

# Chapter 2

## Fourier integrals

### 2.1 Fourier transform and main properties

Consider the space of integrable functions in  $\mathbb{R}$ :

$$L^1(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ f : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} : \|f\|_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(t)| dt < +\infty \right\}.$$

**Definition 4.** Given  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , its *Fourier transform* is the function  $\hat{f} : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) e^{-2\pi i t \xi} dt, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Notice that the Fourier transform is a well-defined and bounded:

$$|\hat{f}(\xi)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(t)| dt = \|f\|_1.$$

As we shall see, this can be roughly interpreted as the content of frequency  $\xi$  in the original signal  $f(t)$ .

*Remark 5.* 1. The Fourier transform can be seen as the limit of the value of the Fourier coefficient of a  $T$ -periodic function as  $T$  tends to  $\infty$ , in the following sense. Assume that  $f \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R})$  (not necessarily periodic). For any  $T$  let  $f_T$  denote the  $T$ -periodic function that coincides with  $f$  on  $(-T/2, T/2)$ . Then, for  $|t| < T/2$

$$f(t) = f_T(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}_T(n) e^{i \frac{2\pi}{T} n t}.$$

Hence

$$f(t) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{T} \left( \int_{-T/2}^{T/2} f(s) e^{-i \frac{2\pi}{T} n s} ds \right) e^{i \frac{2\pi}{T} n t}.$$

Let us try to identify this limit, at least at a formal level. Let  $\xi_n = n/T$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and consider the partition of  $\mathbb{R}$  given by these nodes. In this terms the sum above is

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \int_{-T/2}^{T/2} f(s) e^{-i 2\pi \xi_n s} ds \right) e^{i 2\pi \xi_n t} (\xi_{n+1} - \xi_n).$$

Letting  $T \rightarrow \infty$  in the integral this turns into

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(\xi_n) e^{2\pi i \xi_n t} (\xi_{n+1} - \xi_n),$$

which is a Riemann sum of the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i \xi t} d\xi.$$

Thus, formally, the “inversion formula”

$$f(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i \xi t} d\xi$$

works with the definition of  $\hat{f}$  just given.

2. As in the case of Fourier series, the Fourier transform gives a decomposition of  $f$ . The operator  $f \mapsto \hat{f}$  is sometimes called the *analysis*. Now, instead of only a discrete set of frequencies, as in the Fourier series, we have a continuum. The reconstruction operator  $\hat{f} \mapsto f$  is usually called *synthesis*.

**Proposition 3.** Assume that  $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

1. The Fourier transform is linear:  $(\alpha f + \beta g)^\wedge(\xi) = \alpha \hat{f}(\xi) + \beta \hat{g}(\xi)$ ,  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ .
2. Conjugation:  $(\bar{f})^\wedge(\xi) = \overline{\hat{f}(-\xi)}$ ,  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ .
3. Translations. Let  $\tau_a f(t) = f(t - a)$ . Then

$$(\widehat{\tau_a f})(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi) e^{-2\pi i a \xi} \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}.$$

4. Modulations: Let  $M_a f(t) = f(t) e^{2\pi i a t}$ . Then

$$(\widehat{M_a f})(\xi) = \tau_a \hat{f}(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi - a) \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}.$$

5. Dilations. Given  $\lambda > 0$  let  $D_\lambda f(t) = \frac{1}{\lambda} f(\frac{t}{\lambda})$ . Then

$$\widehat{D_\lambda f}(\xi) = \hat{f}(\lambda \xi) \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}.$$

*Remark 6.* Observe that for any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$\|f\|_1 = \|\tau_a f\|_1 = \|M_a f\|_1 = \|D_\lambda f\|_1.$$

*Proof.* All these properties are straightforward from the definition. For example, translating the variable,

$$(\widehat{\tau_a f})(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t - a) e^{-2\pi i \xi t} dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(s) e^{-2\pi i \xi (s+a)} ds = e^{-2\pi i \xi a} \hat{f}(\xi).$$

□

We gather next some relevant properties of a more analytic nature. For that we need the following application of the Dominated Convergence Theorem (see Annex 2.5).

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . The translations  $\tau_h f$  are continuous in the  $L^1$  norm; that is*

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \|f - \tau_h f\|_1 = 0.$$

*Proof.* Assume first that  $f \in \mathcal{C}_c(\mathbb{R})$ . Then there exist  $A, M > 0$  such that  $|f| \leq M\chi_{[-A, A]}$ . Then, for  $h$  small enough,  $|\tau_h f| \leq M\chi_{[-2A, 2A]} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , and since obviously  $f(t) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \tau_h f(t)$  pointwise, by the Dominated Convergence theorem we deduce the result.

For the general case  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  consider a sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{C}_c(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - f_n\|_1 = 0$ . Given  $\epsilon > 0$  take  $n \geq 1$  big enough so that  $\|f - f_n\|_1 < \epsilon/3$ ; then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - \tau_h f\|_1 &\leq \|f - f_n\|_1 + \|f_n - \tau_h f_n\|_1 + \|\tau_h f_n - f_n\|_1 \\ &= 2\|f - f_n\|_1 + \|f_n - \tau_h f_n\|_1 < \frac{2\epsilon}{3} + \|f_n - \tau_h f_n\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

Once this  $n$  is fixed, take  $\delta > 0$  so that  $\|f_n - \tau_h f_n\|_1 < \epsilon/3$  if  $|h| < \delta$  and finally obtain

$$\|f - \tau_h f\|_1 \leq \frac{2\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} = \epsilon.$$

□

Now we are ready to state and prove the following properties.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Then*

(a)  $\hat{f}$  is uniformly continuous and  $|\hat{f}(\xi)| \leq \|f\|_1$ .

(b) If  $f, f' \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , then

$$\widehat{f'}(\xi) = 2\pi i \xi \hat{f}(\xi) \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(c) If  $tf(t) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  then  $\hat{f}$  is differentiable and

$$(\hat{f})'(\xi) = (-2\pi i t f)^\wedge(\xi) \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(d) Riemann-Lebesgue lemma:  $\lim_{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} \hat{f}(\xi) = 0$ .

