

Algebra

March 27, 2017

1 Group theory

1.1 Week 1

Def 1. A non-empty set G with a binary function $f : G \times G \rightarrow G, (a, b) \mapsto ab$ is a **group** if it satisfies

1. $(ab)c = a(bc)$.
2. $\exists 1 \in G$ s.t. $1a = a1 = a, \forall a \in G$.
3. $\exists a^{-1} \in G$ s.t. $aa^{-1} = a^{-1}a = 1$.

CONCON

Def 2. Let G be a group. Then G is said to be **abelian** if $\forall a, b \in G, ab = ba$.

Ex 1.1.1. Let G be a semigroup. Then TFAE (the following are equivalent)

1. G is a group.
2. For all $a, b \in G$ and the equations $bx = a, yb = a$, each of them has a solution in G .
3. $\exists e \in G$ s.t. $ae = a \forall a \in G$ and if we fix such e , then $\forall b \in G \exists b' \in G$ s.t. $bb' = e$.

Ex 1.1.2. Let G be a group. Show that

1. $\forall a \in G, a^2 = 1$, then G is abelian.
2. G is abelian $\iff \forall a, b \in G, (ab)^n = a^n b^n$ for three consecutive integer n .

Def 3. Let G be a group and $H \subseteq G, H \neq \emptyset$. Then H is said to be a subgroup of G , denoted by $H \leq G$, if

1. $\forall a, b \in H, ab \in H$.
2. $1 \in H$.
3. $\forall a \in H, a^{-1} \in H$.

useful criterion: $H \leq G \iff \forall a, b \in H, ab^{-1} \in H$.

Proof.

\Rightarrow $b \in H \implies b^{-1} \in H$, and $a \in H$, so $ab^{-1} \in H$.

\Leftarrow 1. $H \neq \emptyset \implies \exists a \in H \implies aa^{-1} = 1 \in H$.

2. $1, a \in H \implies 1a^{-1} = a^{-1} \in H$.

3. $a, b^{-1} \in H \implies a(b^{-1})^{-1} = ab \in H$. □

Eg 1.1.1. $(\mathbb{Z}, +, 0) \leq (\mathbb{Q}, +, 0) \leq (\mathbb{R}, +, 0) \leq (\mathbb{C}, +, 0) ; (\mathbb{Q}^\times, \times, 1) \leq (\mathbb{R}^\times, \times, 1) \leq (\mathbb{C}^\times, \times, 1)$

Eg 1.1.2.

- Special linear group $\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{F}) = \{ A \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{F}) \mid \det A = 1 \}$
- Orthogonal group $\text{O}(n) = \{ A \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}) \mid A^t A = I_n \}$
- Unitary group $\text{U}(n) = \{ A \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \mid A^* A = I_n \}$
- Special orthogonal group $\text{SO}(n) = \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{R}) \cap \text{O}(n)$

- Special unitary group $SU(n) = SL(n, \mathbb{C}) \cap U(n)$

Def 4. Let $f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$. f is called an **isomorphism** if

1. f is 1-1 and onto.
2. $\forall a, b \in G_1, f(ab) = f(a)f(b)$. (**homomorphism**)

, denoted by $G_1 \cong G_2$.

Remark 1. (practice)

1. $f(1) = 1$.
2. $f(a^{-1}) = f(a)^{-1}$.
3. If f is an isomorphism, then $\exists f^{-1}$ is also a homomorphism.

Eg 1.1.3.

- $U(1) = \{ z \in \mathbb{C}^\times \mid \bar{z}z = 1 \}, z = \cos \theta + \sin \theta i$
- $SO(2) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} : \theta \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$

notice that $U(1) \cong SO(2)$. $S^1 = \{ (a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid a^2 + b^2 = 1 \}$, 可被賦予群的結構.

Eg 1.1.4. Let $A \in SU(2) \implies A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}, \alpha\bar{\alpha} + \beta\bar{\beta} = 1, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$.

Quaternion(四元數): $\mathbb{H} = \{ a + bi + cj + dk \mid a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R} \}$ with $i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1, ij = k, jk = i, ki = j (\implies ij = -ji)$.

Let $x = a + bi + cj + dk, \bar{x} = a - bi - cj - dk$, then $N(x) = x\bar{x} = a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2$, For $x \neq 0, N(x) \neq 0, x^{-1} = \frac{1}{N(x)}\bar{x}$

Now, for $x = a + bi + cj + dk = (a + bi) + (c + di)j$. So $SU(2) \cong \{ x \in \mathbb{H}^\times \mid N(x) = 1 \}$. $S^3 = \{ (a, b, c, d) \in \mathbb{R}^4 \mid a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2 = 1 \}$, 可被賦予群的結構.

★ The only spheres with continuous group law are S^1, S^3 .

Ex 1.1.3. Find a way to regard $M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{H})$ as a subset of $M_{2n \times 2n}(\mathbb{C})$, which preserves addition and multiplication, and then there is a way to characterize $GL(n, \mathbb{H})$.

Def 5 (symplectic group). $Sp(n, \mathbb{F}) = \{ A \in GL(2n, \mathbb{F}) \mid A^t J A = J \}$ where $J = \begin{pmatrix} O & I_n \\ -I_n & O \end{pmatrix}$.

($A^t J A = J$ preserving non-degenerate skew-symmetric forms)

$Sp(n) = \{ A \in GL(n, \mathbb{H}) \mid A^* A = I_n \}$.

Ex 1.1.4. Show $Sp(n) \cong U(2n) \cap Sp(n, \mathbb{C})$.

Ques: Find the smallest subgroup of $SU(2)$ containing $\begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}$.

1.2 Week 2

1.2.1 Permutation groups and Dihedral groups

Def 6. A permutation of a set B is a 1-1 and onto function from B to B .

Let $S_B :=$ the set of permutations of B . Then $(S_B, \cdot, \text{Id}_B)$ forms a group.

If $B = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$, then $S_B \cong S_{\{1, \dots, n\}}$ and write $S_n = S_{\{1, \dots, n\}}$, called the symmetric group of degree n .

Theorem 1 (Cayley theorem). Any group is isomorphic to a subgroup of some permutation group.

(Hint): Let G be a group. Set $B = G$. Consider $a \in G$ as $\sigma_a : G \rightarrow G, x \mapsto ax$. Then $\sigma_a \in S_G \implies G \leq S_G$.

Fact 1.2.1. S_n is a finite group of order $n!$, i.e. $|S_n| = n!$.

Proof. EASY =O □

Cyclic notation: $\sigma \in S_5$, say $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 4 & 3 & 5 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$. Write $\sigma = (1\ 4)(2\ 3\ 5)$.

\Rightarrow Any permutation can be written as a product of disjoint cycles.

Eg 1.2.1. In S_7 , $\sigma_1 = (1\ 2\ 3)(4\ 5\ 6)(7)$, $\sigma_2 = (1\ 3\ 5\ 6)(2\ 4\ 7)$.

Then $\sigma_1\sigma_2 = (2\ 5\ 4\ 7\ 3\ 6)$, $\sigma_1^{-1} = (1\ 3\ 2)(4\ 6\ 5)$.

Def 7. A 2 cycle is called a **transposition**.

Eg 1.2.2. $(1\ 2\ 3) = (1\ 3)(1\ 2)$, $(1\ 2\ 3\ 4\ 5) = (1\ 5)(1\ 4)(1\ 3)(1\ 2)$.

Any permutation is a product of 2 cycles.

Useful formula: $\sigma \in S_n$, $\sigma(j_1 \dots j_m)\sigma^{-1} = (\sigma(j_1) \dots \sigma(j_m))$.

Eg 1.2.3. Let $\sigma = (1\ 2\ 3)(4\ 5\ 6\ 7)$, $\sigma(2\ 3\ 4)\sigma^{-1} = (3\ 1\ 5)$.

Proof. Note that both sides are functions. For $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$,

Case 1: $\exists k$ s.t. $\sigma(j_k) = i$, CONCON

Case 2: Otherwise, CONCON □

Fact 1.2.2. $S_n = \langle (1\ 2), \dots, (1\ n) \rangle$.

Proof. $(1\ i)^{-1} = (1\ i)$ and $(i\ j) = (1\ i)(1\ j)(1\ i)^{-1}$. □

Def 8. Let G be a group and $S \subset G$. The subgroup generated by S defined to be the smallest subgroup of G which contains S , denoted by $\langle S \rangle$.

Ex 1.2.1.

1. $S_n = \langle (1\ 2), (2\ 3), \dots, (n-1\ n) \rangle, \quad n \geq 2.$
2. $S_n = \langle (1\ 2), (1\ 2 \dots n) \rangle, \quad n \geq 2.$

Def 9. $A_n = \{\text{even permutations of } S_n\} \leq S_n, |A_n| = \frac{n!}{2}.$

Ex 1.2.2.

1. $A_n = \langle (1\ 2\ 3), (1\ 2\ 4), \dots, (1\ 2\ n) \rangle, n \geq 3.$
2. $A_n = \langle (1\ 2\ 3), (2\ 3\ 4), \dots, (n-2\ n-1\ n) \rangle, n \geq 3.$

Remark 2. $\langle S \rangle = \bigcap_{S \subseteq H \leq G} H = \{a_1 a_2 \dots a_k \mid k \in \mathbb{N}, a_i \in S \cup S^{-1}\} \cup \{1\}$

The orthogonal transformations on \mathbb{R}^2 : $O(2)$.

Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ b_1 & b_2 \end{pmatrix} \in O(2).$

略... (這邊討論旋轉和反射的矩陣)

Case 1: $A = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha \\ \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{pmatrix}$ is counterclockwise rotation w.r.t. α .

Case 2: $A = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha & \sin \alpha \\ \sin \alpha & -\cos \alpha \end{pmatrix}$ is the reflection. $A^2 = I_2 \implies$ eigenvalues are ± 1 .

Easy to show that $L_A(v) = v - 2\langle v, v_2 \rangle v_2$.

$O(2) = \{\text{rotations}\} \cup \{\text{reflections}\}.$

Def 10. The dihedral group D_n is the group of symmetries of a regular n -gon.

In general, $D_n = \langle T, R \mid T^n = 1, R^2 = 1, TR = RT^{-1} \rangle \leq O(2) \leq S_n, |D_n| = 2n.$

Def 11. Let T be a linear transformation from $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$.

- T is called a rotation if \exists a T -invariant subspace $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\dim W = 2$ s.t. $\begin{cases} T|_W \text{ is a rotation} \\ T|_{W^\perp} = \text{id}_{W^\perp} \end{cases}$
- T is called a reflection if \exists a T -invariant subspace $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\dim W = 1$ s.t. $\begin{cases} T|_W = -\text{id}_W \\ T|_{W^\perp} = \text{id}_{W^\perp} \end{cases}$

Main result: the group of orthogonal transformations = $\langle \text{rotations, reflections} \rangle$.

Prop 1.2.1. For $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, \exists a T -invariant subspace $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ with $1 \leq \dim W \leq 2$.

Proof. Let $A = [T]_\alpha \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$. Consider $\widetilde{L}_A : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n, v \mapsto Av$.

Then \exists an eigenvalue $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and an eigenvector $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$ for \widetilde{L}_A . Let $\lambda = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 i, v = v_1 + v_2 i$. By definition, we have

$$Av = \widetilde{L}_A(v) = \lambda v = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 i)(v_1 + v_2 i) \implies \begin{cases} Av_1 = \lambda_1 v_1 - \lambda_2 v_2 \\ Av_2 = \lambda_2 v_1 + \lambda_1 v_2 \end{cases},$$

so $W = \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle$. □

Ex 1.2.3.

1. If T is orthogonal, then W^\perp is also T -invariant.
2. Use induction on n to show the main result.

For $n = 3, A \in O(3)$, we have $A \sim \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha & \\ \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha & \\ & & \pm 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

1.2.2 Cyclic groups and internal direct product

Def 12. If $G = \langle a \rangle = \{\dots, a^{-2}, a^{-1}, a, 1, a, a^2, \dots\} = \{a^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, then G is a cyclic group generated by a .

Eg 1.2.4. $\mathbb{Z} = \langle 1 \rangle = \langle -1 \rangle$.

Eg 1.2.5. Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{2\pi}{n} & -\sin \frac{2\pi}{n} \\ \sin \frac{2\pi}{n} & \cos \frac{2\pi}{n} \end{pmatrix} \in SO(2)$. Then $\langle A \rangle = \{I_2, A, A^2, \dots, A^{n-1}\}$ and $A^n = I_2, A^m = A^r$ where $m \equiv r \pmod{n}$.

Eg 1.2.6. $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \dots, \overline{(n-1)}\}$ with $\bar{j} = \{m \in \mathbb{Z} \mid m \equiv j \pmod{n}\}$.

Define $\bar{i} + \bar{j} = \begin{cases} \overline{i+j} & \text{if } 0 \leq i+j \leq n \\ \overline{i+j-n} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \implies (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, +, \bar{0}) \text{ forms a group.}$

Remark 3. $\bar{i} \times \bar{j} = \overline{i \times j}$.

- 略
- If $\gcd(j, n) = d, \exists h, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $hj + kn = d$.

Def 13. $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times = \{j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \mid \gcd(j, n) = 1\} \implies ((\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times, \times, \bar{1}) \text{ forms a group.}$

Eg 1.2.7. 略... 简化剩餘系, 原根 (generator) $(1, 2, 4, p^k, 2p^k, p \text{ is an odd prime})$

Def 14.

- The **order** of a finite group G is the number of elements in G , denoted by $|G|$.
- Let $a \in G$, the order of a is defined to be the least positive integer n s.t. $a^n = 1$, denoted by $\text{ord}(a) = n$.
- If $a^n \neq 1 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, then we call “ a has infinite order”.

Prop 1.2.2. Let $G = \langle a \rangle$ with $\text{ord}(a) = n$. Then

1. $a^m = 1 \iff n \mid m$.

Proof.

\Leftarrow : Let $m = dn$, then $a^m = (a^n)^d = 1$.

\Rightarrow : Let $m = qn + r, 0 \leq r < n$. If $r \neq 0$, then $a^r = a^{m-qn} = (a^m)(a^n)^{-q} = 1$. But $r < n$, which is a contradiction. Hence $r = 0 \implies n \mid m$. \square

2. $\text{ord}(a^r) = n / \gcd(r, n)$.

Proof. Let $\gcd(r, n) = d, n = dn', r = dr'$ with $\gcd(n', r') = 1$. Plan to show “ $\text{ord}(a^r) = n'$.”

- $(a^r)^{n'} = a^{r'dn'} = (a^n)^{r'} = 1 \implies \text{ord}(a^r) \mid n'$.
- $1 = (a^r)^{\text{ord}(a^r)} = a^{r \text{ord}(a^r)} \implies n \mid r \text{ord}(a^r) \implies n' \mid r' \text{ord}(a^r) \implies n' \mid \text{ord}(a^r)$.

□

Prop 1.2.3. Any subgroup of a cyclic group is cyclic.

Proof. Let $G = \langle a \rangle$ and $H \leq G$. If $H = \{1\}$, then $H = \langle 1 \rangle$, done!

Otherwise, $d = \min\{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid a^m \in H\}$, by well-ordering axiom. Claim $H = \langle a^d \rangle$.

\supset : $a^d \in H$ by the definition of d .

\subset : $\forall a^m \in H$, write $m = qd + r, 0 \leq r < d$. If $r \neq 0$, then $a^r = a^{m-qd} = a^m(a^d)^{-q} \in H$, which is a contradiction. Hence $r = 0 \implies d \mid m$.

□

Ex 1.2.4.

1. $\text{ord}(a) = \text{ord}(a^{-1}) = n$.
2. $\langle a^r \rangle = \langle a^{\gcd(n, r)} \rangle$.
3. $\langle a^{r_1} \rangle = \langle a^{r_2} \rangle \iff \gcd(n, r_1) = \gcd(n, r_2)$.
4. $\forall m \mid n, \exists! H \leq \langle a \rangle$ s.t. $|H| = m$. Conversely, if $H \leq \langle a \rangle$, then $|H| \mid n$.

Prop 1.2.4. Let $G = \langle a \rangle$. Then

1. $\text{ord}(a) = n \implies G \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$
2. $\text{ord}(a) = \infty \implies G \cong \mathbb{Z}$

Ex 1.2.5. Show Prop 1.2.4.

Def 15. Let $G_1, G_2 \leq G$. G is the internal direct product of G_1, G_2 if $G_1 \times G_2 \rightarrow G, (g_1, g_2) \mapsto g_1 g_2$ is an isom.

Remark 4. In this case, we find that

- $G = G_1 G_2 = \{g_1 g_2 \mid g_1 \in G_1, g_2 \in G_2\}$.
- $G_1 \cap G_2 = \{1\}$. (consider $a \neq 1 \in G_1 \cap G_2$, then $(1, a) \mapsto a, (a, 1) \mapsto a$, but the function is 1-1, which is a contradiction.)
- If $a \in G$ with $a = g_1 g_2 = g'_1 g'_2$, then $(g'_1)^{-1} g_1 = (g'_2) g_2^{-1} \in G_1 \cap G_2 = \{1\} \implies \begin{cases} g_1 = g'_1 \\ g_2 = g'_2 \end{cases}$.
- For $g_1 \in G_1, g_2 \in G_2, (g_1, g_2) = (g_1, 1)(1, g_2) = (1, g_2)(g_1, 1) \implies g_1 g_2 = g_2 g_1$.

Ex 1.2.6. TFAE

1. G is the internal direct product of G_1, G_2 .
2. $\forall a \in G, \exists! g_1 \in G_1, g_2 \in G_2$ s.t. $a = g_1 g_2$; $\forall g_1 \in G_1, g_2 \in G_2, g_1 g_2 = g_2 g_1$.
3. $G_1 \cap G_2 = \{1\}$; $G = G_1 G_2$; $\forall g_1 \in G_1, g_2 \in G_2, g_1 g_2 = g_2 g_1$.

Eg 1.2.8.

1. $G = \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z} = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{4}, \bar{5}\}$, $G_1 = \{\bar{0}, \bar{3}\}$, $G_2 = \{\bar{0}, \bar{2}, \bar{4}\}$. We have $G \cong G_1 \times G_2$.
2. $G = S_3$, $G_1 = \langle (1\ 2) \rangle$, $G_2 = \langle (1\ 2\ 3) \rangle$. We have $G_1 \times G_2 \not\cong G$ since $(1\ 2)(1\ 2\ 3) \neq (1\ 2\ 3)(1\ 2)$.

Eg 1.2.9. $G = S_3$, $G_1 = \langle (1\ 2) \rangle$, $G_2 = \langle (2\ 3) \rangle$, $G_1 G_2 = \{1, (1\ 2), (2\ 3), (1\ 2\ 3)\} \not\leq G$ since $(1\ 3\ 2) = (1\ 2\ 3)^{-1} \notin G_1 G_2$.

Prop 1.2.5. Let $H, K \leq G$. Then $HK \leq G \iff HK = KH$.

Proof.

$$\Rightarrow: \begin{cases} H \leq HK \\ K \leq HK \end{cases} \implies KH \subseteq HK; \forall hk \in HK, \exists h'k' \in HK \text{ s.t. } (hk)(h'k') = 1 \implies hk = (k')^{-1}(h')^{-1} \in KH \implies HK \subseteq KH.$$

$$\Leftarrow: \text{For } h_1 k_1, h_2 k_2 \in HK, (h_1 k_1)(h_2 k_2)^{-1} = h_1 k_1 k_2^{-1} h_2^{-1} = h_1 h' k' \in HK.$$

□

1.3 Week 3

1.3.1 Coset and Quotient Group

Let $f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ be a group homo. Define $\text{Im } f := f(G_1)$.

Notice that $\text{Im } f \leq G_2$.

Proof. Let $z_1 = f(a_1), z_2 = f(a_2)$, then $z_1 z_2^{-1} = f(a_1) f(a_2)^{-1} = f(a_1) f(a_2^{-1}) = f(a_1 a_2^{-1}) \in \text{Im } f$. \square

Def 16. $\ker f := \{x \in G_1 \mid f(x) = 1\} \leq G_1$.

Fact 1.3.1.

1. $x \in (\ker f)a \iff f(x) = f(a)$.
2. $\ker f = \{1\} \iff f$ is 1-1.

Def 17. Let $H \leq G, \forall a \in G, Ha$ is called a **right coset** of H in G .

Fact 1.3.2.

1. For 2 right cosets Ha, Hb , either $Ha = Hb$ or $Ha \cap Hb = \phi$ must hold.
2. $\{Ha : a \in G\}$ forms a partition of G .

Theorem 2 (Lagrange). Let $|G| < \infty$ and $H \leq G, |H| \mid |G|$.

Proof. \square

Remark 5. r is called the **index** of H in G , denoted by $[G : H]$. (The concept of index can be extended to infinite G, H .)

Ex 1.3.1. no subgroup of A_4 has order 6. (converse of Lagrange thm. is false.)

Coro 1.3.1. If $|G| = p$ is a prime in \mathbb{Z} , then G is cyclic.

Proof. \square

Coro 1.3.2. If $|G| < \infty, a \in G$, then $a^{|G|} = 1$.

Proof. \square

Remark 6.

1. Let $H \leq G, a \in G, aH$ is called a **left coset**.
2. $\{\text{right cosets of } H\} \leftrightarrow \{\text{right cosets of } H\}$ by $Ha \mapsto a^{-1}H$.

Ques: How to make $\{aH : a \in G\}$ to be a group? For aH, bH , we must have $(aH)(bH) = abH$.

In general, $(aH)(bH) = abH$ is not well-defined.

Ex 1.3.1. Let $H = \langle (1\ 2) \rangle \leq S_3, a_1 = (1\ 3), a_2 = (1\ 2\ 3), b_1 = (1\ 3\ 2), b_2 = (2\ 3)$. 出慘點

If we hope $a_1b_1H = a_2b_2H$, then we need $(a_1b_1)^{-1}a_2b_2 \in H$.

$$b_1^{-1}a_1^{-1}a_2b_2 = b_1^{-1}b_2b_2^{-1}a_1^{-1}a_2b_2$$

Notice that $b_1^{-1}b_2, a_1^{-1}a_2 \in H$, so we need $b_2^{-1}a_1^{-1}a_2b_2 \in H$.

Def 18. Let $H \leq G$. H is said to be **normal subgroup** of G if $\forall g \in G, h \in H, g^{-1}hg \in H$ (or $g^{-1}Hg \subseteq H$), denoted by $H \triangleleft G$.

Def 19. Let $H \triangleleft G$. The set $\{aH \mid a \in G\}$ forms a group under $(aH)(bH) = abH, a, b \in G$. We call it the **quotient group** of G by H , denoted by G/H .

(Note: The identity is $H = hH$ and $(aH)^{-1} = a^{-1}H$.)

Remark 7. Define $q : G \rightarrow G/H, a \mapsto aH$, called the quotient homomorphism.

Ex 1.3.2. Let $H \leq G$. Then TFAE

- (a) $H \triangleleft G$.
- (b) $\forall x \in G, xHx^{-1} = H$.
- (c) $\forall x \in G, xH = Hx$.
- (d) $\forall x, y \in G, (xH)(yH) = (xy)H$.

Ques: How to find a normal subgroup of G ?

Prop 1.3.1.

- 1. If G is abelian, then $\forall H \leq G \rightsquigarrow H \triangleleft G$. (done by (c))
- 2. If $H \leq G$ with $[G : H] = 2$, then $H \triangleleft G$.

Ex 1.3.2. $n \leq 3, [S_n : A_n] = 2 \implies A_n \triangleleft S_n$.

Proof. We can write $G = H \cup Ha = H \cup aH \implies aH = Ha, \forall a \notin H$. □

Def 20. Define the center of G to be $Z_G = \{a \in G \mid ax = xa, \forall x \in G\} \leq G$.

Prop 1.3.2.

- 1. $Z_G \triangleleft G$. (by (c) and def.)
- 2. If G/Z_G is cyclic, then G is abelian.

Proof. Let $G/Z_G = \langle aZ_G \rangle$, (let $\bar{a} := aZ_G$) for some $a \in G$. For $x_1, x_2 \in G$, let $x_1 = a^{k_1}z_1, x_2 = a^{k_2}z_2$, then $x_1x_2 = a^{k_1+k_2}z_1z_2 = x_2x_1$. (z_i 可以各種交換) □

Def 21. The commutator of G is define to be $[G, G] = \langle xyx^{-1}y^{-1} \mid x, y \in G \rangle$.

Prop 1.3.3. $[G, G] \triangleleft G ; [G, G] = 1 \iff G$ is abelian.

Proof. $\forall x \in G, a \in [G, G], xax^{-1} = xax^{-1}a^{-1}a$ and $xax^{-1}a^{-1}, a \in [G, G]$. □

Ex 1.3.3.

- 1. If $H \leq S_n$ and $\exists \sigma \in H$ is odd, then $[H : H \cap A_n] = 2$.

2. For $n \geq 3$, $[S_n, S_n] = A_n$.

Ex 1.3.4. Let $H \leq G$. Then $H \triangleleft G$ and G/H is abelian $\iff [G, G] \leq H$. (hint: $G/[G, G]$ is "max" among all abelian quotient groups)

1.3.2 Isomorphism theorems & Factor theorem

Theorem 3 (1st isomorphism theorem). Let $f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ be a group homo. Then $G_1/\ker f \cong \text{Im } f$.

Proof. Define $\varphi : a \ker f \mapsto f(a)$.

- well-defined: $a \ker f = b \ker f \implies a^{-1}b \in \ker f \implies f(a^{-1}b) = 1 \implies f(a)^{-1}f(b) = 1 \implies f(a) = f(b)$.
- group homo: $\varphi((a \ker f)(b \ker f)) = \varphi(ab \ker f) = f(ab) = f(a)f(b) = \varphi(a \ker f)\varphi(b \ker f)$.
- onto: by def. of $\text{Im } f$.
- 1-1: $f(a) = f(b) \implies a \ker f = b \ker f$ (easy).

□

Theorem 4 (Factor theorem). Let $f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ be a group homo. and $H \triangleleft G_1, H \leq \ker f$. Then \exists a group homo. $\varphi : G/H \rightarrow G_2$ s.t.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_1 & \xrightarrow{q} & G/H \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow \varphi \\ & & G_2 \end{array}$$

Eg 1.3.3. Let $G = \langle a \rangle$ with $\text{ord}(a) = n$. Then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. (1st isom. thm.)

Eg 1.3.4. $\varphi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, 4\mathbb{Z} \leq 2\mathbb{Z}$, so by factor thm., $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

Eg 1.3.5. $\det : \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^\times \implies \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{F})/\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{F}) \cong \mathbb{F}^\times$

Eg 1.3.6. $\text{sgn} : S_n \rightarrow \{\pm 1\} \implies S_n/A_n \cong \{\pm 1\}$

Theorem 5 (2nd isomorphism theorem). Let $H \leq G, K \triangleleft G$. Then $HK/K \cong H/H \cap K$.

Proof. First, $\begin{cases} H \leq G \\ K \triangleleft G \end{cases} \implies HK = KH \implies HK \leq G; K \triangleleft G \implies K \triangleleft HK$.

Define $\varphi : H \rightarrow HK/K, h \mapsto hK$. which is a group homo.

- onto: $\forall (hk)K, hK = hK$, so $\varphi(h) = hK = hkK$.
- Find $\ker \varphi$: $a \in \ker \varphi \iff \begin{cases} a \in H \\ aK = K \end{cases} \iff a \in H \cap K$, so $\ker \varphi = H \cap K$.

