NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.111.5.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION SIX

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

DARNELL HEDGEMAN,

Defendant and Appellant.

2d Crim. No. B270844 (Super. Ct. No. SA078285-04) (Los Angeles County)

Darnell Hedgeman appeals his conviction by plea to second degree robbery (Pen. Code, §211)¹ with a firearm enhancement (§ 12022, subd. (a)(1)), entered pursuant to a negotiated plea in which appellant was sentenced to six years state prison. Appellant was ordered to pay, among other things, victim restitution (§ 1202.4, subd. (f)), a \$400 restitution fine (§ 1202.4, subd. (b)), a \$400 parole revocation fine (§ 1202.45), a \$40 court operations fee (§ 1465.8, subd. (a)(1)), a \$30 criminal conviction assessment (Gov. Code, § 70373), and a \$10 crime prevention fine (§ 1202.5).

We appointed counsel to represent appellant on this appeal. After examination of the record, counsel filed an opening brief in which no issues were raised.

¹ All statutory references are to the Penal Code.

On June 6, 2016, we advised appellant that he had 30 days within which to personally submit any contentions or issues which he wished us to consider. We have received no response from appellant.

The probation report reflects that appellant was the driver of a car used in the armed robbery of a Home Depot store in Hawthorne on July 26, 2011. Pursuant to the negotiated plea, the trial court dismissed armed robbery and kidnapping counts regarding the second robbery of a Home Depot on May 4, 2009.

We have examined the entire record and are satisfied that appellant's attorney has fully complied with his responsibilities and that no arguable issues exist. (*People* v. *Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, 441; *People* v. *Kelly* (2006) 40 Cal.4th 106, 125-126.)

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED.

YEGAN, J.

We concur:

GILBERT, P. J.

PERREN, J

Stephen A. Marcus, Judge

Superi	or Co	art Coi	unty of	f Los A	Angel	les

Richard M. Doctoroff, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance by Plaintiff and Respondent.