Appellant.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION ONE

Under the Juvenile Court Law.	(Los Angeles County Super. Ct. No. VJ43064)
THE PEOPLE,	
Plaintiff and Respondent,	
v.	
VANESSA S.,	
Defendant and Appellant.	
	J
APPEAL from an order of the Superior	or Court of Los Angeles County. Philip K.
Mautino, Judge. Affirmed.	
Elana Goldstein, under appointment b	by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.

Minor Vanessa S. appeals from the juvenile court's order sustaining a petition pursuant to Welfare and Institutions Code section 602. That order was made following the juvenile court's finding that minor violated Penal Code section 484, subdivision (a), by committing petty theft. The offense occurred on November 2, 2012, when minor placed cosmetics and a drink inside her purse and left a CVS store in La Mirada without paying for the merchandise.

The juvenile court found the petty theft allegation true. It declared minor to be a ward of the court and found the offense to be a misdemeanor. The court ordered minor suitably placed in an open facility and set the maximum term of confinement at six months.

We appointed counsel to represent minor on appeal. After examination of the record, counsel filed an opening brief raising no issues and asking this court to independently review the record. On August 6, 2013, we advised minor she had 30 days within which to personally submit any contentions or issues she wished us to consider. To date, we have received no response.

We have examined the entire record and are satisfied that minor's attorney has fully complied with her responsibilities and that no arguable issues exist. (*People v. Kelly* (2006) 40 Cal.4th 106, 109–110; *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, 441.)

DISPOSITION

The order is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED.

MALLANO, P. J.

We concur:

ROTHSCHILD, J.

JOHNSON, J.