

**NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS**

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.
---

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION SIX

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

RANDALL A. EDWARDS,

Defendant and Appellant.

2d Crim. No. B276166  
(Super. Ct. No. NA041553-01)  
(Los Angeles County)

Randall A. Edwards appeals an order denying his petition for resentencing. (Pen. Code, § 1170.18.) The trial court ruled that his in pro. per. petition for resentencing was duplicative of a prior pending petition for resentencing filed by his counsel.

We appointed counsel to represent him on this appeal. After examination of the record, his counsel filed an opening brief requesting the court to make an independent review under *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436.

Edwards contends he has received ineffective assistance by his counsel. But where ““the record on appeal sheds no light on why counsel acted or failed to act in the manner challenged,”” as here, then a “claim of ineffective assistance in such a case is more appropriately decided in a habeas corpus proceeding.” (*People v. Mendoza Tello* (1997) 15 Cal.4th 264, 266-267.) After examination of the record, we are satisfied that appellant's attorney has fully complied with his responsibilities and that no arguable issues exist. (*People v. Wende, supra*, 25 Cal.3d at pp. 441, 443.)

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED.

GILBERT, P. J.

We concur:

YEGAN, J.

PERREN, J.

William C. Ryan, Judge

Superior Court County of Los Angeles

---

Stephen Borgo, under appointment by the Court of  
Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.