

**NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS**

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION FIVE

In re VINCENT L., a person  
Coming Under the Juvenile  
Court Law.

B296105  
(Los Angeles County  
Super. Ct. No. DK22502A)

LOS ANGELES COUNTY  
DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN  
AND FAMILY SERVICES,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

VINCENT L.,

Minor and Appellant.

DESIREE V.,

Defendant and Respondent.

Appeal from an order of the Superior Court of Los Angeles County, Michael E. Whitaker, Judge. Dismissed.

Marissa Coffey, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Minor and Appellant.

Aida Aslanian, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Respondent.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.

---

Appellant and minor Vincent L. appeals from a March 1, 2019 juvenile court order extending reunification services for defendant and respondent Desiree V. (mother) for an additional six months. After appellate briefing was completed and while Vincent's appeal was pending, on September 6, 2019, the juvenile court terminated mother's reunification services and scheduled a selection and implementation hearing under Welfare and Institutions Code section 366.26.

On September 10, 2019, we provided the parties an opportunity to address whether we should take judicial notice of the September 6, 2019 order and dismiss the appeal as moot. In separate letter briefs, counsel for mother and Vincent both concede that this court can no longer provide Vincent with any effective relief on appeal. The Los Angeles County Department of Children and Family Services did not file a letter brief in response.

“An appeal becomes moot when, through no fault of the respondent, the occurrence of an event renders it

impossible for the appellate court to grant the appellant effective relief. [Citations.]’ (*In re Esperanza C.* (2008) 165 Cal.App.4th 1042, 1054.)” (*In re Anna S.* (2010) 180 Cal.App.4th 1489, 1498.)

Because the juvenile court has already terminated mother’s reunification services, this court cannot grant any effective relief on appeal. Taking judicial notice of the minute order entered on September 6, 2019, (Evid. Code, § 452, subd. (d)), we dismiss the current appeal as moot.

### **DISPOSITION**

The appeal is dismissed.

MOOR, J.

We concur:

RUBIN, P. J.

BAKER, J.