

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION FIVE

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

CHRISTOPHER DAVID DEHUFF,

Defendant and Appellant.

B239894

(Los Angeles County
Super. Ct. No. PA028453)

APPEAL from an order of the Superior Court of Los Angeles County, David B, Gelfound, Judge. Dismissed.

Richard B. Lennon, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance on behalf of Plaintiff and Respondent.

Defendant, Christopher David DeHuff, purports to appeal from a February 24, 2012 postjudgment order denying his motion to quash a detainer. Because the postjudgment order did not appear to be appealable, we issued an order to show cause re: dismissal. We have a duty to raise issues concerning our jurisdiction on our own motion. (*Jennings v. Marralle* (1994) 8 Cal.4th 121, 126; *Olson v. Cory* (1983) 35 Cal.3d 390, 398.) We allowed the parties to brief the issue and permitted the matter to be argued. The postjudgment appeal from the denial of the motion to quash is not appealable. (Pen. Code, § 1237, subd. (b); see *People v. Hopkins* (2009) 171 Cal.App.4th 305, 308; *People v. Gainer* (1982) 133 Cal.App.3d 636, 642; *People v. Soukup* (1983) 141 Cal.App.3d 858, 863 disapproved on other grounds in *In re Bakke* (1986) 42 Cal.3d 84, 88 641; *People v. Niren* (1978) 76 Cal.App.3d 850, 851; 6 Witkin & Epstein, Cal. Criminal Law (3d ed. 2000) Criminal Appeal, § 59, pp. 304-305.)

The appeal is dismissed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

TURNER, P. J.

We concur:

ARMSTRONG, J.

KRIEGLER, J.