

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION ONE

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

EDWARD A. SANDERS,

Defendant and Appellant.

B259305

(Los Angeles County
Super. Ct. No. KA102299)

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of Los Angeles County, Mike Camacho, Judge. Affirmed.

Eric Cioffi, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.

In June 2013, Edward Sanders was arrested after he robbed a convenience store, and his car was searched. At trial, defendant's motion to exclude evidence produced by the search was denied (Pen. Code, § 1538.5),¹ after which he pleaded no contest to receiving stolen property (§ 496, subd. (a)), carrying a loaded firearm (§ 25850, subd. (a)), robbery (§ 211), and possession of a firearm by a felon (§ 29800, subd. (a)(1)). He also admitted using a firearm in commission of the crimes and having suffered prior convictions. Defendant was sentenced to a total of 14 years in state prison, comprising the midterm of three years on the base robbery offense plus enhancements of 10 years for the gun use and one year for a prior prison term. He was assessed various fines and given custody credits of 493 days.

On August 28, 2014, defendant moved to recall the sentence, claiming the trial court had not properly considered letters offered in support of mitigation for sentencing purposes. The trial court denied the motion. Defendant timely appealed.

We appointed counsel to represent defendant on appeal, but after examination of the record counsel filed an opening brief raising no issues and asking this court to review the record independently pursuant to *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436. On June 9, 2015, we informed defendant he had 30 days to submit any contentions or issues he wished us to consider. We also directed his appointed counsel to send the record and opening brief to him immediately. We received no response.

We have examined the entire record and are satisfied that defendant's appointed counsel has fully complied with his responsibilities and that no arguable issues exist. (*People v. Kelly* (2006) 40 Cal.4th 106, 109-110; *People v. Wende, supra*, 25 Cal.3d at p. 441.) Further, defendant's guilty plea and failure to obtain a certificate of probable cause limit the potential scope of his appeal to "[g]rounds that arose after entry of the plea and do not affect the plea's validity" or "[t]he denial of a motion to suppress evidence under Penal Code section 1538.5." (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.304(b); see § 1237.5.) No such issues exist.

¹ Undesignated statutory references will be to the Penal Code.

DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED.

CHANEY, J.

We concur:

ROTHSCHILD, P. J.

LUI, J.