

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION SIX

In re ANTHONY G., a Person Coming
Under the Juvenile Court Law.

2d Juv. No. B271099
(Super. Ct. No. 1435434)
(Santa Barbara County)

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

ANTHONY G.,

Defendant and Appellant.

Anthony G. appeals from a judgment entered after the juvenile court sustained a petition for resisting, obstructing, or delaying a peace officer in the performance of his/her duties (Welf. & Inst. Code, § 602, subd. (a); Pen. Code, § 148, subd. (a)(1)). Appellant was made a ward of the court, granted probation, and released to his mother's custody on the condition that he stay away from Alicia Pena's residence. He was also ordered to pay a \$50 restitution fine.

A Santa Barbara County Sheriff's Deputy responded to a report that appellant, who had gone missing from his home, was staying at Pena's residence. The police contacted appellant at the residence. Appellant exhibited signs of being under the

influence and admitted smoking marijuana. He told the deputy that his mother was abusing him and his siblings. The deputy drove appellant home. Appellant waited in the back seat of the patrol car while the deputy spoke to his parents and siblings. The deputy determined that the environment was safe but appellant refused to get out of the patrol car. When the deputy tried to remove appellant's seat belt, appellant held on to the belt and pulled away from the deputy. Appellant was arrested for resisting the deputy and was transported to juvenile hall.

We appointed counsel to represent appellant in this appeal. After examination of the record, counsel filed an opening brief in which no issues were raised.

On July 20, 2016, we advised appellant that he had 30 days within which to personally submit any contentions or issues that she wished us to consider. We received no response.

We have examined the entire record and are satisfied that appellant's attorney has fully complied with her responsibilities and that no arguable issues exist. (*People v. Kelly* (2006) 40 Cal.4th 106, 126; *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, 441.)

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED.

PERREN, J.

We concur:

GILBERT, P. J.

YEGAN, J.

Arthur A. Garcia, Judge
Superior Court County of Santa Barbara

Laurie A. Thrower, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for
Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.