NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION EIGHT

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

ANDRE BROWN,

Defendant and Appellant.

B287402

(Los Angeles County Super. Ct. No. MA071029)

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of Los
Angeles County. Frank M. Tavelman, Judge. Affirmed.

David M. Thompson, under appointment by the Court of

David M. Thompson, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance by Plaintiff and Respondent.

Defendant Andre Brown appeals his conviction, following a no contest plea, of felony vandalism (Pen. Code, § 594) and misdemeanor domestic violence (Pen. Code, § 273.5). Defendant appealed from the denial of his motion to withdraw his plea, and did not obtain a certificate of probable cause allowing him to pursue any other arguments on appeal. (See *People v. Espinoza* (2018) 22 Cal.App.5th 794, 799.)

On August 24, 2018, defendant's appointed counsel filed a brief pursuant to *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436 (*Wende*). The brief included a declaration that counsel would write to defendant, explaining the brief counsel was filing, and informing defendant of his right to file a supplemental brief. This court sent defendant a notice advising him that a *Wende* brief had been filed and that he had 30 days to submit a brief or letter raising any issues he wished us to consider. Defendant did not file a supplemental brief.

We have examined the entire record and are satisfied that defendant's attorney has fully complied with his responsibilities and that no arguable issues exist. (*Wende, supra,* 25 Cal.3d 436.) Specifically, nothing in the appellate record indicates that defendant had a legitimate basis on which to pursue the motion to withdraw his plea.

DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.

RUBIN, ACTING P. J.

WE CONCUR:

GRIMES, J.

STRATTON, J.