

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT
DIVISION SIX

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

MICHAEL KARL McNEIL,

Defendant and Appellant.

2d Crim. No. B238120
(Super. Ct. No. GA074192)
(Los Angeles County)

Pursuant to a negotiated plea, Michael Karl McNeill entered a no contest plea to six criminal threats counts (Pen. Code, § 422)¹ and three counts of attempted criminal threats (§§ 664/422), and admitted suffering a Three Strikes prior strike conviction (Pen. Code, §§ 667, subds. (b) – (i); 1170.12, subds. (a) – (d)) and a serious felony conviction (§ 667, subd. (a)). On June 22, 2011, the trial court sentenced appellant to 19 years 8 months state prison and ordered appellant to pay a \$200 restitution fine (§ 1202.4, subd. (b)), a \$200 parole revocation fine (§ 12022.45), and a \$40 court security assessment (§ 1465.8, subd. (a)(1)) and a \$30 criminal conviction assessment (Gov. Code, § 70373) on each count.

McNeil appeals from post-judgment orders denying his motion to "stay all actions on property return and violations under P.C. 654," a motion to compel the prosecution to produce copies of contested property (certificates) and petition to order Tim Wainscott to cease harassing appellant and his family, a motion for reconsideration of an

¹ Unless otherwise stated, all statutory references are to the Penal Code.

order denying appellant's motion to strike a prior strike conviction, and a motion to compel the prosecution to open an investigation into felony misconduct. The trial court denied the motions on December 12, 2011 and December 29, 2011.

Appellant filed a notice of appeal on December 21, 2011 and January 11, 2012.

We appointed counsel to represent appellant in this appeal. After counsel's examination of the record, he filed an opening brief in which no issues were raised.

On March 7, 2012, we advised appellant that he had 30 days within which to personally submit any contentions or issues he wished us to consider. On March 16, 2012, appellant submitted a supplemental brief contending, among other things, that the trial court erred in denying his *Romero* motion (*People v. Superior Court (Romero)* (1986) 13 Cal.4d 497) to strike a 1998 prior strike conviction. None of these contentions are supported by the record.

We have reviewed the entire record and are satisfied that appellant's attorney has fully complied with his responsibilities and that no arguable issues exist. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, 443; *People v. Kelly* (2006) 40 Cal.4th 106, 126.)

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED.

YEGAN, J.

We concur:

GILBERT, P.J.

PERREN, J.

Laura F. Priver, Judge
Superior Court County of Los Angeles

Christopher Nalls, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant
and Appellant.

No appearance for Respondent.