

**NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS**

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.111.5.
--

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION SIX

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

DARRYL BROWN,

Defendant and Appellant.

2d Crim. No. B278742  
(Super. Ct. No. BA442846)  
(Los Angeles County)

Darryl Brown appeals his conviction by plea to second degree robbery. (Pen. Code, § 212.5, subd. (c).)<sup>1</sup> Pursuant to the negotiated plea, appellant admitted a prior strike conviction (§§ 667, subds. (b) - (i), 1170.12, subds. (a) - (d)) and a prior serious felony conviction (§ 667, subd. (a)(1)), and was sentenced to nine years state prison. Appellant was ordered to pay, among other things, a \$300 restitution fine (§ 1202.4, subd. (b)), a \$300 parole revocation fine (§ 1202.45), a \$40 court

---

<sup>1</sup> All statutory references are to the Penal Code unless otherwise stated.

operations assessment (§ 1465.8, subd. (a)(1)), a \$30 criminal conviction assessment (Gov. Code, § 70373), and a \$10 crime prevention fine (§ 1202.5).

We appointed counsel to represent appellant on this appeal. After examination of the record, counsel filed an opening brief in which no issues were raised. On May 4, 2017, we advised appellant that he had 30 days within which to personally submit any contentions or issues which he wished us to consider. We have received no response from appellant.

The probation report and preliminary hearing transcript reflect that appellant pretended to give a gift to a female cashier at an all-night gas station, threw the victim on the floor, and took about \$1,800 from the cash register. Before fleeing the gas station, an unidentified male accomplice sexually assaulted the victim.

We have examined the entire record and are satisfied that appellant's attorney has fully complied with her responsibilities and that no arguable issues exist. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, 443; *People v. Kelly* (2006) 40 Cal.4th 106, 125-126.)

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED.

YEGAN, Acting P. J.

We concur:

PERREN, J.

TANGEMAN, J.

Laura F. Priver, Judge

Superior Court County of Los Angeles

---

Janet Uson, under appointment by the Court of  
Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Respondent.