

**NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS**

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT  
DIVISION SIX

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

KENNETH CHRISTOPHER MONROE,

Defendant and Appellant.

2d Crim. No. B271595  
(Super. Ct. No. KA037267)  
(Los Angeles County)

Kenneth Christopher Monroe appeals from an order denying a petition to reduce his felony conviction to a misdemeanor under Penal Code section 1170.18<sup>1</sup> (Proposition 47).

In June 1997, Monroe paid for a vehicle using two checks in the amount of \$5,000 and \$9,566.42 without sufficient funds. In September 1997, Monroe pleaded guilty to one count of fraudulently making, drawing, uttering, or delivering checks with insufficient funds (§ 476a, subd. (a)). The trial court sentenced him to three years state prison with 455 days of custody credit, and it imposed various fines and fees.

In March 2013, Monroe filed a petition to reduce his conviction to a misdemeanor under Proposition 47. The trial court denied the petition, finding Monroe did not meet the criteria for relief because the amount of the checks exceeded \$950. (See §§ 1170.18, subd. (a), 476a, subd. (b).)

---

<sup>1</sup> All further statutory references are to the Penal Code.

We appointed counsel to represent Monroe in this appeal. After counsel's examination of the record, he filed an opening brief raising no issues. On August 8, 2016, we advised Monroe by mail that he had 30 days within which to personally submit any contentions or issues that he wished to raise on appeal. We have not received a response.

We have reviewed the entire record and are satisfied that Monroe's attorney has fully complied with his responsibilities and that no arguable issue exists. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, 441.)

The order is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED.

TANGEMAN, J.

We concur:

GILBERT, P. J.

PERREN, J.

Salvatore T. Sirna, Judge

Superior Court County of Los Angeles

---

Brad Kaiserman, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant  
and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.