

**NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS**

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION SIX

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

MAURICE BAYLESS,

Defendant and Appellant.

2d Crim. No. B288066  
(Super. Ct. Nos. BA458125  
& BA429542)  
(Los Angeles County)

Maurice Bayless appeals from the judgment entered after a jury convicted him of corporal injury on a cohabitant with great bodily injury (Pen. Code, §§ 273.5, subd. (a), 12022.7, subd. (a))<sup>1</sup>, and stalking (§ 646.9, subd. (a)) in case no. BA458125. The trial court sentenced to six years eight months state prison. In case no. BA429542, the trial court revoked appellant's probation on a prior conviction for assault likely to produce great bodily injury (§ 245, subd. (a)(4)) and sentenced appellant to one year state prison, to be served consecutive to the six year eight month

---

<sup>1</sup> All statutory references are to the Penal Code.

sentence in case no. BA458125. Appellant was ordered to pay various fines and assessments, including victim restitution.

We appointed counsel to represent appellant in this appeal. After counsel's examination of the record, counsel filed an opening brief that raised no arguable issues. On October 10, 2018, we advised appellant by mail that he had 30 days within which to submit any contentions or issues that he wished to raise on appeal. Appellant did not respond.

We have reviewed the entire record which indicates that appellant pushed and attacked his girlfriend, breaking her nose. After appellant moved out, he stalked and harassed the victim, and said he still had a key to her apartment. We are satisfied that appellant's attorney fully complied with her responsibilities and that no arguable issues exist. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, 443; *People v. Kelly* (2006) 40 Cal.4th 106, 126.)

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED.

YEGAN, J.

We concur:

GILBERT, P. J.

TANGEMAN, J.

Norm Shapiro, Judge

Superior Court County of Los Angeles

---

Maura F. Thorpe, under appointment by the Court of  
Appeal for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Respondent.