(e) Multiplication formula: if  $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \hat{g}(t) dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(t) g(t) dt.$$

*Remark 7.* Property (b) can be applied iteratively; if  $f, f', \dots, f^{(k)} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  we have

$$\widehat{f^{(k)}}(\xi) = (2\pi i \xi)^k \hat{f}(\xi).$$

In particular, if

$$P(D) = a_0 + a_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \dots + a_n + \frac{\partial^n}{\partial t^n}$$

is a differential operator associated to a polynomial  $P(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_n x^n$ , then

$$\widehat{P(D)(f)}(\xi) = P(2\pi i \xi) \hat{f}(\xi).$$

This is very useful in solving equations of the form  $P(D)f = g$ , but we shall not discuss this here.

*Proof.* (a) It is immediate that  $|\hat{f}(\xi)| \leq \|f\|_1$ . To prove the uniform continuity observe that for a given  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|\hat{f}(\xi + h) - \hat{f}(\xi)| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) e^{2\pi i(\xi+h)t} - f(t) e^{2\pi i \xi t} dt \right| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(t)| |e^{2\pi i h t} - 1| dt.$$

Observe that for all  $h \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|f(t)| |e^{2\pi i h t} - 1| \leq 2|f(t)| \in L^1(\mathbb{R}),$$

so by the Dominated Convergence theorem the right hand side of the above estimate tends to 0 as  $h \rightarrow 0$ , and it does so at a speed that does not depend on  $\xi$ .

(b) By hypothesis there exist sequences  $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 1} \rightarrow -\infty$  and  $\{b_n\}_{n \geq 1} \rightarrow +\infty$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(a_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(b_n) = 0.$$

Since obviously  $|\chi_{(a_n, b_n)} f| \leq |f|$ , the Dominated Convergence theorem ensures that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{a_n}^{b_n} f(t) e^{-2\pi i \xi t} dt = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_{(a_n, b_n)}(t) f(t) e^{-2\pi i \xi t} dt = \hat{f}(\xi)$$

and similarly

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{a_n}^{b_n} f'(t) e^{-2\pi i \xi t} dt = \hat{f}'(\xi).$$

Integrating by parts

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{a_n}^{b_n} f'(t) e^{-2\pi i \xi t} dt &= [f(t) e^{-2\pi i \xi t}]_{a_n}^{b_n} - \int_{a_n}^{b_n} f(t) (-2\pi i \xi) e^{-2\pi i \xi t} dt \\ &= (2\pi i \xi) \int_{a_n}^{b_n} f(t) e^{-2\pi i \xi t} dt, \end{aligned}$$

and taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we get the result.

(c) That  $\hat{f}$  is differentiable is an immediate consequence of the theorem of differentiation (Theorem 9 in Annen 2.5). Fix  $\xi_0$  and take  $F(\xi, t) = f(t)e^{-2\pi i \xi t}$ , where  $\xi$  is in a fixed interval  $I$  centered in  $\xi_0$ . Observe that

$$\left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi}(\xi, t) \right| = |(-2\pi i t)f(t)| \leq 2\pi |t f(t)| \in L^1(\mathbb{R}),$$

hence  $\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(\xi, t) dt$  is differentiable at  $\xi_0$  and

$$(\hat{f})'(\xi_0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi}(\xi_0, t) dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (-2\pi i t)f(t)e^{-2\pi i \xi_0 t} dt = (-2\pi i t f)^\wedge(\xi_0).$$

(d) We could proceed as in the analogue for Fourier series, assuming first that  $f$  is  $C^1$  with compact support and then proving the general case by approximation. We take instead a different path.

Multiplying the identity that defines  $\hat{f}(\xi)$  by  $-1 = e^{\pi i}$  we get

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t)e^{-2\pi i \xi t} e^{i\pi} dt = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t)e^{-2\pi i t(\xi - \frac{1}{2\xi})} dt = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(s + \frac{1}{2\xi})e^{-2\pi i \xi s} ds.$$

Adding to this the usual expression of the Fourier transform we obtain

$$2\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f(s) - f(s + \frac{1}{2\xi}))e^{-2\pi i \xi s} ds,$$

hence from Lemma 5

$$2|\hat{f}(\xi)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(s) - f(s + \frac{1}{2\xi})| ds = \|f - \tau_{-\frac{1}{2\xi}} f\|_1 \xrightarrow{|\xi| \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

(e) By Fubini's theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \hat{g}(t) dt &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(s) e^{-2\pi i s t} ds dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(s) \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) e^{-2\pi i s t} dt ds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(s) \hat{f}(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Examples 1.** 1. Let  $f = \chi_{[-1/2, 1/2]}$ . Obviously  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\|f\|_1 = 1$ . Its Fourier transform is

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} e^{-2\pi i \xi t} dt = \left[ \frac{e^{-2\pi i \xi t}}{-2\pi i \xi} \right]_{t=-1/2}^{t=1/2} = \frac{e^{\pi i \xi} - e^{-\pi i \xi}}{2\pi i \xi} = \frac{\sin(\pi \xi)}{\pi \xi}.$$

We shall use the following definition of the *cardinal sine*

$$\text{sinc}(t) = \frac{\sin(\pi t)}{\pi t}, \quad (2.1)$$

so that  $\widehat{\chi_{[-1/2, 1/2]}}(\xi) = \text{sinc}(\xi)$ .

2. Consider the Gaussian  $G(t) = e^{-\pi t^2}$ , which is normalised so that  $\|G\|_1 = 1$ . A direct computation of  $\hat{G}(\xi)$  leads to complicated integral, so we take a different path, using the relationship between Fourier transform and differentiation. Notice that  $G$  satisfies the differential equation

$$\begin{cases} G'(t) = -2\pi i G(t) \\ G(0) = 1. \end{cases}$$

Taking Fourier transform on both sides of this equation we get  $\widehat{G'}(\xi) = (-2\pi i G(t))^\wedge(\xi)$ , which by Theorem 4 (b) and (c), is  $(2\pi i \xi) \hat{G}(\xi) = -(\hat{G})'(\xi)$ . Since

$$\hat{G}(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} G(t) dt = 1,$$

we see that  $\hat{G}$  is also the solution to the above system. Therefore  $\hat{G}(\xi) = e^{-\pi \xi^2}$ .