Then by 1st isom. thm.

□

Eg 1.3.7. $G = \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C}), H = \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}), K = \mathbb{C}^\times I_2 = Z_G \triangleleft G$.

By 2nd isom. thm., $G/K \cong H/\{\pm I_2\}$. ($G = HK, \{\pm I_2\} = H \cap K$)

projective linear group: $\text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C}) = G/K$.

projective special linear group: $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C}) = H/H \cap K$.

齊次座標...OTL

Ex 1.3.5.

1. Let $H_1 \triangleleft G_1, H_2 \triangleleft G_2$. Then $(H_1 \times H_2) \triangleleft (G_1 \times G_2)$ and $G_1 \times G_2 / H_1 \times H_2 \cong G_1 / H_1 \times G_2 / H_2$.
2. Let $H \triangleleft G, K \triangleleft G$ s.t. $G = HK$. Then $G/H \cap K \cong G/H \times G/K$.

Ex 1.3.6. Let $H \triangleleft G$ with $[G : H] = p$, which is a prime in \mathbb{Z} . Then $\forall K \leq G$, either (1) $K \leq H$ or (2) $G = HK$ and $[K : K \cap H] = p$.

Theorem 6 (3rd isomorphism theorem). Let $K \triangleleft G$.

1. There is a 1-1 correspondence between $\{H \leq G \mid K \leq H\}$ and $\{\text{subgroups of } G/K\}$. ($H \triangleleft G$... normal)

Proof. Define $\varphi : H \mapsto H/K$. ($H/K \leq G/K$)

- 1-1: Assume $H_1/K = H_2/K$. For $a \in H_1$, $aK \in H_1/K = H_2/K$. so $\exists b \in H_2$ s.t. $aK = bK \implies b^{-1}a \in K \leq H_2 \implies a \in bH_2 = H_2$. So $H_1 \leq H_2$. By symmetry, $H_2 \leq H_1$, and thus $H_1 = H_2$.
- onto: Given a subgroup Q of G/K , consider $H = q^{-1}(Q)$ where $q : G \rightarrow G/K$.
 - $H \leq G$: $\forall a, b \in H, q(a), q(b) \in Q \implies q(a)q(b)^{-1} \in Q \implies q(ab^{-1}) \in Q \implies ab^{-1} \in H \implies H \leq G$.
 - $K \leq H$: $\forall a \in K, q(a) = aK = K \in Q \implies a \in H \implies K \leq H$.
 - $Q = H/K$: $\forall aK \in Q, aK = q(a) \implies a \in H \implies aK \in H/K \implies Q \subseteq H/K$.
And $\forall aK \in H/K (a \in H), q(a) \in Q \implies H/K \subseteq Q$. So $Q = H/K$.
- $H \triangleleft G, K \leq H \iff \forall g \in G, gHg^{-1} = H, K \leq H \iff \forall \bar{g} \in G/K, \bar{g}(H/K)\bar{g}^{-1} = H/K \iff H/K \triangleleft G/K$. \square

2. If $H \triangleleft G$ with $K \leq H$, then $(G/K)/(H/K) \cong G/H$.

Proof. Define $\varphi : G \rightarrow (G/K)/(H/K)$ with $\varphi : a \mapsto aK(H/K)$.

- onto: ... easy.
- Find $\ker \varphi$: $a \in \ker \varphi \iff aK(H/K) = H/K \iff aK \in H/K \iff a \in H$.

By 1st isom. thm., $(G/K)/(H/K) \cong G/H$. \square

Fig 1.3.8. $m\mathbb{Z} + n\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \cong n\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \cap n\mathbb{Z}$. ($m\mathbb{Z} + n\mathbb{Z} = \gcd(m, n)\mathbb{Z}, m\mathbb{Z} \cap n\mathbb{Z} = \text{lcm}(m, n)\mathbb{Z}$)

Ques: $G/K \cong G'/K'$ and $K \cong K' \not\Rightarrow G \cong G'$.

Fig 1.3.9. Q_8 and D_4 交給陳力

Extension problem: given two groups A, B , how to find G and $K \triangleleft G$, s.t. $K \cong A, G/K \cong B$?
($1 \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \rightarrow G/H \rightarrow 1$, short exact sequence)

(e.g. $G = A \times B, K = A \times \{1\}$)

1.4 Week 4

1.4.1 Universal property and direct sum & product

In general, let $f_1 : G_1 \rightarrow G, f_2 : G_2 \rightarrow G$ are group homo. $f_1 \times f_2 : G_1 \times G_2 \rightarrow G, (a, b) \mapsto f_1(a)f_2(b)$. But we have $(a, b) = (a, 1)(1, b) = (1, b)(a, 1)$, so $f_1(a)f_2(b) = f_2(b)f_1(a) \implies$ need G to be abelian.

So we intend to define the direct sum in the category of abelian group.

Notation: For abelian groups, we use “+” to denote the group operation and “0” to denote the identity.

Def 22. Given a non-empty family of abelian groups $\{G_s \mid s \in \Lambda\}$, a (external) direct sum of $\{G_s \mid s \in \Lambda\}$ is an abelian group $\bigoplus_{s \in \Lambda} G_s$ with the embedding mappings $i_{s_0} : G_{s_0} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{s \in \Lambda} G_s, \forall s_0 \in \Lambda$ satisfying the universal property:

for any abelian group H and group homo. $\varphi_s : G_s \rightarrow H \forall s \in \Lambda, \exists!$ group homo. $\varphi : \bigoplus_{s \in \Lambda} G_s \rightarrow H$ s.t. 又一個 \complement 圖

Theorem 7. $\bigoplus_{s \in \Lambda} G_s$ exists and is unique up to isomorphisms.

Proof. Existence: $\bigoplus_{s \in \Lambda} G_s = \{(g_s)_{s \in \Lambda} \mid g_s \in G_s, \text{ almost all of the } g_s \text{' are } 0\}$ and

$$i_{s_0} : G_{s_0} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{s \in \Lambda} G_s, a_{s_0} \mapsto (g_{s_0})_{s \in \Lambda} \text{ with } g_{s_0} = a_{s_0}, g_s = 0, \forall s \neq s_0.$$

group operation: $(g_s)_{s \in \Lambda} + (g'_s)_{s \in \Lambda} := (g_s + g'_s)_{s \in \Lambda} \in \bigoplus_{s \in \Lambda} G_s$. 這邊也一個 \complement 圖

Uniqueness: Assume \exists another G satisfies the universal property, 一個大 \complement 圖 $(G, \bigoplus_{s \in \Lambda} G_s)$ 互相有唯一一個映射可以 keep $i_{s_0}, \varphi \circ \psi = \text{id}_G, \psi \circ \varphi = \text{id}_{\bigoplus_{s \in \Lambda} G_s}$ \square

Def 23. Given a non-empty family of groups $\{G_s \mid s \in \Lambda\}$, a direct product of $\{G_s \mid s \in \Lambda\}$ is a group $\prod_{s \in \Lambda} G_s$ with projections $p_{s_0} : \prod_{s \in \Lambda} G_s \rightarrow G_{s_0}, \forall s_0 \in \Lambda$ satisfying the following universal property:

for any group H with group homo. $\varphi_s : H \rightarrow G_s, \forall s \in \Lambda, \exists! \varphi : H \rightarrow \prod_{s \in \Lambda} G_s$ s.t. 又一個 \complement 圖

Theorem 8. $\prod_{s \in \Lambda} G_s$ exists and is unique up to isomorphisms.

Proof. Existence: $\prod_{s \in \Lambda} G_s = \{(g_s)_{s \in \Lambda} \mid g_s \in G_s\}$ and

$$p_{s_0} : \prod_{s \in \Lambda} G_s \rightarrow G_{s_0}, (g_s)_{s \in \Lambda} \mapsto g_{s_0}, \forall s_0 \in \Lambda$$

- group operation: $(g_s)_{s \in \Lambda} \cdot (g'_s)_{s \in \Lambda} := (g_s g'_s)_{s \in \Lambda} \in \prod_{s \in \Lambda} G_s$.
- Define φ : 這邊也一個 \complement 圖 which is uniquely defined.

Uniqueness: Assume \exists another G satisfies the universal property, 一個大 \complement 圖 $(G, \prod_{s \in \Lambda} G_s)$ 互相有唯一一個映射可以 keep $i_{s_0}, \varphi \circ \psi = \text{id}_G, \psi \circ \varphi = \text{id}_{\prod_{s \in \Lambda} G_s}$ \square

Ex 1.4.1. Google the definition of the **direct limit** and show the existence and uniqueness.

Ex 1.4.2. Google the definition of the **inverse limit** and show the existence and uniqueness.

Motivation: ζ_m is called an m -th root of unity if $\zeta_m^m = 1$.

$$\varinjlim_n \mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z} \cong \{2^n\text{-th roots of unity} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

$$\varinjlim_n \mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z} = \left(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z} \right) / \langle i_k(a) - i_j(f_{kj}(a)) \mid k \leq j, a \in \mathbb{Z}/2^k\mathbb{Z} \rangle$$

where $f_{kj} : \mathbb{Z}/2^k\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2^j\mathbb{Z}$.

Inverse limit:

$$\varprojlim \mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z} = \left\{ (n_1, n_2, \dots) \in \prod_n \mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z} \mid \forall i < j, n_i \equiv n_j \pmod{2^{i+1}} \right\}$$

1.4.2 Rings and fields

Def 24. A **ring** is a non-empty set R with two operations $R \times R \rightarrow R$

$$(a, b) \mapsto a + b \quad \text{and} \quad (a, b) \mapsto ab$$

satisfying

1. $(R, +, 0)$ is an abelian group.
2. (R, \cdot) is a semigroup. (if it is a monoid, then it is called “a ring with 1.”)
3. (Distributive laws) $\forall a, b, c \in R, \begin{cases} a(b + c) = ab + ac \\ (b + c)a = ba + ca \end{cases}$

Eg 1.4.1. $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$

Eg 1.4.2. Let G be an abelian group. Define (endomorphism, automorphism)

$$\text{End}(G) := \{ \text{group homo. } G \rightarrow G \} \quad \text{Aut}(G) := \{ \text{group isom. } G \rightarrow G \}$$

A natural ring structure on $\text{End}(G)$ is:

$$\forall a \in G, \begin{cases} (f + g)(a) := f(a) + g(a) \\ (f \cdot g)(a) := f(g(a)) \end{cases}$$

Eg 1.4.3. $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}] = \{a + b\sqrt{2} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset \mathbb{R}$.

Def 25. Let R be a ring with 1.

- (a) $\forall a \in R, a \neq 0$, a is called a unit if $\exists a^{-1} \in R$.
- (b) $(R^\times = \{\text{units in } R\}, \cdot, 1)$ forms a group.
- (c) R is called a division ring if $R \setminus \{0\} = R^\times$.
- (d) R is said to be commutative if $ab = ba, \forall a, b \in R$.
- (e) R is a field if R is a commutative division ring.
- (f) $a \neq 0$ is called a left zero divisor if $\exists b \in R, b \neq 0$ s.t. $ab = 0$.
- (g) a is called a zero divisor if a is either a left or right zero divisor.
- (h) R is called an integral domain if R is a commutative ring without zero divisors.

Fact:

1. fields \implies integral domains.
2. finite + integral domain \implies fields.

Proof. Let $R = \{0, a_1, \dots, a_n\}$, for $a \in R, a \neq 0, aa_i = aa_j \implies a(a_i - a_j) = 0 \implies i = j$.
So $\{0, aa_1, \dots, aa_n\} = R \implies \exists a_i$ s.t. $aa_i = 1$. \square

Prop 1.4.1. TFAE

1. $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is an integral domain.
2. $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is a field.
3. $n = p$ is a prime.

easy to prove.

Def 26.

- $f : R_1 \rightarrow R_2$ is called a ring homomorphism if $\forall a, b \in R, \begin{cases} f(a+b) = f(a) + f(b) \\ f(ab) = f(a)f(b) \end{cases}$.
- $\text{Im } f$ is a subring of R_2 .
- $\ker f = \{x \in R_1 \mid f(x) = 0\}$ is an additive group of R_1 and $\forall r \in R_1, x \in \ker f, f(rx) = f(r)f(x) = f(r)0 = 0 \implies rx \in \ker f, xr \in \ker f$.
- $R_1/\ker f$ is an additive group and $R_1/\ker f \cong \text{Im } f$ (additive isomorphism).

Def 27. Let I be an additive subgroup of R . I is called an ideal if $\forall r \in R, x \in I, rx \in I, xr \in I$.
($R/I, +, \cdot$) forms a quotient ring under

$$\forall r_1, r_2 \in R, (r_1 + I)(r_2 + I) = r_1 r_2 + I$$

well-defined: easy to show.

Ex 1.4.3. State and show the isomorphism theorems and the factor theorem.

Prop 1.4.2. If R is a ring with 1, then $\exists!$ ring homo. $\varphi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow R$ s.t. $\varphi(1) = 1$.

Proof. Let $\varphi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow R$ is a ring homo. s.t. $\varphi(1) = 1$. Then $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, \varphi(n) = \varphi(1) + \dots + \varphi(1) = n1$.
Now $\forall n, m \in \mathbb{Z}, \varphi(n)\varphi(m) = (n1)(m1) = n(m1) = (nm)1$ by the distributive law. So φ is well-defined and unique. \square

Def 28. In Prop 1.4.2, $\ker \varphi = m\mathbb{Z}$ for some $m > 0$. We call m the characteristic of R , denoted by $\text{char } R = m$.

Prop 1.4.3.

1. If R is an integral domain, then $\text{char } R = 0$ or p , where p is a prime. (try to prove this)
2. In the case of $\text{char } R = p, \forall a, b \in R, (a+b)^p = a^p + b^p$.

Proof.

$$(a+b)^p = a^p + \binom{p}{1}a^{p-1}b + \dots + b^p = a^p + b^p$$

because $p \mid \binom{p}{i} \implies \binom{p}{i}a^{p-i}b^i = 0$. \square

Ex 1.4.4. Let F be a field. Show that

1. if $\text{char } F = 0$, then $\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow \text{subfield of } F$.
2. if $\text{char } F = p$, then $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \text{subfield of } F$.

Theorem 9. If F is a finite field, then $|F| = p^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and p is a prime.

Proof. By Ex. 1.4.4, $\text{char } F = p$, p is a prime and $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow F$.

We have $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \times F \rightarrow F, (r, v) \mapsto rv$. F can be regarded as a vector space over $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.

Let $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}} F = n$, then $F \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^n \implies |F| = p^n$. □

Theorem 10. Let F be a field. Then any finite subgroup G of $(F^\times, \cdot, 1)$ is cyclic.

Proof. Let $|G| = n$. Define h to be the max order of an element in G , say $a^h = 1$.

If $h = n$, then $|\langle a \rangle| = h = n = |G|$ and $\langle a \rangle \subseteq G$, so $G = \langle a \rangle$.

Otherwise, $h < n$. We know that $x^h - 1$ has at most h roots. So $\exists b \in G$ is not a root of $x^h - 1$. Let $\text{ord}(b) = h'$, so $h' \mid n$ and $h' \nmid h$. So \exists a prime p s.t. $p^r \mid h'$ but $p^r \nmid h$.

Write $h = mp^s$, $s < r$ and $\gcd(m, p) = 1 \implies \text{ord}(a^{p^s}) = m$.

Write $h' = qp^r \implies \text{ord}(b^q) = p^r$.

Since $\gcd(m, p^r) = 1$, $\text{ord}(a^{p^s} b^q) = mp^r > mp^s = h$, which is a contradiction. □

Ex 1.4.5.

1. Let $a, b \in G$ with $ab = ba$ and $\text{ord}(a) = m, \text{ord}(b) = n$. If $\gcd(m, n) = 1$, then $\text{ord}(ab) = mn$.
In general, is the order of ab equal to $\text{lcm}(m, n)$?
2. Let G be a finite group and $H, K \leq G$. Then $|HK| = \frac{|H||K|}{|H \cap K|}$.

1.5 Week 5

1.5.1 Group actions I

Def 29. A group G is said to act on a nonempty set X if \exists a map $G \times X \rightarrow X$ with $(g, x) \mapsto gx$ s.t.

1. $1x = x$
2. $(g_1g_2)x = g_1(g_2x) \quad \forall g_1, g_2 \in G$

Prop 1.5.1. $\{\text{actions of } G\} \leftrightarrow \{\text{group homo. } G \rightarrow S_X\}$

Proof. Given an action $(g, x) \mapsto gx$, consider $\varphi : G \rightarrow S_X$ s.t. $\varphi : g \mapsto (\tau_g : x \mapsto gx)$.

- 1-1: $gx = gy \implies g^{-1}(gx) = y \implies x = y$.
- onto: $\forall y \in X$, let $x = g^{-1}y$, then $y = gx$.
- group homo.: $\varphi(gg') = (\tau_{gg'} : x \mapsto gg'x) = \tau_g \circ \tau_{g'} = \varphi(g)\varphi(g')$.

Conversely, given a group homo. $\varphi : G \rightarrow S_X$, consider $(g, x) \mapsto \varphi(g)(x)$.

- $1x = \varphi(1)(x) = \text{Id}(x) = x$.
- $g_1g_2x = \varphi(g_1g_2)(x) = \varphi(g_1) \circ \varphi(g_2)(x) = g_1(g_2x)$. □

Def 30. A representation of G on a vector space V is a group action of G on V linearly. i.e. \exists group homo. $\varphi : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$.

Eg 1.5.1.

$$\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{SO}(2), \quad \bar{k} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{2k\pi}{m} & -\sin \frac{2k\pi}{m} \\ \sin \frac{2k\pi}{m} & \cos \frac{2k\pi}{m} \end{pmatrix}$$

Eg 1.5.2.

$$S_n \rightarrow \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}), \quad \sigma \mapsto (\tau_\sigma : e_i \mapsto e_{\sigma(i)})$$

Remark 8.

1. An action $G \times X \rightarrow X$ is said to be faithful if the corresponding group homo. $\varphi : G \hookrightarrow S_X$, denoted by $G \curvearrowright X$.
2. In general, $\ker \varphi = \{g \in G \mid gx = x \quad \forall x \in X\} = \bigcap_{x \in X} \{g \mid gx = x\}$.
Define $G_x = \{g \mid gx = x\} \leq G$ is the isotropy subgroup of G at x . (the stabilizer of G at x)
3. $\varphi : G \rightarrow S_X \implies G/\ker \varphi \hookrightarrow S_X$. So $G/\ker \varphi \times X \rightarrow X$ is faithful.
4. Let $\mathcal{C}(X) = \{f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\}$. If $G \curvearrowright X$, then $G \curvearrowright \mathcal{C}(X)$ by $G \times \mathcal{C}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X)$ with $(g, f) \mapsto gf(x) = f(g^{-1}x)$.
The reason: $(g_1g_2)f(x) = f((g_1g_2)^{-1}x) = f(g_2^{-1}g_1^{-1}x) = g_1(g_2f)(x)$.

Def 31. Let $G \curvearrowright X$ and $x \in X$.

- The **orbit** of x is defined to be $Gx = \{gx \mid g \in G\}$.
- $G \curvearrowright X$ is said to be transitive if \exists only one orbit. i.e. $\forall x, y \in X, \exists g \in G$ s.t. $y = gx$.

The set of orbits forms a partition: $x \sim y \iff \exists g \in G$ s.t. $y = gx$.

Prop 1.5.2. Let $G \curvearrowright X$ and $x \in X$. Then $|Gx| = [G : G_x]$.

In particular, $|G| < \infty \implies |G| = |Gx||G_x| \quad \forall x \in X$.

Proof. Define $\psi : Gx \rightarrow \{\text{left coset of } G_x\}$ as $\psi : gx \mapsto gG_x$.

- well-defined and 1-1: $g_1x = g_2x \iff g_2^{-1}g_1x = x \iff g_2^{-1}g_1 \in G_x \iff g_2^{-1}g_1G_x = G_x \iff g_1G_x = g_2G_x$.
- onto: $\forall g \in G, \psi(gx) = gG_x$. □

1.5.2 Action by left multiplication

- The action $G \times G \rightarrow G, (g, x) \mapsto gx$ is associated with $\varphi : G \hookrightarrow S_G$. It is faithful (Cayley theorem) and transitive.
- Let $H \leq G$ and $X := \{\text{left coset of } H\}$. The group action $(g, xH) \mapsto gxH \rightsquigarrow \varphi : G \rightarrow S_X$.

$$\ker \varphi = \bigcap_{x \in G} \underbrace{xHx^{-1}}_{\text{a conjugate of } H} \leq H$$

which is the largest normal subgroup in G contained in H .

Proof. If $\begin{cases} N \triangleleft G \\ N \leq H \end{cases}, \forall x \in G, xNx^{-1} \leq xHx^{-1} \implies N = N(xHx^{-1}) = xNx^{-1} \leq xHx^{-1}$. □

Prop 1.5.3. Let $H \leq G$ with $[G : H] = p$ being the smallest prime dividing $|G|$. Then $H \triangleleft G$.

Proof. Let $X = \{a_1H, \dots, a_pH\}$ (all left cosets of H) and $\varphi : G \rightarrow S_p$ be the associated group homo. for the group action $(g, a_iH) \mapsto ga_iH$.

By the 1st isom. thm., $G/\ker \varphi \hookrightarrow S_p$.

By Lagrange thm. $|G/\ker \varphi| \mid |S_p| = p!$ and $|G/\ker \varphi| \mid |G| \implies |G/\ker \varphi| \mid p$.

So $|G/\ker \varphi| = 1$ or p .

If $|G/\ker \varphi| = 1 \implies G = \ker \varphi \leq H \leq G$, which is a contradiction.

So $|G/\ker \varphi| = p \implies [G : \ker \varphi] = p \implies [G : H][H : \ker \varphi] = p \implies [H : \ker \varphi] = 1 \implies H = \ker \varphi \triangleleft G$. □

1.5.3 Action by conjugation

- The action $G \times G \rightarrow G, (g, x) \mapsto gxg^{-1}$ is associated with the group homo. $\varphi : G \rightarrow S_G, g \mapsto (\tau_g : x \mapsto gxg^{-1})$.

$$\text{Inn}(G) := \{ \tau_g \mid g \in G \}$$

Fact 1.5.1. τ_g is an automorphism. (isom. $G \rightarrow G$)

So $\varphi : G \twoheadrightarrow \text{Inn}(G) \leq \text{Aut}(G) \leq S_G$.

$\ker \varphi = \{ g \in G \mid gxg^{-1} = x \quad \forall x \in G \} = Z_G$.

By the 1st isom. thm., $G/\ker \varphi \cong \text{Inn}(G)$.

- The conjugacy class: $Gx = \{ gxg^{-1} \mid g \in G \} = \text{Cl}(x)$.
- The centralizer of x in G : $G_x = \{ g \in G \mid gxg^{-1} = x \} = Z_G(x)$.

$$|\text{Cl}(x)| = [G : Z_G(x)], \text{ if } |G| < \infty, |G| = |\text{Cl}(x)| |Z_G(x)|$$

- For $H \triangleleft G$, define $G \times H \rightarrow H$ $(g, h) \mapsto ghg^{-1}$ with the group homo. $\varphi : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H)$.

$$\ker \varphi = \{g \in G \mid gxg^{-1} = x \quad \forall x \in H\} = Z_G(H) \implies G/Z_G(H) \leq \text{Aut}(H)$$

- The normalizer of H in G : $N_G(H) = \{g \in G \mid gHg^{-1} = H\}$

Theorem 11 (Normalizer-Centralizer theorem). If $H \leq G$ then $N_G(H)/Z_G(H) \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}(H)$.

Proof. Define $\varphi = g \mapsto (h \mapsto ghg^{-1}) \in \text{Aut}(H)$. Then $\ker \varphi = Z_G(H)$, so $N_G(H)/Z_G(H) \cong \text{Im } \varphi \leq \text{Aut}(H)$. \square

1.6 Week 6

1.6.1 Group actions II

Def 32. Let $G \curvearrowright X$ and $|X| < \infty$. Write $\text{Fix } G := \{x \in X \mid gx = x \ \forall g \in G\}$.

- $x \in \text{Fix } G, Gx = \{x\}$.
- $x \notin \text{Fix } G, |Gx| = [G : G_x]$.

Let $\{G_{x_1}, \dots, G_{x_n}\}$ be the set of distinct orbits. After rearrangement, assume $x_1, \dots, x_r \in \text{Fix } G, x_{r+1}, \dots, x_n \notin \text{Fix } G$. Then

$$|X| = |\text{Fix } G| + \sum_{i=r+1}^n [G : G_{x_i}]$$

Theorem 12 (class equation). Let $|G| < \infty$. Then either $G = Z_G$ or $\exists a_1, \dots, a_m \in G \setminus Z_G$ s.t.

$$|G| = |Z_G| + \sum_{i=1}^m [G : G_{a_i}]$$

Proof. Consider the action $(g, x) \mapsto gxg^{-1}$, then

$$\text{Fix } G = \{x \in G \mid gxg^{-1} = x \ \forall g \in G\} = Z_G$$

It follows from the above argument. \square

Def 33. G is called a p -group if $|G| = p^n$, where p is a prime, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Prop 1.6.1. If G is a p -group, then $Z_G \neq \{1\}$.

Proof. Let $|G| = p^n$. If $G = Z_G$, then done. Otherwise, by the class equation (use action by conjugation), $|G| = |Z_G| + \sum_{i=1}^n [G : G_{a_i}]$, $a_i \notin Z_G$.

$$G_{a_i} = Z_G(a_i), \text{ so } a_i \notin Z_G \implies Z_G(a_i) \subsetneq G \implies p \mid [G : Z_G(a_i)] = \frac{|G|}{|Z_G(a_i)|}.$$

$$\text{So } |Z_G| = |G| - \sum_{i=1}^n [G : Z_G(a_i)] \implies p \mid |Z_G| \implies Z_G \neq \{1\}. \quad \square$$

Prop 1.6.2. If $|G| = p^2$, then G is abelian. ($\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$)

Proof. Assume that G is not abelian. By prop 1.6.1, $|Z_G| = p \implies |G/Z_G| = p \implies G/Z_G$ is cyclic $\implies G$ is abelian. (contradiction) \square

Prop 1.6.3. If $|G| = p^3$ and G is not abelian, then $|Z_G| = p$.

(Abelian: $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/p^3\mathbb{Z}$)

Prop 1.6.4. Let $|G| = p^n$. Then $\forall 0 \leq k \leq n, \exists G_k \triangleleft G$ s.t. $|G_k| = p^k$ and $G_i \triangleleft G_{i+1}$.

In general, for a finite group G , $\exists \{1\} = G_r \triangleleft G_{r-1} \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft G_1 \triangleleft G_0 = G$ s.t. G_i/G_{i+1} is cyclic.

we call G a solvable group.

Proof. By induction on n , $n = 1$ is trivial. For $n > 1$, assume that the statement holds for $n - 1$. By prop 1.6.1, $Z_G \neq \{1\}$. $\exists a \in Z_G, a \neq 1$. Let $\text{ord}(a) = p^l$, then $\text{ord}(a^{p^{l-1}}) = p$. \implies in any case, $\exists a \in Z_G$ with $\text{ord}(a) = p$.

Now $|G/\langle a \rangle| = p^{n-1}$, so by induction hypothesis, $\forall 0 \leq k \leq n-1, \exists \overline{G_k} \triangleleft G/\langle a \rangle$ s.t. $|\overline{G_k}| = p^k, \overline{G_i} \leq \overline{G_{i+1}}$.

