Filed 1/9/17 In re J.R. CA2/5

#### NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

## IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

#### SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

### DIVISION FIVE

In re J.R., a Person Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law.

LOS ANGELES COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

MARIA R.S.,

Defendant and Appellant.

B270407

(Los Angeles County Super. Ct. No. DK09541)

APPEAL from an order of the Superior Court of Los Angeles County, Rudolph A. Diaz, Judge. Dismissed.

Julie E. Braden, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

Mary C. Wickham, County Counsel, R. Keith Davis, Acting Assistant County Counsel and Jeanette Cauble, Deputy County Counsel for Plaintiff and Respondent.

Janna K. Eccleston for the Minor.

The mother, Maria R.S., appeals from a February 3, 2016 dispositional order in a dependency proceeding. On appeal, the mother argues there was insufficient evidence that the child, Jaylen R., was at risk of harm. On August 23, 2016, the juvenile court conducted a status review hearing. At the conclusion of the hearing, the juvenile court terminated jurisdiction over the child.

The Department of Children and Family Services has moved to dismiss the appeal as moot. We agree. Juvenile court jurisdiction has now been terminated over the child. Hence, the appeal is now moot because there is no effectual relief we can provide to the mother. (Eye Dog Foundation v. State Board of Guide Dogs for the Blind (1967) 67 Cal.2d 536, 541; In re B.L. (2012) 204 Cal.App.4th 1111, 1118; In re Melissa R. (2009) 177 Cal.App.4th 24, 34; In re B.D. (2008) 159 Cal.App.4th 1218, 1240-1241; In re Karen G. (2004) 121 Cal.App.4th 1384, 1390; In re Albert G. (2003) 113 Cal.App.4th 132, 135; In re Dani R. (2001) 89 Cal.App.4th 402, 405-406; In re Jessica K. (2000) 79 Cal.App.4th 1313, 1315-1316.)

The appeal is dismissed.

# NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

TURNER, P.J. We concur:

BAKER, J.

KUMAR, J.\*

<sup>\*</sup> Judge of the Los Angeles Superior Court, assigned by the Chief Justice pursuant to article VI, section 6 of the California Constitution.