

## Lesson 9

José M. Corcuera.  
University of Barcelona.

# The Itô integral

- If  $H \in \mathcal{S}$  (linear space of simple predictable process),

$$H_t = h_0 \mathbf{1}_{\{0\}} + \sum_{i=1}^n h_{i-1} \mathbf{1}_{(t_{i-1}, t_i]}(t), \quad h_{i-1} \text{ bounded and } \mathcal{F}_{t_{i-1}}\text{-measurable.}$$

$0 = t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_n = T$ , we define

$$I(H) := \int_0^T H_s dW_s := \sum_{i=1}^n h_{i-1} (W_{t_i} - W_{t_{i-1}})$$

# The Itô integral

- If  $H \in \mathcal{S}$  (linear space of simple predictable process),

$$H_t = h_0 \mathbf{1}_{\{0\}} + \sum_{i=1}^n h_{i-1} \mathbf{1}_{(t_{i-1}, t_i]}(t), \quad h_{i-1} \text{ bounded and } \mathcal{F}_{t_{i-1}}\text{-measurable.}$$

$0 = t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_n = T$ , we define

$$I(H) := \int_0^T H_s dW_s := \sum_{i=1}^n h_{i-1} (W_{t_i} - W_{t_{i-1}})$$

- Linear map from  $\mathcal{S}$  to  $\mathcal{M}$  (square integrable r.v.).  $I(H)$  is an isometry. If  $H$  and  $L \in \mathcal{S}$ ,

# The Itô integral

- If  $H \in \mathcal{S}$  (linear space of simple predictable process),

$$H_t = h_0 \mathbf{1}_{\{0\}} + \sum_{i=1}^n h_{i-1} \mathbf{1}_{(t_{i-1}, t_i]}(t), \quad h_{i-1} \text{ bounded and } \mathcal{F}_{t_{i-1}}\text{-measurable.}$$

$0 = t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_n = T$ , we define

$$I(H) := \int_0^T H_s dW_s := \sum_{i=1}^n h_{i-1} (W_{t_i} - W_{t_{i-1}})$$

- Linear map from  $\mathcal{S}$  to  $\mathcal{M}$  (square integrable r.v.).  $I(H)$  is an isometry. If  $H$  and  $L \in \mathcal{S}$ ,
- 

$$\begin{aligned} \langle I(H), I(L) \rangle_{\mathcal{M}} &: = \mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^T H_s dW_s \int_0^T L_s dW_s \right) \\ &= \int_0^T \mathbb{E}(H_s L_s) ds := \langle H, L \rangle_{\mathcal{S}} \end{aligned}$$

- Then we can extend the map from  $\mathcal{S}$  to  $\mathcal{H}$ :

$$\mathcal{H} = \left\{ H, \text{ measurable and } \mathbb{F}\text{-adapted with } \|H\|^2 := \int_0^T \mathbb{E}(H_s^2) ds < \infty \right\}$$

by

$$\int_0^T H_s dW_s = \mathbb{L}^2\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T H_s^n dW_s$$

where  $H^n \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $\|H^n - H\|^2 \rightarrow 0$  when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , since  $\mathcal{S}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{H}$  with the norm  $\|\cdot\|$ .

# The indefinite Itô integral

The "corresponding" definition is

$$\int_0^t H_s dW_s = \int_0^T H_s \mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}(s) dW_s := I(H)_t,$$

for  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ .

## Theorem

$I(H)_t, 0 \leq t \leq T$  is a martingale.

## Proof.

If  $H \in \mathcal{S}$ ,  $I(H)_t = \sum_{i=1}^n h_{i-1}(W_{t_i \wedge t} - W_{t_{i-1} \wedge t})$  and it is a "martingale transform". If  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(H^n)_t \stackrel{\mathbb{L}^2}{=} I(H)_t$ , then, by the Jensen inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left( (\mathbb{E} (I(H)_t | \mathcal{F}_s) - I(H^n)_s)^2 \right) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left( (\mathbb{E} (I(H)_t | \mathcal{F}_s) - \mathbb{E} (I(H^n)_t | \mathcal{F}_s))^2 \right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \left( \mathbb{E} \left( (I(H)_t - I(H^n)_t)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_s \right) \right) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left( (I(H)_t - I(H^n)_t)^2 \right) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

and by the a.s. uniqueness of the  $\mathbb{L}^2$ -limit we have that  $\mathbb{E} (I(H)_t | \mathcal{F}_s) = I(H)_s$ , a.s.