By 3rd isom. thm., $\exists G_{k+1} \triangleleft G$ s.t. $\overline{G_k} = G_{k+1}/\langle a \rangle, G_j \leq G_{j+1}$ and $|G_{k+1}| = p^{k+1}$.

□

Prop 1.6.5. Let a p -group $G \curvearrowright X$ with $|X| < \infty$. Then $|X| \equiv |\text{Fix } G| \pmod{p}$.

Theorem 13 (Cauchy theorem). Let $p \mid |G|$. Then $\exists a \in G$ s.t. $\text{ord}(a) = p$. Consider

$$X = \{ (a_1, \dots, a_p) \mid a_i \in G, a_1 a_2 \dots a_p = 1 \}$$

and the action $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \times X \rightarrow X$:

$$(\overline{k}, (a_1, \dots, a_p)) \mapsto (a_{k+1}, \dots, a_p, a_1, \dots, a_k)$$

(This is well-defined since $ab = 1 \implies ba = 1$ in a group.) We find that $(a_1, \dots, a_p) \in \text{Fix } \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \iff a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_p$. By prop 1.6.5, $|\text{Fix } \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}| \equiv |X| \pmod{p}$. And $|X| = |G|^{p-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Since $(1, \dots, 1) \in \text{Fix } \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}, |\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}| \neq 0 \implies |\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}| \geq p$.

So $\exists (a, \dots, a) \in \text{Fix } \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \implies a^p = 1$.

Application: Let $|G| = p^3$ and G be non-abelian (p is odd). By prop 1.6.3, $|G/Z_G| = p^2$. Since G is non-abelian, we have $G/Z_G \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. That is, $\forall a \in G, a^p \in Z_G$.

So,

$$\exists \varphi : G \rightarrow Z_G \cong C_p \text{ with } \varphi : a \mapsto a^p$$

Since G/Z_G is abelian, $[G, G] \leq Z_G$. And

$$\begin{cases} |[G, G]| \mid |Z_G| = p \\ G \text{ is non-abelian} \end{cases} \implies [G, G] = Z_G$$

Def 34. $[x, y] = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy \in [G, G], [x, y]^p = 1$.

So $a^p b^p = a^p b^p [b, a]^p \dots$ 換換換總共需要 $p(p-1)/2$

$$a^p b^p = (ab)^p [b, a]^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}} = (ab)^p$$

So φ is a group homo.

Now if $\ker \varphi = G$ ($\forall a \in G, a^p = 1$), i.e. φ is trivial, then φ is useless. Else, $\exists a \in G$ s.t. $\text{ord}(a) = p^2$, then $H = \langle a \rangle \triangleleft G$. ($[G : H] = p$ is the smallest prime dividing $|G|$)

Also, in this case, $\varphi : G \rightarrow Z_G \implies G/\ker \varphi \cong Z_G$. Let $E = \ker \varphi, |E| = p^2$. By the def. of $\ker \varphi, E \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.

We find that $H \cap E = \langle a^p \rangle$. Pick $b \in E \setminus H$ and let $K = \langle b \rangle \implies |K| = p, H \cap K = \{1\}, HK = G$.

1.6.2 Semidirect product

Fact 1.6.1. $K \triangleleft G, H \triangleleft G, K \cap H = \{1\} \implies KH = K \times H$
 $(\forall k \in K, h \in H, khk^{-1}h^{-1} \in H \cap K = \{1\}, \implies kh = hk)$

Fact 1.6.2. Let K, H be two groups, and $G = K \rtimes H \implies K \times \{1\} \triangleleft K \rtimes H, \{1\} \times H \triangleleft K \rtimes H$

Observation 1. $K \leq G, H \triangleleft G, K \cap H = \{1\}$ (K 慘 H 好, 簡稱慘好集)

\implies elements in KH has unique representation ? 好事喔

$KH \iff K \times H$ 1-1 corresp, $(kh) \leftrightarrow (k, h)$

Group operation : $\forall k_1, k_2 \in K, h_1, h_2 \in H, (k_1 h_1)(k_2 h_2) = k_1 k_2 (k_2^{-1} h_1 k_2) h_2$

Let $\tau : K \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H), k \mapsto (\tau(k) : h \mapsto khk^{-1})$ (類似 $\in \text{Inn}(H)$)

Def 35 (Semi-Direct Product (慘好積)). $K \times_{\tau} H = \{(k, h) | k \in K, h \in H\}$ with group operation : $(k_1, h_1)(k_2, h_2) = (k_1 k_2, \tau(k_2^{-1})(h_1)(h_2))$ where $\tau : K \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H)$ (need not to be inner homomorphism)

Properties:

- Associativity: Good, ex
- The identity = $(1, 1)$
- Inverse : $(k, h)^{-1} = (k^{-1}, \tau(k)(h^{-1}))$
- $K \cong K \times \{1\} \leq K \times_{\tau} H : (k_1, 1)(k_2, 1) = (k_1 k_2, \tau(k_2^{-1})(1)1) = (k_1 k_2, 1) \in K \times \{1\}$
 $H \cong \{1\} \times H \leq K \times_{\tau} H : (1, h_1)(1, h_2) = (1, \tau(1^{-1})(h_1)h_2) = (1, h_1 h_2) \in \{1\} \times H$
- $H \triangleleft K \times_{\tau} H : (k, h)(1, h')(k, h)^{-1} = (k, hh')(k^{-1}, \tau(k)(h^{-1})) = (1, \tau(k)(hh')\tau(k)(h^{-1})) \in H$
- $\tau(k)(h) = khk^{-1} : (k, 1)(1, h)(k^{-1}, 1) = (k, h)(k^{-1}, 1) = (1, \tau(k)(h))$
- If τ is trivial $\implies K \times_{\tau} H \cong K \times H$

Remark 9. Some definition swaps the order of H and K , i.e. $(h_1, k_1)(h_2, k_2) = (h_1 \phi(k_1)(h_2), k_1 k_2)$

Ex 1.6.1. Show that $H \rtimes_{\phi} K$ is a group and satisfies the above properties.

Eg 1.6.1. Construct a non-abelian group of order 21.

Fact 1.6.3. $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{\times} \cong C_{p-1}$

Sol : $\phi_k : \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}, \bar{1} \mapsto \bar{k}$

$\phi_{k_2} \circ \phi_{k_1}(1) = \phi_{k_2}(\bar{k}_1) = \phi_{k_2}(1 + \dots + 1) = \bar{k}_2 + \dots + \bar{k}_2 = \overline{k_1 k_2}$

Let $K = C_3, H = C_7$, define $\tau : C_3 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_7) \cong C_6, a \mapsto \phi_2$

$\phi_k : b \mapsto b^k$

$G = \langle a, b | a^3 = 1, b^7 = 1, aba^{-1} = b^2 \rangle$

Eg 1.6.2. $p : \text{odd}, |G| = p^3, G$ is non-abelian.

(sol) $\phi : G \rightarrow Z(G), a \mapsto a^p$ non trivial case $\exists a \in G$ with $\text{ord}(a) = p^2$. Let $H = \langle a \rangle$ here ϕ is onto and $E = \ker \phi \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ And $|H \cap E| = p$ $H \triangleleft G$ because $[G : H] = p$ Pick $b \in E \setminus H$ and let $K = \langle b \rangle \implies |K| = p, K \cap H = \{1\}$ so $|G| = |KH| = p^3$

Fact 1.6.4. $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$

Sol : $\phi_k : \mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}, \bar{1} \mapsto \bar{k}, \gcd(k, p) = 1$

Find a group homo $\tau : K \implies \text{Aut}(H)$ because $(1+p)^p \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$, $\text{ord}(\overline{1+p}) = p$. Let $P = \langle \overline{1+p} \rangle$ is the only subgroup of order p . (if $\exists |Q| = p, P \neq Q$ then $P \cap Q = 1, |PQ| = p^2$ but

$|G| = p(p-1)$, miserable.) So let $\tau : b \mapsto (\phi_{1+p} : a \mapsto a^{1+p})$ so $G = \langle a, b | a^{p^2} = 1, b^p = 1, bab^{-1} = a^{1+p} \rangle$ is a non-abelian group of order p^3 .

Eg 1.6.3. Isometry of R^n

Def 36 (Isometry). An isometry of R^n is a function $h : R^n \rightarrow R^n$ that preserves the distance between vectors.

$h = t \circ k$ where t is translation, k is an isometry fixing the origin, i.e. $k \in O(n)$. Let T be the group of translations on R^n , $T \cong (R^n, +, 0), t \mapsto t(0)$.

Let $\tau : O(n) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(T), A \mapsto L_A : R^n \rightarrow R^n, v \mapsto Av$

$\implies \text{Isom}(R^n) = O(n) \times_{\tau} R^n$

Eg 1.6.4. Quaternion $Q_8 = \{\pm 1, \pm i, \pm j, \pm k\}$ is not a semi-direct product of any two proper subgroups.

pf: since $\{\pm 1\}$ is contained in any non-trivial subgroups, can't find $H \cap K = \{1\}$.

Eg 1.6.5. $A_4, V_4 = \{1, (12)(34), (14)(23), (13)(24)\} \triangleleft A_4, V_4 \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$

Let $H = \langle (123) \rangle \cong C_3$, define $\tau : H \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V_4) \cong GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ $(123) \mapsto (\bar{0}\bar{1}; \bar{1}\bar{1})$ so $A_4 \cong C_3 \times_{\tau} V_4$.

Ex 1.6.2. Construct D_n as a semi-direct product of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

Ex 1.6.3.

1. Show that S_4 is a semi-direct product of V_4 and $H = \{\sigma \in S_4 | \sigma(4) = 4\} \sim S_3$.
2. Show that S_n is a semi-direct product of A_n and $H = \langle (12) \rangle$.

Remark 10.

- $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \cong GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ (regarded as a vector space over $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$)
- $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \times \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}) \cong C_{p-1} \times C_{q-1}$

1.7 Week 7

1.7.1 Composition series

Ques: How to simplify a finite group G ?

Strategy:

- If $G = \{1\}$, then done.
- Otherwise, check whether G has a nontrivial proper normal subgroup.
- If no, then G is said to be a simple group.
- Otherwise, find a normal subgroup G_1 as large as possible s.t. G/G_1 is simple.
- If G_1 is simple, then done.
- Otherwise, repeat above on G_1 and get G_2, \dots, G_n s.t.

$$G_n = \{1\} \triangleleft G_1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft G_1 \triangleleft G_0 = G \quad \begin{array}{l} G_i/G_{i+1} \text{ is simple} \\ \searrow \\ \text{composition factors} \end{array}$$

Say “it is a composition series” with $\text{length}(G) = n$.

Hence simple groups can be regarded as basic building blocks of groups.

The classification of all finite simple groups is given as follows:

1. $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, p is a prime.
2. $A_n, n \geq 5$.
3. simple groups of Lie type.
4. 26 sporadic simple groups.

Eg 1.7.1. $G = S_4, G_1 = A_4, G_2 = V_4, G_3 = \langle (1\ 2)(3\ 4) \rangle, G_4 = \{1\} \rightsquigarrow \text{length}(S_4) = 4$.

factors: C_2, C_3, C_2, C_2 .

Eg 1.7.2. $G = \mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z} = \langle \bar{1} \rangle$.

- $G_1 = \langle \bar{2} \rangle, G_2 = \langle \bar{4} \rangle, G_3 = \langle \bar{0} \rangle \rightsquigarrow \text{length}(3)$, factors: C_2, C_2, C_3 .
- $G'_1 = \langle \bar{2} \rangle, G'_2 = \langle \bar{6} \rangle, G'_3 = \langle \bar{0} \rangle \rightsquigarrow \text{length}(3)$, factors: C_2, C_3, C_2 .
- $G''_1 = \langle \bar{3} \rangle, G''_2 = \langle \bar{6} \rangle, G''_3 = \langle \bar{0} \rangle \rightsquigarrow \text{length}(3)$, factors: C_3, C_2, C_2 .

Eg 1.7.3. Let $|G| = p^n$. We know $\forall 0 \leq k \leq n, \exists G_k \triangleleft G$ with $|G_k| = p^k$ and $G_i \not\leq G_{i+1}$.

$\text{length}(G) = n$, factors: C_p, \dots, C_p . (n times)

Theorem 14 (Jordan-Hölder theorem). If G has a composition series, then any two composition series have the same length and the same factors up to permutation.

Lemma 1 (Zassenhaus lemma). Let $H' \triangleleft H \leq G, K' \triangleleft K \leq G$. Then $(H \cap K')H' \triangleleft (H \cap K)H', (H' \cap K)K' \triangleleft (H \cap K)K'$ and

$$(H \cap K)H'/(H \cap K')H' \cong (H \cap K)K'/(H' \cap K)K'.$$

Theorem 15 (Schreier theorem). Any two normal series of G have equivalent refinements.

refinements: inserting a finite number of subgroups into the normal series.

Proof. For two normal series:

$$\begin{aligned}\{1\} &= H_r \triangleleft H_{r-1} \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft H_1 \triangleleft H_0 = G \\ \{1\} &= K_s \triangleleft K_{s-1} \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft K_1 \triangleleft K_0 = G\end{aligned}$$

We define

$$\begin{aligned}H_{ij} &= (H_i \cap K_j)H_{i+1} \\ K_{ji} &= (H_i \cap K_j)K_{j+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\{1\} &= H_{(r-1)s} \triangleleft H_{(r-1)(s-1)} \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft H_{(r-1)0} = H_{r-1} = H_{(r-2)s} \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft H_{10} = H_1 = H_{0s} \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft H_{00} = G \\ \{1\} &= K_{(s-1)r} \triangleleft K_{(s-1)(r-1)} \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft K_{(s-1)0} = K_{s-1} = K_{(s-2)r} \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft K_{10} = K_1 = K_{0r} \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft K_{00} = G\end{aligned}$$

Both have size $= rs$. By lemma, $H_{ij}/H_{i(j+1)} \cong K_{ji}/K_{j(i+1)}$. Note that if $H_{ij} = H_{i(j+1)}$, then $K_{ji} = K_{j(i+1)}$. \square

proof of Jordan-Hölder theorem. Let

$$\begin{cases} \{1\} = G_n \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft G_1 \triangleleft G_0 = G & (*) \\ \{1\} = G'_m \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft G'_1 \triangleleft G'_0 = G & (**) \end{cases}$$

be two composition series.

By Schreier theorem, we get two refined equivalent series $(*)', (**)'$. Since $(*), (**)$ are already composition series, $(*) = (*)', (**') = (**)'$. So $(*), (**)$ are equivalent. \square

proof of lemma. First prove $(H \cap K')H' \triangleleft (H \cap K)H'$.

- $\forall g \in H \cap K, gK'g^{-1} = K' \rightsquigarrow (gHg^{-1}) \cap (gK'g^{-1}) = H \cap K'$ and $gH'g^{-1} = H'$. So

$$g(H \cap K')H'g^{-1} = (H \cap K')H'$$

- $\forall g \in H', ab \in (H \cap K')H',$

To prove

$$(H \cap K)H'/(H \cap K')H' \cong (H \cap K)K'/(H' \cap K)K'.$$

$$\begin{aligned}(H \cap K)H'/(H \cap K')H' &\cong (H \cap K)(H \cap K')H'/(H \cap K')H' \\ &\cong (H \cap K)/(H \cap K) \cap (H \cap K')H' \\ &\cong (H \cap K)/K \cap (H \cap K')H' \\ &\cong (H \cap K)/(H' \cap K)(H \cap K')\end{aligned}$$

$(K \cap (H \cap K')H' = (H' \cap K)(H \cap K')$, tricky) By symmetry,

$$(H \cap K)K'/(H' \cap K')K' \cong (H \cap K)/(H' \cap K)(H \cap K')$$

\square

Prop 1.7.1. Let $|G| < \infty$. Then G is solvable \iff all composition factors are cyclic of prime order.

Proof. “ \Leftarrow ”: by def.

“ \Rightarrow ”: If $G_i/G_{i+1} \cong C_n$ with $n = p_1^{m_1} p_2^{m_2} \cdots p_r^{m_r}$. \square

Observation. Let $K \triangleleft G$. 把 $K, G/K$ 拆成兩個 composition series 的話, 就可以把兩串接起來, 長度就是加起來。

Ex 1.7.1. Let $\{1\} = G_n \triangleleft G_{n-1} \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft G_1 \triangleleft G_0 = G$ be a composition series of G and $K \triangleleft G$. Then after we eliminate equalities,

1. $\{1\} = (K \cap G_n) \triangleleft (K \cap G_{n-1}) \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft (K \cap G_1) \triangleleft (K \cap G_0) = K$ is a composition series of K .
2. $\{\bar{1}\} = KG_n/K \triangleleft KG_{n-1}/K \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft KG_1/K \triangleleft KG_0/K = G/K$ is a composition series of G/K .

Ex 1.7.2. Let $\begin{cases} H \triangleleft G \\ K \triangleleft G \end{cases}$ with $H \neq K$ s.t. $G/H, G/K$ are simple. Then $H/H \cap K, K/K \cap H$ are simple too.

Ex 1.7.3. Let $\{1\} = G_n \triangleleft G_{n-1} \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft G_1 \triangleleft G_0 = G$ be a composition series of length n . Show by induction on n that for every composition series of G :

$$\{1\} = H_m \triangleleft H_{m-1} \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft H_1 \triangleleft H_0 = G,$$

we have $m = n$ and

$$\{H_{n-1}/H_n, \dots, H_0/H_1\} = \{G_{n-1}/G_n, \dots, G_0/G_1\}$$

Ex 1.7.4. Exhibit all composition series for $Q_8, D_4, \mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ respectively.

1.7.2 Modules over a PID

Def 37. Let R be a ring with 1. A R -module is an abelian group M (written additively) on which R acts linearly. $R \times M \rightarrow M \quad (r, x) \mapsto rx$

1. $r(x + y) = rx + ry \quad r \in R, x, y \in M$
2. $(r_1 + r_2)x = r_1x + r_2x \quad r_1, r_2 \in R, x \in M$
3. $(r_1r_2)x = r_1(r_2x) \quad r_1, r_2 \in R, x \in M$
4. $1x = x \quad x \in M$

Eg 1.7.4. A k -vector space is a k -module.

Eg 1.7.5. An abelian group G can be regarded as a \mathbb{Z} -module.

$$\mathbb{Z} \times G \rightarrow G \quad \text{by} \quad na = \begin{cases} \underbrace{a + \cdots + a}_{n \text{ times}} & \text{if } n \geq 0 \\ \underbrace{(-a) + \cdots + (-a)}_{n \text{ times}} & \text{if } n < 0 \end{cases}$$

Eg 1.7.6. Let I be an ideal of R . Then I can be regarded as an R -module since $\forall r \in R, a \in I, \quad ra \in I$.

Def 38. A submodule N of M is an additive subgroup of M s.t. $\forall r \in R, a \in N, \quad ra \in N$.

Prop 1.7.2. Let $\phi \neq S \subseteq M$. The submodule generated by S is defined to be

$$\langle S \rangle_R = \left\{ \sum_{\text{finite}} r_i x_i \mid x_i \in S, r_i \in R \right\} = \text{the least submodule containing } S$$

$$= \bigcap_{S \subseteq N \subseteq M} N$$

Def 39. An R -module M is said to be finitely generated if $\exists x_1, \dots, x_n \in M$ s.t. $M = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle_R = Rx_1 + Rx_2 + \dots + Rx_n$

Eg 1.7.7. R is generated by 1 as an R -module.

Def 40. An additive group homo. $\varphi : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is called an R -module homo. if

$$\varphi(rx) = r\varphi(x) \quad \forall r \in R, x \in M_1$$

Def 41. An integral domain R is called a principal ideal domain (PID) if $\forall I$ ideal in R , $\exists a \in R$ s.t. $I = \langle a \rangle_R$.

Eg 1.7.8. \mathbb{Z} is a PID.

For $I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$, I is an additive subgroup, so $I = m\mathbb{Z} = \langle m \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Def 42. M is said to be a free module of rank n if $M \cong R^n = R \oplus \dots \oplus R$ (or $R \times \dots \times R$)

Theorem 16. If R is a PID, then any submodule of R^n is free of rank $\leq n$.

Proof. By induction on n . If $n = 1$, notice that any submodule is an ideal I by the closure of submodule. Then since R is a PID, $\forall I \subseteq R$, $\exists a \in R$ s.t. $I = \langle a \rangle_R = Ra \cong R$ (**as a R -module**).

Let $n > 1$ and N be a submodule of R^n . Consider

$$\pi_1 : \begin{matrix} R^n & \rightarrow R \\ (r_1, \dots, r_n) & \mapsto r_1 \end{matrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi = \pi_1|_N : N \rightarrow R$$

case 1: $\text{Im } \pi = \{0\}$. In this case, $N \subseteq \ker \pi_1 \cong R^{n-1}$. By induction hypothesis, N is free of rank $\leq n-1 < n$.

case 2: $\text{Im } \pi = \langle a \rangle$, say $\pi(x) = a$. Claim: $N = Rx \oplus \ker \pi$, $\ker \pi \subseteq \ker \pi_1 \cong R^{n-1}$.

- $Rx \cap \ker \pi = \{0\}$: $rx \in Rx \cap \ker \pi \implies \pi(rx) = 0$, then $r\pi(x) = 0$. But integral domain doesn't have zero divisors, so $r = 0$ and hence $rx = 0$.
- $N \supseteq Rx \oplus \ker \pi$: Obvious since $Rx, \ker \pi \subseteq N$.
- $N \subseteq Rx \oplus \ker \pi$: $\forall y \in N$, $\pi(y) = r_0 a$ for some $r_0 \in R$, $\pi(y - r_0 x) = 0 \implies y - r_0 x \in \ker \pi$. So $N \subseteq Rx \oplus \ker \pi$. \square

Recall that the elementary matrices are

- $D_i(u) = \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, u, 1, \dots, 1)$. $D_i(u) \in \text{GL}(n, R)$ if u is a unit.
- $B_{ij}(a) = I_n + ae_{ij}$, $a \in R$, $i \neq j$. $B_{ij}(a)^{-1} = B_{ij}(-a) \implies B_{ij}(a) \in \text{GL}(n, R)$.
- $P_{ij} = I_n - e_{ii} - e_{jj} + e_{ij} + e_{ji}$.

Fact 1.7.1. If R is a PID and $\langle a, b \rangle_R = \langle d \rangle_R$, then $d = \text{gcd}(a, b)$.

Proof.

- $a \in \langle d \rangle_R \implies a = rd$ for some $r \in R \implies d \mid a$. $v \in \langle d \rangle_R \implies d \mid v$.
- Let $c \mid a, c \mid b$, say $a = k_1c, b = k_2c$. $d \in \langle a, b \rangle_R \implies d = x_1a + x_2b$ for some $x_1, x_2 \in R$. So $d = x_1k_1c + x_2k_2c = (x_1k_1 + x_2k_2)c \implies c \mid d$. \square

Theorem 17. Let R be a PID and $A \in M_{n \times m}(R)$. Then $\exists P \in \text{GL}_n(R)$ and $Q \in \text{GL}_m(R)$ s.t.

$$PAQ = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & & & & \\ & d_2 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & d_r & \\ & & & & 0 \\ & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with } d_i \mid d_{i+1} \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, r-1$$

Proof. Define the length $l(a)$ of $a \neq 0$ to be r if $a = p_1p_2 \dots p_r$ where p_1, \dots, p_r are prime elements.

prime elements: $p \mid ab \implies p \mid a$ or $p \mid b$.

1. We may assume $a_{11} \neq 0$ and $l(a_{11}) \leq l(a_{ij}) \forall a_{ij} \neq 0$. (換一換就上去了...XD)
2. We may assume $\begin{cases} a_{11} \mid a_{1k} & \forall k = 2, \dots, m \\ a_{11} \mid a_{k1} & \forall k = 2, \dots, n \end{cases}$. If $a_{11} \nmid a_{1k}$, then we can interchange 2nd and k th columns to assume $a = a_{11} \nmid a_{12} = b$.

Let $d = \gcd(a, b) \implies \begin{cases} l(d) < l(a) \\ d = ax + by \text{ for some } x, y \in R \end{cases} \implies 1 = \frac{a}{d}x + \frac{b}{d}y$. Write $b' = \frac{b}{d}, a' = -\frac{a}{d}$. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} -a' & b' \\ y & -x \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & b' \\ y & a' \end{pmatrix} = I_2$$

反正就是移一下減掉, length 會一直變小 \implies 這個操作會停.

3. 有這個 $\begin{cases} a_{11} \mid a_{1k} & \forall k = 2, \dots, m \\ a_{11} \mid a_{k1} & \forall k = 2, \dots, n \end{cases}$ 就可以全部消掉變成

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & b_{22} & \dots & b_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & b_{n2} & \dots & b_{nm} \end{pmatrix}$$

4. May assume $a_{11} \mid b_{kl} \quad \forall k, l$. 不是的話就把該 row 往第一 row 加上去, 重複前面的操作, $l(a_{11})$ 總是變小, 因此會停.
5. 遞迴下去...

最後就弄出想要的矩陣了. \square

1.8 Week 8

1.8.1 Fundamental theorem of finitely generated abelian groups

Theorem 18 (Structure theorem of finitely generated module over a PID). Let R be a PID and M be a finitely generated R -module. Then $M \cong R/d_1R \oplus \cdots \oplus R/d_lR \oplus R^s$, $d_i \in R$ with $d_i \mid d_{i+1} \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, l-1$ for some $s \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$.

Proof. Let $M = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle_R$ and consider

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : R^n &\rightarrow M \\ e_i &\rightarrow x_i \end{aligned}$$

By 1st isom. thm., $R^n / \ker \varphi \cong M$.

We know $\ker \varphi \cong R^m$ ($e'_i \mapsto f_i, e'_i \in R^m$) for some $m \leq n$ and $\forall x \in \ker \varphi \quad \exists! x_1, \dots, x_m \in R$ s.t. $x = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i f_i$.

Note that $\ker \varphi \subseteq R^n$. So we can write $f_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ji} e_j \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, m$. Then $x = \sum x_i \sum a_{ji} e_j = \sum (\sum a_{ji} x_i) e_j$.

R is a PID $\implies \exists P \in \text{GL}_n(R), Q \in \text{GL}_m(R)$ s.t.

$$PAQ = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & \\ & & d_r & & \\ & & & 0 & \\ & & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with } d_i \mid d_{i+1} \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, r-1$$

So consider $[w_i] = Qe_i$. Since P, Q invertible, $R^n = \bigoplus R w_i$, $\ker \varphi = \bigoplus d_i R w_i$ Hence

$$M \simeq R / \ker \varphi = \bigoplus R w_i / \bigoplus d_i R w_i = \bigoplus R / d_i R$$

□

$$\begin{aligned} R &\rightarrow R w_i / R d'_i w_i \\ 1 &\rightarrow \overline{w_i} \\ r &\rightarrow \overline{r w_i} \end{aligned}$$

Remark 11. If R is commutative, then “ $R^n \cong R^m \implies n = m$.”

Theorem 19. Let G be a finitely generated abelian group. Then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}/d_1\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/d_l\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^s$, $d_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $d_i \mid d_{i+1} \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, l-1$ for some $s \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$.

Since G can be regarded as a f.g. \mathbb{Z} -module and \mathbb{Z} is a PID, it follows from the main theorem.

$\text{Tor}(G) = \mathbb{Z}/d_1\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/d_l\mathbb{Z} \leq G$ and $G/\text{Tor}(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}^s$ (free part of G).

