Enjoying Exercism? We need your help to survive... Please support us if you can!









You've mastered Bools in Python.

Explore more concepts  $\rightarrow$ 

## **About Bools**

Python represents true and false values with the **bool** type, which is a subtype of int. There are only two Boolean values in this type: True and False. These values can be assigned to a variable and combined with the **Boolean operators** (and, or, not):

```
>>> true_variable = True and True
>>> false_variable = True and False
>>> true_variable = False or True
>>> false_variable = False or False
```

```
>>> true_variable = not False
>>> false_variable = not True
```

**Boolean operators** use *short-circuit evaluation*, which means that expression on the right-hand side of the operator is only evaluated if needed.

Each of the operators has a different precedence, where not is evaluated before and and or. Brackets can be used to evaluate one part of the expression before the others:

```
>>>not True and True
False

>>>not (True and False)
True
```

All boolean operators are considered lower precedence than Python's **comparison operators**, such as == , > , < , is and is not.

## **Type Coercion and Truthiness**

The bool function (bool()) converts any object to a Boolean value. By default all objects return True unless defined to return False.

A few built-ins are always considered False by definition:

- the constants None and False
- zero of any *numeric type* (int, float, complex, decimal, or fraction)
- empty sequences and collections (str, list, set, tuple, dict, range(0))

```
>>>bool(None)
False
>>>bool(1)
True
>>>bool(0)
False
>>>bool([1,2,3])
True
>>>bool([])
False
>>>bool({"Pig" : 1, "Cow": 3})
True
```

```
>>>bool({})
False
```

When an object is used in a *boolean context*, it is evaluated transparently as *truthy* or *falsey* using bool():

```
>>> a = "is this true?"
>>> b = []

# This will print "True", as a non-empty string is considered a "truthy" value
>>> if a:
... print("True")

# This will print "False", as an empty list is considered a "falsey" value
>>> if not b:
... print("False")
```

Classes may define how they are evaluated in truthy situations if they override and implement a \_\_bool\_\_() method, and/or a \_\_len\_\_() method.

## How Booleans work under the hood

The bool type is implemented as a *sub-type* of *int*. That means that True is *numerically equal* to 1 and False is *numerically equal* to 0. This is observable when comparing them using an

equality operator.

```
>>>1 == True
True

>>>0 == False
True
```

However, bools are **still different** from ints, as noted when comparing them using the *identity* operator, is:

```
>>>1 is True
False

>>>0 is False
False
```

Note: in python >= 3.8, using a literal (such as 1, ", [], or {}) on the *left side* of is will raise a warning.

It is considered a **Python anti-pattern** to use the equality operator to compare a boolean variable to True or False. Instead, the identity operator is should be used:

```
>>> flag = True

# Not "Pythonic"
>>> if flag == True:
... print("This works, but it's not considered Pythonic.")

# A better way
>>> if flag:
... print("Pythonistas prefer this pattern as more Pythonic.")
```

## **Learn More**

boolean values boolean-operators Truth Value Testing bool() function 
Problem Solving with Python - Boolean Data Type Comparisons in Python 
Python Anti-Patterns Comparing things to True in the Wrong Way PEP285 - Adding a bool type

l author 2 contributors



Edit via GitHub 🛮