

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION ONE

ARAM MKRTCHYAN,

Plaintiff and Appellant,

v.

CONNECT TO COMMUNICATIONS,

Defendant and Respondent.

B244224

(Los Angeles County
Super. Ct. No. BC436000)

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of Los Angeles County,
Ernest M. Hiroshige, Judge. Dismissed.

Aram Mkrtchyan, in pro. per., for Plaintiff and Appellant.

No appearance for Defendant and Respondent.

Aram Mkrtchyan filed an action alleging fraud against Connect to Communications. On July 24, 2012, the trial court sustained Connect to Communication's demurrer with leave to amend within 10 days. The court stated that the complaint lacked facts to support the fraud allegations. Mkrtchyan was served with the notice of ruling on July 30, 2012.

On September 18, 2012, the court granted Connect to Communication's motion to dismiss the complaint (to which Mkrtchyan did not file an opposition). The court ruled: "Plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint as of the date of this ruling. Plaintiff apparently no longer intends to pursue this action against Defendant or is unable to amend the defects discussed in the Court's July 24, 2012 ruling on demurrer. Accordingly, the motion is granted." Mkrtchyan appealed. Connect to Communication did not file a respondent's brief.

It is an appellant's burden to procure an adequate record on appeal allowing review of the issues. When the appellant fails to provide such a record, we may dismiss the appeal. (*Foust v. San Jose Construction Co., Inc.* (2011) 198 Cal.App.4th 181, 187.) Mkrtchyan appeals from the dismissal of his complaint, but he has not included a copy of the complaint in the record. Nor does the record contain the demurrer filed by Connect to Communications, or any opposition by Mkrtchyan. We note that Mkrtchyan's brief is also deficient, citing no authority, and giving no description of the complaint. As we lack the documents that were the basis for the trial court's ruling, including the complaint that was the subject of the dismissal, we dismiss the appeal.

DISPOSITION

The appeal is dismissed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED.

JOHNSON, J.

We concur:

MALLANO, P. J.

ROTHSCHILD, J.