

**NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS**

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT  
DIVISION SIX

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

MANUEL ALAMILLO

Defendant and Appellant.

2d Crim. No. B265554  
(Super. Ct. No. 2014019218)  
(Ventura County)

Manuel Alamillo appeals a judgment following his guilty plea to burglary. (Pen. Code, § 459).

We appointed counsel to represent him on this appeal. After examination of the record, his counsel filed an opening brief requesting the court to make an independent review under *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436.

We advised Alamillo that he had 30 days within which to personally submit any contentions or issues that he wished us to consider.

Alamillo filed a response and claims his trial and appellate counsel have provided ineffective assistance. He has made a series of allegations in an unsworn letter. He has provided no record to support his claims on appeal. "[If] the record on appeal sheds no light on why counsel acted or failed to act in the manner challenged . . . , " the claim on appeal must be rejected." (*People v. Tello* (1997) 15 Cal.4th 264, 266.) "A

claim of ineffective assistance in such a case is more appropriately decided in a habeas corpus proceeding." (*Id.* at pp. 266-267.)

Alamillo also must show how any alleged deficient performance of counsel would change the result. (*Strickland v. Washington* (1984) 466 U.S. 668, 689.) He has not made such a showing. Alamillo filed a prior habeas corpus petition raising claims of ineffective assistance of counsel in this case. On July 17, 2015, the superior court denied the petition. It ruled he failed to make a "prima facie" showing of either deficient performance of counsel or prejudice. Alamillo has made no showing that the trial court erred in its ruling on that petition.

Alamillo signed a Felony Disposition Statement plea agreement and told the court he was "entering this plea freely and voluntarily." We have reviewed Alamillo's remaining contentions and conclude he has not shown trial court error or grounds for reversal of the judgment. After examination of the record, we are satisfied that no arguable issues exist. (*People v. Wende, supra*, 25 Cal.3d at pp. 441, 443.)

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED.

GILBERT, P. J.

We concur:

YEGAN, J.

PERREN, J.

David R. Worley, Judge  
Superior Court County of Ventura

---

Richard B. Lennon, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for  
Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.