do not affect the validity of the plea.”

Defendant’s appointed appellate counsel filed a brief pursuant to *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, identifying no issues. We notified defendant of his right to submit a brief, but he did not do so. Mindful of the scope of the appeal, we have reviewed the entire record and find no arguable issue. We affirm the judgment.

BACKGROUND

Evidence presented at the preliminary hearing showed that on November 21, 2015, defendant sold hydrocodone tablets in exchange for money. Defendant poured the tablets from a brown bottle, which he later put next to a planter. The recovered brown bottle contained 60 hydrocodone tablets. Defendant was carrying \$79 when he was arrested after the sale.

Defendant was charged with one count of possession for sale of a controlled substance. It was further alleged that defendant had been convicted of 14 felonies, including two serious or violent felonies.

After initially pleading not guilty, defendant ultimately pled no contest. Defendant represented himself when he pled no contest.

DISCUSSION

We have reviewed the entire record and find no arguable issue on appeal and are satisfied that defendant’s appellate

attorney has fully complied with the responsibilities of counsel.
(*Smith v. Robbins* (2000) 528 U.S. 259, 278; see *People v. Kelly*
(2006) 40 Cal.4th 106, 111; *People v. Wende, supra*, 25 Cal.3d at
p. 441.)

DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.

FLIER, J.

WE CONCUR:

BIGELOW, P. J.

GRIMES, J.