

Ex 3:

a) Class 0 ($y=0$):

mean:

$$\mu_0 = \frac{1}{5} \sum_{i=1}^5 x_i = \left(\frac{1+1+2+2+3}{5}, \frac{1+1+2+3+3}{5} \right) = (1.8, 2)$$

$$\bar{x}_0 = 1.8 \quad \bar{y}_0 = 2$$

$$\text{cov}(x_0, y_0) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^5 (x_i - \bar{x}_0)(y_i - \bar{y}_0) = \frac{1}{4} (0.8 - 0.2 + 0.2 - 0.8) = 0$$

Class 1 ($y=1$):

mean:

$$\mu_1 = \frac{1}{5} \sum_{i=1}^5 x_i = \left(\frac{4+5+6+6+7}{5}, \frac{1+2+4+5+5}{5} \right) = (5.6, 3.4)$$

$$\bar{x}_1 = 5.6 \quad \bar{y}_1 = 3.4$$

$$\text{cov}(x_1, y_1) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^5 (x_i - \bar{x}_1)(y_i - \bar{y}_1) = \frac{1}{4} (-3.26 + 0.84 + 0.24 + 0.64 - 2.56)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \cdot (-4.2)$$

$$= -1.05$$

$$b) \bar{x} = \frac{1}{10} (1+1+2+2+3+4+5+6+6+7) = 3.7$$

$$\bar{y} = \frac{1}{10} (1+1+1+2+2+3+3+4+5+5) = 2.7$$

$$\sigma(x, x) = \frac{1}{5} \sum_{i=1}^{10} (x_i - \bar{x})^2 = \frac{1}{5} (2.7, 25 + 2.7, 89 + 0.49 + 0.09 + 1.69 + 2.5, 25 + 10.89)$$

$$= 4.9$$

$$\sigma(y, y) = \frac{1}{5} \sum_{i=1}^{10} (y_i - \bar{y})^2 = \frac{1}{5} (3.2, 89 + 2.0, 49 + 2.0, 09 + 1.69 + 2.5, 25)$$

$$= 2.45 \approx 2.46$$

$$\sigma(x, y) = \sigma(y, x) = \frac{1}{5} \sum_{i=1}^{10} (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})$$

$$= \frac{1}{5} (4.55 + 2.89 - 5.61 + 0.49 - 0.91 - 0.81 - 0.51 + 2.59 + 0.69 + 5.25)$$

$$= 1.01 \approx 1.01$$

$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} 4.9 & 1.01 \\ 1.01 & 2.45 \end{bmatrix} \quad \Sigma^{-1} \approx \frac{1}{11.03} \begin{bmatrix} 2.46 & -1.01 \\ -1.01 & 4.9 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.22 & -0.09 \\ -0.09 & 0.44 \end{bmatrix}$$

$\det(\Sigma)$

$$\delta_k(x) = x^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_k - \frac{1}{2} x^T \Sigma^{-1} x + \log \pi_k$$

$$\delta_1(x) = (3.5, 2) \Sigma^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1.8 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{2} (3.5, 2) \Sigma^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1.8 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} + \log(0.5)$$

$$= 2.192 - \frac{1}{2} \cdot 1.9248 + \log(0.5)$$

$$\approx 0.5865$$

$$\delta_2(x) = (3.5, 2) \Sigma^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 5.6 \\ 3.4 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{2} (3.5, 2) \Sigma^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 5.6 \\ 3.4 \end{pmatrix} + \log(0.5)$$

$$= 5.225 - \frac{1}{2} \cdot 9.5584 + \log(0.5)$$

$$\approx 0.2527$$

c)

LDA

- it is assumed, that all classes share one covariance matrix.
- each class is gaussian distributed
- class boundaries are linear

QDA:

- each class has its own covariance matrix.
- each class is gaussian distributed
- class boundaries are quadratic

d) Since we assume, that each class is gaussian distributed, the likelihood of a point belonging to a class is proportional to the distance to the mean of the class.

Thus even if the input has n dimensions, for deciding between two output classes, one dimension is enough.

The minimum dimensionality while maintaining class separability is $c-1$, with c being the number of classes.

e) When to choose LDA:

- Sample Size: When the sample size is small, since LDA has fewer parameters and is thus less prone to overfitting.
- number of features: High number of features, since LDA scales better with its simpler covariance.
- model complexity: less complex models with linear decision boundaries.

When to choose QDA:

- Sample Size: Large sample size, since QDA has separate covariance matrices, which need more data to estimate the parameters.
- number of features: low number of features, as a high number of features requires significantly more parameters.
- model complexity: high complexity, as it can adjust better to complex relations, with its quadratic decision boundaries.