We finish this section by seeing a new instance in which a function which is well concentrated has a Fourier transform that is really spread out.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  have compact support. Then  $\hat{f}(\xi)$  defines an entire function of exponential type, that is, there exist  $A, B > 0$  such that  $|\hat{f}(\xi)| \leq A e^{B|\text{Im } \xi|}$ ,  $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ .*

*Proof.* Assume  $\text{supp}(f) \subset [-C, C]$ , for some  $C > 0$ . Then, for  $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$  we have

$$|\hat{f}(\xi)| \leq \int_{-C}^C |f(t)| e^{2\pi t \text{Im } \xi} dt \leq e^{2\pi C |\text{Im } \xi|} \int_{-C}^C |f(t)| dt = e^{2\pi C |\text{Im } \xi|} \|f\|_1,$$

so  $\hat{f}(\xi)$  is well defined for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$  and has exponential type (take  $A = \|f\|_1$  and  $B = 2\pi C$ ).

That  $\hat{f}$  is holomorphic is an immediate application of Morera's theorem: for any close simple, piecewise  $\mathcal{C}^1$  curve  $\gamma$  we have

$$\int_{\gamma} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \left( \int_{\gamma} e^{-2\pi i \xi t} d\xi \right) dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) = 0,$$

since, by Cauchy's theorem,  $\int_{\gamma} e^{-2\pi i \xi t} d\xi = 0$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . □

## 2.2 The inversion formula

We want to see that  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  can be recovered from the set of values  $\hat{f}(\xi)$ , at least when  $\hat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . From the point of view of sound processing this seems natural: knowing the frequency density of any possible frequency allows to recover the signal.

An important tool in proving this will be the convolution of functions.

### 2.2.1 Convolution of $L^1$ functions and approximate identities

**Definition 5.** Given  $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , the *convolution of  $f$  and  $g$*  is the function  $f * g$  defined by

$$(f * g)(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(s) g(t - s) ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

**Lemma 6.** If  $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  then  $f * g = g * f$ ,  $f * g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\|f * g\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1 \|g\|_1$ .

*Proof.* That  $f * g = g * f$  is readily checked by the substitution  $t - s = u$  in the definition above.

On the other hand, by Fubini's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f * g\|_1 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(s) g(t - s) ds \right| dt \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(s)| \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(t - s)| dt \right) ds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(s)| \|g\|_1 ds = \|f\|_1 \|g\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

□

Another property that will be used systematically is the analogue of Theorem 2.

**Theorem 6.** Let  $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Then, for  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\widehat{(f * g)}(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi) \hat{g}(\xi).$$

*Proof.* By definition

$$\widehat{(f * g)}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t - s) g(s) ds \right) e^{-2\pi i t \xi} dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(s) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t - s) e^{-2\pi i t \xi} dt \right) ds.$$

Substituting  $t - s = u$  we finally obtain

$$\widehat{(f * g)}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(s) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(u) e^{-2\pi i u \xi} du \right) e^{-2\pi i s \xi} ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(s) \hat{f}(\xi) e^{-2\pi i s \xi} ds = \hat{f}(\xi) \hat{g}(\xi).$$

□

We shall use the convolution mostly with regular functions  $g \geq 0$  such that  $\|g\|_1 = 1$ . Such a function can be seen as the density function of a random variable  $Y$ . From this point of view the convolution of  $f$  and  $g$  is a sort of weighted average of the values of  $f$  weighted by  $g$ ; more specifically,

$$(f * g)(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t - s) g(s) ds = \mathbb{E}(f(t - Y)).$$

For example, if we take a uniform density on the interval  $[-\delta/2, \delta/2]$ , that is  $g_\delta(t) = \frac{1}{\delta}\chi_{[-\delta/2, \delta/2]}(t)$ , we have

$$(f * g)(t) = \frac{1}{\delta} \int_{-\delta/2}^{\delta/2} f(t-s) ds = \frac{1}{\delta} \int_{t-\delta/2}^{t+\delta/2} f(s) ds,$$

which is the (ordinary) average of  $f$  around  $t$ .

The process of convolving  $f$  with a regular function  $g$  concentrated around 0, as in the example above, produces in general a regular function that is “similar” to  $f$ .

**Definition 6.** Let  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  be non-negative and with  $\|g\|_1 = 1$ . For  $\delta > 0$  consider the dilations

$$g_\delta(t) = D_\delta f(t) = \frac{1}{\delta} g\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right).$$

The family  $\{g_\delta\}_{\delta>0}$  is called an *approximate identity* if for any  $\eta > 0$

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{|t|>\eta} g_\delta(t) dt = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

There is a more general notion of approximate identity, but we shall only use this specific kind.

*Remark 8.* Observe that the condition above forces  $g_\delta$  to be increasingly concentrated around 0 as  $\delta$  tends to 0.

**Examples 2.** 1. Let  $g_\delta = \frac{1}{\delta}\chi_{[-\delta/2, \delta/2]}$ , as considered above. It is clear that for  $\eta > \delta/2$

$$\int_{|t|>\eta} g_\delta(t) dt = \frac{1}{\delta} \int_{|t|>\eta} \chi_{[-\delta/2, \delta/2]}(t) dt = 0,$$

hence  $\{g_\delta\}_{\delta>0}$  satisfies (2.2)

2. The family  $\{G_\delta\}_{\delta>0}$  obtained from the Gaussian  $G(t) = e^{-\pi t^2}$  is also an approximate identity, since

$$\int_{|t|>\eta} g_\delta(t) dt = \frac{1}{\delta} \int_{|t|>\eta} e^{-\pi \frac{t^2}{\delta^2}} dt = \int_{|s|>\eta/\delta} e^{-\pi s^2} ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\pi s^2} \chi_{[-\eta/\delta, \eta/\delta]}(s) ds$$

tends to 0 as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ , by the Dominated Convergence theorem.