Fact 1.8.1. If $d = p_1^{m_1} p_2^{m_2} \cdots p_s^{m_s}$, then $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}/p_1^{m_1}\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p_2^{m_2}\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p_s^{m_s}\mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 20 (Chinese Remainder theorem). Let R be a commutative ring with 1 and I_1, \dots, I_n be ideals of R . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : R &\rightarrow R/I_1 \times \cdots \times R/I_n \text{ is a ring homo.} \\ r &\mapsto (\overline{r}, \dots, \overline{r}) \end{aligned}$$

and

- (1) if I_i, I_j are coprime $\forall i \neq j$, then $I_1 I_2 \dots I_n = I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \dots \cap I_n$.
- (2) φ is surjective $\iff I_i, I_j$ are coprime $\forall i \neq j$.
- (3) φ is injective $\iff I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \dots \cap I_n = \{0\}$.

So if I_i, I_j are coprime $\forall i \neq j$, then

$$R/I_1 I_2 \dots I_n \cong R/I_1 \times \dots \times R/I_n.$$

$$I_i, I_j \text{ are coprime} \iff I_i + I_j = R.$$

Proof. we only need to prove (1), (2).

- (1) By induction on n . $n = 2$, need $I_1 I_2 \subseteq I_1 \cap I_2$. Indeed, $I_1 I_2 = (I_1 \cap I_2)R = (I_1 \cap I_2)(I_1 + I_2) \subseteq I_1 I_2$.

For $n > 2$, since $I_i + I_n = R \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n-1$, $\exists x_i \in I_i, y_i \in I_n$ s.t. $x_i + y_i = 1 \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n-1$.

So $x_1 x_2 \dots x_{n-1} = (1 - y_1)(1 - y_2) \dots (1 - y_{n-1}) = 1 - y, y \in I_n \implies I_1 I_2 \dots I_{n-1} + I_n = R$.

Now, $I_1 I_2 \dots I_n = (I_1 \dots I_{n-1})I_n = (I_1 \dots I_{n-1}) \cap I_n = I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_n$.

- (2) “ \Rightarrow ”: WLOG, we may let $I_i = I_1, I_j = I_2$. We have $x \in R$ s.t.

$$\varphi(x) = (\bar{1}, \bar{0}, \dots, \bar{0}) \quad \text{i.e. } \bar{x} = \bar{1} \text{ in } R/I_1$$

Write $x \equiv 1 \pmod{I_1}$. Since $1 - x \in I_1, x \in I_2$ and $(1 - x) + x = 1, I_1 + I_2 = R$.

“ \Leftarrow ”: $\forall y \in \text{RHS}, y = (\bar{r}_1, \dots, \bar{r}_n)$. If we may find that $x_i \in R$ s.t. $\varphi(x_i) = (\bar{0}, \dots, \bar{1}, \bar{0}, \dots, \bar{0})$, then

$$\varphi\left(\sum_{i=1}^n r_i x_i\right) = y$$

It is enough to show, for example, $\exists x \in R$ s.t. $\varphi(x) = (\bar{1}, \bar{0}, \dots, \bar{0})$.

Since $I_1 + I_i = R \quad \forall i = 2, \dots, n$, $\exists x_i \in I_1, y_i \in I_i$ s.t. $x_i + y_i = 1 \quad \forall i = 2, \dots, n$.

So let $x = y_2 \dots y_n = (1 - x_2) \dots (1 - x_n)$. We have $x \in I_2, \dots, I_n$ and $x \equiv 1 \pmod{I_1}$.

□

Eg 1.8.1. $|G| = 72$ and G is abelian:

$$72 = 2 \times 36 = 3 \times 24 = 2 \times 2 \times 18 = 6 \times 12 = 2 \times 6 \times 6$$

Invariant factors

Elementary divisors

Def 43. The exponent of G with $|G| < \infty$ is

$$\text{Exp}(G) := \min \{m \in \mathbb{N} | g^m = 1 \quad \forall g \in G\}$$

Ex 1.8.1.

1. Let G be abelian with $|G| = n$. Show that if $d \mid n$, then $\exists H \leq G$ s.t. $|H| = d$.
2. If $n = 540, d = 90$, then construct all possible G and corresponding H .

Ex 1.8.2. Let G be abelian with $|G| < \infty$. Show that G is cyclic $\iff \text{Exp}(G) = |G|$.

Ex 1.8.3. Let $f_i(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x], i = 1, \dots, k$ with $\deg f_i = d$ and p_1, \dots, p_k be distinct primes. Show that $\exists f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ with $\deg f = d$ s.t. $\bar{f}(x) = \bar{f}_i(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}/p_i\mathbb{Z}[x] \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, k$.

$$f(x) = a_d x^d + \dots + a_0, \bar{f}(x) = \bar{a}_d x^d + \dots + \bar{a}_0$$

1.8.2 Sylow theorems

Def 44. Let $|G| = p^\alpha r$ with $p \nmid r$.

1. If $H \leq G$ with $|H| = p^\alpha$, then we call H a Sylow p -subgroup of G .
2. $\text{Syl}_p(G)$ = the set of all Sylow p -subgroups of G .
3. $n_p = |\text{Syl}_p(G)|$.

Lemma 2 (Key lemma). Let $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ and Q be a p -subgroup of G . Then $Q \cap N_G(P) = Q \cap P$.

Proof. By Lagrange theorem, $H = Q \cap N_G(P)$ is also a p -subgroup of $N_G(P)$ since $|H| \mid |Q|$.

Since $\begin{cases} P \triangleleft N_G(P) \\ H \leq N_G(P) \end{cases} \implies HP \leq N_G(P)$, we have

$$|HP| = \frac{|H||P|}{|H \cap P|} = p^{\alpha+k-s}$$

where $|H \cap P| = p^s, s \leq k$. Then $p^{\alpha+k-s} \mid |N_G(P)| \mid |G| = p^\alpha r$.

So $k = s \implies H = H \cap P \implies H \leq P \cap Q$. □

Theorem 21 (Sylow I). $\forall 0 \leq k \leq \alpha, \exists H \leq G$ s.t. $|H| = p^k$. In particular, $\text{Syl}_p(G) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. By induction on $|G|$. If $|G| = 1$, then $k = 0, H = \{1\}$.

Assume $|G| > 1, k \geq 1, \alpha \geq 1$.

case 1: $p \mid |Z_G|$. By Cauchy theorem, $\exists a \in Z_G$ with $\text{ord}(a) = p$. Then $\langle a \rangle \triangleleft G$ and $|G/\langle a \rangle| = p^{\alpha-1}r \leq |G|$. If $k = 1$, then $H = \langle a \rangle$. Otherwise, we may assume that $1 \leq k-1 \leq \alpha-1$. By induction hypothesis, $\exists H' = G/\langle a \rangle$ s.t. $|H'| = p^{k-1}$. By 3rd isom. thm., we can write $H' = H/\langle a \rangle$ and thus $|H| = p^k$.

case 2: $p \nmid |Z_G|$. By the class equation, $|G| = |Z_G| + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{|G|}{|Z_G(a_i)|}, a_i \in Z_G$.

In this cases, $\exists a_j$ s.t. $p \nmid \frac{|G|}{|Z_G(a_j)|} \implies p^\alpha \mid |Z_G(a_j)|$. And $Z_G(a_j) \subsetneq G$ since $a_j \notin Z_G$. By induction hypothesis, $\exists H \leq Z_G(a_j) \leq G$ s.t. $|H| = p^k$. □

Theorem 22 (Sylow II). Let $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ and Q be a p -subgroup of G . Then $\exists a \in G$ s.t. $Q \leq aPa^{-1}$. In particular, $\forall P_1, P_2 \in \text{Syl}_p(G), \exists a \in G$ s.t. $P_2 = aP_1a^{-1}$.

Proof. Let $X = \{\text{left cosets of } P\}$ and consider $\begin{matrix} Q \times X \rightarrow X \\ (a, xP) \mapsto axP \end{matrix}$.

Observe that $xP \in \text{Fix } Q \iff axP = xP \quad \forall a \in Q \iff x^{-1}axP = P \quad \forall a \in Q \iff x^{-1}ax \in P \quad \forall a \in Q \iff a \in xPx^{-1} \quad \forall a \in Q$.

We know $|\text{Fix } Q| \equiv |X| \pmod{p}$ and $p \nmid r \implies |\text{Fix } Q| \neq 0 \iff \exists a \in G, Q \leq aPa^{-1}$.

In particular, $\begin{cases} P_2 \leq aP_1a^{-1} \\ |P_2| = |aP_1a^{-1}| \end{cases} \implies P_2 = aP_1a^{-1}$. □

Theorem 23 (Sylow III). $n_p \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and $n_p \mid r$.

Proof. • Consider $\begin{matrix} P \times \text{Syl}_p(G) \rightarrow \text{Syl}_p(G) \\ (a, Q) \mapsto aQa^{-1} \end{matrix}$ where $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$.

$$P' \in \text{Fix } P \iff aP'a^{-1} = P' \quad \forall a \in P \iff P \leq N_G(P') \cap P = P' \cap P \iff P' = P.$$

$$\text{So } \text{Fix } P = \{P\} \implies n_p \equiv |\text{Fix } P| = 1 \pmod{p}.$$

• Consider $\begin{matrix} G \times \text{Syl}_p(G) \rightarrow \text{Syl}_p(G) \\ (a, Q) \mapsto aQa^{-1} \end{matrix} \implies$ There is only one orbit $\text{Syl}_p(G)$.

We know $|\text{Syl}_p(G)| = \frac{|G|}{|G_Q|}$ and $G_Q = N_G(Q)$. Then $n_p = \frac{|G|}{|G_Q|} \mid |G|$. So $n_p \mid p^\alpha r \implies n_p \mid r$. □

Prop 1.8.1. Let $|G| = pq$ where p, q are primes with $\begin{cases} p < q \\ q \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p} \end{cases}$. Then $G \cong C_{pq}$.

Proof. $n_p = 1 + kp \mid q \implies n_p = 1$ i.e. $H \in \text{Syl}_p(G) \implies H \triangleleft G$.

$n_q = 1 + kq \mid p \implies n_q = 1$ i.e. $K \in \text{Syl}_q(G) \implies K \triangleleft G$.

Since $\gcd(p, q) = 1$, $H \cap K = 1$. Hence $G = H \times K \cong C_p \times C_q \cong C_{pq}$. □

Eg 1.8.2. Consider $|G| = 255 = 3 \times 5 \times 17$.

1. 找兩個 normal subgroup (17, 5 or 3)
2. quot 掉後發現剩下的是 abelian $\rightsquigarrow [G, G]$ 在裡面
3. $[G, G] = 1$
4. 唱 f.g. xxx thm. 得到 $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_{17}$.
5. 中國剩飯定理 $G \cong C_{255}$.

Ex 1.8.4. If $|G| = 7 \times 11 \times 19$, then G is abelian.

Eg 1.8.3. No group G of order $48 = 2^4 \times 3$ is simple.

1. $n_2 = 1 + 2k \mid 3 \rightsquigarrow n_2 = 1$ or 3 .
2. $n_2 = 1$ then OK.
3. Assume $n_2 = 3$. Let $P \in \text{Syl}_2(G)$, $X = \{\text{left cosets of } P\}$ ($|X| = 3$).
4. Consider $\begin{matrix} G \times X \rightarrow X \\ (a, xP) \mapsto axP \end{matrix} \rightsquigarrow \varphi : G \rightarrow S_3$.
5. 考慮 $\ker \varphi$.

Ex 1.8.5. No group G of order 36 is simple.

Ex 1.8.6. No group G of order 30 is simple.

Ex 1.8.7. Let $|G| = 385$. Show that $\exists P \in \text{Syl}_7(G)$ s.t. $P \leq Z_G$.

1.9 Week 9

1.9.1 Classification

To classify groups of small orders:

- $|G| = 1$: $G = \{1\}$
- $|G| = 2$: $G \cong C_2$
- $|G| = 3$: $G \cong C_3$
- $|G| = 4$: $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_4$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$
- $|G| = 5$: $G \cong C_5$
- $|G| = 6$: $n_3 = 1, n_2 = 1$ or 3 . Let $H \in \text{Syl}_3(G)$ and $H \triangleleft G$. Let $K \in \text{Syl}_2(G)$. Also $H \cap K = \{1\}$ and $HK = G$ then $G \cong K \rtimes_\tau H$
 - If τ is trivial: $G \cong K \times H \cong C_2 \times C_3 \cong C_6$
 - $\tau : b \mapsto \phi_2 : \langle a \rangle \rightarrow \langle a \rangle$: $G \cong K \rtimes_\tau H \cong \langle a, b \mid a^3 = 1, b^2 = 1, bab^{-1} = a^2 = a^{-1} \rangle \cong D_3$
- $|G| = 7$: $G \cong C_7$
- $|G| = 8$:
 - If abelian: \mathbb{Z}_8 or $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$
 - If non-abelian:
 - * $\nexists a \in G$ with $\text{ord}(a) = 8$
 - * Not each $a \in G$ with $a^2 = 1$, otherwise G is abelian.
 - * $\exists a \in G$ with $\text{ord}(a) = 4$: Let $H = \langle a \rangle$ and $H \triangleleft G$ since $[G : H] = 2$. Pick $b \in G \setminus H$ and $K = \langle b \rangle$
 - $\text{ord}(b) = 2$: $H \cap K = \{1\}$ and $HK = G$ then $G \cong K \rtimes_\tau H$, $\tau : b \mapsto \phi : a \mapsto a^3$:
 $G \cong K \rtimes_\tau H \cong \langle a, b \mid a^4 = 1, b^2 = 1, bab^{-1} = a^3 = a^{-1} \rangle \cong D_4$
 - $\text{ord}(b) = 4$: $H \cap K = \langle a^2 = b^2 \rangle$. Then consider $bab^{-1} \in H \implies bab^{-1} = 1, a, a^2, a^3$
 1. $1, a$ obviously wrong.
 2. $bab^{-1} = a^2$: $a = a^2aa^{-2} = b^2ab^{-2} = a^4 \implies a^3 = 1$ 矛盾
 3. So $bab^{-1} = a^3 = a^{-1}$.
 $G \cong \langle a, b \mid a^4 = 1, b^4 = 1, a^2 = b^2, bab^{-1} = a^3 = a^{-1} \rangle \cong Q_8$
- $|G| = 9$: $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_9$ or $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$
- $|G| = 10$: $G \cong K \times H \cong C_2 \times C_5 \cong C_{10}$ or $G \cong D_5$
- $|G| = 11$: $G \cong C_{11}$
- $|G| = 12$: Claim: If $|G| = 12$, then either G has a normal Sylow 3-subgroup or $G \cong A_4$.

Proof. By Sylow 3, $n_3 = 1 + 3k \mid 4 \implies n_3 = 1$ or 4 .

- If $n_3 = 1$, then G has a normal Sylow 3-subgroup.
- Otherwise, let $P \in \text{Syl}_3(G)$ and $X = \{\text{left cosets of } P\}$, $|X| = 4$. Consider $G \times X \rightarrow X$ defined by $(a, xP) \mapsto axP$ with $\phi : G \rightarrow S_4$. And $\ker \phi \leq P$, $|P| = 3$ and $P \not\triangleleft G$ (since $n_3 = 4$), so $\ker \phi = \{1\}$.

And since $n_3 = 4$, there are 8 elements of order 3 which corresponds to 8 3-cycles in A_4 , thus $|\text{Im } \phi \cap A_4| \geq 8$. But $|\text{Im } \phi \cap A_4| \mid |A_4| = 12 \implies \text{Im } \phi = A_4$

□

Now, for the case where $\exists H \in \text{Syl}_3(G)$ and $H \triangleleft G$. Let $K \in \text{Syl}_2(G)$, then $K \cap H = \{1\}$ and $KH = G \implies G \cong K \rtimes_\tau H$ for some $\tau : K \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H) = \{\text{id}, \phi_2\}$

- τ is trivial: \mathbb{Z}_{12} or $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_6$.
- $\langle b \rangle = K \cong \mathbb{Z}_4$: $\tau(b) = \phi_2 \implies G = \langle a, b \mid a^3 = 1, b^4 = 1, bab^{-1} = a^{-1} \rangle \not\cong D_6, A_4$
- $\langle b \rangle = K \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$: Let $K = \langle b, c \mid b^2 = 1, c^2 = 1, bc = cb \rangle$, then $\tau : b \mapsto \phi_2$ and $c \mapsto \text{id}$ (the other cases are equivalent to this one), $G = \langle a, b, c \mid a^3 = 1, b^2 = 1, c^2 = 1, bc = cb, bab^{-1} = a^{-1}, cac^{-1} = a \rangle \cong \langle a, b \mid a^3 = 1, b^2 = 1, bab^{-1} = a^{-1} \rangle \times \langle c \rangle \cong D_3 \times C_2 \cong D_6$

Fact 1.9.1. For odd n , $D_{2n} \cong D_n \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} D_{2n} &= \langle a, b \mid a^{2n} = 1, b^2 = 1, bab^{-1} = a^{-1} \rangle \\ H &= \langle a^2, b \mid (a^2)^n = 1, b^2 = 1, b(a^2)b^{-1} = a^{-2} \rangle \cong D_n \\ K &= \langle a^n \rangle \cong C_2 \end{aligned}$$

And n is odd, so $H \cap K = \{1\}$ and $D_{2n} \cong D_n \times C_2$ □

- $|G| = 13$: $G \cong C_{13}$
- $|G| = 14$: $G \cong C_{14}$ or D_7
- $|G| = 15$: $G \cong C_{15}$

Ex 1.9.1. Assume that K is cyclic and H is an arbitrary group. Let $\tau_1 : K \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H)$, $\tau_2 : K \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H)$ with $\tau_1(K) \sim \tau_2(K)$ (conjugate). If $|K| = \infty$, then assume that τ_1 and τ_2 are injective. Show that $K \rtimes_{\tau_1} H \cong K \rtimes_{\tau_2} H$.

Ex 1.9.2. Classify G if $|G| = p^3$ with p an odd prime and each nontrivial element of G has order p .

Ex 1.9.3. Classify groups of order 30.

1.9.2 Free groups

A free group generate by a non-empty set X is that there are no relations satisfied by any of elements in X .

Def 45. A free group on X is a group F with an inclusion map $i : X \rightarrow F$ satisfying the following universal property: For any group G and any map $f : X \rightarrow G$, exists a unique group homo $\varphi : F \rightarrow G$ that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\quad} & F \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \varphi \\ & & G \end{array}$$

Theorem 24. F exists and is unique up to isomorphism. (Denote it as $F(X) = F$).

Proof. For X , we create a new disjoint set $X^{-1} = \{x^{-1} : x \in X\}$ and an element $1 \notin X \cup X^{-1}$.

Define $F(X) = \{1\} \cup \left\{ x_1^{\delta_1} x_2^{\delta_2} \cdots x_m^{\delta_m} : m \in \mathbb{N}, x_i \in X, \delta_i = \pm 1, x_{i+1}^{\delta_{i+1}} \neq (x_i^{\delta_i})^{-1} \right\}$, and

$$x_1^{\delta_1} x_2^{\delta_2} \cdots x_m^{\delta_m} = y_1^{\epsilon_1} y_2^{\epsilon_2} \cdots y_n^{\epsilon_n} \iff n = m \text{ and } \delta_i = \epsilon_i \text{ and } x_i = y_i, \forall i$$

For each $y \in X \cup X^{-1}$, we define $\sigma_y : F(X) \rightarrow F(X)$ by

$$\sigma_y(x_1^{\delta_1} x_2^{\delta_2} \cdots x_m^{\delta_m}) = \begin{cases} y x_1^{\delta_1} x_2^{\delta_2} \cdots x_m^{\delta_m} & \text{if } x_1^{\delta_1} \neq y^{-1} \\ \begin{cases} x_1^{\delta_1} x_2^{\delta_2} \cdots x_m^{\delta_m} & (m \geq 2) \\ 1 & (m = 1) \end{cases} & \text{if } x_1^{\delta_1} = y^{-1} \end{cases}$$

Then σ_y is a permutation of $F(X)$, since if $\sigma_y(x_1^{\delta_1} x_2^{\delta_2} \cdots x_m^{\delta_m}) = \sigma_y(y_1^{\epsilon_1} y_2^{\epsilon_2} \cdots y_m^{\epsilon_m})$.

m = n: either $x_1^{\delta_1} = y_1^{\epsilon_1} = y^{-1}$ or not, then either $x_2^{\delta_2} x_3^{\delta_3} \cdots x_m^{\delta_m} = y_2^{\epsilon_2} y_3^{\epsilon_3} \cdots y_m^{\epsilon_m}$ or $y x_1^{\delta_1} x_2^{\delta_2} \cdots x_m^{\delta_m} = y y_1^{\epsilon_1} y_2^{\epsilon_2} \cdots y_m^{\epsilon_m}$. Both of them leads to $x_1^{\delta_1} x_2^{\delta_2} \cdots x_m^{\delta_m} = y_1^{\epsilon_1} y_2^{\epsilon_2} \cdots y_m^{\epsilon_m}$.

m = n+2: Omimi

Also σ_y is onto since omimi. And notice that $\sigma_{y^{-1}} \circ \sigma_y = id_{F(X)}$

Define $A = \langle \sigma_x : x \in X \rangle \leq S_{F(X)}$. and define $\phi : F(X) \rightarrow A$ by $\phi(1) = id_{F(X)}$ and $x_1^{\delta_1} \cdots x_m^{\delta_m} \mapsto \sigma_{x_1}^{\delta_1} \cdots \sigma_{x_m}^{\delta_m}$. The it is omimi that ϕ is a bijection. So we define $x :: X \cdot y :: X = \phi^{-1}(\phi(x) \circ \phi(y))$.

The ϕ in the universal property could be defined as $\phi(x_1^{\delta_1} x_2^{\delta_2} \cdots x_m^{\delta_m}) = f(x_1)^{\delta_1} \cdots f(x_m)^{\delta_m}$. \square

Prop 1.9.1. Let $G = \langle a_1, \cdots, a_n \rangle$ and $X = \{x_1, \cdots, x_m\}$. Then $G \cong F(X)/K$ for some normal subgroup K . K is called the subgroup of relations connecting the generators.

Define $f = x_i :: X_i \rightarrow a_i :: G$. By universal property, $\exists \phi = x_i :: F(X) \mapsto a_i :: G$. Then $F(x)/\ker \phi \cong G$.

Def 46. Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n\}$ and $R \subset F(X)$. Let $N(R)$ be the smallest normal subgroup of $F(X)$ containing R , Then $G = F(X)/N(R)$ is written as $\langle x_1, \cdots, x_n \mid \text{elements of } R \rangle$, which is called a presentation of G . If $|R| < \infty$, then G is said to be finitely presented.

Eg 1.9.1.

$$D_n = \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} \cos \frac{2\pi}{n} & -\sin \frac{2\pi}{n} \\ \sin \frac{2\pi}{n} & \cos \frac{2\pi}{n} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$

We find that $x^n, y^2, xyxy \in \ker \phi$. Then $R = \{x^n, y^2, xyxy\} \subseteq \ker \phi \implies N(R) \leq \ker \phi$. By factor theorem, $\exists \bar{\phi} :: F(X)/N(R) \rightarrow D_n$. But notice that

$$|F(x)/N(R)| \leq 2n$$

since $xyxy = 1 \implies xy = yx^{-1}$, so every element could be turn into $x^i y^j$. Hence $\bar{\phi}$ is an isomorphism.

Prop 1.9.2. Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n\}$. Then $F(X)/[F(X), F(X)] \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Proof. Define $f = x_i :: X \mapsto e_i :: \mathbb{Z}^n$. Then $\phi = x_i :: F(X) \mapsto e_i :: \mathbb{Z}^n$. By 1st isomorphism theorem $F(X)/\ker \phi \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ which is abelian, so $[F(X), F(X)] \leq \ker \phi$. By factor theorem, 一個圖.

Claim that $\bar{\phi}$ is 1-1.

Proof. Since $F(X)/[F(X), F(X)]$ is abelian, $\forall a \in F(X)/[F(X), F(X)]$, we can write $a = \bar{x}_1^{n_1} \bar{x}_2^{n_2} \cdots \bar{x}_m^{n_m}$. If $\bar{\phi}(\bar{a}) = (m_1, \cdots, m_n) = 0$ in \mathbb{Z}^n , then $m_i = 0, \forall i \implies a = 1$ \square

\square

2 Multilinear algebra

2.1 Week 11

2.1.1 Bilinear forms & Groups preserving bilinear forms

Def 47. Let V be a vector space over a field F .

- A function $f : V \times V \rightarrow F$ is called a bilinear form if

$$\begin{cases} f(rx_1 + x_2, y) &= rf(x_1, y) + f(x_2, y) \\ f(x, ry_1 + y_2) &= rf(x, y_1) + f(x, y_2) \end{cases} \quad \forall x_1, x_2, x, y_1, y_2, y \in V, r \in F$$

- $B_F(V, V) = \{ \text{bilinear forms on } V \}$ can be regarded as a vector space over F .

Theorem 25. Let $\dim V = n$ and $\beta = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ be a basis for V . Then \exists an isomorphism $\psi_\beta : B_F(V, V) \rightarrow M_{n \times n}(F)$.

Proof. For $v, w \in V$, write $v = \sum_i a_i v_i, w = \sum_j b_j v_j$, i.e. $[v]_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{pmatrix}, [w]_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{pmatrix}$.

For $f \in B_F(V, V)$, $f(v, w) = \sum_i \sum_j a_i b_j f(v_i, v_j) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \dots & a_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f(v_i, v_j) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{pmatrix}$.

Define $\psi_\beta(f) = A$ with $A_{ij} = f(v_i, v_j)$.

- ψ_β is a linear transformation.
- ψ_β is 1-1.
- ψ_β is onto: $\forall A \in M_{n \times n}(F)$, we define $f(v, w) = [v]_\beta^t A [w]_\beta$. □

Def 48. Let $f \in B_F(V, V)$

- f is said to be symmetric if $f(v, w) = f(w, v) \quad \forall v, w \in V$.
- f is said to be skew-symmetric if $f(v, w) = -f(w, v) \quad \forall v, w \in V$.
- f is said to be alternating if $f(v, v) = 0 \quad \forall v \in V$.

Remark 12.

- Alternating \implies skew-symmetric.
- If $\text{char } F \neq 2$, skew-symmetric \implies alternating.
- If $\text{char } F = 2$, symmetric = skew-symmetric.
- $\forall f \in B_F(V, V)$ with $\text{char } F \neq 2$,

$$f_s(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} (f(u, v) + f(v, u))$$

$$f_a(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} (f(u, v) - f(v, u))$$

and $f(u, v) = f_s(u, v) + f_a(u, v)$.

So we only need to study “symmetric” & “alternating”.

Ex 2.1.1.

1. If A and B are congruent ($B = Q^t A Q$) in $M_{n \times n}(F)$, then they define the same bilinear form.
2. f is $\begin{cases} \text{symmetric} \\ \text{skew-symmetric} \end{cases} \iff \psi_\beta(f)$ is $\begin{cases} \text{symmetric}(A^t = A) \\ \text{skew-symmetric}(A^t = -A) \end{cases}$

Observation. Let $f \in B_F(V, V)$ and $v_0 \in V$.

$$\begin{aligned} L_f(v_0) &= f(v_0, \cdot) \in V' = \text{Hom}(V, F) : \text{the dual space of } V \\ R_f(v_0) &= f(\cdot, v_0) \in V' \end{aligned}$$

The left radical of f : $\text{lad}(f) = N(L_f) = \{v \in V \mid f(v, w) = 0 \quad \forall w \in V\}$.

