NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION SIX

THE PEOPLE.

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

LUIS AVILA ARROYO,

Defendant and Appellant.

2d Crim. No. B284357 (Super. Ct. Nos. 2015015540 & 2015026984) (Ventura County)

Luis Avila Arroyo appeals from the judgment imposed after his guilty plea. (Pen. Code, § 1237, subd. (b); Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.304(b)(4)(B).)

While executing a May 2015 search warrant, sheriff's deputies found methamphetamine, ammunition, and a pistol in Arroyo's garage. Around the same time, other deputies saw Arroyo walking down the street and attempted to stop him. He discarded a knife and baggie of methamphetamine before the deputies could apprehend him.

In August 2015, Arroyo threatened to shoot his wife and fired a shot into the air. A police officer heard the shot and

attempted to detain him. During the ensuring pursuit, Arroyo discarded a gun.

Arroyo pled guilty to possession of methamphetamine for sale (Health & Saf. Code, § 11378), criminal threats (Pen. Code, § 422), and possession of a firearm by a felon (Pen. Code, § 29800, subd. (a)(1)). He also admitted a use of a firearm allegation (Pen. Code, § 12022.5, subd. (a)). The trial court sentenced him to two years on the criminal threats conviction, a consecutive 10 years on the use of a firearm allegation, and concurrent 16-month terms on the firearm and drug possession convictions.

We appointed counsel to represent Arroyo in this appeal. After counsel examined the record, he filed an opening brief that raises no arguable issues. On January 17, 2018, we advised Arroyo by mail that he had 30 days within which to submit any contentions or issues he wished us to consider. We have not received a response.

We have reviewed the entire record and are satisfied that Arroyo's attorney fully complied with his responsibilities and that no arguable issue exists. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, 441.)

The judgment is affirmed.
NOT TO BE PUBLISHED.

TANGEMAN, J.

We concur:

GILBERT, P. J.

YEGAN, J.

Bruce A. Young, Judge

Jonathan B. Steiner, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.