**Proposition 4.** Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and let  $\{g_\delta\}_{\delta>0}$  be an approximate identity. Then

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \|f * g_\delta - f\|_1 = 0.$$

In particular,  $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} (f * g_\delta)(t) = f(t)$  a.e.  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .



*Proof.* By definition, and since  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} g_{\delta} = 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f * g_{\delta} - f\|_1 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t-s) g_{\delta}(s) ds - f(t) \right| dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f(t-s) - f(t)) g_{\delta}(s) ds \right| dt \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(t-s) - f(s)| g_{\delta}(s) ds dt. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting  $s/\delta = u$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|f * g_{\delta} - f\|_1 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(t - \delta u) - f(t)| g(u) du dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(u) \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(t - \delta u) - f(t)| dt du \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(u) \|\tau_{\delta u} f - f\|_1 du. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear, by Lemma 5, that for all  $u \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} g(u) \|\tau_{\delta u} f - f\|_1 = 0.$$

Since obviously  $g(u) \|\tau_{\delta u} f - f\|_1 \leq 2\|f\|_1 g(u) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , we can apply the Dominated Convergence theorem to the integral above and deduce the result.  $\square$

### 2.2.2 The inversion formula

The goal in this section is to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 7** (Inversion formula for  $L^1$  functions). *Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  be such that  $\hat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Then*

$$f(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i t \xi} d\xi \quad \text{a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

*Moreover, the identity holds for the points  $t$  where  $f$  is continuous.*

Recall that the right-hand side of this identity defines a continuous function of  $t$  (see Theorem 4 (a)), so in general there is no hope to have the equality for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Observe also that a consequence of this statement is that for functions  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\hat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  the estimate  $|f(t)| \leq \|\hat{f}\|_1$  holds a.e.  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

*Remark 9.* The Gaussian and its Fourier transform will be instrumental in the proof of this result. Recall that  $G(t) = e^{-\pi t^2}$  is normalised so that  $\|G\|_1 = 1$  and that  $\hat{G} = G$  (Example 1, 2). Also, by Theorem 3, 4,

$$\hat{G}_{\delta}(\xi) = \hat{G}(\delta\xi) = e^{-\pi\delta^2\xi^2}.$$

Reciprocally, letting  $F_{\delta}(t) = e^{-\pi\delta^2 t^2}$  we see that  $\hat{F}_{\delta} = G_{\delta}$ :

$$\hat{F}_{\delta}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\pi\delta^2 t^2} e^{-2\pi i t \xi} dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\pi s^2} e^{-2\pi i s \frac{\xi}{\delta}} \frac{ds}{\delta} = \frac{1}{\delta} \hat{G}\left(\frac{\xi}{\delta}\right) = \frac{1}{\delta} G\left(\frac{\xi}{\delta}\right) = G_{\delta}(\xi).$$

*Proof of the inversion formula.* Consider  $G_\delta$  as in the previous remark. By the multiplication formula (Theorem 5 (e)),

$$\begin{aligned} (f * G_\delta)(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t-s) G_\delta(s) ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t+u) G_\delta(u) du = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t+u) \hat{F}_\delta(u) du \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{\tau_{-t}f}(u) F_\delta(u) du. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 3, 3, the Fourier transform of a translation is a modulation, hence

$$(f * G_\delta)(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(u) e^{2\pi i t u} e^{-\pi \delta^2 u^2} du \quad (2.3)$$

and it only remains to see that this identity can be taken to the limit as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ .

It is clear by Proposition 4 that the left hand side tends to  $f(t)$  a.e.  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . On the other hand

$$|\hat{f}(u) e^{2\pi i t u} e^{-\pi \delta^2 u^2}| \leq |\hat{f}(u)| \in L^1(\mathbb{R}),$$

so the Dominated Convergence theorem ensures that the right hand side tends to the stated integral.

It only remains to see that the identity holds for the points  $t$  where  $f$  is continuous at  $t$ . By translating if necessary, we can assume that  $f$  is continuous at  $t$ . By (2.3) it is enough to see that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} |(f * G_\delta)(0) - f(0)| = 0.$$

Here, since  $\int G_\delta = 1$ , for any  $\eta > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |(f * G_\delta)(0) - f(0)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f(0-s) - f(0)) G_\delta(s) ds \right| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(u) - f(0)| G_\delta(u) du \\ &= \int_{|u| \leq \eta} |f(u) - f(0)| G_\delta(u) du + \int_{|u| > \eta} |f(u) - f(0)| G_\delta(u) du. \end{aligned}$$

The first integral here is small because  $f$  is continuous at 0, and the second one because  $G_\delta$  is an approximate identity.

Given  $\epsilon > 0$  take  $\eta > 0$  so that  $|f(u) - f(0)| < \epsilon/2$  for  $|u| \leq \eta$ . Then

$$\int_{|u| \leq \eta} |f(u) - f(0)| G_\delta(u) du \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \int_{|u| \leq \eta} G_\delta(u) du < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

As shown in Example 2,  $\{G_\delta\}_\delta$  is an approximate identity, hence it satisfies (2.2). Since also  $|f(t)| \leq \|\hat{f}\|_1$  a.e.  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , given  $\eta$  there exists  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that for  $0 < \delta < \delta_0$

$$\int_{|u| > \eta} |f(u) - f(0)| G_\delta(u) du \leq \|\hat{f}\|_1 \int_{|u| > \eta} G_\delta(u) du < \epsilon/2.$$

This finishes the proof. □

**Corollary 1** (Uniqueness theorem). If  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  is such that  $\hat{f} = 0$  a.e.  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$  then  $f = 0$  a. e.  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

*Final remark.* Given  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  the operator

$$\check{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) e^{2\pi i t \xi} dt = \hat{f}(-\xi)$$

is sometimes called the *Fourier co-transform*. For  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\hat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  we have just proved that  $\check{\check{f}}(t) = f(t)$  a.e.  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

## 2.3 Fourier transform in $L^2$

We would like to take advantage of the Hilbert structure of  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  in the Fourier analysis. An initial obstacle is that  $L^2$  functions are not necessarily in  $L^1$ , so we have to be careful.