The right radical of f : $\text{rad}(f) = N(R_f) = \{w \in V \mid f(v, w) = 0 \quad \forall v \in V\}$.

Ex 2.1.2.

1. $\text{rank}(\psi_\beta(f)) = \text{rank}(R_f) = \text{rank}(L_f)$.
 2. If $\dim V = n$, then TFAE ($\implies f$: non degenerate)
 - (a) $\text{rank}(f) = n$.
 - (b) $\forall v \in V, v \neq 0, \exists w \in V$ s.t. $f(v, w) \neq 0$.
 - (c) $\text{lad}(f) = \{0\}$.
 - (d) $L_f : V \rightarrow V'$ is isom.
- (also, right)

Theorem 26 (Principal Axis theorem). Let $\dim V = n$ and $\text{char } F \neq 2$. If $f \in B_F(V, V)$ is symmetric, then $\exists \beta$ s.t. $\psi_\beta(f)$ is diagonal.

Proof. It is sufficient to find $\beta = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ s.t. $f(v_i, v_j) = 0 \quad \forall i \neq j$.

If $f = 0$, then done! Assume $f \neq 0$. By induction on n : If $n = 1$, done. Let $n > 1$.

Claim 1: $\exists v_1 \in V$ s.t. $f(v_1, v_1) \neq 0$. Assume that $f(v, v) = 0 \quad \forall v \in V$.

$$f(v, w) = \frac{1}{2}(f(v+w, v+w) - f(v, v) - f(w, w)) = 0. \quad ^1$$

So $f = 0$, which is a contradiction.

Now let $v_1 \in V$ with $f(v_1, v_1) \neq 0$. Let $W = \langle v_1 \rangle_F$ and $W^\perp = \{w \in V \mid f(v_1, w) = 0\} \subseteq V$.

Claim 2: $V = W \oplus W^\perp$

- $V = W + W^\perp$: For all $v \in V$, let $a = f(v, v_1)/f(v_1, v_1)$, then $v = av_1 + (v - av_1) \triangleq w + w'$ where $w \in W$ and $f(w', v_1) = f(v - av_1, v_1) = f(v, v_1) - af(v_1, v_1) = 0$. So $w' \in W^\perp$ and thus $V = W + W^\perp$.
- $W \cap W^\perp = \{0\}$: obviously since if $av_1 \in W$, $f(av_1, v_1) = 0 \iff a = 0 \iff av_1 = 0$.

Since $f|_{W^\perp \times W^\perp}$ is a symmetric bilinear form on W^\perp and $\dim W^\perp < \dim V$. By induction hypothesis, $\exists \{v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ a basis for W^\perp s.t. $f(v_i, v_j) = 0 \quad \forall i \neq j$. Then $\beta = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$. \square

¹The argument in class requires $\text{char } F \geq 4$, omimi...

Theorem 27 (Sylvester's theorem). Let $f \in B_{\mathbb{R}}(V, V)$ be symmetric with $\dim V = n$. Then $\exists \beta$

$$\text{s.t. } \psi_{\beta}(f) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & & \\ & & & -1 & & & \\ & & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & & -1 & \\ & & & & & & 0 \\ & & & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The triple ($\#$ of 1, $\#$ of -1, $\#$ of 0) is well-defined. (called the signature of f)

Proof. Assume $V^+ = \langle v_1, \dots, v_p \rangle_F$, $V^- = \langle v_{p+1}, \dots, v_r \rangle_R$, $V^\perp = \langle v_{r+1}, \dots, v_n \rangle_F$. ($V = V^+ \oplus V^- \oplus V^\perp$)

Claim: If W is a subspace of V s.t. f is positive-definite on W , then W, V^-, V^\perp are independent.

Let $\langle w_1, w_2, \dots, w_s \rangle$ be a basis of W . If

$$a_1 w_1 + a_2 w_2 + \dots + a_s w_s = b_{p+1} v_{p+1} + \dots + b_r v_r + c_{r+1} v_{r+1} + \dots + c_n v_n.$$

Let $w \triangleq a_1 w_1 + \dots + a_s w_s$, $v \triangleq b_{p+1} v_{p+1} + \dots + b_r v_r + c_{r+1} v_{r+1} + \dots + c_n v_n$. Since $w = v$, $f(w, w) = f(v, v)$. but $f(w, w) = \sum a_i^2 \geq 0$ and $f(v, v) = -\sum b_i^2 \leq 0$. Hence $a_i = 0, b_i = 0$. Since v_{r+1}, \dots, v_n is linear independent, $c_i = 0$. Therefor these vectors are linear independent.

□

Ex 2.1.3. Let $f \in B_F(V, V)$ with $\text{char } F \neq 2$. If f is skew-symmetric, then $\exists \beta$ s.t.

$$\psi_{\beta}(f) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & & & \\ -1 & 0 & & & & & \\ & & 0 & 1 & & & \\ & & -1 & 0 & & & \\ & & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & & 0 & 1 \\ & & & & & -1 & 0 \\ & & & & & & 0 \\ & & & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Ex 2.1.4. Study Hermitian form

$\mathsf{T} : V \xrightarrow{\sim} V, f \in B_F(V, V)$. T preserves f if $f(\mathsf{T}(v), \mathsf{T}(w)) = f(v, w) \quad \forall v, w \in V$.

In matrix form, let β be a basis for V , $M = [\mathsf{T}]_{\beta}$, $A = \psi_{\beta}(f)$, then $A = M^t A M$.

- $f \in B_{\mathbb{R}}(V, V)$ symmetric, non-degenerate: $\exists \beta$ s.t. $\psi_{\beta}(f) = \begin{pmatrix} I_p & \\ & -I_q \end{pmatrix}$.

Then $\{ \mathsf{T} : V \xrightarrow{\sim} V \text{ preserves } f \} \leftrightarrow \left\{ M \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R}) \mid M^t \begin{pmatrix} I_p & \\ & -I_q \end{pmatrix} M = \begin{pmatrix} I_p & \\ & -I_q \end{pmatrix} \right\} = O(p, q).$

- $f \in B_{\mathbb{R}}(V, V)$ skew-symmetric, non-degenerate: $n = 2k$, $\exists \beta$ s.t. $\psi_{\beta}(f) = J$.

Then $\{T : V \xrightarrow{\sim} V \text{ preserves } f\} \leftrightarrow \{M \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R}) \mid M^t J M = J\}$, where

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_k \\ -I_k & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

2.1.2 Tensor product

From now on, R is assumed to be commutative with 1.

Def 49. Let M_1, \dots, M_n, L be R -modules.

A function $F : M_1 \times \dots \times M_n \rightarrow L$ is said to be n -multilinear if $\forall i$,

$$f(x_1, \dots, rx_i + x'_i, \dots, x_n) = rf(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n) + f(x_1, \dots, x'_i, \dots, x_n) \quad \forall r \in R, x_i, x'_i \in M_i$$

If $n = 2$, f is called a bilinear map.

Def 50. Let M, N be R -modules. A tensor product of M and N is an R -module $M \otimes_R N$ with a bilinear map $\rho : M \times N \rightarrow M \otimes_R N$ satisfying the following universal property:

for any R -module W and any bilinear map $f : M \times N \rightarrow W$, $\exists!$ R -module homomorphism $\varphi : M \otimes_R N \rightarrow W$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \times N & \xrightarrow{\rho} & M \otimes_R N \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow \varphi \\ & & W \end{array}$$

Theorem 28 (Main theorem). $M \otimes_R N$ exists and is unique up to isom.

Proof. Let $X = M \times N$. First we construct the free module $V_1 = \bigoplus_{(x,y) \in X} R \cdot (x, y)$.

Notice that in V_1 ,

- $(x_1, y_1) + (x_2, y_2) \neq (x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2)$.
- $r(x, y) \neq (rx, ry)$.
- $r(r_1(x_1, y_1) + \dots + r_n(x_n, y_n)) = rr_1(x_1, y_1) + \dots + rr_n(x_n, y_n)$.

$$\text{Let } V_0 = \left\langle \begin{array}{l} (x_1 + x_2, y) - (x_1, y) - (x_2, y), \\ (x, y_1 + y_2) - (x, y_1) - (x, y_2), \\ r(x, y) - (rx, y), r(x, y) - (x, ry) \end{array} \middle| x_1, x_2, x \in M, y_1, y_2, y \in N, r \in R \right\rangle_R.$$

Define $M \otimes_R N = V_1/V_0$ which is an R -module and $\rho : M \times N \rightarrow M \otimes_R N$ which is R -bilinear. (check yourself)

Universal property: $\forall (x, y) \in M \times N$, $\frac{R(x, y) \rightarrow W}{r(x, y) \mapsto rf(x, y)}$. So, by the universal property of \oplus , $\exists!$ R -module homo. $\varphi_1 : V_1 \rightarrow W$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \times N & \xrightarrow{i} & V_1 \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow \varphi_1 \\ & & W \end{array}$$

Claim: $V_0 \subseteq \ker \varphi_1$. (check yourself) Then by factor theorem,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \exists! \varphi : V_1/V_0 & \longrightarrow & W \\ & \nwarrow \quad \nearrow & \\ & M \times N & \end{array}$$

□

Eg 2.1.1. $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} = 0$.

Eg 2.1.2. $\mathbb{R}[x, y] \cong \mathbb{R}[x] \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}[y]$.

Proof. $\mathbb{R}[x] \times \mathbb{R}[y] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[x, y]$ is bilinear $\rightsquigarrow \exists! \varphi : \mathbb{R}[x] \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}[y] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[x, y]$
 $(f(x), g(y)) \mapsto f(x)g(y) \quad f(x) \otimes g(y) \mapsto f(x)g(y)$

Conversely, $\mathbb{R}[x, y] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[x] \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}[y]$
 $h(x, y) = \sum a_{ij} x^i y^j \mapsto \sum a_{ij} x_i \otimes y_j$

□

Prop 2.1.1. If $M = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle_R$ and $N = \langle y_1, \dots, y_m \rangle_R$. Then

$$M \otimes_R N = \langle x_i \otimes y_j \mid i = 1, \dots, n; j = 1, \dots, m \rangle_R.$$

In particular, if R is a field F , then $\dim_F M \otimes_F N = (\dim_F M)(\dim_F N)$.

Proof. Note that $M \otimes_R N = \langle x \otimes y \mid x \in M, y \in N \rangle$. Let $x = \sum_i a_i x_i, y = \sum_j b_j y_j$. Then $x \otimes y = \sum_i \sum_j a_i b_j x_i \otimes y_j$. □

Some canonical isomorphisms:

- $(M \otimes_R N) \otimes_R L \cong M \otimes_R (N \otimes_R L)$.

Proof. $\forall z \in L, M \times N \rightarrow M \otimes_R (N \otimes_R L)$ is bilinear. $\exists! R$ -mod homo. $\varphi_z : M \otimes_R N \rightarrow$
 $(x, y) \mapsto x \otimes (y \otimes z)$

$M \otimes_R (N \otimes_R L)$. Similarly, $(M \otimes_R N) \times L \rightarrow M \otimes_R (N \otimes_R L)$ is bilinear. (The right is due to φ_z linear, and the left is because $x \otimes (y \otimes (rz_1 + z_2)) = rx \otimes (y \otimes z_1) + x \otimes (y \otimes z_2)$.) Hence exists unique R -mod homo. $\varphi : (M \otimes_R N) \otimes_R L \rightarrow M \otimes_R (N \otimes_R L)$. By the symmetric construction, we have φ^{-1} and $\varphi^{-1} \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ \varphi^{-1} = 1$, so the two are isomorphic. □

- $(M \oplus M') \otimes_R N \cong (M \otimes_R N) \oplus (M' \otimes_R N)$.

The mapping $\psi : (M \oplus M') \times N \rightarrow (M \otimes_R N) \oplus (M' \otimes_R N)$ by $\psi = ((x, x'), y) \mapsto (x \otimes y, x' \otimes y)$ is bilinear, hence exists R -mod homomorphism $\varphi : (M \oplus M') \otimes_R N \rightarrow (M \otimes_R N) \oplus (M' \otimes_R N)$.

On the other hand, The mapping $(x, y) : M \times N \mapsto (x, 0) \otimes y : (M \oplus M') \otimes_R N$ is bilinear. So exists $\phi_1 : M \otimes_R N \rightarrow (M \oplus M') \otimes_R N$, similarly there exists $\phi_2 : M' \otimes_R N \rightarrow (M \oplus M') \otimes_R N$. Now by the universal property of direct sum, there exists $\phi : (M \otimes_R N) \oplus (M' \otimes_R N) \rightarrow (M \oplus M') \otimes_R N$. After a careful examine, we have

$$\varphi = (x, x') \otimes y \mapsto (x \otimes y, x' \otimes y), \phi = (x \otimes y, x' \otimes y) \mapsto (x, x') \otimes y$$

Thus $\phi = \varphi^{-1}$ and hence the two are isomorphic.

Ex 2.1.5.

1. $R \otimes_R M \cong M$.
2. $M \otimes_R N \cong N \otimes_R M$.

Ex 2.1.6. $R/I \otimes_R N \cong N/IN$ where $IN := \{\sum a_i x_i \mid a_i \in I, x_i \in N\}$.

Ex 2.1.7. Compute $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})$, $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})$, $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})$, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})$

2.2 Week 12

2.2.1 Tensor product II

By universal property, we get $\{R\text{-bilinear maps } M \times N \rightarrow L\} \leftrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M \otimes_R N, L)$.

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Hom}\left(\bigoplus_{s \in \Lambda} M_s, L\right) &\cong \prod_{s \in \Lambda} \text{Hom}(M_s, L) \\ \text{Hom}\left(N, \prod_{s \in \Lambda} M_s\right) &\cong \prod_{s \in \Lambda} \text{Hom}(N, M_s)\end{aligned}$$

Fact 2.2.1. $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, M'), g \in \text{Hom}_R(N, N') \rightsquigarrow f \otimes g \in \text{Hom}_R(M \otimes N, M' \otimes N')$ by $(f \otimes g)(x \otimes y) = f(x) \otimes g(y)$.

Proof. Define $h : M \times N \rightarrow M' \otimes_R N'$
 $(x, y) \mapsto f(x) \otimes g(y)$ □

Restriction and extension of scalars.

Let $f : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism and R, S be commutative with 1. Then S can be regarded as an R -module. $\left(\begin{array}{l} R \times S \rightarrow S \\ (r, x) \mapsto f(r)x \end{array} \right)$.

If M is a S -module, then M is also an R -module. $\left(\begin{array}{l} R \times M \rightarrow M \\ (r, a) \mapsto f(r)a \end{array} \right)$.

If N is an R -module, then $S \otimes_R N$ an S -module. $\left(\begin{array}{l} S \times (S \otimes_R N) \rightarrow S \otimes_R N \\ (r, x \otimes a) \mapsto rx \otimes a \end{array} \right)$.

Eg 2.2.1 (Important example). Let V be a real vector space. The complexification of V is $V^{\mathbb{C}} := \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V$ which is a \mathbb{C} -vector space.

Ex 2.2.1. Let $K \subseteq L$ be an inclusion of fields and let E be a vector space over K . Show that $E^L := L \otimes_K E$ satisfies the following universal property: For any vector space U over L and any K -linear map $f : E \rightarrow U$, $\exists!$ L -linear map φ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \varphi : 1 \otimes x :: E^L & \xrightarrow{\quad} & f(x) :: U \\ & \nwarrow \quad \nearrow f & \\ & x :: E & \end{array}$$

Ex 2.2.2. $E \rightarrow E^L$ is a covariant functor from the category of vector spaces over K to the category of vector spaces over L .

Eg 2.2.2. $\mathbb{Z}^n \cong \mathbb{Z}^m \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}^n \cong \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}^m \rightsquigarrow n = m$.

Eg 2.2.3. $G \cong \mathbb{Z}/d_1\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/d_l\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^s, \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} G = \mathbb{Q}^s$.

Let M, N and U be R -module. Then

$$\text{Hom}_R(M \otimes_R N, U) \cong \text{Hom}_R(N, \text{Hom}_R(M, U))$$

Proof.

- For $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M \otimes_R N, U)$ and $a \in N$, define $f_a = x :: M \mapsto f(x \otimes a) :: U$.
 - linear: easy.
 - $\bar{f} : a \mapsto f_a$ is an R -mod homo.: easy.
 - $\tau : f \mapsto \bar{f}$ is an R -mod homo.: $\tau(rf + g)(a)(x) = (rf + g)_a(x) = (rf + g)(x \otimes a) = rf(x \otimes a) + g(x \otimes a) = \dots = r\tau(f)(a)(x) + \tau(g)(a)(x)$
- For $g \in \text{Hom}_R(N, \text{Hom}_R(M, U))$, define $g' = (x, a) :: M \times N \mapsto g(a)(x) :: U$.
 - g' is R -bilinear: easy.
 - $\exists! \tilde{g} : x \otimes a \mapsto g(a)(x)$.
 - $\sigma : g \mapsto \tilde{g}$ is an R -mod homo.: easy.
- $\sigma\tau = \text{id}, \tau\sigma = \text{id}$: easy... □

Ex 2.2.3. $\text{Hom}_R(M, \cdot), M \otimes_R \cdot$ are covariant functors from the category of R -modules to itself. (is an adjoint pair)

Fact 2.2.2. $\text{Hom}_R(R, M) \cong M$. By $f \mapsto f(1)$.

Def 51. An exact sequence $A \xrightarrow{f_1} B \xrightarrow{f_2} \dots$ is a sequence satisfying $\text{im } f_k = \ker f_{k+1}$.

- $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{2} \mathbb{Z}$.
- $\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$.

Let V, W be vector spaces over F . Then $V^* \otimes_F W \cong \text{Hom}_F(V, W)$.

Proof. Let $\alpha = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ and $\beta = \{f_1, \dots, f_m\}$ be bases for V and W respectively. Via α, β , $\text{Hom}_F(V, W) \cong \left\langle E_{ij} \left| \begin{matrix} i = 1, \dots, m \\ j = 1, \dots, n \end{matrix} \right. \right\rangle_F$. $V^* \otimes W \cong \left\langle e_j^* \otimes f_i \left| \begin{matrix} i = 1, \dots, m \\ j = 1, \dots, n \end{matrix} \right. \right\rangle_F$. □

2.2.2 Tensor algebra

Def 52.

- Let R be a commutative ring with 1. An R -algebra is a ring A which is also an R -module s.t. the multiplication map $A \times A \rightarrow A$ is R -bilinear. ($r(ab) = (ra)b = a(rb)$)
- Let A be an R -algebra. A grading of A is a collection of R -submodules $\{A_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ (n -th homogeneous part) s.t.

$$A = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n \quad \text{and} \quad A_n A_m \subseteq A_{n+m} \quad \forall n, m$$

- A graded R -algebra is an R -algebra with a chosen grading.
- \mathfrak{M}_R is the category of R -modules.
- \mathfrak{Gr}_R is the category of graded R -algebras. ($f : A \rightarrow A'$ with $f(A_n) \subseteq A'_n$)

Eg 2.2.4. $A = R[x], A_n = \langle x^n \rangle_R$. If $I = \langle x + 1 \rangle_A$, I is not graded. $I = \langle x^2 \rangle_A$ is graded.

Def 53. An ideal I is graded in a graded ring A if and only if $I = \bigoplus I \cap A_n$. ²

²This is not mentioned in class

Ex 2.2.4. TFAE

- (1) I is graded.
- (2) $\forall a \in I$ write $a = a_{k_1} + a_{k_2} + \cdots + a_{k_m}, a_{k_i} \in A_{k_i} \implies a_{k_i} \in I$. (a_{k_i} is the homogenous component of a)
- (3) A/I is a graded ring with $(A/I)_n = (A_n + I)/I \cong A_n/I \cap A_n$.

Ex 2.2.5.

- (1) If I is a f.g. graded ideal, then I has a finite system of generators consisting of homogeneous elements alone.
- (2) I, J are graded $\implies I + J, IJ, I \cap J$ are graded.

Observation: Let $\{M_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a collection of R -modules.

- $M_1 \otimes_R M_2$ exists.
- $(M_1 \otimes_R M_2) \otimes_R M_3 \cong M_1 \otimes_R (M_2 \otimes_R M_3) \implies M_1 \otimes_R M_2 \otimes_R M_3$ is well-defined. Universal property: for any R -module L and a 3-multilinear map $f : M_1 \times M_2 \times M_3 \rightarrow L$. (拆括號囉)
- By induction, $M_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes M_n$ is well-defined and satisfies the universal property. (n -multilinear map)

Goal: For a given R -module M , we intend to construct an graded R -algebra $T(M)$ containing M that is “universal” w.r.t. R -algebras containing M .

That is, a tensor algebra is a pair $(T(M), i)$ where $T(M)$ is an R -algebra and $i :: M \rightarrow T(M)$, such that for any R -algebra A containing M , which is to say that exist a R -module homomorphism $\varphi : M \rightarrow A$, then \exists an R -algebra homomorphism $\psi :: T(M) \rightarrow A$ such that $\varphi = \psi \circ i$.

Construction:

- $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, T^k(M) := \underbrace{M \otimes \cdots \otimes M}_{k \text{ times}}$, each $x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_k \in T^k(M)$ is called a k -tensor.

$T^0(M) := R$ and

$$T(M) := \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} T^k(M) = R \oplus T^1(M) \oplus \cdots$$

- define multiplication on $T(M)$ by:

$$\begin{aligned} T^i(M) \times T^j(M) &\longrightarrow T^{i+j}(M) \\ (x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_i, y_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes y_j) &\longmapsto x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_i \otimes y_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes y_j \end{aligned}$$

- Distribution law: easy.

Proving the universal property: For any R -algebra A containing M and an R -module homo. $\varphi : M \rightarrow A$. $\forall k \geq 2$, we define $f_k : M \times \cdots \times M \rightarrow A$

$$\begin{aligned} f_k : M \times \cdots \times M &\rightarrow A \\ (x_1, \dots, x_k) &\mapsto \varphi(x_1) \cdots \varphi(x_k) \end{aligned}$$

f_k is k -multilinear \rightsquigarrow

$$\begin{aligned} \exists! \tilde{f}_k : M \otimes \cdots \otimes M &\rightarrow A \\ x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_k &\mapsto \varphi(x_1) \cdots \varphi(x_k) \end{aligned}$$

By the universal property of \bigoplus , exists a unique R -module homo. $\tilde{\varphi} :: T(M) \rightarrow A$ which make the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\varphi} : T(M) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & A \\ & \nwarrow i \quad \nearrow f_k & \\ & T^k(M) & \end{array}$$

$\tilde{\varphi}$ is an R -algebra homomorphism.

Def 54. $T(M)$ is called the tensor algebra of M .

Ex 2.2.6. T is a covariant functor from \mathfrak{M}_R to \mathfrak{Gr}_R .

Prop 2.2.1. Let V be a vector space over F with a basis $\beta = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$. Then

$$\{v_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{i_k} \mid \forall j = 1, \dots, k, i_j = 1, \dots, n\}$$

forms a basis for $T^k(V)$. $\dim_F T^k(V) = n^k$.

$T(V)$ can be regarded as a non-commutative polynomial algebra over F .

⊙ Symmetrization ($\text{char } F = 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} V \times \dots \times V &\longrightarrow T^n(V) \\ (x_1, \dots, x_n) &\longmapsto \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\tau \in S_n} x_{\tau(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{\tau(n)} \end{aligned}$$

is n -multilinear.

The symmetrizer operator $\sigma : T^n(V) \rightarrow T^n(V)$, $\tilde{S}^n(V) := \sigma(T^n(V)) \subseteq T^n(V)$.

Claim: $T^n(V) = \tilde{S}^n(V) \oplus C^n(V)$ where

$$C^n(V) = C(V) \cap T^n(V) \quad C(V) = \langle v \otimes w - w \otimes v \mid v, w \in V \rangle$$

2.3 Week 13

2.3.1 Symmetric and Exterior algebra

Symmetric algebra Define

$$\begin{aligned} S : \mathfrak{M}_R &\rightarrow \mathfrak{Gr}_R \\ M &\mapsto T(M)/C(M) \end{aligned} \quad S(M) := T(M)/C(M)$$

where $C(M)$ is the graded two-sided ideal generated by $u \otimes v - v \otimes u$ with $u, v \in M$.

- $C^k(M) := C(M) \cap T^k(M)$ is the submodule of $T^k(M)$ generated by all

$$x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_k - x_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{\sigma(k)} \quad \forall x_i \in M, \sigma \in S_k.$$

“ \subseteq ”: $x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_s \otimes (u \otimes v - v \otimes u) \otimes y_1 \otimes \dots \otimes y_t \in C(M) \cap T^k(M)$ with $s + 2 + t = k$.

“ \supseteq ”: bubble sort

- $k \geq 2, S^k(M) = T^k(M)/C^k(M) = \langle \bar{x}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \bar{x}_k \mid x_i \in M \rangle_R$ with $\bar{x}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \bar{x}_k = \bar{x}_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \bar{x}_{\sigma(k)} \quad \forall \sigma \in S_k$

Hence, $S(M) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} S^k(M)$ is a graded commutative R -algebra.

Def 55. $f : M \times \dots \times M \rightarrow L$ is a symmetric k -multilinear map if f is k -multilinear and

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_k) = f(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k)}) \quad \forall \sigma \in S_k$$

- $k \geq 2, S^k(M)$ is universal w.r.t. symmetric k -multilinear maps on M : By the universal property of $T^k(M)$, $\exists!$ R -module homo. $\tilde{f} : T^k(M) \rightarrow L$. Now $C^k(M) \subseteq \ker \tilde{f} \implies \exists!$ R -module homo. $\bar{f} : S^k(M) \rightarrow L$ by factor thm.
- $S(M)$ satisfies the universal property for maps to a commutative R -algebra: given a commutative R -algebra A and $f : M \rightarrow A$ R -module homo.,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{f} & A \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \exists! f' & \uparrow \\ T(M) & \longrightarrow & T(M)/C(M) \end{array}$$

- $S : \mathfrak{M}_R \rightarrow \mathfrak{Gr}_R$ is a covariant functor.

$$- \varphi : M \rightarrow N: R\text{-module homo.} \rightsquigarrow T(\varphi) : T(M) \rightarrow T(N) \rightarrow T(N)/C(N) = S(N)$$

Ex 2.3.1. Let E be a vector space over F with $\dim E = n$.

1. Show that $S(E) \cong F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.
2. Compute $\dim_F S^k(E)$.

Exterior algebra ($\text{char } R \neq 2$)

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda : \mathfrak{M}_R &\rightarrow \mathfrak{Gr}_R \\ M &\mapsto \Lambda(M) = T(M)/A(M) \end{aligned}$$

where $A(M)$ is the two sided graded generated by $v \otimes v \quad \forall v \in M$.

- $A^k(M) := A(M) \cap T^k(M)$ is the submodule of $T^k(M)$ generated by all $x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_k$ with $x_i = x_j$ for some $i \neq j$.

(Note: $(x_1 + x_2) \otimes (x_1 + x_2) = x_1 \otimes x_1 + x_1 \otimes x_2 + x_2 \otimes x_1 + x_2 \otimes x_2 \rightsquigarrow x_1 \otimes x_2 + x_2 \otimes x_1 \in A(M)$)

- $\Lambda^k(M) \cong T^k(M)/A^k(M) = \langle \overline{x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_k} \mid x_i \in M \rangle$ with $\overline{x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_k} = \bar{0}$ if $x_i = x_j$ for some $i \neq j$. We use $x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_k := \overline{x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_k}$.

