

**NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS**

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION SEVEN

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

SAUL ENRIQUE CUELLAR,

Defendant and Appellant.

B233304

(Los Angeles County  
Super. Ct. No. TA114211)

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of Los Angeles County,  
John T. Doyle, Judge. Affirmed.

Randall Conner, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and  
Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.

---

In the early morning of September 4, 2010, Saul Enrique Cuellar, a cab driver, made a right hand turn and then swerved his cab into the adjacent lane, killing Frank Avalos, a pedestrian. Cuellar, who did not stop after hitting Avalos, was later detained by police.

A jury convicted Cuellar of leaving the scene of an accident (Veh. Code, § 20001, subd. (a)), a felony, and vehicular manslaughter (Pen. Code, § 192, subd. (c)(2)), a misdemeanor. The trial court imposed an aggregate state prison sentence of four years, consisting of the four-year upper term for leaving the scene of an accident and a concurrent term of one year for vehicular manslaughter. Cuellar received presentence custody credits of 56 days (28 actual days and 28 days of conduct credits). The court ordered Cuellar to pay an \$80 security assessment as to each count, a \$30 criminal conviction assessment and a \$200 restitution fine. The court imposed and suspended a parole revocation fine pursuant to Penal Code section 1202.45. The court also ordered Cuellar to pay victim restitution in the amount of \$7,500.

Cuellar filed a timely notice of appeal. We appointed counsel to represent Cuellar on appeal. After examination of the record counsel filed an opening brief in which no issues were raised. On January 3, 2012, we advised Cuellar he had 30 days within which to personally submit any contentions or issues he wished us to consider. We have received no response to date.

We have examined the entire record and are satisfied Cuellar's attorney has fully complied with the responsibilities of counsel and no arguable issues exist. (*Smith v. Robbins* (2000) 528 U.S. 259, 277-284 [120 S.Ct. 746, 145 L.Ed.2d 756]; *People v. Kelly* (2006) 40 Cal.4th 106; *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, 441.)

The judgment is affirmed.

WOODS, J.

We concur:

PERLUSS, P. J.

ZELON, J.