As usual, in  $L^2$  we have the Hermitian product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \overline{g(t)} dt, \quad f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}),$$

which gives the norm

$$\|f\|_2 = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2}.$$

In  $L^2$  the rôles of  $f$  and  $\hat{f}$  are equivalent, and this symmetry is often quite useful. This is clear in the following result.

**Plancherel theorem.** Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Then  $\hat{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\|f\|_2 = \|\hat{f}\|_2$ . In particular, if  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \overline{g(t)} dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(\xi) \overline{\hat{g}(\xi)} d\xi.$$

The requirement  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  can be removed as long as we interpret  $\hat{f}$  for  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  in the appropriate way. We shall see this later.

Observe that the Fourier transform is thus an isometry on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

The first step in the proof is the good behaviour of convolution in  $L^2$ .

**Lemma 7.** Let  $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Then  $f * g$  is a continuous bounded function such that

$$\|f * g\|_{\infty} = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |(f * g)(t)| \leq \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2.$$

*Proof.* Notice first that the convolution is well defined, since

$$|f(t-s)g(s)| \leq \frac{1}{2}(|f(t-s)|^2 + |g(s)|^2)$$

and therefore  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t-s)g(s)ds$  is finite.

That  $f * g$  is bounded is just a consequence of the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} |(f * g)(t)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t-s)g(s)ds \right| \leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(t-s)|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(s)|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(u)|^2 du \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(s)|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} = \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

In order to prove the continuity use again the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} |(f * g)(t+h) - (f * g)(t)| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(t+h-s) - f(t-s)| |g(s)| ds \\ &\leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(t+h-s) - f(t-s)|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \|g\|_2 \\ &= \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(+h) - f(t)|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \|g\|_2 = \|\tau_h f - f\|_2 \|g\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

By the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 5 the factor  $\|\tau_h f - f\|_2$  tends to 0 as  $h \rightarrow 0$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Plancherel theorem.* Define  $\tilde{f}(t) = \overline{f(-t)}$ , so that  $\widehat{\tilde{f}}(\xi) = \overline{\hat{f}(\xi)}$ . Then, by Theorem 6

$$|\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 = \hat{f}(\xi) \overline{\hat{f}(\xi)} = (f * \tilde{f})^\wedge(\xi).$$

Defining  $g = f * \tilde{f}$ , which by Lemma 7 is a continuous function, we have thus

$$\|\hat{f}\|_2^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{g}(\xi) d\xi.$$

Also

$$g(0) = (f * \tilde{f})(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(0-s) \overline{f(-s)} ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(u)|^2 du = \|f\|_2^2,$$

hence we shall be done as soon as we prove that  $\hat{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and

$$g(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{g}(\xi) d\xi. \quad (2.4)$$

As in the proof of the inversion formula for  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$  (Theorem 7), we prove this by convolution with  $G_\delta$ , being  $G(t) = e^{-\pi t^2}$ . Letting  $F_\delta(t) = e^{-\pi \delta^2 t^2}$ , by Remark 9 and the multiplication formula

$$\begin{aligned} (g * G_\delta)(0) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(s) G_\delta(0 - s) ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(s) \hat{F}_\delta(s) ds \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{g}(\xi) F_\delta(\xi) d\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{g}(\xi) e^{-\pi\delta^2\xi^2} d\xi \end{aligned}$$

Since  $g$  is continuous and  $\{G_\delta\}_\delta$  is an approximate identity we obtain that  $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} (g * G_\delta)(0) = g(0)$ . This gives the left hand side of (2.4).

On the other hand for any  $R > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  small enough so that  $e^{-\pi\delta^2 R^2} \geq 1/2$  and therefore

$$\int_{-R}^R |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{-R}^R \hat{g}(\xi) e^{-\pi\delta^2\xi^2} d\xi \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{g}(\xi) e^{-\pi\delta^2\xi^2} d\xi \leq g(0).$$

This shows that  $\hat{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and finally, by the Dominated Convergence theorem,

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{g}(\xi) e^{-\pi\delta^2\xi^2} d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{g}(\xi) d\xi.$$

This completes the proof of (2.4). □

### 2.3.1 Getting rid of the assumption $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$

Given  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  it is easy to find  $f_n \in (L^1 \cap L^2)(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\lim_n \|f_n - f\|_2 = 0$ ; for instance  $f_n = f \chi_{[-n,n]}$ . Then, by Plancherel,  $\{\hat{f}_n\}_n$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ :

$$\|\hat{f}_n - \hat{f}_m\|_2 = \|f_n - f_m\|_2 \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Thus one can define the Fourier transform of  $f$  as

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{f}_n(\xi),$$

with convergence in the  $L^2$ -sense.

This definition does not depend on the particular sequence  $\{f_n\}_n$  that converges to  $f$ : if  $\{g_n\}_n \subset L^1 \cap L^2$  is any other such sequence then, again by Plancherel

$$\|\hat{f}_n - \hat{g}_n\|_2 = \|f_n - g_n\|_2 \leq \|f_n - f\|_2 + \|f - g_n\|_2 \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

We can summarise all this in the following statement.

**Theorem 8.** For  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$

$$(a) \quad \hat{f}(\xi) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-n}^n f(t) e^{-2\pi i t \xi} dt,$$

(b) *Plancherel identity:*  $\|f\|_2 = \|\hat{f}\|_2$ .

(c) If also  $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \hat{g}(t) dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(t) g(t) dt$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \overline{g(t)} dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(\xi) \overline{\hat{g}(\xi)} d\xi.$$

With this interpretation the inversion formula also holds in  $L^2$ . To see this we need the following property of the convolution.

