

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(a). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115(a).

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION THREE

In re K.F., et al., Persons Coming Under
the Juvenile Court Law.

LOS ANGELES COUNTY
DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND
FAMILY SERVICES,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

J.R. et al.,

Defendants and Appellants.

B262662

(Los Angeles County
Super. Ct. No. DK03184)

APPEAL from an order of the Superior Court of Los Angeles County,
Amy M. Pellman, Judge. Dismissed.

M. Elizabeth Handy, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Minors.

Andre F.F. Toscano, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant
and Appellant, J.R.

Office of the County Counsel, Mary C. Wickham, Interim County Counsel,
Dawyn R. Harrison, Assistant County Counsel, and Tracey F. Dodds, Deputy County
Counsel, for Plaintiff and Respondent.

In this appeal, father and his two minor children challenge the court's December 30, 2014 order denying father's request for custodial placement under Welfare & Institutions Code section 361.2. During the pendency of this appeal, however, the court has taken further action concerning placement of the minor children. Specifically, in August 2015, the court considered additional evidence regarding father's ability to care for the minors and issued an order placing the minors with father following a month-long transition period. We have taken judicial notice of the court's August 24, 2015 custodial placement order pursuant to Evidence Code section 452, subdivision (d). (See order of November 13, 2015, granting respondent's request for judicial notice.)

All parties have indicated to this court that the appeal is now moot. We agree and therefore dismiss the appeal. (See *In re Dani R.* (2001) 89 Cal.App.4th 402, 404 [“ ‘[A]n action that originally was based on a justiciable controversy cannot be maintained on appeal if all the questions have become moot by subsequent acts or events. A reversal in such a case would be without practical effect, and the appeal will therefore be dismissed.’ ”].)

DISPOSITION

The appeal is dismissed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

LAVIN, J.

WE CONCUR:

ALDRICH, Acting P. J.

JONES, J.^{*}

*

Judge of the Los Angeles Superior Court, assigned by the Chief Justice pursuant to article VI, section 6 of the California Constitution.