The Doob inequality for continuous (square integrable) martingales establishes that

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} M_t^2 \right) \leq 4 \mathbb{E} (M_T^2)$$

and this implies that we have uniform  $\mathbb{L}^2$  convergence to  $(I(H)_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ , uniform convergence in probability (by Chebyshev) and there exist a subsequence that converges uniformly and almost surely to  $I(H)_t$ . Now, since, as we have seen above,  $I(H^n)_t$  is a continuous process we can obtain a continuous version of  $I(H)_t$ . (a uniform limit of a sequence of continuous function is continuous).



## Lemma

If  $A \in \mathcal{F}_t$  for all  $H \in \mathcal{H}$

$$\int_0^T \mathbf{1}_A H_s \mathbf{1}_{(t, T]}(s) dW_s = \mathbf{1}_A \int_t^T H_s dW_s.$$

## Proof.

If  $H \in \mathcal{S}$  it is obvious. Now if  $H^n \in \mathcal{S} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{L}^2} H \in \mathcal{M}$  we have that

$$\int_0^T \mathbf{1}_A H_s^n \mathbf{1}_{(t, T]}(s) dW_s = \mathbf{1}_A \int_t^T H_s^n dW_s \xrightarrow{\mathbb{L}^2} \mathbf{1}_A \int_0^T H_s \mathbf{1}_{(t, T]}(s) dW_s$$

and by the uniqueness of the  $\mathbb{L}^2$ -limit we have the result. □

## Theorem

*Let  $\tau$  be a stopping time then for all  $H \in \mathcal{H}$*

$$\int_0^{T \wedge \tau} H_s dW_s = \int_0^T H_s \mathbf{1}_{[0, \tau]}(s) dW_s.$$

## Proof.

If  $\tau$  is deterministic is obvious. If  $\tau = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i \mathbf{1}_{A_i}$ , where  $\{A_i, i = 1, \dots, n\}$  is a partition of  $\Omega$  with  $A_i \mathcal{F}_{t_i}$ -measurable, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{T \wedge \tau} H_s dW_s \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{A_i} \int_0^{t_i} H_s dW_s = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{A_i} \left( \int_0^T H_s dW_s - \int_0^T H_s \mathbf{1}_{(t_i, T]}(s) dW_s \right) \\ &= \int_0^T H_s dW_s - \int_0^T H_s \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{A_i} \mathbf{1}_{(t_i, T]}(s) dW_s \text{ (by the Lemma)} \\ &= \int_0^T H_s dW_s - \int_0^T H_s \mathbf{1}_{(\tau, T]}(s) dW_s = \int_0^T H_s \mathbf{1}_{[0, \tau]}(s) dW_s \end{aligned}$$



## Proof.

For arbitrary  $\tau$  we can take  $\tau_n := \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \frac{(k+1)T}{2^n} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\frac{kT}{2^n} < \tau \leq \frac{(k+1)T}{2^n}\right\}}$  then  $\tau_n$  is a stopping time of the previous form and  $\tau_n \downarrow \tau$ , a.s., consequently, fixed  $\omega$ ,

$$\int_0^{T \wedge \tau} H_s dW_s = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{T \wedge \tau_n} H_s dW_s = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T H_s \mathbf{1}_{[0, \tau_n]}(s) dW_s,$$

also, by the isometry property and by the dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left( \left( \int_0^T H_s \mathbf{1}_{[0, \tau]}(s) dW_s - \int_0^T H_s \mathbf{1}_{[0, \tau_n]}(s) dW_s \right)^2 \right) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^T H_s^2 \mathbf{1}_{(\tau, \tau_n]}(s) ds \right) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0. \end{aligned}$$

So  $\int_0^T H_s \mathbf{1}_{[0, \tau]}(s) dW_s = \mathbb{L}^2\text{-}\lim \int_0^T H_s \mathbf{1}_{[0, \tau_n]}(s) dW_s$ . Now, by the uniqueness of the limit in probability we obtain the result. □

