

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.111.5.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT
DIVISION SIX

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

JEFFREY NOBUO FUJIMOTO,

Defendant and Appellant.

2d Crim. No. B271316
(Super. Ct. No. GA095370)
(Los Angeles County)

Jeffrey Nobuo Fujimoto appeals his conviction by jury of assault with a deadly weapon. (Pen. Code, § 245, subd.(a)(1).)¹ The trial court suspended imposition of sentence, granted three years probation, and ordered appellant to pay a \$300 restitution fine (§ 1202.4, subd. (b)), a \$300 probation revocation restitution fine (§ 1202.44), victim restitution (§ 1202.4, subd. (f)), a \$40 court operations assessment fee (§ 1465.8, subd. (a)(1)), and a \$30 criminal conviction assessment (Gov. Code, § 70373).

We appointed counsel to represent appellant in this appeal. After counsel's examination of the record, she filed an opening brief in which no issues were raised.

¹ All statutory references are to the Penal Code unless otherwise stated.

On December 15, 2016, we advised appellant that he had 30 days within which to personally submit any contentions or issues he wished us to consider. On January 9, 2017, appellant filed a supplemental brief stating, among other things, that he was denied effective assistance of trial counsel, that the jury appeared to be biased, that the Burbank Police Department conspired to put appellant on a Welfare & Institutions Code section 5150 psychiatric hold, and that the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department tried to have appellant murdered while he was in jail.

The record reflects that appellant taunted a 66-year-old neighbor, Richard Gosselin, drew a seven-inch filet knife, and swung it at Gosselin who was unarmed. The assault was captured on appellant's home surveillance camera. Before sentencing, a forensic psychiatrist reported that appellant suffered from a mood disorder characterized by anxiety and intermittent depressive episodes, and that appellant was under psychiatric care.

We have reviewed the entire record and are satisfied that appellant's attorney has fully complied with her responsibilities and that no arguable issues exist. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, 443; *People v. Kelly* (2006) 40 Cal.4th 106, 126.)

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED.

YEGAN, J.

We concur:

GILBERT, P. J.

TANGEMAN, J.

Teri Schwartz, Judge

Superior Court County of Los Angeles

Jamie Lee Moore, under appointment by the Court of
Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance by Respondent.