**Lemma 8.** Let  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Then  $f * g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\|f * g\|_2 \leq \|f\|_2 \|g\|_1$  and

$$(f * g)^\wedge(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi) \hat{g}(\xi).$$

*Proof.* It is clear that  $f * g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ ; by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(f * g)(t)|^2 dt &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t-s) g(s) ds \right|^2 dt \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(t-s)| |g(s)|^{1/2} |g(s)|^{1/2} ds \right)^2 dt \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(t-s)|^2 |g(s)| ds \right) \|g\|_1 dt \\ &= \|g\|_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(s)| \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(t-s)|^2 dt \right) ds = \|g\|_1^2 \|f\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let now  $f_n \in L^1 \cap L^2$  be such that  $\|f_n - f\|_2 \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ . Then also  $f_n * g \in L^1 \cap L^2$  (by Lemma 6) and

$$\|(f_n * g) - (f * g)\|_2 = \|(f_n - f) * g\|_2 \leq \|f_n - f\|_2 \|g\|_1 \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Since  $(f_n * g)^\wedge(\xi) = \hat{f}_n(\xi) \hat{g}(\xi)$ , by Theorem 6, we only need to see that  $\hat{f}_n(\xi) \hat{g}(\xi)$  converges to  $\hat{f}(\xi) \hat{g}(\xi)$  in  $L^2$ . But this is clear because  $\hat{g}$  is bounded (Theorem 5 (a)):

$$\|\hat{f}_n \hat{g} - \hat{f} \hat{g}\|_2 \leq \|\hat{f}_n - \hat{f}\|_2 \|\hat{g}\|_\infty = \|\hat{f}_n - \hat{f}\|_2 \|g\|_1 \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

□

**Theorem.** Let  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Then  $\check{\hat{f}}(t) = f(t)$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , and therefore a.e.  $t \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . In particular

$$f(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i \xi t} d\xi = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-n}^n \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i \xi t} d\xi,$$

as a limit in  $L^2$ .

*Proof.* Consider the dilations  $\{G_\delta\}_\delta$  of the Gaussian and observe first that, as in Proposition 4 for the  $L^1$  case,  $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} f * G_\delta = f$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ ; by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and the Dominated Convergence theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \|f * G_\delta - f\|_2^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f(t-s) - f(t)) G_\delta(s) ds \right|^2 dt \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(t-s) - f(t)|^2 G_\delta(s) ds \right) \|G_\delta\|_1 dt \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} G(u) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(t - \delta u) - f(t)|^2 dt \right) du \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} G(u) \|\tau_{\delta u} f - f\|_2^2 du \xrightarrow{\delta \rightarrow 0} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Also,  $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} (f * G_\delta)^\wedge = \hat{f}$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ ; since

$$(f * G_\delta)^\wedge(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi) \hat{G}_\delta(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi) F_\delta(\xi),$$

where  $F_\delta(\xi) = e^{-\pi\delta^2\xi^2}$ , we have:

$$\|(f * G_\delta)^\wedge - \hat{f}\|_2^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}(\xi) e^{-\pi\delta^2\xi^2} - \hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 |e^{-\pi\delta^2\xi^2} - 1|^2 d\xi.$$

This tends to 0, again by the dominated convergence theorem.

In particular,  $(f * G_\delta)^\wedge = \hat{f} F_\delta$  is a family in  $L^2$  tending to  $\hat{f}$ , and therefore, by Plancherel,

$$\check{\hat{f}} = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} [(f * G_\delta)^\delta]^\vee. \quad (2.5)$$

If  $f \in L^1 \cap L^2$ , both  $f * G_\delta$  and  $(f * G_\delta)^\wedge$  are in  $L^1$ , because, by the previous Lemma 8

$$\|(f * G_\delta)^\wedge\|_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}(\xi)| |F_\delta(\xi)| d\xi \leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |F_\delta(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2}.$$

Then the inversion formula in  $L^1$  yields  $[(f * G_\delta)^\wedge]^\vee = f * G_\delta$  and by (2.5) we have

$$\check{\hat{f}}(t) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} [(f * G_\delta)^\delta]^\vee(t) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} (f * G_\delta)(t) = f(t).$$

If we assume only  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  we take  $f * G_\delta \in L^1 \cap L^2$  and take the identity just proved

$$[(f * G_\delta)^\wedge]^\vee = f * G_\delta$$

to the limit as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  in (2.5). □

## 2.4 Two applications of Fourier analysis

Fourier analysis was born in the study of the heat equation, so one could say, at least from a historical perspective, that differential equations are its more important applications. Here we illustrate the power of Fourier analysis in two different famous results.

### 2.4.1 Heisenberg's uncertainty principle

We have already noticed that time and frequency cannot be localised simultaneously. Here we have a precise statement that formalised this impossibility.

**Heisenberg uncertainty.** *Let  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then*

$$\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} (t - a) |f(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\xi - b) |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} \geq \frac{\|f\|_2^2}{4\pi}.$$

*Moreover, the equality holds if and only if  $f$  is a Gaussian of the form  $f(t) = ce^{ibt}e^{-\gamma(t-a)^2}$ , for some  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\gamma > 0$ .*

In Quantum Mechanics  $f(t)$  is the wave function of a particle and the condition  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  expresses that it has finite energy. The *position operator*

$$Pf(t) = t f(t)$$

indicates the density of probability of finding the particle at position  $t$ .

The *momentum operator* is

$$Qf = \frac{1}{2\pi i} f'.$$

In this language, by Plancherel,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |Pf(t)|^2 dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^2 |f(t)|^2 dt$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |Qf(\xi)|^2 d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} f'(t) \right|^2 dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \hat{f}'(\xi) \right|^2 d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi^2 |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi.$$

In these terms the statement above shows that there is a limit to localising both position and momentum, and that the best compromise is obtained with the eigenvalues of the *annihilation operator*  $P + iQ$  (the so-called coherent states).