Now we want to extend the Itô integral to the set of processes

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \left\{ H, \text{ measurable and } \mathbb{F}\text{-adapted with } \int_0^T H_s^2 ds < \infty, \text{ a.s.} \right\}.$$

Consider the sequence of stopping times

$\tau_n := \inf \left\{ 0 \leq t \leq T, \int_0^t H_s^2 ds = n \right\}$ ,  $\tau_n = T$  if this set is empty. Note that  $\tau_n(\omega) = T$  on the set  $A_n = \left\{ \int_0^T H_s^2 ds < n \right\}$  and that  $A_n \uparrow \Omega \setminus \mathcal{N}$  for all  $H \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ .

Then we define, for  $H \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ ,

$$I(H)_t(\omega) := \left( \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t H_s \mathbf{1}_{[0, \tau_k]}(s) dW_s \right) (\omega).$$

Note that  $\|H_s \mathbf{1}_{[0, \tau_k]}(s)\| \leq k$ , that is  $H \cdot \mathbf{1}_{[0, \tau_k]}(\cdot) \in \mathcal{H}$ .

We can see that this limit is well define for all  $\omega$  w.p.1. Let  $\omega$  be in  $A_n$ , then  $\tau_n(\omega) = T$  and we have that

$$\begin{aligned} I(H)_t(\omega) &: = \left( \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_n(\omega)} H_s \mathbf{1}_{[0, \tau_k]}(s) dW_s \right) (\omega) \\ &= \left( \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t H_s \mathbf{1}_{[0, \tau_n]}(s) \mathbf{1}_{[0, \tau_k]}(s) dW_s \right) (\omega) \\ &= \left( \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_k(\omega)} H_s \mathbf{1}_{[0, \tau_n]}(s) dW_s \right) (\omega). \\ &= \left( \int_0^t H_s \mathbf{1}_{[0, \tau_n]}(s) dW_s \right) (\omega). \end{aligned}$$

and if  $m > n$  and  $\omega$  is in  $A_n$  (then  $\tau_n(\omega) = T$ ), since  $\tau_m > \tau_n$

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_0^t H_s \mathbf{1}_{[0, \tau_m]}(s) dW_s \right) (\omega) &= \left( \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_n(\omega)} H_s \mathbf{1}_{[0, \tau_m]}(s) dW_s \right) (\omega) \\ &= \left( \int_0^t H_s \mathbf{1}_{[0, \tau_n]}(s) \mathbf{1}_{[0, \tau_m]}(s) dW_s \right) (\omega) \\ &= \left( \int_0^t H_s \mathbf{1}_{[0, \tau_n]}(s) dW_s \right) (\omega). \end{aligned}$$

and the limit is defined in a consistent way.

Finally this integral is an extension of the previous integral: if  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $\omega \in A_n$

$$\begin{aligned} I(H)_t(\omega) &= \left( \int_0^t H_s \mathbf{1}_{[0, \tau_n]}(s) dW_s \right) (\omega) \\ &= \left( \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_n(\omega)} H_s dW_s \right) (\omega) = \left( \int_0^{t \wedge T} H_s dW_s \right) (\omega) \\ &= \left( \int_0^t H_s dW_s \right) (\omega). \end{aligned}$$



The process  $I(H)_t$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq T$  is a local martingale!!: take the sequence  $(\tau_n)_{n \geq 1}$  defined above, then

$$\begin{aligned} I(H)_{t \wedge \tau_n} &= \left( \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_n(\omega)} H_s \mathbf{1}_{[0, \tau_k]}(s) dW_s \right) \\ &= \left( \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t H_s \mathbf{1}_{[0, \tau_n]}(s) \mathbf{1}_{[0, \tau_k]}(s) dW_s \right) \\ &= \left( \int_0^t H_s \mathbf{1}_{[0, \tau_n]}(s) dW_s \right). \end{aligned}$$

and since  $H \cdot \mathbf{1}_{[0, \tau_n]}(\cdot) \in \mathcal{H}$  we have that  $I(H)_{\cdot \wedge \tau_n}$  is a martingale.