Note: $x_1 \wedge x_2 = -x_2 \wedge x_1$.

Def 56. $f : M \times \dots \times M \rightarrow L$ is an alternating k -multilinear map if f is k -multilinear and $f(x_1, \dots, x_k) = 0$ when $x_i = x_j$ for some $i \neq j$.

- $k \geq 2$, $\Lambda^k(M)$ is universal w.r.t. alternating k -multilinear maps on M :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \times \dots \times M & \xrightarrow{\quad} & L \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \exists! f' & \uparrow \\ T^k(M) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \Lambda^k(M) \end{array}$$

- $\Lambda(M)$ satisfies the universal property for maps to an R -algebra A with $a^2 = 0 \quad \forall a \in A$: given an R -algebra A and $f : M \rightarrow A$ R -module homo.,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{f} & A \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \exists! f' & \uparrow \\ T(M) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \Lambda(M) \end{array}$$

- $\Lambda : \mathfrak{M}_R \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}\mathfrak{r}_R$ is a covariant functor.

$$- \varphi : M \rightarrow N: R\text{-module homo.} \rightsquigarrow T(\varphi) : T(M) \rightarrow T(N) \rightarrow T(N)/A(N) = \Lambda(N)$$

Ex 2.3.2. Let V be a vector space over F with $\dim V = n$ and $\varphi : V \rightarrow V$ be a linear transformation.

- (1) Compute $\Lambda^k(V)$.
- (2) Determine the map $\Lambda^k(\varphi) : \Lambda^k(V) \rightarrow \Lambda^k(V)$.

Symmetrization and Skew-symmetrization

$$T^k(V) \xrightarrow{\quad} T^k(V)$$

$$\text{Sym} = \sigma : x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_k \longmapsto \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\tau \in S_k} x_{\tau(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{\tau(k)}$$

$$\text{Alt} = \sigma' : x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_k \longmapsto \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\tau \in S_k} \text{sgn}(\tau) x_{\tau(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{\tau(k)}$$

$$\tilde{S}^k(V) = \sigma(T^k(V)) \quad \tilde{\Lambda}^k(V) = \sigma'(T^k(V))$$

- $\sigma^2 = \sigma$ easy $\rightsquigarrow T^k(V) = \text{Im } \sigma \oplus \ker \sigma = \tilde{S}^k(V) \oplus \ker \sigma$.
- $\ker \sigma = C^k(V)$. $C^k(V) \subseteq \ker \sigma$ is obvious. Assume \supsetneq , i.e., $\exists t \in \ker \sigma$ s.t. $t \notin C^k(V)$. Recall $q : T^k(V) \twoheadrightarrow S^k(V)$, since q is the quotient map. Also $q|_{\tilde{S}^k(V)} \twoheadrightarrow S^k(V)$, since if $q(x) = y$, then it could be easily checked that $q(\sigma(x)) = y$, so exists $t' \in \tilde{S}^k(V)$ satisfies $q(t') = q(t) \neq 0$. But then $q(t - t') = 0 \implies t - t' \in \ker q = C^k(V) \subseteq \ker \sigma$ and because of $\sigma(t) = 0 \implies \sigma(t') = 0$. Hence $t' \in \ker \sigma$. But then $t' \in S^k(V) \subseteq \text{Im } \sigma \implies t' \in \text{Im } \sigma \cap \ker \sigma$, which leads to an contradiction since σ is a projection.

Ex 2.3.3. $T^k(V) = \tilde{\Lambda}^k(V) \oplus A^k(V)$.

3 Introduction to the linear representation theory of finite groups

3.1 Week 14

3.1.1 Generalities on linear representations

Notation

- G : finite group
- V : vector space of finite dim over \mathbb{C}
- $\text{GL}(V)$: the group of all linear isom. $V \rightarrow V$

Def 57. A group homo. $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ is called a linear representation of G . $\dim V$ is called the degree of ρ . (V is a representation space)

For a fixed basis $\beta = \{e_i\}$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \text{GL}(V) \\ & \searrow R & \downarrow \beta \\ & & \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \end{array}$$

(R is a matrix representation)

Eg 3.1.1. A representation of degree 1 of G is $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}^\times$.

$\text{ord}(g)$ is finite $\leadsto \rho(g)^m = 1$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N} \leadsto \rho(g)$ is a root of unity, i.e. $|\rho(g)| = 1$.

Note: So, $\rho : G \rightarrow S^1$, S^1 is the unit circle.

1. $G = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, $\rho : \bar{1} \mapsto \zeta_p \in S^1$ with $\zeta_p^p = 1$.
2. $G = S_3$, $V = \mathbb{C}e_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}e_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}e_3$.

A permutation representation is $\rho : \tau \mapsto (\rho(\tau) : e_i \mapsto e_{\tau(i)}) \in \text{GL}(V)$.

3. $G = S_3$, $V = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in S_3} \mathbb{C}e_\sigma$. The regular representation is

$$\rho^{\text{reg}} : \tau \mapsto (\rho^{\text{reg}}(\tau) : e_\sigma \mapsto e_{\tau\sigma}) \in \text{GL}(V).$$

For general G , with $V = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathbb{C}e_g$,

$$\rho^{\text{reg}} : h \mapsto (\rho^{\text{reg}}(h) : e_g \mapsto e_{hg}) \in \text{GL}(V).$$

Def 58.

- $\rho : g \mapsto \text{id} \in \text{GL}(V)$: trivial representation.
- $\rho : G \hookrightarrow \text{GL}(V)$: faithful representation.
- ρ, ρ' are said to be equivalent if \exists a linear isom. $T : V \xrightarrow{\sim} V'$ s.t.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow[\sim]{T} & V' \\ \rho(g) \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho'(g) \\ V & \xrightarrow[\sim]{T} & V' \end{array}$$

Remark 13. When we choose two bases β, β' for V ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \text{GL}(V) \\ & \searrow R & \downarrow \beta \downarrow \wr \\ & & \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{\rho'} & \text{GL}(V) \\ & \searrow R & \downarrow \beta' \downarrow \wr \\ & & \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \end{array}$$

then ρ, ρ' are equivalent.

Let $T : e_i \mapsto e'_i :: V \mapsto V$. For $g \in G, R(g) = (a_{ij})$.

$$T \circ \rho(g) = \rho'(g) \circ T$$

Def 59. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be a positive definite Hermitian form on V .

Then $T : V \rightarrow V$ is called a unitary operator if $\langle T(x), T(y) \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle \quad \forall x, y \in V$.

or $\forall \beta : \text{orthonormal basis}, [T]_{\beta}^* [T]_{\beta} = [T]_{\beta} [T]_{\beta}^* = I_n$.

Theorem 29. $\forall \rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V), \exists$ a matrix representation $R : G \rightarrow U_n$.

Proof. We only need to G -invariant positive definite Hermitian form on V . ($\forall g \in G, \langle \rho(g)x, \rho(g)y \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle \quad \forall x, y \in V$)

We start with an arbitrary positive definite Hermitian form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'$ on V .

Define a new form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ by

$$\langle x, y \rangle := \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \langle \rho(g)(x), \rho(g)(y) \rangle'$$

which is a positive definite Hermitian form, since

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \rho(g)x, \rho(g)y \rangle &\triangleq \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{h \in G} \langle (\rho(h) \circ \rho(g))(x), (\rho(h) \circ \rho(g))(y) \rangle' \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{gh \triangleq h' \in G} \langle (\rho(h'))(x), (\rho(h'))(y) \rangle' \triangleq \langle x, y \rangle \end{aligned}$$

So with the basis of this hermitian form, every $\rho(g)$ has a matrix representation $R(g)$ which is unitary. \square

Def 60. Let $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$, For $W \subset V$ (we use \subset to denote subspace), if $\forall x \in W, \rho(g)(x) \in W, \forall g \in G$, then W is said to be G -invariant and

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^W : G &\rightarrow \text{GL}(W) \\ g &\mapsto \rho(g)|_W \end{aligned}$$

is called a subrepresentation of ρ .

W is G -invariant $\rightsquigarrow \rho(g)|_W : W \xrightarrow{\sim} W$.

Eg 3.1.2. Let ρ be the regular rep. of S_3 .

$W^\circ = \{ \alpha_1 e_1 + \dots + \alpha_6 e_6 \mid \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_6 = 0 \}$ is G -invariant.

$W^1 = \langle e_1 + \dots + e_6 \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ is G -invariant.

Theorem 30. Let $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ and $W \subset V$ be G -invariant. Then $\exists W^\circ \subset V$ is still G -invariant and $V = W \oplus W^\circ$.

Proof. We can pick an arbitrary W' with $V = W \oplus W'$ and $\pi_1 : V \rightarrow W$ is the projection to W . Then $W' = \ker \pi_1$.

Now we need π_1 preserves the G action (G -equivariant). Define

$$\pi^\circ = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \rho(g)^{-1} \circ \pi_1 \circ \rho(g) : V \rightarrow W$$

- well-defined: $\rho(g)(V) \subset V \rightsquigarrow \pi_1 \circ \rho(g)(V) \subset W \rightsquigarrow \rho(g)^{-1} \circ \pi_1 \circ \rho(g)(V) \subseteq W$.
- surjective: $\forall y \in W, (\rho(g)^{-1} \circ \pi_1 \circ \rho(g))(y) = (\rho(g)^{-1} \circ \rho(g))(y) = y$ since $\rho(g)(y) \in W$. Also, $\pi^\circ(y) = y, \forall y \in W \implies (\pi^\circ)^2 = \pi^\circ$. So π° is a projection and hence $V = \text{Im } \pi^\circ \oplus \ker \pi^\circ$.
- G -equivariant: $\forall g' \in G$,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^\circ \circ \rho(g')(x) &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \rho(g)^{-1} \circ \pi_1 \circ \rho(g)(\rho(g')(x)) \\ &= \rho(g') \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{gg' \in G} \rho(gg')^{-1} \circ \pi_1 \circ \rho(gg')(x) \\ &= (\rho(g') \circ \pi^\circ)(x) \end{aligned}$$

- $W^\circ := \ker \pi^\circ$ is G -invariant: $\forall x \in W^\circ, \pi^\circ(\rho(g)(x)) = \rho(g)(\pi^\circ(x)) = \rho(g)(0) = 0$. So $\rho(g)(x) \in W^\circ$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{\pi^\circ} & W \\ \rho(g) \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho(g) \\ V & \xrightarrow{\pi^\circ} & W \end{array}$$

□

Remark 14. If $W \subset V$ is G -invariant, then W^\perp is also G -invariant. (w.r.t. a G -invariant positive definite Hermitian form)

Def 61. $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ is irreducible if ρ has no proper nontrivial subrepresentations.

Theorem 31. Each $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ is a direct sum of irreducible subrepresentations.

Proof. By induction on $\dim V$. For $\dim V = 1$, then ρ is irreducible.

For $\dim V > 1$, if ρ is irreducible, then done. Otherwise, $\exists W, W^\circ$ are G -invariant s.t. $V = W \oplus W^\circ$ with $\dim W \geq 1, \dim W^\circ \geq 1$. By induction hypothesis, ρ^W, ρ^{W° are the direct sum of irreducible subrepresentations, and $\rho = \rho^W \oplus \rho^{W^\circ}$, done. □

Remark 15. Let $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ and $\rho' : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V')$.

- $\rho \oplus \rho' : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V \oplus V')$. 矩陣是左上右下
- $\rho \otimes \rho' : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V \otimes V')$. 矩陣是密密麻麻 $(\sum_{i,j} r_{ip} r'_{jq} (e_i \otimes e'_j))$

3.1.2 Character Theory I

Main goal: To determine all equivalence classes of irreducible representations of a finite group G .

Def 62.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \text{GL}(V) \\ & \searrow R & \downarrow \wr \\ & & \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \end{array}$$

The character χ_ρ if ρ is the map $\chi_\rho : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $\chi_\rho(g) = \text{Tr}(R(g))$.

Remark 16.

1. χ_ρ is independent of the choice of $\beta = \{e_i\}$ For another basis $\beta' = \{e'_i\}$. (Notice that $\text{Tr}(BA) = \text{Tr}(AB)$)
2. $\rho \xrightarrow[\text{equivalent}]{\cong} \rho' \rightsquigarrow \chi_\rho = \chi_{\rho'}$.

Def 63.

- The degree of χ_ρ is defined to the degree of ρ ($= \dim V$).
- χ_ρ is an irreducible character if ρ is irreducible.

Basic facts:

1. $\chi_\rho(1) = n$.
2. χ_ρ is a class function, i.e., it is constant on each conjugacy class.
3. $\chi_\rho(g^{-1}) = \overline{\chi_\rho(g)}$: Assume that the eigenvalues of $R(g)$ are $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$. Then the eigenvalues of $R(g^{-1})$ are $\lambda_1^{-1}, \dots, \lambda_n^{-1}$.

$$0 = \det(\lambda I_n - A) = \det(\lambda I_n(A^{-1} - \lambda^{-1}I_n)A) = \det(\lambda I_n) \det(A^{-1} - \lambda^{-1}I_n) \det(A)$$

So $\det(A^{-1} - \lambda^{-1}I_n) = 0$. Then $g^m = 1 \implies R(g)^m = I_n \implies |\lambda_i| = 1 \implies \lambda_i^{-1} = \overline{\lambda_i}$.

Thus $\chi_\rho(g^{-1}) = \text{Tr}(R(g)^{-1}) = \overline{\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n} = \overline{\chi_\rho(g)}$.

4. $\chi_{\rho \oplus \rho'} = \chi_\rho + \chi_{\rho'}$.
5. $\chi_{\rho \otimes \rho'} = \chi_\rho \chi_{\rho'}$.

Def 64. $\mathcal{C}(G, \mathbb{C})$ is the vector space of complex functions on G .

$\chi_\rho \in \mathcal{C}(G) \subset \mathcal{C}(G, \mathbb{C})$ is the vector space of complex class functions of G .

Remark 17. Assume that $\{C_1, \dots, C_k\}$ is the set of distinct conjugacy classes in G . Then $\{f_i(C_j) = \delta_{ij} \mid \forall i = 1, \dots, k\}$ forms a basis for $\mathcal{C}(G)$ over \mathbb{C} .

- $\forall f \in \mathcal{C}(G)$, let $f(C_i) = a_i$, then $f = \sum a_i f_i$.
- $\sum a_i f_i = 0$, pick $x_j \in C_j$, then $(\sum a_i f_i)(x_j) = a_j = 0 \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, k$.

So $\dim \mathcal{C}(G) = k$.

Def 65. $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{C}(G, \mathbb{C})$, then

$$\langle \phi, \psi \rangle := \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \phi(g) \overline{\psi(g)}$$

is a positive definite Hermitian form on $\mathcal{C}(G, \mathbb{C})$.

Theorem 32 (Main theorem). The set of all irreducible characters of G forms an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{C}(G)$ over \mathbb{C} . So there are only k irreducible representations up to equivalent.

Lemma 3 (Schur's lemma). Let $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ and $\rho' : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V')$ be two irr. rep. of G .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{\quad \text{T} \quad} & V' \\ \rho(g) \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho'(g) \\ V & \xrightarrow{\quad \text{T} \quad} & V' \end{array} \quad (\text{T} : G\text{-equivariant})$$

Then

1. ρ, ρ' are not equivalent $\implies T = 0$.
2. $V = V', \rho = \rho' \implies T = \lambda 1_V$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof.

1. Assume $T \neq 0$. We only need to prove that T is an isomorphism, and then ρ, ρ' would be isomorphic by definition. Since T is G -equivariant, $\ker T \leq V$ and $\text{Im } T \leq V'$ are G -invariant. ρ is irreducible $\implies \ker T = 0$ or V , but if $\ker T = V$ then $T = 0$, so $\ker T = 0$. Similarly, ρ' is irreducible $\implies \text{Im } T = 0$ or V . And by the fact that $T \neq 0$, $\text{Im } T = V$. Thus T is an isomorphism, and consequently ρ, ρ' are equivalent.
2. Since the vector field is over \mathbb{C} , T has an eigenvalue. Let λ be an eigenvalue of T , say $T(v) = \lambda v$ with $v \neq 0$ in V . Put $T' = T - \lambda 1_V$. Then

$$\rho(g) \circ T' = \rho(g) \circ (T - \lambda 1_V) \stackrel{*}{=} \rho(g) \circ T - \rho(g) \circ \lambda 1_V = T \circ \rho(g) - \lambda 1_V \rho(g) = T' \rho(g)$$

Which $*$ is due to the linearity of $\rho(g)$. Hence T' is also G -equivariant.

But $v \in \ker T'$, i.e., T' is not 1-1. Similar as in 1., $\ker T' = \{0\}$ or $V \implies \ker T' = V \implies T' = 0 \implies T = \lambda 1_V$.

□

Coro 3.1.1. Assume ρ, ρ' is the same as above. Let $L : V \rightarrow V'$ be a linear transformation. Define

$$T = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \rho'(g)^{-1} L \rho(g).$$

One could easily check that T is G -equivariant (i.e., $T \circ \rho(g) = \rho'(g) \circ T$). Then

1. ρ, ρ' are not equivalent $\implies T = 0$.
2. $V = V', \rho = \rho' \implies T = \lambda 1_V$, $\lambda = \text{Tr}(T) / \dim V = \text{Tr}(L) / \dim V$.

Remark 18. Let $\rho \rightarrow_\beta R : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $R(g) = [r_{ij}(g)]$

$\rho' \rightarrow_{\beta'} R' : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_{n'}(\mathbb{C})$ and $R'(g) = [r'_{ij}(g)]$

and let the matrix representation of L is $[L]_\beta^{\beta'} = [x_{\mu\nu}] \in M_{n' \times n}(\mathbb{C})$

Then consider the matrix representation of T , which is $[T]_\beta^{\beta'} = [x_{tl}^\circ]$ with

$$x_{tl}^\circ = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\substack{g \in G \\ i=1, \dots, n \\ j=1, \dots, n'}} r'_{tj}(g^{-1}) x_{ji} r_{il}(g)$$

In case 1., $x_{tl}^\circ = 0, \forall t, l$. Since it holds for every L , which is independent of ρ, ρ' , fixing i, j and setting $x_{ij} = 1$ and 0 otherwise, we get

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} r'_{tj}(g^{-1}) r_{il}(g) = 0, \quad \forall i, j, t, l$$

In case 2., $T = \lambda 1_V$, i.e. $x_{tl}^\circ = \lambda \delta_{tl}$. $\lambda = \frac{\text{Tr}(L)}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_{ii} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i,j} \delta_{ji} x_{ji}$

Hence,

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g, i, j} r'_{tj}(g^{-1}) x_{ji} r_{il}(g) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i,j} \delta_{ji} x_{ji} \delta_{tl}$$

But notice that this equality hold for any L , which is independent of ρ, ρ' . So if we fix i, j and set $x_{ji} = 1$, and $x_{j'i'} = 0$ otherwise, we get

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} r_{tj}(g^{-1}) r_{il}(g) = \frac{1}{n} \delta_{ji} \delta_{tl}$$

Prop 3.1.1.

1. If χ_ρ is irreducible, then $\langle \chi_\rho, \chi_\rho \rangle = 1$.
2. If two irreducible representations ρ, ρ' are not equivalent, then $\langle \chi_\rho, \chi_{\rho'} \rangle = 0$.

Proof.

1. Let $R(g) = [r_{ij}(g)]$ be the matrix representation of $\rho(g)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \chi_\rho, \chi_\rho \rangle &\triangleq \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_g \chi_\rho(g) \overline{\chi_\rho(g)} = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_g \chi_\rho(g) \chi_\rho(g^{-1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_g \sum_{i,j} r_{ii}(g) r_{jj}(g^{-1}) = \sum_{i,j} \frac{1}{n} \delta_{ij} \delta_{ij} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

2. Let $R(g) = [r_{ij}(g)], R'(g) = [r'_{ij}(g)]$ be the matrix representation of $\rho(g), \rho'(g)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \chi_\rho, \chi_{\rho'} \rangle &\triangleq \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_g \chi_\rho(g) \overline{\chi_{\rho'}(g)} = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_g \chi_\rho(g) \chi_{\rho'}(g^{-1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_g \sum_{i,j} r_{ii}(g) r'_{jj}(g^{-1}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 19. $\langle \chi_\rho, \chi_\rho \rangle = 1 \implies \rho$ is irr.

Proof. We write $\rho = \rho_1^{\oplus m_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \rho_l^{\oplus m_l}$ where ρ_1, \dots, ρ_l are non-equivalent irr. rep.

$$\chi_\rho = \sum_{i=1}^l m_i \chi_{\rho_i}$$

$$1 = \langle \chi_\rho, \chi_\rho \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^l m_i^2 \implies \exists m_i = 1 \text{ and } m_j = 0 \text{ for } j \neq i$$

So $\rho \cong \rho_i$.

□

3.2 Week 15

3.2.1 Character Theory II

Prop 3.2.1. Let $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ and $\rho = \rho^{W_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho^{W_k}$ where $\rho_i = \rho^{W_i}$ is irr. $\forall i$. ($V \cong W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_k$)

If $\tilde{\rho} : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(\tilde{W})$ is an irr. rep. then the number of ρ_i isomorphic to $\tilde{\rho}$ is equal to $\langle \chi_\rho, \chi_{\tilde{\rho}} \rangle$.

Proof. We know $\chi_\rho = \chi_{\rho_1} + \cdots + \chi_{\rho_k}$, so

$$\langle \chi_\rho, \chi_{\tilde{\rho}} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^k \langle \chi_{\rho_i}, \chi_{\tilde{\rho}} \rangle$$

Recall $\rho_i \cong \tilde{\rho} \implies \langle \chi_{\rho_i}, \chi_{\tilde{\rho}} \rangle = 1$, otherwise $\langle \chi_{\rho_i}, \chi_{\tilde{\rho}} \rangle = 0$. □

Remark 20.

1. The number of W_i isomorphic to \tilde{W} does not depend on the chosen decomposition. ($= \langle \chi_\rho, \chi_{\tilde{\rho}} \rangle$)
2. If $\chi_\rho = \chi_{\rho'}$, then $\rho \cong \rho'$: $\langle \chi_\rho, \chi_{\tilde{\rho}} \rangle = \langle \chi_{\rho'}, \chi_{\tilde{\rho}} \rangle$ The type of irr. subrep of ρ is the same as ρ' .
3. If χ_1, \dots, χ_l are distinct irr. characters of G , then since x_1, \dots, x_l are orthonormal w.r.t. $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ in $\mathcal{C}(G)$, x_1, \dots, x_l are linearly indep. over \mathbb{C} in $\mathcal{C}(G)$.

But $\dim \mathcal{C}(G) = k = \#$ of conjugacy classes in G . So $l \leq k$ i.e. we conclude that there are at most k mutually non-equivalent irr. rep. of G , say $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_l, l \leq k$.

For any $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$, $\rho \cong \rho_1^{\oplus m_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_l^{\oplus m_l}$ where $m_i = \langle \chi_\rho, \chi_{\rho_i} \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$.

Theorem 33 (Orthogonality relations for χ 's). The set of all irr. characters of G forms an orthonormal **basis** $\mathcal{C}(G)$ over \mathbb{C} . In particular, the number of irr. rep. of G is equal to $\#$ of conjugacy classes in G . (up to equivalence)

Proof. Let $\chi_i = \chi_{\rho_i}, i = 1, \dots, l$ be all irr. characters of G and $\mathcal{D} = \langle \chi_1, \dots, \chi_l \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} \subseteq \mathcal{C}(G)$. Then $\mathcal{C}(G) = \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{D}^\perp$. Claim: $\mathcal{D}^\perp = \{0\}$.

Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^\perp$, i.e. $\langle \varphi, \chi_i \rangle = 0, \forall i = 1, \dots, l$.

Write $\rho^{\text{reg}} \cong \rho_1^{\oplus m_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_l^{\oplus m_l} \implies \chi^{\text{reg}} = m_1 \chi_1 + \cdots + m_l \chi_l$. By assumption, $\langle \varphi, \chi_\rho \rangle = 0$.

For each i , define $T_{\rho_i} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V, V)$ by

$$T_{\rho_i} \triangleq \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\varphi(g)} \rho_i(g)$$

Then we have

$$\text{Tr}(T_{\rho_i}) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\varphi(g)} \chi_\rho(g) = \overline{\langle \varphi, \chi_\rho \rangle} = 0$$

Also, for all $h \in G$.

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_i(h)^{-1} \circ T_{\rho_i} \circ \rho_i(h) &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\varphi(g)} \rho_i(h)^{-1} \circ \rho_i(g) \circ \rho_i(h) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\varphi(h^{-1}gh)} \rho_i(h^{-1}gh) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\varphi(g)} \rho_i(g) = T_{\rho_i} \end{aligned}$$

Where $*$ is because φ is a class function. So T_{ρ_i} is G -equivariant. By Schur's lemma, $\mathsf{T}_{\rho_i} = \lambda_i 1_{W_i}$ where $\rho_i : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(W_i)$.

But $\mathrm{Tr} \mathsf{T}_{\rho_i} = 0 \implies \lambda_i = 0 \implies \mathsf{T}_{\rho_i} = 0$.

Also, because $\rho \cong \rho_1^{\oplus m_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \rho_l^{\oplus m_l}$, if we define

$$\mathsf{T}_{\rho^{\mathrm{reg}}} \triangleq \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\varphi(g)} \rho^{\mathrm{reg}}(g) \implies \mathsf{T}_{\rho^{\mathrm{reg}}} = \mathsf{T}_{\rho_1^{\oplus m_1}} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathsf{T}_{\rho_k^{\oplus m_k}} = 0$$

Finally, let $\rho = \rho^{\mathrm{reg}} : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ with $V = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathbb{C} e_g$. Then $\mathsf{T}_{\rho} = 0 \implies \mathsf{T}_{\rho}(e_1) = 0$ and

$$0 = \mathsf{T}_{\rho}(e_1) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\varphi(g)} \rho(g)(e_1) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\varphi(g)} e_g$$

Since $\{e_g\}$ is a basis, $\overline{\varphi(g)} = 0 \quad \forall g$. That is, $\varphi \equiv 0$. \square

Prop 3.2.2. Each irr. rep. $\rho_i : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(W_i)$ is contained in ρ^{reg} with multiplicity equal to $\dim W_i = m_i$, $i = 1, \dots, k$.

In particular, $\bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathbb{C} e_g \cong \underbrace{W_1 \oplus \dots \oplus W_1}_{m_1 \text{ times}} \oplus \dots \oplus \underbrace{W_k \oplus \dots \oplus W_k}_{m_k \text{ times}}$. So $|G| = m_1^2 + \dots + m_k^2$.

Proof. Let $\chi^{\mathrm{reg}} := \chi_{\rho^{\mathrm{reg}}}$ and $\chi_i = \chi_{\rho_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, k$. Then

$$\langle \chi^{\mathrm{reg}}, \chi_i \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi^{\mathrm{reg}}(g) \chi_i(g^{-1}) = \frac{1}{|G|} |G| \chi_i(1) = m_i$$

\square

Theorem 34 (Divisibility). $\forall i = 1, \dots, k, \quad \chi_i(1) = m_i \mid |G|$.