*Proof.* By the basic identities on translations and modulations for the Fourier transform, there is no restriction in assuming that  $a = b = 0$ . Assume also that  $tf(t), \xi \hat{f}(\xi) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , otherwise the



inequality has no content. Notice that this implies that  $f, \hat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , since by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(t)| dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + |t|) |f(t)| \frac{dt}{1 + |t|} \leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + |t|)^2 |f(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dt}{(1 + |t|)^2} \right)^{1/2}.$$

In particular, by the Riemann-Lebesgue applied to  $\hat{f}$ , we deduce that  $f$  is continuous and

$$\lim_{|t| \rightarrow 0} f(t) = 0.$$

Since  $\hat{f}'(\xi) = (2\pi i \xi) \hat{f}(\xi) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  we can apply Plancherel to deduce that  $f' \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Since

$$(|f|^2)' = (f \cdot \bar{f})' = 2 \operatorname{Re}(f \cdot f'),$$

given any  $c < d$  we have

$$2 \operatorname{Re} \left( \int_c^d t f(t) \overline{f'(t)} dt \right) = \left( \int_c^d t 2 \operatorname{Re} f(t) \overline{f'(t)} dt \right) = [t |f(t)|^2]_c^d - \int_c^d |f(t)|^2 dt.$$

Since  $f, tf, f' \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , there exist sequences  $\{c_n\}_n \searrow -\infty$  and  $\{d_n\}_n \nearrow +\infty$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n |f(d_n)|^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n |f(d_n)|^2 = 0.$$

Thus, using that  $f'(t) = [(2\pi i \xi) \hat{f}]^\wedge(t)$  we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(t)|^2 dt = -2 \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}} t f(t) \overline{[(2\pi i \xi) \hat{f}]^\wedge(t)} dt = 4\pi \operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}} t f(t) \overline{(\xi \hat{f})^\wedge(t)} dt.$$

Squaring and applying consecutively the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and Plancherel's identity we finally get

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_2^4 &\leq 16\pi^2 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^2 |f(t)|^2 dt \right) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\xi \hat{f})^\wedge(t)|^2 dt \right) \\ &= 16\pi^2 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^2 |f(t)|^2 dt \right) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi^2 |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right). \end{aligned}$$

The identity holds only when  $tf(t) = \gamma f'(t)$  for some  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ , that is, when  $f(t) = Ce^{\gamma t^2}$  for some  $C$ . The condition  $\gamma < 0$  is necessary so that  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ .  $\square$

### 2.4.2 The Kotelnikov-Shannon sampling theorem

This is a fundamental result in digital signal processing, establishing a sufficient condition for a sample rate to recover completely a continuous time signal of finite band-width.

Assume that  $f(t)$  is a continuous signal (a sound, for example) of finite energy, that is, with  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Assume that  $f$  has a finite band-width, that is, that the signal has a finite range of frequencies: there exists  $\tau > 0$  so that  $\operatorname{supp} \hat{f} \subset [-\tau, \tau]$ . This is a natural assumption for at least two reasons: 1) the range of frequencies perceived by the human ear is limited (between 20 Hz and 20.000 Hz); 2) transporting media attenuate extreme frequencies.

**The Kotelnikov-Shannon-Whittaker theorem.** Let  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\text{supp } \hat{f} \subset [-\tau, \tau]$ . Then  $f$  can be completely recovered from its samples  $\{f(k/2\tau)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  through the cardinal series

$$f(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f\left(\frac{k}{2\tau}\right) \text{sinc}\left[2\tau\left(t - \frac{k}{2\tau}\right)\right] \quad (2.6)$$

Moreover

$$\|f\|_2^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(t)|^2 dt = \frac{1}{2\tau} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left|f\left(\frac{k}{2\tau}\right)\right|^2. \quad (2.7)$$

In this statement  $\text{sinc}(t) = \frac{\sin(\pi t)}{\pi t}$ .

*Remarks 1.* (a) This statement is sometimes referred to as the “Fundamental theorem in information theory”. It allows to encode a signal through a sequence of numbers (*digitalisation*) from which it can be completely recovered.

(b) The sampling rate  $1/(2\tau)$  is called the *Nyquist rate*. Harry Nyquist was a communications engineer working first for AT&T and later for Bell Telephone Laboratories.

(c) The result was first proved in 1933 by Vladimir A. Kotelnikov, a pioneer in information theory and radar astronomy working at the Moscow Energy Institute. Independently it was proved also by Claude Shannon, an electrical engineer, and by Edmund Whittaker, (just) a mathematician.

*Proof.* By Plancherel’s identity

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(\xi)|^2 d\xi = \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} |f(\xi)|^2 d\xi = \|f\|_2^2 < +\infty.$$

Since  $\{e^{i\frac{\pi}{\tau}kt}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $L^2[-\tau, \tau]$  (see Remark 4) we can write

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k e^{i\frac{\pi}{\tau}k\xi},$$

where, by the inversion formula

$$c_k = \langle \hat{f}, e^{i\frac{\pi}{\tau}k\xi} \rangle = \frac{1}{2\tau} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{i\frac{\pi}{\tau}k\xi} d\xi = \frac{1}{2\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i \frac{k}{2\tau}\xi} d\xi = \frac{1}{2\tau} f\left(-\frac{k}{2\tau}\right).$$

Then, by the inversion formula,

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i \xi t} dt = \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i \xi t} dt = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} e^{2\pi i \xi (\frac{k}{2\tau} + t)} d\xi \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{2\tau} f\left(-\frac{k}{2\tau}\right) \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} e^{2\pi i \xi (\frac{k}{2\tau} + t)} d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} e^{2\pi i \xi (\frac{k}{2\tau} + t)} d\xi &= \left[ \frac{e^{2\pi i \xi (\frac{k}{2\tau} + t)}}{2\pi i (\frac{k}{2\tau} + t)} \right]_{\xi=-\tau}^{\xi=\tau} = \frac{e^{2\pi i \tau (\frac{k}{2\tau} + t)} - e^{-2\pi i \tau (\frac{k}{2\tau} + t)}}{2\pi i (\frac{k}{2\tau} + t)} \\ &= \frac{\sin(2\pi \tau (\frac{k}{2\tau} + t))}{\pi (\frac{k}{2\tau} + t)} = 2\tau \operatorname{sinc}\left(2\tau \left(\frac{k}{2\tau} + t\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$f(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f\left(-\frac{k}{2\tau}\right) \operatorname{sinc}\left(2\tau \left(\frac{k}{2\tau} + t\right)\right),$$

which after replacing  $k$  by  $-k$  gives (2.6).

