

**NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS**

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION SIX

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

TRAVIS ERICK THREADGILL,

Defendant and Appellant.

2d Crim. No. B258977  
(Super. Ct. Nos. 2011019810, 2012016405,  
2012029647, 2012043175, 2012044348,  
2013039625)  
(Ventura County)

Travis Erick Threadgill pled guilty to possessing methamphetamine for sale (Health & Saf. Code, § 11378) in case number 2011019810, evading an officer (Veh. Code, § 2800.2, subd. (a)) in case numbers 2012016405 and 2012029647, unlawfully driving or taking a vehicle (*id.* at § 10851, subd. (a)) in case numbers 2012016405, 2012029647, and 2012043175, possessing methamphetamine (Health & Saf. Code, § 11377, subd. (a)) in case number 2012044348, and grand theft auto (Pen. Code, § 487, subd. (d)(1)) and identity theft (*id.* at § 530.5, subd. (a)) in case number 2013039625. He admitted several prior conviction allegations, including prior convictions for grand theft auto. (*Id.* at § 666.5.) The trial court sentenced him to an aggregate term of 10 years in prison. The court selected the conviction for grand theft auto as the principal term and imposed the mid-term sentence of three years. It imposed consecutive one-year terms for each of the three convictions for unlawfully driving or taking a vehicle and consecutive eight-month terms for the convictions for possessing methamphetamine for sale, evading

an officer in case number 2012029647, and possessing methamphetamine. An additional two years were imposed for the prior prison term enhancements. The sentences on the other convictions were run concurrently and the remaining enhancement allegations were stricken.

Appointed counsel filed a brief raising no issues and requesting our independent review pursuant to *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436. On March 19, 2015, we notified Threadgill that he had 30 days in which to advise us of any claims he wished us to consider. No response has been received.

We have reviewed the entire record and are satisfied that Threadgill's attorney has fully complied with his responsibilities and that no arguable issues exist. (*People v. Kelly* (2006) 40 Cal.4th 106, 123-124; *People v. Wende, supra*, 25 Cal.3d at pp. 441-442.)

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED.

PERREN, J.

We concur:

GILBERT, P. J.

YEGAN, J.

Ryan Wright, Judge

Superior Court County of Ventura

---

California Appellate Project, Jonathan B. Steiner, Executive Director, and  
Richard B. Lennon, Staff Attorney, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for  
Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.