Proof. First, we shall proof that for each $\rho = \rho_i$, $\chi = \chi_i$ and j , we have

$$\mathsf{T} \triangleq \sum_{g \in C_j} \rho(g) = \frac{|C_j| \chi(g_0)}{m_i} \mathsf{I}_{m_i}, \quad \text{for any } g_0 \in C_j$$

Observe that $\forall h \in G$,

$$\rho(h)^{-1} \circ \mathsf{T} \circ \rho(h) = \sum_{g \in C_j} \rho(h^{-1} g h) = \sum_{g' \in C_j} \rho(g') = \mathsf{T}$$

So T is G -equivariant w.r.t. ρ .

By Schur's lemma, $\mathsf{T} = \lambda \mathsf{I}_{m_i}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. And $\lambda = \mathrm{Tr}(\mathsf{T})/m_i = \sum_{g \in C_j} \chi(g)/m_i = |C_j| \chi(g_0)/m_i$ for any $g_0 \in C_j$, thus $\sum_{g \in C_j} \rho(g) = \frac{|C_j| \chi(g_0)}{m_i} \mathsf{I}_{m_i}$ for any $g_0 \in C_j$.

Define $\lambda_{\mu}(C_i) \triangleq |C_i| \chi_{\mu}(g_i)/m_{\mu}$. Now, for a $g \in C_l$, define $a_{i,j,l} \triangleq \#\{(g_i, g_j) \in C_i \times C_j \mid g_i g_j = g\}$, which is indep. of the choice of g .

We claim that $\lambda_{\mu}(C_i) \lambda_{\mu}(C_j) = \sum_{l=1}^k a_{i,j,l} \lambda_{\mu}(C_l)$, $\forall i, j, \mu$. Then

$$\lambda_{\mu}(C_i) \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{\mu}(C_1) \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_{\mu}(C_k) \end{bmatrix} = A \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{\mu}(C_1) \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_{\mu}(C_k) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{where } A \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} a_{i,1,1} & \dots & a_{i,1,k} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{i,k,1} & \dots & a_{i,k,k} \end{bmatrix}$$

So $\lambda_{\mu}(C_j)$ is an eigenvalue of A , i.e., $\lambda = \lambda_{\mu}(C_j)$ satisfies $\det(\lambda I - A) = 0$. And thus $\lambda_{\mu}(C_i)$ is an algebraic integer.

We proof the claim by the following calculating.

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_\mu(C_i)\lambda_\mu(C_j)I_{m_\mu} &= (\lambda_\mu(C_i)I_{m_\mu}) (\lambda_\mu(C_j)I_{m_\mu}) = \left(\sum_{g \in C_i} \rho(g) \right) \left(\sum_{g' \in C_j} \rho(g') \right) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{g \in C_i \\ g' \in C_j}} \rho(gg') = \sum_{l=1}^k \sum_{\bar{g} \in C_l} a_{i,j,l} \rho(\bar{g}) \\
&= \sum_{l=1}^k a_{i,j,l} \sum_{\bar{g} \in C_l} \rho(\bar{g}) \\
&= \sum_{l=1}^k a_{i,j,l} \lambda_\mu(C_l) I_{m_\mu}
\end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{|G|}{m_i} &= \frac{|G|}{m_i} \langle \chi_i, \chi_i \rangle \\
&= \frac{|G|}{m_i} \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_i(g) \chi_i(g^{-1}) \\
&= \sum_{g \in G} \frac{\chi_i(g)}{m_i} \chi_i(g^{-1}) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{g \in C_j} \frac{\chi_i(g)}{m_i} \chi_i(g^{-1}) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{|C_j| \chi_i(g_j)}{m_i} \chi_i(g_j^{-1}) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_i(C_j) \chi_i(g_j^{-1})
\end{aligned}$$

and thus is an algebraic integer.

Also, $|G|/m_i \in \mathbb{Q}$, so we conclude that $|G|/m_i \in \mathbb{Z} \implies m_i \mid |G|$. □

Ex 3.2.1.

1. Show that if $g \in G$ and $g \neq 1$, then $\sum_{i=1}^k m_i \chi_i(g) = 0$.
2. Show that each character χ of G with $\chi(g) = 0 \quad \forall g \neq 1$ is an integral multiple of χ^{reg} .

Ex 3.2.2.

1. Let $|G| < \infty$. Then G is abelian \iff each irr. rep. of G is of degree 1.
2. $\{\text{the deg 1 rep. of } G\} = \{\text{the irr. rep. of } G/[G, G]\}$.

3.2.2 Applications

1. $G = S_3 = D_3$, $6 = 1^2 + 1^2 + 2^2$.

Classes	1	(1 2)	(1 2 3)
size	1	3	2
χ_1	1	1	1
χ_2	1	-1	1
χ_3	2	0	-1

The permutation representation

deg 4: $\tilde{\rho} = \rho^W \otimes \rho^W \rightsquigarrow \chi_{\tilde{\rho}} = \chi_3 \cdot \chi_3 = (4, 0, 1)$.

By inner product with χ_1, χ_2, χ_3 , we can find $\chi_{\tilde{\rho}} = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_3 \rightsquigarrow \tilde{\rho} = \rho_1 \oplus \rho_2 \oplus \rho_3$.

2. $G = D_4 = \langle x, y \mid x^4 = 1, y^2 = 1, yxy^{-1} = x^{-1} \rangle$. $|G| = 8 = 1^2 + 1^2 + 1^2 + 1^2 + 2^2$.

Classes	1	y	x	x^2	xy
size	1	2	2	1	2
χ_1	1	1	1	1	1
χ_2	1	-1	1	1	-1
χ_3	1	1	-1	1	-1
χ_4	1	-1	-1	1	1
χ_5	2	0	0	-2	0

$$\chi^{\text{reg}} = (8, 0, 0, 0, 0) = \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_3 + \chi_4 + 2\chi_5.$$

3. $G = D_n$, (n even) $[G, G] = H = \langle x^2 \rangle$
4. $G = D_n$, (n odd) $[G, G] = H = \langle x \rangle$
5. $G = S_4$.

Classes	1	(1 2)	(1 2 3)	(1 2 3 4)	(1 2)(3 4)
size	1	6	8	6	3
χ_1	1	1	1	1	1
χ_2	1	-1	1	-1	1
χ_3	2	0	-1	0	2
χ_4	3	1	0	-1	-1
χ_5	3	-1	0	1	-1

6. $G = A_4$, $[A_4, A_4] = V_4$.

Classes	1	(1 2 3)	(1 3 2)	(1 2)(3 4)
size	1	4	4	3
χ_1	1	1	1	1
χ_2	1	ω	ω^2	1
χ_3	1	ω^2	ω	1
χ_4	3	0	0	-1

Theorem 35 (Product of groups). For $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ and $\rho' : G' \rightarrow \text{GL}(V')$, write $\rho \otimes \rho' : G \times G' \rightarrow \text{GL}(V \otimes V')$. If $\{\rho_i\}$ are irreducible representations of G , $\{\rho'_j\}$ are irreducible representations of G' , then $\{\rho_i \otimes \rho'_j\}$ are exactly the irreducible representations of $G \times G'$.

Proof. It is evidence that $\rho_i \otimes \rho'_j$ is a homomorphism, and hence a representation.

Notice that $\chi_{\rho \otimes \rho'} = \chi_\rho \odot \chi_{\rho'}$ where $\chi_\rho \odot \chi_{\rho'}(g, g') = \chi_\rho(g)\chi_{\rho'}(g')$

Now we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \chi_{\rho_1} \otimes \chi_{\rho'_1}, \chi_{\rho_2} \otimes \chi_{\rho'_2} \rangle &= \frac{1}{|G||G'|} \sum_{g, g'} \chi_{\rho_1}(g) \chi_{\rho'_1}(g') \chi_{\rho_2}(g) \chi_{\rho'_2}(g') \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_g \chi_{\rho_1}(g) \chi_{\rho_2}(g) \right) \left(\frac{1}{|G'|} \sum_{g'} \chi_{\rho'_1}(g') \chi_{\rho'_2}(g') \right) \\
&= \langle \chi_{\rho_1}, \chi_{\rho_2} \rangle \langle \chi_{\rho'_1}, \chi_{\rho'_2} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

So $\langle \chi_{\rho} \otimes \chi_{\rho'}, \chi_{\rho} \otimes \chi_{\rho'} \rangle = 1$ hence each $\chi_{\rho} \otimes \chi_{\rho'}$ is irreducible. And $\langle \chi_{\rho_1} \otimes \chi_{\rho'_1}, \chi_{\rho_2} \otimes \chi_{\rho'_2} \rangle = 0$ if $\rho_1 \otimes \rho'_1 \neq \rho_2 \otimes \rho'_2$, and thus these representations are not isomorphic.

Finally we proof that any irreducible representations of $G \times G'$ is isomorphic to some $\rho \otimes \rho'$.

Let $\{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_k\}, \{\rho'_1, \dots, \rho'_{k'}\}$ be the sets of irreducible representations of G, G' respectively. Write $\chi_i = \chi_{\rho_i}, \chi'_i = \chi_{\rho'_i}$.

Let $\mathcal{D} \triangleq \mathcal{C}(G \times G') = \langle \chi_i, \chi'_j \mid i = 1, \dots, k, j = 1, \dots, k' \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} =$. We claim that $\mathcal{D}^{\perp} = \{0\}$.

Let $f \in \mathcal{D}^{\perp}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \frac{1}{|G \times G'|} \sum_{(g, g') \in G \times G'} f(g, g') \overline{\chi_i(g) \chi'_j(g')} \\
&= \frac{1}{|G'|} \sum_{g'} \left(\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_g f(g, g') \overline{\chi_i(g)} \right) \chi'_j(g') \\
&= \left\langle \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_g f(g, \cdot) \overline{\chi_i(g)}, \chi'_j \right\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

Since ρ'_j are orthonogonal basis of $\mathcal{C}(G')$, we have $\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_g f(g, g') \overline{\chi_i(g)} = 0$ for all g' . Again,

$$0 = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_g f(g, g') \overline{\chi_i(g)} = \langle f(\cdot, g'), \chi_i \rangle$$

Hence $f(g, g') = 0$ for all g, g' , which implies $f \equiv 0$. □

Ex 3.2.3. Determine all irr. rep. of C_n .

Ex 3.2.4. Calculate the character table of Q_8 .

Ex 3.2.5. Calculate the character table of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times S_4$ and $S_3 \times S_4$.

To calculate S_5 , $|S_5| = 120 = 1^2 + 1^2 + 4^2 + 4^2 + 5^2 + 5^2 + 6^2$.

4 Extensions of Groups

4.1 Week 16

4.1.1 Extensions of abelian groups

Def 66. If a group E contains a normal subgroup N and $E/N \cong G$, then we call E an extension of N by G , denoted by $1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$.

Ques: When N and G are given, how to obtain all extensions of N by G .

Now assume that N is abelian.

Def 67. $1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{p} G \rightarrow 1$. $l : G \rightarrow E$ is a lifting if $p \circ l = \text{id}_G$ and $l(1) = 1$.

Remark 21. $G \cong E/N = \{xN \mid x \in E\}$, $p \circ l(\bar{x}) = \bar{x}$, $l(\bar{x})$ is a representative of $xN = \bar{x}$.

Prop 4.1.1.

1. $\forall \bar{x} \in G, \theta_{\bar{x}} : N \rightarrow N, a \mapsto l(\bar{x})al(\bar{x})^{-1}$. is independent of the choice of l .
2. $\theta : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(N), \bar{x} \mapsto \theta_{\bar{x}}$ is a group homomorphism.

Proof.

1. Suppose $l' : G \rightarrow E$ is another lifting. Then $l(\bar{x})N = l'(\bar{x})N$. So $l'(\bar{x}) = l(\bar{x})b$ for some $b \in N$. $\forall a \in N, l'(\bar{x})al'(\bar{x})^{-1} = l(\bar{x})bab^{-1}l(\bar{x})^{-1} = l(\bar{x})al(\bar{x})^{-1}$ since N is abelian.
2. $\theta_{\bar{x}\bar{y}}(a) = l(\bar{x}\bar{y})al(\bar{x}\bar{y})^{-1}$.

$$\begin{cases} p \circ l(\bar{x}\bar{y}) = \bar{x}\bar{y} \\ p \circ (l(\bar{x})l(\bar{y})) = \bar{x}\bar{y} \end{cases} \rightsquigarrow l(\bar{x}\bar{y}), l(\bar{x})l(\bar{y}) \text{ are liftings of } \bar{x}\bar{y} \quad \square$$

Def 68. An extension $1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ splits if \exists a lifting $l : G \rightarrow E$ is a group homo.

Prop 4.1.2. TFAE

1. $1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ splits.
2. \exists a subgroup $K \leq E$ s.t. $K \cong G$ and $\begin{cases} K \cap N = \{1\} \\ NK = E \end{cases} \rightsquigarrow E \cong N \rtimes K (\cong N \rtimes G)$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Let $K = \text{Im } l$ which is a subgroup since l is a group homo.

- l is an isomorphism from G to K : If $l(\bar{x}) = l(\bar{y})$, then $p \circ l(\bar{x}) = p \circ l(\bar{y}) \rightsquigarrow \bar{x} = \bar{y}$. So l is 1-1.
- $E = NK$: $\forall x \in E, \bar{x} = p(x) \rightsquigarrow y = l(\bar{x})$ and $p(x) = p(y) \rightsquigarrow \exists a \in N$ s.t. $x = ay$.
- $K \cap N = \{1\}$: $a = l(\bar{x}) \in K \cap N \rightsquigarrow 1 = p(a) = p(l(\bar{x})) = \bar{x} \rightsquigarrow a = l(1) = 1$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1):

- $p|_K : K \rightarrow G$ is an isom.: onto: $p(K) = p(NK) = p(E) = G$, 1-1: $\ker(p|_K) = N \cap K = \{1\}$.
- $l = (p|_K)^{-1}$ is a group homo.

Observation: Let $l : G \rightarrow E$ be a lifting. Then $E = \bigcup_{\bar{x} \in G} Nl(\bar{x}), \forall x, y \in E$, write $x = al(\bar{x}), y = bl(\bar{y}), a, b \in N, \bar{x}, \bar{y} \in G$.

$$xy = (al(\bar{x})bl(\bar{y})) = al(\bar{x})bl(\bar{x})^{-1}l(\bar{x})l(\bar{y}) = a\theta_{\bar{x}}(b)l(\bar{x})l(\bar{y})$$

Notice that $l(\bar{x})l(\bar{y})$ and $l(\bar{x}\bar{y})$ are liftings, so we can write $l(\bar{x})l(\bar{y}) = f(\bar{x}, \bar{y})l(\bar{x}\bar{y})$ for some $f(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in N$. \square

Ex 4.1.1. $B^2(G, N) \leq Z^2(G, N)$.

Ex 4.1.2. Show that there are inequivalent extensions of N by G with isomorphic middle groups. (Hint: $N = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ with p is odd, $E = \mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$, $a :: N \mapsto x^p :: E$ and please give another morphism $N \rightarrow E$ by yourself.)

Def 69. Given $1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{p} G \rightarrow 1$ and $l : G \rightarrow E$, a factor set is a function $f : G \times G \rightarrow N$ s.t. $\forall \bar{x}, \bar{y} \in G, l(\bar{x})l(\bar{y}) = f(\bar{x}, \bar{y})l(\bar{x}\bar{y})$.

Prop 4.1.3. Let $1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{p} G \rightarrow 1$ and $l : G \rightarrow E$. If f is a factor set, then

- (1) $f(x, 1) = 1 = f(1, y) \quad \forall x, y \in G$.
- (2) (cocycle identity) $\forall x, y, z \in G, f(x, y)f(xy, z) = \theta_x(f(y, z))f(x, yz)$.
(i.e. $f(x, y) + f(xy, z) = xf(y, z) + f(x, yz)$)

Proof.

- (1) Trivial since $l(x)l(1) = l(1 \cdot x)$.
- (2) By associativity. $(l(x)l(y))l(z) = l(x)(l(y)l(z))$.
 $(l(x)l(y))l(z) = f(x, y)l(xy)l(z) = f(x, y)f(xy, z)l(xyz)$, and
 $l(x)(l(y)l(z)) = l(x)f(y, z)l(yz) = l(x)f(y, z)l^{-1}(x)l(x)l(yz) = \theta_x(f(y, z))f(x, yz)l(xyz)$.
Thus $f(x, y)f(xy, z) = \theta_x(f(y, z))f(x, yz)$. \square

Theorem 36. Let $\sigma : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(N), x \mapsto \sigma_x$ be a group homo. and $f : G \times G \rightarrow N$ satisfies (1),(2) in Prop. 4.1.3. Then $\exists 1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ and $l : G \rightarrow E$ s.t. $\theta = \sigma$ and f is the corresponding factor set.

Proof. • Define $E = N \times G$ equipped with the operation

$$(a, x)(b, y) = (a\sigma_x(b)f(x, y), xy)$$

– associativity:

$$\begin{aligned} ((a, x)(b, y))(c, z) &= (a\sigma_x(b)f(x, y), xy)(c, z) \\ &= (a\sigma_x(b)f(x, y)\sigma_{xy}(c)f(xy, z), xyz) \\ &= (a\sigma_x(b)\sigma_{xy}(c)f(x, y)f(xy, z), xyz) \quad (\because N \text{ abelian}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (a, x)((b, y)(c, z)) &= (a, x)(b\sigma_y(c)f(y, z)) \\ &= (a\sigma_x(b\sigma_y(c)f(y, z))f(x, yz), xyz) \\ &= (a\sigma_x(b)\sigma_{xy}(c)\sigma_x(f(y, z))f(x, yz), xyz) \\ &= (a\sigma_x(b)\sigma_{xy}(c)f(x, y)f(xy, z), xyz) \end{aligned}$$

– identity: $(1, 1)$.

– inverse: $(a, x)^{-1} = (\sigma_{x^{-1}}(a^{-1}f(x, x^{-1})^{-1}), x^{-1})$.

- $p : E \rightarrow G, (a, x) \mapsto x$ is a group homo by def.
- $i : N \rightarrow E, a \mapsto (a, 1)$ is a group homo. $(a, 1)(b, 1) = (a\sigma_1(b)f(1, 1), 1) = (ab, 1)$.
- $\ker p = \text{Im } i$.
- $\text{Fix } l : G \rightarrow E, a \in N, x \in G$, say $l(x) = (b, x)$.

$$\begin{aligned} l(x)(a, 1)l(x)^{-1} &= (b, x)(a, 1)(b, x)^{-1} = (b\sigma_x(a), x)(\sigma_{x^{-1}}(a^{-1}f(x, x^{-1})^{-1}), x^{-1}) \\ &= (b\sigma_x(a) \cdot (\sigma_x \circ \sigma_{x^{-1}})(b^{-1}f(x, x^{-1})^{-1}) \cdot f(x, x^{-1}), 1) \\ &= (\sigma_x(a), 1) \end{aligned}$$

So $\theta_x = \sigma_x$.

- Let $l : G \rightarrow E, x \mapsto (1, x)$. Check $l(x)l(y)l(xy)^{-1} = (f(x, y), 1)$. Then f is the corresponding factor set. \square

Prop 4.1.4. Let $1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{p} G \rightarrow 1$ with two liftings $l_1 : G \rightarrow E, l_2 : G \rightarrow E$ with $f_1 : G \times G \rightarrow N, f_2 : G \times G \rightarrow N$ respectively.

Then $\exists h : G \rightarrow N$ with $h(1) = 1$ and $\forall x, y \in G, f_2(x, y)f_1(x, y)^{-1} = \theta_x(h(y))h(xy)^{-1}h(x)$.
 $(f_2(x, y) - f_1(x, y) = xh(y) - h(xy) + h(x))$

Proof. For $x \in G, \exists h(x) \in N$ s.t. $l_2(x) = h(x)l_1(x)$. Since $l_1(1) = l_2(1) = 1, h(1) = 1$.

Now, $l_2(x)l_2(y) = f_2(x, y)l_2(x, y) = f_2(x, y)h(xy)l_1(x, y)$. and

$$\begin{aligned} l_2(x)l_2(y) &= h(x)l_1(x)h(y)l_1(y) = h(x)l_1(x)h(y)l_1^{-1}(x)l_1(x)l_1(y) \\ &= h(x)\theta_x(h(y))l_1(x)l_1(y) = f_1(x, y)h(x)\theta_x(h(y))l_1(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

So $f_2(x, y)f_1(x, y)^{-1} = \theta_x(h(y))h(xy)^{-1}h(x)$. \square

Remark 22. A map which has the form $\tilde{h} : G \times G \rightarrow N, (x, y) \mapsto xh(y) - h(xy) + h(x)$ is called a coboundary map.

Def 70. $Z^2(G, N)$ = the abelian group of all factor sets.

$B^2(G, N)$ = the abelian group of all coboundary maps.

$$H^2(G, N) = Z^2(G, N)/B^2(G, N)$$

Def 71. Two extensions $\begin{cases} 1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1 \\ 1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E' \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1 \end{cases}$ are equivalent if exists an isomorphism $\varphi : E \xrightarrow{\sim} E'$ which let the following diagram comutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & G \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow 1_N & & \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow 1_G \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & E' & \longrightarrow & G \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

Theorem 37. Two extensions $\begin{cases} 1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1 \\ 1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E' \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1 \end{cases}$ are equivalent \iff

Exists mappings $l : G \rightarrow E, l' : G \rightarrow E'$ with two factor sets f, f' respectively satisfies $f - f' \in B^2(G, N)$.

Proof. " \Rightarrow ": Choose $l : G \rightarrow E$ which has a corresponding factor set $f : G \times G \rightarrow N$. Now define $l' : G \rightarrow E'$ by $l' = \varphi \circ l$. Since $p' \circ l' = p' \circ \varphi \circ l = p \circ l = 1, l'$ is a lifting. Let $f' : G \times G \rightarrow N$ be its factor set.

Since $1_N = 1_N \circ \varphi$, $\varphi|_N = 1_N$. And

$$\begin{aligned} l(x)l(y) &= f(x, y)l(xy) \\ \Rightarrow \varphi(l(x)l(y)) &= \varphi(f(x, y)l(xy)) \\ \Rightarrow l'(x)l'(y) &= \varphi(f(x, y))l'(xy) \\ \Rightarrow f'(x, y) &= \varphi(f(x, y)) \end{aligned}$$

But $f(x, y) \in N$, $\varphi(f(x, y)) = \varphi|_N(f(x, y)) = f(x, y)$. So $f(x, y) = f'(x, y)$, hence $f - f' = 0 \in B^2(G, N)$.

Ex 4.1.3.

- (1) Show that $f' - f \in B^2(G, N)$.
- (2) “ \Leftarrow ”: Show all details of the following steps:

- $\begin{cases} 1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1 \\ 1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E(N, G, f, \theta) \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1 \end{cases}$ are equivalent.
- Similarly $\begin{cases} 1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E' \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1 \\ 1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E(N, G, f', \theta') \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1 \end{cases}$ are equivalent.
- $f' - f \rightsquigarrow h : G \rightarrow N$,

□

4.1.2 1st and 2nd group cohomology

Let N be an abelian group and G be a group with a group homo $\sigma : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(N)$ ($G \curvearrowright N$)

$e(G, N) = \{\text{equivalence classes of } N \text{ by } G\}$

$$Z^2(G, N) = \{f : G \times G \rightarrow N \mid f(1, v) = f = f(u, 1), f(u, v) + f(uv, w) = uf(v, w) + f(u, vw) \quad u, v, w \in G\}$$

$$B^2(G, N) = \{f : G \times G \rightarrow N \mid \exists h : G \rightarrow N \text{ with } h(1) = 1 \text{ s.t. } f(u, v) = uh(v) - h(uv) + h(u) \quad u, v \in G\}$$

$$H^2(G, N) = Z^2(G, N)/B^2(G, N)$$

Then $e(G, N) \leftrightarrow H^2(G, N)$.

Def 72.

- $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(E)$ stabilizes $1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ if

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & G \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow 1_N & & \downarrow \varphi|_E & & \downarrow 1_G \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & G \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

- $\text{Stab}_E(G, N) = \{\text{stabilizing automorphisms}\} \leq \text{Aut}(E)$

Def 73.

- A derivation is a function $d : G \rightarrow N$ s.t. $d(uv) = ud(v) + d(u) \quad \forall u, v \in G$.
- $\text{Der}(G, N) = \{\text{derivations} : G \rightarrow N\}$ is an abelian group with pointwise addition.

Theorem 38. Let $1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ with $\theta = \sigma$. Then $\text{Stab}_E(G, N) \cong \text{Der}(G, N)$. So $\text{Stab}_E(G, N)$ is abelian.

Proof.

- Let $\varphi \in \text{LHS}$ and fix $l : G \rightarrow E$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & E & \xrightarrow{\quad} & G \longrightarrow 1 \\
& & \downarrow 1_N & & \varphi \downarrow \wr & \swarrow l & \downarrow 1_G \\
1 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & G \longrightarrow 1
\end{array}
\quad \varphi(al(u)) = \varphi(a)\varphi(l(u)) = ad(u)l(u)$$

- For another $l' : G \rightarrow E$, say $l'(u) = g(u)l(u)$, where $g(u) \in N$, we have

$$d'(u) = \varphi(l'(u))(l'(u))^{-1} = \varphi(g(u)l(u))(g(u)l(u))^{-1} = g(u)\varphi(l(u))l(u)^{-1}g(u)^{-1} = d(u).$$

- $d \in \text{RHS}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
d(uv) &= \varphi(l(uv))l(uv)^{-1} \\
&= \varphi(f(u, v)^{-1}l(u)l(v))l(v)^{-1}l(u)^{-1}f(u, v) \\
&= f(u, v)^{-1}d(u)l(u)d(v)l(v)^{-1}l(u)^{-1}f(u, v) \\
&= f(u, v)^{-1}d(u)(l(u)d(v)l(u)^{-1})f(u, v) \\
&= (ud(v))d(u)
\end{aligned}$$

- Conversely,

Ex 4.1.4. proof it

- group homo: $\varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1(al(u)) = \varphi_2(ad_1(u)l(u)) = ad_1(u)\varphi_2(l(u)) = ad_1(u)d_2(u)l(u)$. That is, $\varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1 \mapsto d_1d_2$. \square

Def 74.

- $\text{Inn}_E(G, N) = \{\varphi \in \text{Stab}_E(G, N) \mid \varphi : E \rightarrow E, x \mapsto a_0xa_0^{-1} \text{ for some } a_0 \in N\}$.
- $\text{PDer}(G, N) = \{d \in \text{Der}(G, N) \mid d(u) = ua_1 - a_1 \text{ for some } a_1 \in N\}$.

Ex 4.1.5. Show that $\text{Inn}_E(G, N) \cong \text{PDer}(G, N)$.

$$\text{Stab}_E(G, N)/\text{Inn}_E(G, N) \cong \text{Der}(G, N)/\text{PDer}(G, N) = H^1(G, N).$$

Ex 4.1.6. Fix $1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$. Show that if $H^2(G, N) = 0$, $H^1(G, N) = 0$, then for

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
l : G \rightarrow E & & K = l(G) \\
l' : G \rightarrow E & \text{with} & K' = l'(G)
\end{array}
\quad \text{we get that } K \text{ and } K' \text{ are conjugate.}$$

Def 75. Let R be a commutative ring with 1 and G be a group. The group ring

$$R[G] = \left\{ \sum_{g \in G} r_g g \mid \text{only finitely many } r_g \text{'s } \neq 0 \text{ in } R \right\}$$

forms an R -algebra via

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{g \in G} r_g g + \sum_{g \in G} r'_g g &= \sum_{g \in G} (r_g + r'_g)g \\
\left(\sum_{g \in G} r_g g \right) \left(\sum_{g' \in G} r'_g g' \right) &= \sum_{g, g' \in G} (r_g r'_g) gg' \\
r \left(\sum_{g \in G} r_g g \right) &= \sum_{g \in G} (rr_g)g
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 23.