In order to prove (2.7) observe that, by Plancherel's identity for Fourier series

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |c_k|^2 = \frac{1}{(2\tau)^2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| f\left(\frac{k}{2\tau}\right) \right|^2 = \|\hat{f}\|_{L^2[-\tau, \tau]}^2 = \frac{1}{2\tau} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi = \frac{1}{2\tau} \|\hat{f}\|_2^2.$$

Thus, by Plancherel (for  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ )

$$\|f\|_2^2 = \|\hat{f}\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{2\tau} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| f\left(\frac{k}{2\tau}\right) \right|^2,$$

as stated. □

*Remark 10.* The family

$$\left\{ \sqrt{2\tau} \operatorname{sinc}\left(2\tau \left(t - \frac{k}{2\tau}\right)\right) \right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$$

is an orthonormal system. To see this just notice that, by Proposition 3 and Example 1,

$$\left[ \operatorname{sinc}\left(2\tau \left(t - \frac{k}{2\tau}\right)\right) \right]^\wedge(\xi) = e^{\pi i \frac{k}{\tau} \xi} [\operatorname{sinc}(2\tau t)]^\wedge(\xi) = e^{\pi i \frac{k}{\tau} \xi} \frac{1}{2\tau} \chi_{[-\tau, \tau]}(\xi).$$

Let  $g_k(t) = \sqrt{2\tau} \operatorname{sinc}\left(2\tau \left(t - \frac{k}{2\tau}\right)\right)$ ; then, by Plancherel,

$$\langle g_k, g_m \rangle = (2\tau) \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\pi i \frac{k}{\tau} \xi} e^{-\pi i \frac{m}{\tau} \xi} \frac{1}{(2\tau)^2} \chi_{[-\tau, \tau]}(\xi) d\xi = \frac{1}{2\tau} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} e^{\pi i (k-m) \frac{\xi}{\tau}} d\xi = \delta_{km}.$$

This shows, in particular, that for any sequence  $\{a_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^2$  the series

$$f(t) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_k \operatorname{sinc}\left(2\tau \left(t - \frac{k}{2\tau}\right)\right)$$

defines a function  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\operatorname{supp} \hat{f} \subset [-\tau, \tau]$  such that  $f\left(\frac{k}{2\tau}\right) = a_k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Digression. Fourier transform and analytic functions.*

For the sake of simplicity let us momentarily reverse the rôles of  $f$  and  $\hat{f}$  (which, by Plancherel, are equivalent). Let  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  be supported in  $[-\tau, \tau]$  and consider

$$F(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) e^{-2\pi i t \xi} dt = \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} f(t) e^{-2\pi i t \xi} dt.$$

As we saw in Theorem 5 this defines an entire function of exponential type.

The reciprocal is also true: if  $F(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi)$  belongs to  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and extends holomorphically to an entire function of exponential type then  $\text{supp } \hat{f} \subset [-\tau, \tau]$ . The proof goes along the same lines as the proof of Theorem 5: defining

$$f(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(\xi) e^{-2\pi i \xi t} d\xi,$$

applying the residue theorem to a rectangle with vertices  $\pm R + i\epsilon$  and  $\pm R + iR$  and letting  $R \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

Similarly one can prove that for  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\text{supp } \phi \subset [-A, A]$ ,  $A > 0$ , the Fourier transform  $\hat{\phi}$  can be extended to an entire function such that for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $c_m > 0$  such that

$$|\hat{\phi}(\xi)| \leq c_m (1 + |\xi|)^{-m} e^{2\pi A |\text{Im } \xi|}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{C}.$$

*Note.* Going back to the original situation (reversing the rôles of  $f$  and  $\hat{f}$ ) we see that when  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  is band-limited, it can be extended to an entire function  $f(z)$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . In particular,  $f$  can only vanish on a discrete set with no accumulation points in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Thus the signal  $f(t)$  has to be non-zero everywhere (except for maybe this sequence). This seems to contradict our intuition. Here we just copy Joseph Slepian's reflections: "it makes no sense to discuss whether real life functions are band-limited or time-limited, since this would mean to measure the signal in remote and future times with arbitrarily high precision". The *Paley-Wiener space*

$$PW_\tau = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : \text{supp } \hat{f} \subset [-\tau, \tau]\}$$

is just a mathematical model.

## 2.5 Annex. The dominated convergence theorem

**Dominated convergence theorem.** Let  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  be measurable and let  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of measurable functions  $f_n : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  for which the pointwise limit  $f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$  exists a.e.  $x \in E$ . If there exists  $g \in L^1(E)$  such that for all  $n$  big enough

$$|f_n(t)| \leq g(t) \quad t \in E,$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - f\|_{L^1(E)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E |f_n(t) - f(t)| dt = 0.$$

In particular,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f_n(t) dt = \int_E f(t) dt.$$

A consequence of this is the following differentiation theorem.

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $I = (x_0 - r, x_0 + r) \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an interval and let  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  be measurable. Assume that  $f : I \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a function such that:*

- (i) *each  $f(x, \cdot)$  is integrable in  $E$ ,*
- (ii)  *$f(\cdot, t) \in C^1(I)$  for all  $t \in E$ .*
- (ii) *there exists  $g \in L^1(E)$  such that*

$$\left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} f(x, t) \right| \leq g(t), \quad (x, t) \in I \times E.$$

*Then the function on  $F : I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by*

$$F(x) = \int_E f(x, t) dt$$

*is differentiable and*

$$F'(x) = \int_E \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} f(x, t) dt.$$