Defendant and Appellant.

### NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

## IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

#### SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

#### **DIVISION SIX**

THE PEOPLE,	2d Crim. No. B258436
Plaintiff and Respondent,	(Super. Ct. No. 14C-23998) (San Luis Obispo County)
v.	
DAVID VINCENT DEMARCO,	

David Vincent Demarco appeals from the judgment after he pled no contest to possession of methamphetamine. (Health & Saf. Code, § 11377, subd. (a).) The trial court granted Proposition 36 probation (Pen. Code, § 1210.1) and ordered appellant to serve 64 days county jail and pay \$1,300 in fines.

We appointed counsel to represent appellant on appeal. After counsel's examination of the record, he filed a brief raising no issues. On November 21, 2014, we advised appellant that he had 30 days within which to personally submit any contentions or issues he wished us to consider. No response has been received from appellant.

We have reviewed the entire record and are satisfied appellant's counsel has fully complied with his responsibilities and that no arguable issue exists. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, 443; *People v. Kelly* (2006) 40 Cal.4th 106, 126.)

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED.

YEGAN, J.

We concur:

GILBERT, P.J.

PERREN, J.

# Rita Federman, Judge

Superior	Court	County	of San	Luis	Obispo

\_\_\_\_

California Appellate project, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant, Jonathan B. Steiner, Executive Director and Richard H Lennon, Staff Attorey for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Respondent. .