Filed 9/29/17 P. v. Lee CA2/5

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION FIVE

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

JAY JAY LEE,

Defendant and Appellant.

B281274

(Los Angeles County Super. Ct. No. TA140282)

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of Los Angeles County, H. Clay Jacke II, Judge. Affirmed.

Caitlin M. Plummer, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.

INTRODUCTION

A jury convicted defendant Jay Jay Lee of attempted murder. (Pen. Code, § 664, 187, subd. (a).) The jury found defendant personally inflicted great bodily injury and personally used a deadly weapon. (§ 12022.7, subd. (a); 12022, subd. (b)(1).) The trial court found true but then struck a prior separate prison term allegation. (§ 667.5, subd. (b).) The trial court sentenced defendant to 13 years in state prison.

Defendant's appointed counsel filed an opening brief in accordance with *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436 requesting this court to independently review the record to determine if there are any arguable issues. On August 22, 2017, we gave notice to defendant that appointed appellate counsel had failed to find any arguable issues and defendant had 30 days within which to submit by brief or letter any grounds of appeal, contentions, or arguments he wished this court to consider. Defendant did not file a brief or letter. We affirm.

DISCUSSION

We have reviewed the record and are satisfied that defendant's counsel has fully complied with her responsibilities and no arguable issues exist. (*People v*.

¹ Further statutory references are to the Penal Code.

Wende, supra, 25 Cal.3d at p. 441.) Accordingly, we affirm the judgment.

DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

We concur:	RAPHAEL, J.*
KRIEGLER, Acting P.J.	
BAKER, J.	

^{*} Judge of the Los Angeles Superior Court, assigned by the Chief Justice pursuant to article VI, section 6 of the California Constitution.