

**NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS**

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION FIVE

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

A.G.,

Defendant and Appellant.

B296985

(Los Angeles County  
Super. Ct. No. PJ53248)

APPEAL from an order of the Superior Court of Los Angeles County, Fred J. Fujioka, Judge. Affirmed.

Courtney M. Selan, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.

On April 3, 2019, the District Attorney of Los Angeles County filed a petition under Welfare and Institutions Code section 602, alleging that 16-year old A.G. (the minor) had committed battery with serious bodily injury upon her mother, A.K. (mother).

On April 4, 2019, the minor appeared in court and denied the allegation.

On April 5, 2019, the court conducted a detention hearing pursuant to *In re William M.* (1970) 3 Cal.3d 16, 26-27. At the conclusion of the hearing, the court ordered the minor detained and also issued a restraining order that restrained the minor from threatening, stalking, or disturbing the peace of mother. The order did not, however, restrain minor from contacting mother or require that minor stay away from mother. On April 15, 2019, the minor filed a notice of appeal, challenging the restraining order.

We appointed counsel to represent defendant on appeal. The minor's appointed appellate counsel filed an opening brief in accordance with *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436 requesting that we independently review the entire record to determine if there are any arguable issues. On July 12, 2019, we notified minor that appointed appellate counsel had failed to find any arguable issues and minor had 30 days within which to independently brief any grounds for appeal, contentions, or arguments she wanted us to consider. We have reviewed the

record and are satisfied that minor's appointed appellate counsel has fully complied with her responsibilities and no arguable issues exist. (*People v. Wende, supra*, 25 Cal.3d at p. 441.)

The order is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

KIM, J.

We concur:

RUBIN, P. J.

BAKER, J.