

**NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS**

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION ONE

In re SERGIO R., a Person Coming Under  
the Juvenile Court Law.

B234020  
(Los Angeles County  
Super. Ct. No. JJ17296)

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

SERGIO R.,

Defendant and Appellant.

APPEAL from orders of the Superior Court of Los Angeles County. Fumiko Wasserman and Donna Quigley Groman, Judges. Affirmed.

Elizabeth H. Lopez, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.

---

Minor Sergio R. appeals from the juvenile court's orders sustaining two petitions pursuant to Welfare and Institutions Code section 602. Those orders were made following the juvenile court's findings that minor violated Penal Code sections 211 (robbery) and 12020, subdivision (a)(1) (possession of billy club). The latter offense occurred on January 29, 2011, when a police officer recovered a foot-long wooden baseball bat from minor's pocket. Minor told the officer that he was carrying the bat for protection against a rival gang whose members had beaten him recently. The robbery occurred on May 26, 2011, when minor approached Robert V., demanded Robert's bicycle, and threatened to stab and kill Robert if he did not relinquish the bicycle. Robert got off the bicycle and minor rode it away.

Following contested hearings, the juvenile court found these petitions true. It ordered minor to remain a ward of the court (minor had three prior sustained Welfare and Institutions Code section 602 petitions) and found the offenses to be felonies. The court ordered minor placed in camp for six months and calculated his maximum term of confinement at 6 years 10 months.

We appointed counsel to represent minor on appeal. After examination of the record, counsel filed an opening brief raising no issues and asking this court to independently review the record. On May 9, 2012, we advised minor he had 30 days within which to personally submit any contentions or issues he wished us to consider. To date, we have received no response.

We have examined the entire record and are satisfied that minor's attorney has fully complied with her responsibilities and that no arguable issues exist. (*People v. Kelly* (2006) 40 Cal.4th 106, 109–110; *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, 441.)

**DISPOSITION**

The orders are affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED.

MALLANO, P. J.

We concur:

ROTHSCHILD, J.

CHANEY, J.