

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION SIX

In re T.Y. and B.Y., Persons Coming
Under the Juvenile Court Law.

2d Juv. No. B242015
(Super. Ct. No. JV44539)
(San Luis Obispo County)

SAN LUIS OBISPO DEPARTMENT OF
SOCIAL SERVICES,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

REBECCA B.,

Defendant and Appellant.

Rebecca B., appeals from an April 20, 2012 order terminating parental rights to her 18-month-old twin children, T.Y. and B.Y. (Welf. & Inst. Code, § 366.26.) We appointed counsel to represent appellant on appeal.

On August 13, 2012, counsel filed a brief in which no arguable issues were raised. On August 14, 2012, we notified appellant that she had 30 days within which to submit any contentions that she wished us to consider, and that the appeal would be dismissed in the absence of any arguable issues. (*In re Phoenix H.* (2009) 47 Cal.4th 835; *In re Sade C.* (1996) 13 Cal.4th 952.)

Appellant responded with a supplemental brief letter stating that the San Luis Obispo County Department of Social Services withheld exculpatory evidence (*Brady v. Maryland* (1963) 373 U.S. 83 [10 L.Ed.2d 215], that appellant was denied effective assistance of trial and appellate counsel, and that the trial court abused its discretion. These contentions are not supported by the record (*Strickland v. Washington* (1984) 466 U.S. 668, 688 [80 L.Ed.2d 674, 693]; *In re Emilye A.* (1992) 9 Cal.App.4th 1695, 1711) nor has appellant made any showing that exculpatory evidence was withheld.

The record shows that appellant suffers from substance abuse and mental health issues, abused drugs before and after the birth of the children, is unable to care for or support the children, and is struggling to address her substance abuse and mental health problems. Appellant's love for her children and her desire to remain clean and sober are not sufficient reasons to reverse the juvenile court's order. (See e.g., *In re Beatrice M.* (1994) 29 Cal.App.4th 1411; *In re Bailey J.* (2010) 189 Cal.App.4th 1308.) Our review of the record discloses that the juvenile court's findings are supported by substantial evidence and the court properly exercised its discretion in terminating parental rights and selecting adoption as the permanent plan for the children. (*In re Bailey J., supra*, 189 Cal.App.4th at p. 1314.)

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED.

YEGAN, J.

We concur:

GILBERT, P.J.

PERREN, J.

Ginger E. Garrett, Judge
Superior Court County of San Luis Obispo

Deborah Dentler, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Appellant.

No. appearance for Respondent.