

**NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS**

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION SIX

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

EUGENE EVERAL BAIRD,

Defendant and Appellant.

2d Crim. No.B283244  
(Super. Ct. No. 2015026530)  
(Ventura County)

Eugene Everal Baird pled guilty to two counts of commercial burglary (Pen. Code § 459<sup>1</sup>) and admitted to having served five prior prison terms (§ 667.5, subd. (b)).

Baird was charged with the burglaries in Ventura County in August 2015. Prior to trial, however, he was incarcerated in Los Angeles County on an unrelated charge. Baird made demands for trial in Ventura County and the People obtained court orders requiring Baird to be produced in Ventura

---

<sup>1</sup> All statutory references are to the Penal Code unless otherwise stated.

County. Baird was not produced in Ventura County until May 2017.

Baird filed a motion to dismiss for violation of his right to a speedy trial. The trial court denied the motion and Baird pled guilty to the charges and admitted the prior prison term allegations.

On one burglary count, the trial court imposed a two year term to run concurrently with a sentence Baird was serving in another county. On the other burglary count, the court imposed an eight month term to run consecutively to the other counts. The court struck the prior prison term enhancements.

We appointed counsel to represent Baird in this appeal. After counsel's examination of the record, he filed an opening brief raising no issues.

On September 6, 2017, we advised Baird that he had 30 days within which to personally submit any contentions or issues he wished to raise on appeal. He responded with three letter briefs.

Baird complains that his right to a speedy trial under section 1381 was violated. But a defendant's right to a speedy trial is not reviewable on appeal after a guilty plea. (6 Witkin & Epstein, Cal. Criminal Law (4th ed. 2012) Criminal Appeal, § 19, p. 289.)

Baird raises numerous other issues without supporting argument, citation to authority or citation to the record. We treat those issues as waived. (See *People v. Stanley* (1995) 10 Cal.4th 764, 793.)

We have reviewed the entire record and are satisfied that Baird's attorney has fully complied with his responsibilities

and that no arguable issue exists. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, 441.)

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED.

GILBERT, P. J.

We concur:

PERREN, J.

TANGEMAN, J.

Charles W. Campbell, Judge  
Superior Court County of Ventura

---

Jonathan B. Steiner, under appointment by the Court  
of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.