

**NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL
REPORTS**

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF
CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION ONE

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

JEROME MAJOR CARTER,

Defendant and Appellant.

B277718

(Los Angeles County
Super. Ct. No. 6PRO1077)

APPEAL from an order of the Superior Court of Los Angeles County, Donald S. Kennedy, Commissioner.
Affirmed.

Gideon Margolis, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.

On May 30, 2012, Jerome Major Carter (Carter) pleaded no contest to possession of a firearm by a felon (Pen. Code, § 29800, subd. (a)(1)). We appointed counsel to represent Carter on appeal. After examination of the record, counsel filed an opening brief raising no issues and asking this court to independently review the record. We examined the entire record and, in a January 16, 2013 unpublished opinion, held that Carter's counsel had fully complied with his responsibilities and that no arguable issues existed. (*People v. Carter* (Jan. 16, 2013, B242667) [nonpub. opn.])

Carter was scheduled to be released on postrelease community supervision (PRCS) on May 25, 2013. Before his release, Carter signed a notice and conditions of postrelease community supervision form, in which he agreed to comply with all instruction of his supervising county agency representative.

On February 24, 2016, the Los Angeles County Probation Department filed a PRCS desertion report with the trial court, alleging that Carter had failed to report to the probation department on February 17, 2016, as previously instructed. The probation department recommended that Carter's PRCS be revoked and that a warrant be issued for his arrest. The next day, the probation department filed a petition for revocation.

On July 15, 2016, Carter appeared in court with counsel. The court formally advised Carter of the alleged PRCS violations and his rights. Carter admitted the violations. The court found that Carter had violated the

terms or conditions of his PRCS by failing to report as directed. The court revoked and restored Carter's PRCS on the same terms and conditions with the modified condition that Carter serve 180 days in county jail. Carter received 8 days of custody credit—4 actual days and 4 days of good time/work time credit.

Carter filed a timely notice of appeal but did not identify any grounds for relief. We have examined the entire record and are satisfied that Carter's counsel fully complied with his responsibilities and that no arguable issues exist. (*People v. Kelly* (2006) 40 Cal.4th 106, 109–110; *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, 441–442.)

DISPOSITION

The order is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED.

JOHNSON, J.

We concur:

ROTHSCHILD, P. J.

CHANEY, J.