

**NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS**

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.
---

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION FIVE

In re D.G. et al., Persons Coming  
Under the Juvenile Court Law.

B268548

(Los Angeles County  
Super. Ct. No. DK11929)

LOS ANGELES COUNTY  
DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN  
AND FAMILY SERVICES

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

D.T.,

Defendant and Appellant.

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of Los Angeles County,  
Debra Losnick, Judge. Dismissed.

Lisa A. Raneri, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for  
Defendant and Appellant.

Mary C. Wickham, County Counsel, R. Keith Davis, Assistant County  
Counsel and Aileen Wong, Deputy County Counsel, for Plaintiff and  
Respondent.

Melissa Heath-Rondilla and Dwana M. Willis for the Minors.

The mother, D.T., has appealed challenging the vagueness of the September 14, 2015 visitation order. The Department of Children and Family Services (the department) has moved to dismiss the appeal. Specifically, the department relies on eight juvenile court minute orders which now specify the duration and number of weekly visits. For example, the June 9, 2016 minute order specifies that the visits are to occur three times per week. The monitored visits are to be for one hour per visit. Or, the juvenile court has provided the option of a single weekly visit which lasts for three hours. The department argues that the vagueness issues raised in the opening brief are no longer present. We agree with the department. The appeal is now moot as there is no effectual relief we can provide to the mother. (*Eye Dog Foundation v. State Board of Guide Dogs for the Blind* (1967) 67 Cal.2d 536, 541; *In re B.L.* (2012) 204 Cal.App.4th 1111, 1118.)

The appeal is dismissed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

TURNER, P. J.

We concur:

KRIEGLER, J.

BAKER, J.