

**NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS**

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION FOUR

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

NORMA SANCHEZ,

Defendant and Appellant.

B242561

(Los Angeles County  
Super. Ct. No. BA385923)

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of Los Angeles County,  
Norm Shapiro, Judge.

Richard B. Lennon, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for  
Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Respondent.

---

On June 23, 2011, after an argument with her husband, appellant locked herself and their four children inside their apartment and turned on the gas. After her husband called 911, the police and fire department rescued appellant and her children. On September 4, 2011, the District Attorney of the County of Los Angeles charged appellant with four counts of attempted murder. On May 3, 2012, appellant agreed to a negotiated plea. She pled no contest to four counts of attempted murder, and was sentenced to 16 years in state prison.

Appellant filed a timely notice of appeal from the sentencing, and requested a certificate of probable cause, which was denied. After examining the record, appointed appellate counsel filed a brief raising no issues, but asking this court to independently review the record on appeal pursuant to *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, 441-442. (See *Smith v. Robbins* (2000) 528 U.S. 259, 264.) On October 10, 2012, we advised appellant she had 30 days within which to submit by brief or letter any contentions or argument she wished this court to consider. No response was received.

This court has examined the entire record in accordance with *People v. Wende, supra*, 25 Cal.3d at pages 441-442, and is satisfied appellant's attorney has fully complied with the responsibilities of counsel, and no arguable issues exist. Accordingly, we affirm the judgment of conviction.

**DISPOSITION**

The Judgment is affirmed.

**NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS.**

MANELLA, J.

We concur:

EPSTEIN, P. J.

WILLHITE, J.