

**NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS**

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION ONE

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

ROBERT MANNING,

Defendant and Appellant.

B278247

(Los Angeles County  
Super. Ct. No. MA061933)

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of Los Angeles County, Christopher G. Estes, Judge. Affirmed.

Andrea Keith, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.

---

In 1981, Robert Manning suffered two separate misdemeanor convictions for each of which he was sentenced to a few months in jail and granted probation. From 1987 to 2012, he suffered seven felony convictions for drug and firearms offenses, and served seven separate prison terms. On February 15, 2012, he was arrested for possession of methamphetamine for sale. (Health & Saf. Code, § 11378.) On September 16, 2012, while the possession charge was pending, he was arrested for felony evading a police officer in a reckless manner. (Veh. Code, § 2800.2, subd. (a).) Manning was convicted on that charge and served almost two years in prison, while his possession charge was abated. After his release, Manning pleaded no contest to the possession charge and was sentenced to five years in prison. The trial court suspended the sentence and ordered Manning to serve three years of probation.

Three months later, Manning violated his probation by failing to report to his probation officer. A bench warrant was issued for his arrest, and in April 2016, he was arrested for felony evading a police officer in a reckless manner. On September 9, 2016, Manning admitted to violating probation. The trial court revoked probation and imposed the five-year sentence on the possession conviction.

Manning timely appealed.

We appointed counsel to represent Manning on appeal. After examination of the record, appointed counsel filed an opening brief raising no issues and asking this court to review the record independently. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, 441-442.) On April 19, 2017, we sent letters to Manning and appointed counsel, directing counsel to immediately forward the appellate record to Manning and advising Manning that within

30 days he could personally submit any contentions or issues that he wished us to consider. He has not responded.

We have examined the entire record and find no arguable issue exists. We are therefore satisfied that Manning's attorney complied with her responsibilities. (*People v. Wende, supra*, 25 Cal.3d at p. 441.)

**DISPOSITION**

The judgment is affirmed.  
NOT TO BE PUBLISHED.

CHANNEY, J.

We concur:

ROTHSCHILD, P. J.

JOHNSON, J.