NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION ONE

In re ROBERT R., a Person Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law.	B238925 (Los Angeles County Super. Ct. No. FJ48731)
THE PEOPLE,	
Plaintiff and Respondent,	
v.	
ROBERT R.,	
Defendant and Appellant.	
APPEAL from a judgment of the Supe	erior Court of Los Angeles County, C

ynthia Loo, Juvenile Court Referee. Affirmed.

Zoe Rawson, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.	

On August 16, 2011, Robert R., then 16 years old, was in a J.C. Penney store in Montebello with two friends. Robert R. took a black-and-white striped shirt from a rack, and put it on over his white t-shirt. After walking around some more, he exited the store without going to any cash register, still wearing the shirt.

A J.C. Penney loss prevention officer approached Robert R. outside the store and placed him under a private person's arrest. Robert R. admitted to taking the shirt without paying for it. Back inside the store, the loss prevention officer filled out an acknowledgment form which stated that Robert R. took the shirt. The officer asked Robert R., who was handcuffed, if he wanted to sign it; he did not recall whether he told Robert R. he had the option not to sign. Robert R. signed the acknowledgment form.

The trial court found true one misdemeanor count of petty theft in violation of Penal Code section 484, subdivision (a). The court declared Robert R. to be a person described by Welfare and Institutions Code section 602 and a ward of the court, and ordered Robert R. be placed home on probation. Robert R. filed a timely notice of appeal.

We appointed counsel to represent Robert R. on appeal. After examining the record, counsel filed an opening brief raising no issues and asking this court to independently review the record. On July 20, 2012, we advised Robert R. he had 30 days within which to personally submit any contentions or issues he wished us to consider. To date, we have received no response.

We have examined the entire record and are satisfied that Robert R.'s counsel has fully complied with his responsibilities and that no arguable issues exist. (*People v. Kelly* (2006) 40 Cal.4th 106, 109–110; *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.4th 436, 441.)

DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED.

JOHNSON, J.

We concur:

ROTHSCHILD, Acting P. J.

CHANEY, J.