1.
 - $\{\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)\} \leftrightarrow \{V : \mathbb{C}[G]\text{-module}\}.$
 - $\rho : \text{irr} \leftrightarrow V : \text{simple } \mathbb{C}[G]\text{-module (i.e. no nontrivial proper submodule)}$
 - $W \subset V : G\text{-invariant} \leftrightarrow W : \mathbb{C}[G]\text{-submodule}.$
2. $N : \text{abelian} \rightsquigarrow N : \mathbb{Z}\text{-module and } G \curvearrowright N. \implies N : \mathbb{Z}[G]\text{-module}.$

Def 76. $G \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}$ trivially. i.e. $g \cdot n = n \quad \forall g \in G, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\mathbb{Z} : \mathbb{Z}[G]\text{-module}.$

- $B_0 = \mathbb{Z}[G][\] : \text{the free } \mathbb{Z}[G]\text{-module on the symbol } [\].$
- $B_1 = \bigoplus_{u \in G} \mathbb{Z}[G][u] : \text{the free } \mathbb{Z}[G]\text{-module on the set } G.$
- $B_2 = \bigoplus_{u,v \in G} \mathbb{Z}[G][u|v] : \text{the free } \mathbb{Z}[G]\text{-module on the set } G \times G.$
- $B_3 = \bigoplus_{u,v,w \in G} \mathbb{Z}[G][u|v|w] : \text{the free } \mathbb{Z}[G]\text{-module on the set } G \times G \times G.$

...

Now apply $\text{Hom}(\cdot, N)$ to it:

...

Theorem 39. $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}^1(\mathbb{Z}, N) := \ker d_2^* / \ker d_1^* \cong \text{Der}(G, N) / \text{PDer}(G, N) = H^1(G, N).$

Proof.

- $g \in \ker d_2^* \subseteq \text{Hom}(B_1, N) \implies g \circ d_2 = 0. \dots$
- ...
- Let $t \in \text{Hom}(B_0, N)$, say $t([\]) = a_0 \in N.$

$$d_1^*(t)([u]) = t \circ d_1([u]) = t(u[\] - [\]) = ut([\]) - t([\]) = ua_0 - a_0$$

Then $d(u) := d_1^*(t)([u]) \implies d \in \text{PDer}(G, N).$

- ...

□

Remark 24. $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}^2(\mathbb{Z}, N) \cong H^2(G, N).$

5 Fields

5.1 Algebraic extensions

Def 77.

- L/K is called an field extension if L is a field and K is a subfield of L .
- L/K is called an algebraic extension if $\forall \alpha \in L, \exists f(x) \in K[x]$ such that $f(\alpha) = 0$.
- $K(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \triangleq \{P(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)/Q(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) : P, Q \in K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n] \text{ and } Q \neq 0\}$

Theorem 40 (Eisenstein criterion).

Let $f(x) = a_n x^n + \dots + a_1 x + a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ with $\gcd(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = 1$. Assume that there exists a prime p s.t. $p \nmid a_n$ but $p \mid a_i$ for other $i \neq n$, and $p^2 \nmid a_0$, then f is irreducible.

Proof. Consider $\bar{f}(x)$, by assumption, $\bar{f}(x) = \bar{a}_n x^n$. So if $f(x) = g(x)h(x)$ with $\deg g, \deg h \geq 1$, let $g(x) = b_r x^r + \dots + b_0, h(x) = c_{n-r} x^{n-r} + \dots + c_0$, then $\bar{g}(x) = \bar{b}_r x^r, \bar{h}(x) = \bar{c}_{n-r} x^{n-r}$ for some r . But then we would find out that $\bar{b}_0 = \bar{c}_0 = 0$, and thus $p^2 \mid a_0$, which is a contradiction, hence f is irreducible. \square

Prop 5.1.1. Given L/K and $\alpha \in L$, if α is algebraic over K , then there exists a unique monic irreducible polynomial $m_{\alpha, K}(x) \in K[x]$ of minimal degree s.t. $m_{\alpha, K}(\alpha) = 0$ and for any other $f(x) \in K[x]$ with $f(\alpha) = 0$, we have $m_{\alpha, K} \mid f$. We call $m_{\alpha, K}$ the **minimal polynomial** of α over K .

Proof. Let I be the set of all polynomials such that $f(\alpha) = 0$, since α algebraic, $I \neq \emptyset$, so pick a monic polynomial $g(x)$ of minimal degree in I . For any other $f(x) \in I$, write $f(x) = g(x)q(x) + r(x)$ with $\deg r < \deg g$. If $r(x) \neq 0$, then $r(\alpha) = f(\alpha) - q(\alpha)g(\alpha)$. But then $r(\alpha) = f(\alpha) - q(\alpha)g(\alpha) = 0$ with $\deg r < \deg g$, which contradicts the minimality of g , thus $r = 0$, and hence $g \mid f$.

Finally, if $g(x) = h_1(x)h_2(x)$ with $\deg h_1, \deg h_2 < \deg g$, then one of them, say $h_1(\alpha) = 0$ again contradicts the minimality of g , hence g is irreducible. \square

Prop 5.1.2. Let L/K be an extension and $\alpha \in L$, the following are equivalent:

- (1) α is algebraic over K .
- (2) $K[\alpha] = K(\alpha)$.
- (3) $[K(\alpha) : K] < \infty$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): “ \subset ” trivial.

“ \supset ”: For all $\beta \in K(\alpha), \beta = g(\alpha)/h(\alpha)$ with $h(\alpha) \neq 0$. So $m_{\alpha, K} \nmid h$. Since $m_{\alpha, K}$ is irreducible, $\gcd(m_{\alpha, K}, h) = 1$, hence there exists $a(x), b(x) \in K[x]$ such that $1 = a(x)h(x) + b(x)m_{\alpha, K}(x)$. Substitute α and we get $1/h(\alpha) = a(\alpha)$, hence $\beta = g(\alpha)a(\alpha) \in K[\alpha]$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1): Since $1/\alpha \in K[\alpha]$, thus $1/\alpha = f(\alpha)$ for some polynomial f , hence if $g(x) = xf(x) - 1, g(\alpha) = 0$ which implies α is algebraic.

(1) \Rightarrow (3): Assume that $\deg m_{\alpha, K} = n$, it is easy to see that $K[\alpha] = \langle 1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1} \rangle_K$. Since (1) \Rightarrow (2), we have $[K(\alpha) : K] = [K[\alpha] : K] = n$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1): Since $[K(\alpha) : K] = n$, consider $1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^n$. Some of these $n+1$ elements may be coincident, but nevertheless these elements are linear dependent. Hence there exists a_0, \dots, a_n not all zero in K s.t. $a_0 + a_1 \alpha + \dots + a_n \alpha^n = 0 \Rightarrow \alpha$ is algebraic. \square

Prop 5.1.3. Given M/L and L/K , $[M : K] = [M : L][L : K]$.

Proof. If $[M : L] = m < \infty$ and $[L : K] = n < \infty$, then $L \cong K^{\oplus n}$, $M \cong L^{\oplus m}$. So $M \cong (K^{\oplus n})^{\oplus m} \cong K^{\oplus mn}$, thus $[M : K] = mn$.

Now if $[M : K] = l < \infty$, then there exists a basis $\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_l\}$ which is a basis for M over K . Then $M = Kz_1 + \dots + Kz_l \subset Lz_1 + \dots + Lz_l \subset M \implies M = Lz_1 + \dots + Lz_l$. Hence $[M : L] < \infty$. Also, since L is a K -linear subspace of M , $[L : K] \leq l \implies [L : K] < \infty$. Thus if $[M : L] = \infty$ or $[L : K] = \infty$, then $[M : K] = \infty$. \square

Prop 5.1.4. Given L/K , define $L^{\text{alg}} \triangleq \{\alpha \in L \mid \alpha \text{ is algebraic over } K\}$, then L^{alg} is a subfield of L .

Proof. Notice that if $\alpha, \beta \in L^{\text{alg}}$, then β is algebraic over K implies that β is algebraic over $K(\alpha)$. Thus

$$[K(\alpha, \beta) : K] = [K(\alpha)(\beta) : K(\alpha)][K(\alpha) : K] < \infty$$

Also, since $K(\alpha + \beta), K(\alpha - \beta), K(\alpha\beta), K(\alpha/\beta)$ are all contained in $K(\alpha, \beta)$, they are all algebraic over K , thus these elements are all algebraic, and hence L^{alg} is a subfield. \square

Prop 5.1.5. $[L : K] < \infty$ if and only if $L = K(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$ with each α_i algebraic over K . In this case, L/K is algebraic (but the other side may not hold).

Proof. “ \Rightarrow ”: Let $[L : K] = n$, so there is a basis $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ for L over K . It is easy to see that $L = K(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$. Also $[K(\alpha_i) : K] \leq [L : K] < \infty$, thus α_i is algebraic.

“ \Leftarrow ”: Since α_i is algebraic over K , α_i is algebraic over $K(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i-1})$. Thus

$$[L : K] = [K(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) : K(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})][K(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) : K(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-2})] \dots [K(\alpha_1) : K] < \infty$$

Moreover, $\forall \alpha \in L$, $[K(\alpha) : K] \leq [L : K] < \infty$, so α is algebraic over K . \square

Coro 5.1.1. Given L/K , and S a subset of L , if $\forall \alpha \in S$, α is algebraic over K , then $K(S)/K$ is algebraic.

Proof. If $\beta \in K(S)$, by definition we know that there exists $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ such that $\beta \in K(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$. Thus β is algebraic over K . \square

Prop 5.1.6. If M/L and L/K are algebraic, then M/K is algebraic.

Proof. For all $\alpha \in M$, since α is algebraic over L , there exists a_{n-1}, \dots, a_0 so that $\alpha^n + a_{n-1}\alpha^{n-1} + \dots + a_0 = 0$, that is, α is algebraic over $K(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})$.

So $[K(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}, \alpha) : K] = [K(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})(\alpha) : K(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})][K(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) : K] < \infty$, thus α is algebraic over K . \square

Def 78. Given L/L_1 and L/L_2 , L_1L_2 is defined as the smallest subfield of L containing both L_1 and L_2 .

Prop 5.1.7. Let $[L_1 : K] = m$ and $[L_2 : K] = n$.

- (1) $[L_1L_2 : K] \leq mn$.
- (2) If $\gcd(m, n) = 1$, then $[L_1L_2 : K] = mn$.

Proof. (1): Assume $L_1 = K(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m), L_2 = K(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$. We could find that $L_1 L_2 = K(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$. Notice that $[K(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)(\alpha_i) : K(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)] \leq [K(\alpha_i) : K]$, and thus $[L_1 L_2 : K] = [K(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) : K(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)][K(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) : K] \leq [K(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) : K][K(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) : K] = [L_1 : K][L_2 : K]$.

(2): Notice that $[L_i : K] \mid [L_1 L_2 : K]$, so $mn \mid [L_1 L_2 : K]$. By (1), $[L_1 L_2 : K] \leq nm$, hence $[L_1 L_2 : K] = nm$. \square

Def 79. Let R be a commutative ring with 1, and I be an ideal of R , then

- I is called a **maximal ideal** if for any ideal J satisfying $I \subseteq J$ we have $J = I$ or $J = R$.
- I is called a **prime ideal** if $I \neq R$ and $ab \in I \implies a \in I$ or $b \in I$.

Prop 5.1.8. Suppose R is a ring and $I \subsetneq R$ is an ideal, then

1. I is maximal $\iff R/I$ is a field.
2. I is a prime ideal $\iff R/I$ is an integral domain.

Proof.

1. “ \implies ”: For any $\bar{r} \in R/I$ with $\bar{r} \neq 0$, then $r \notin I$. Consider $\langle r \rangle + I$ which contains I and is not equal to I because $r \notin I$. Since I is maximal, $\langle r \rangle + I = R$, and thus $\exists x \in R, y \in I$ such that $xr + y = 1$, so $\bar{x}\bar{r} = \bar{1}$. Hence every non-zero element has multiply inverse and R/I is a field.
“ \impliedby ”: If J is an ideal such that $I \subsetneq J$, pick $x \in J \setminus I$, then $\bar{x} \neq 0$, so $\exists r \in J$ such that $\bar{x}\bar{r} = \bar{1}$. Then $xr + I = 1 + I \implies \exists y \in I$ s.t. $xr + y = 1$. So $1 \in J$, and because J is an ideal, $J = R$.
2. By the fact that $(ab \in I \implies a \in I \text{ or } b \in I) \iff (\bar{a}\bar{b} = 0 \implies \bar{a} = 0 \text{ or } \bar{b} = 0)$ the proof is complete.

\square

Prop 5.1.9. If $f(x) \in K[x]$ is irreducible, where K is a ring, then $\langle f(x) \rangle$ is maximal ideal.

Proof. We know that $K[x]$ is a principle ideal domain, so if $\langle f(x) \rangle \subseteq J$, then J is generated by a element, say $g(x)$. Since $f(x) \in J$, we could write $f(x) = g(x)h(x)$. By the fact that $f(x)$ is irreducible, either $g(x)$ is an unit then $J = R$, or $h(x)$ is an unit then $J = \langle f(x) \rangle$. \square

Ex 5.1.1. $f(x) = x^2 + 1$ has roots $\alpha = \pm\sqrt{-1}$, so $\mathbb{R}(\sqrt{-1}) \cong \mathbb{R}[x]/\langle x^2 + 1 \rangle$.

Theorem 41. Let $f(x) \in K[x]$ be monic, irreducible and of degree n . Then there exists L/K and $\alpha \in L$ s.t. $f(\alpha) = 0, L = K(\alpha)$ and $[L : K] = n$.

Proof. Since $f(x)$ is irreducible, by prop. 5.1.9 $\langle f(x) \rangle$ is a maximal ideal. Then by prop. 5.1.8 $L = K[x]/\langle f(x) \rangle$ is a field, and K is a subfield of L by the inclusion map $\alpha \mapsto \bar{\alpha}$. The map is 1-1 since $\bar{1} \neq 0$ and a field homomorphism is either a 1-1 map or a zero (全洪) map.

Notice that $L \cong K[\bar{x}]$, where \bar{x} is the coset $x + \langle f(x) \rangle$. Now let $\alpha = \bar{x}$, and it is easy to see that $f(\alpha) = f(x) + \langle f(x) \rangle = 0$. Also $L \cong K[\bar{x}] \cong K(\alpha)$. Finally, $m_{\alpha, K} \mid f$ and by the fact that f is monic and irreducible, $m_{\alpha, K} = f$ and thus $[L : K] = \deg m_{\alpha, K} = \deg f = n$. \square

Theorem 42. Let $f(x) \in K[x]$ be of degree $n > 0$. Then there exists L/K s.t. f splits over L , that is,

$$f(x) = \lambda(x - \alpha_1)(x - \alpha_2) \cdots (x - \alpha_n) \text{ with } \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in L, \lambda \in K$$

In fact, L can be chosen to be the smallest field over which f splits and in this case $[L : K] \leq n!$. L is called a *splitting field* for f over K .

Proof. By induction on n , $n = 1$ is trivial, simply pick $L = K$.

For $n > 1$, let $p(x)$ be a monic irreducible factor of $f(x)$. By theorem 41, there exists an extension $K(\alpha_1)$ s.t. $p(\alpha_1) = 0$. By division algorithm, $f(x) = (x - \alpha_1)f_1(x)$ where $f_1(x) \in K(\alpha_1)[x]$ and $\deg f_1 = n - 1$. Using the induction hypothesis, we know that there exists L , which is an extension of $K(\alpha_1)$, s.t. f_1 splits over L . Hence $\exists \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_n \in L$ s.t. $f_1(x) = \lambda(x - \alpha_2) \cdots (x - \alpha_n)$, thus $f(x) = \lambda(x - \alpha_1)(x - \alpha_2) \cdots (x - \alpha_n)$. Compare the coefficient of x^n we know that $\lambda \in K$.

More over, observe that $K(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ is the smallest field containing K and $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$. So if we choose $L = K(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$, then

$$[L : K] = [K(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) : K(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})] \cdots [K(\alpha_1) : K] \leq n!$$

Since $[K(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k) : K(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{k-1})] = [K(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{k-1})(\alpha_k) : K(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{k-1})]$ and α_k is a root of $p(x) \in K(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{k-1})[x]$ where $f(x) = (x - \alpha_1)(x - \alpha_2) \cdots (x - \alpha_{k-1})p(x)$. \square

Eg 5.1.2. Find a splitting field L for $x^8 - 2$ over \mathbb{Q} and determine $[L : \mathbb{Q}]$.

Remark 25. $\mathbb{Q}[x]/\langle x^8 - 2 \rangle = \mathbb{Q}(\bar{x}) \cong \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[8]{2}) \cong \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[8]{2}\zeta)$

Prop 5.1.10. Let K, L be two fields and $\tau : K \rightarrow L$ be a nontrivial homomorphism. We define $\bar{\tau} : K[x] \rightarrow \tau(K)[x] \subseteq L[x]$ by

$$a_n x^n + \dots + a_0 \mapsto \bar{\tau}(f) \triangleq \tau(a_n)x^n + \dots + \tau(a_0)$$

which is an isomorphism. Also, f is irreducible implies $\bar{\tau}(f)$ is irreducible in $\tau(K)[x]$.

Lemma 4. Let $K(\alpha)/K$ be algebraic and $\tau : K \rightarrow L$ be a nontrivial homo, then there exists an extension σ of τ from $K(\alpha)$ to L if and only if $\exists \beta \in L$ s.t. $\bar{\tau}(m_{\alpha, K})(\beta) = 0$.

In this case $m_{\beta, \tau(K)} = \bar{\tau}(m_{\alpha, K})$.

Proof. “ \Rightarrow ”: Let $\beta = \sigma(\alpha)$ and $m_{\alpha, K} = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0$. Then $\bar{\tau}(m_{\alpha, K})(\beta) = \beta^n + \tau(a_{n-1})\beta^{n-1} + \dots + \tau(a_0) = \tau(\alpha^n + a_{n-1}\alpha^{n-1} + \dots + a_0) = 0$

“ \Leftarrow ”: Observe that $m_{\beta, \tau(K)} = \bar{\tau}(m_{\alpha, K})$ since $\bar{\tau}(m_{\alpha, K})(\beta) = 0$ and $\bar{\tau}(m_{\alpha, K})$ is monic and irreducible by prop 5.1.10. σ is then given by the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K[x] & \xrightarrow[\bar{\tau}]{\sim} & \tau(K)[x] & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ K(\alpha) & \xleftarrow{\cong} & K[x]/\langle m_{\alpha, K} \rangle & \xrightarrow[\sigma]{\sim} & \tau(K)[x]/\langle m_{\beta, \tau(K)} \rangle \xleftarrow{\cong} \tau(K)(\beta) \subseteq L \end{array}$$

\square

Coro 5.1.2. Let $K(\alpha)/K$ be an algebraic extension and $\tau : K \hookrightarrow L$. If $\bar{\tau}(m_{\alpha, K})$ has r distinct roots in L , then there are exactly r extensions of τ .

Theorem 43. Let $\tau : K \rightarrow K'$ be an isomorphism of fields. If L is a splitting field for f over K and L' is a splitting field for $\bar{\tau}(f)$ over K' , then $L \cong L'$

Proof. By induction on $n = \deg f$. When $n = 1$, $L = K, L' = K'$, so $L \cong L'$.

Now if $n > 1$, assume $f(\alpha) = 0$ for $\alpha \in L$. Then $\bar{\tau}(m_{\alpha, K}) \mid \bar{\tau}(f)$ and by the fact that L' is a splitting field for $\bar{\tau}(f)$, $\exists \beta \in L'$ s.t. $\bar{\tau}(m_{\alpha, K})(\beta) = 0$. By lemma 4, $\exists \tau_\circ : K(\alpha) \xrightarrow{\sim} K'(\beta)$ with $\tau_\circ|_K = \tau$.

Now, write $f = (x - \alpha)f_\circ$, then $\bar{\tau}(f) = \bar{\tau}_\circ(f) = (x - \tau_\circ(\alpha))\bar{\tau}_\circ(f_\circ) = (x - \beta)\bar{\tau}_\circ(f_\circ)$. Then L and L' is a splitting field for f_\circ over $K(\alpha)$ and $\bar{\tau}_\circ(f_\circ)$ over $K(\beta)$ respectively. By induction hypothesis, $L \cong L'$. \square

Coro 5.1.3. Let $\tau : K \rightarrow K'$ be an isomorphism of fields, and L is a splitting field of f over K , L' is a splitting field of $\bar{\tau}(f)$ over K' . Then τ could be extend to $\sigma : L \rightarrow L'$ such that $\sigma|_K = \tau$.

Eg 5.1.3. $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3})$.

5.2 Week 2

Def 80. A polynomial $f(x) \in K[x]$ is said to be *separable* if its irreducible factors have no multiple roots in a splitting field L .

Def 81. If $f(x) = a_n x^n + \dots + a_1 x + a_0$, then define $f'(x) \triangleq n a_n x^{n-1} + \dots + 2 a_2 x + a_1$.

Theorem 44. Let $f(x) \in K[x]$ be monic, irreducible of positive degree, then all the roots of $f(x)$ in a splitting field are simple if and only if $\gcd(f(x), f'(x)) = 1$.

Proof. “ \Rightarrow ”: We can write $f(x) = (x - \alpha_1)(x - \alpha_2) \cdots (x - \alpha_n)$ where α_i are distinct root of f . Then $f'(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(x)/(x - \alpha_i)$ and we have $(x - \alpha_i) \nmid f(x)$ for all i .

“ \Leftarrow ”: Assume $f(x) = (x - \alpha)^k g(x)$ with $k \geq 2$. Then $f'(x) = k(x - \alpha)^{k-1} g(x) + (x - \alpha)^k g'(x)$ which implies $(x - \alpha) \mid f(x)$. So $(x - \alpha) \mid \gcd(f(x), f'(x))$ and thus $\gcd(f(x), f'(x)) \neq 1$. \square

Remark 26. The following are equivalent:

1. α is a multiple root of $f(x)$.
2. α is a common root of $f(x)$ and $f'(x)$.
3. $m_{\alpha, K} \mid f(x)$ and $m_{\alpha, K} \mid f'(x)$.

Theorem 45. There is a finite field K with $|K| = q \iff q = p^n$ for some prime p and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In this situation, K is unique up to isomorphism, denote by \mathbb{F}_{p^n} .

Proof. “ \Rightarrow ”: Let $p = \text{char } K$ and $[K : \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}] = n$, then $|K| = p^n$.

“ \Leftarrow ”: Let K be a splitting field for $f(x) = x^{p^n} - x$ over \mathbb{F}_p . We claim that the set of all roots of $f(x)$ forms a field. Since if α, β are two roots of f , obviously $\alpha\beta, \alpha\beta^{-1}$ are also roots, and by $(\alpha \pm \beta)^{p^n} = \alpha^{p^n} \pm \beta^{p^n} = \alpha \pm \beta$ because $\text{char } K = p$. $\alpha \pm \beta$ are also roots, hence the roots form a field. By definition, K is the smallest field containing \mathbb{F}_p and roots of $f(x)$, so K is exactly the set of roots of $f(x)$.

Also, $f'(x) = -1$ has no root, so $f(x)$ has no multiple roots which implies $|K| = p^n$.

Moreover, if K' is another finite field with $|K'| = p^n$, then for all $\alpha \in K'$, $\alpha^{p^n} = \alpha$, so α is a root of $f(x)$, which implies that K' is a splitting field for $f(x)$ over \mathbb{F}_p . By theorem 43, $K \cong K'$. \square

Theorem 46. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field. Then there exists a unique extension $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}/\mathbb{F}_q$ s.t. $[\mathbb{F}_{q^n} : \mathbb{F}_q] = n$, and $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}/\mathbb{F}_q) = \langle \sigma_q \rangle$ with $\sigma_q = \alpha \mapsto \alpha^q \mapsto \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$. σ_q is called the *Frobenius homomorphism*.

Proof. By theorem 45, $q = p^r$ for some prime p and $r \in \mathbb{N}$, so $q^n = p^{nr}$ which is a power of a prime. Again by theorem 45, \mathbb{F}_{q^n} is the splitting field for $x^{p^{nr}} - x$ over \mathbb{F}_p . Since $x^q - x \mid x^{q^n} - x$, $\mathbb{F}_q \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ and thus $[\mathbb{F}_{q^n} : \mathbb{F}_q] = n$.

Then we proof that σ_q is indeed in $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}/\mathbb{F}_q)$. We check that

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_q(\alpha + \beta) &= (\alpha + \beta)^q = \alpha^q + \beta^q = \sigma_q(\alpha) + \sigma_q(\beta) \\ \sigma_q(\alpha\beta) &= (\alpha\beta)^q = \alpha^q \beta^q = \sigma_q(\alpha) \sigma_q(\beta)\end{aligned}$$

Now σ_q is nontrivial since σ_q send 1 to 1, so σ_q is 1-1 and hence an isomorphism since \mathbb{F}_q is finite. Also, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$, $\sigma_q(\alpha) = \alpha^q = \alpha$, hence σ_q fixes \mathbb{F}_q .

Finally we prove that the order of σ_q is n . Assume not, so $\text{ord}(\sigma_q) = m < n$. Then $\sigma_q^m = \text{id} \implies x^{q^m} - x = 0$ for each $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$. But $x^{q^m} - x = 0$ has at most $q^m < q^n$ roots, which leads to a contradiction. \square

Remark 27. By theorem 10, the multiplication group of \mathbb{F}_q is cyclic, so $\mathbb{F}_q^\times = \langle \alpha \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q(\alpha) \setminus \{0\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^n} \setminus \{0\}$, hence $\mathbb{F}_{q^n} = \mathbb{F}_q(\alpha)$.

Lemma 5. Every irreducible polynomial $f(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}[x]$ is separable.

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume $f(x)$ is monic.

Since σ_p is an isomorphism, $\mathbb{F}_{p^n} = \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^p = \{\alpha^p \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}\}$. Now assume $f(x)$ has a multiple root α , then $m_{\alpha, \mathbb{F}_p} = f(x)$ since f is irreducible. By theorem 44 we also have $f(x) = m_{\alpha, \mathbb{F}_p} \mid f'(x)$, but $\deg f'(x) < \deg f(x)$ so we must have $f'(x) \equiv 0$.

Write $f(x) = a_n x^n + \dots + a_1 x + a_0$, then $f'(x) \equiv 0$ implies $ka_k = 0_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ for each k , which means that if $a_k \neq 0 \implies p \mid k$. So

$$f(x) = a_{mp} x^{mp} + a_{(m-1)p} x^{(m-1)p} + \dots + a_p x^p + a_0 = (a_{mp} x^m + \dots + a_p x + a_0)^p$$

\square

Theorem 47. $x^{p^n} - x$ equals the product of all monic irreducible polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ of degree d where d runs through all divisors of n .

Proof. By lemma, each irreducible polynomial is separable, and if $f(x), g(x) \in \text{RHS}$, and $f(\alpha) = g(\alpha) = 0$, then $f = m_{\alpha, \mathbb{F}_p} = g$. Thus RHS is separable. LHS is separable since $f' = 1$, so we could prove the equality by checking that they have same roots.

LHS \mid RHS: $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$, $[\mathbb{F}_p(\alpha) : \mathbb{F}_p] \mid [\mathbb{F}_{p^n} : \mathbb{F}_p] = n$, thus $\deg m_{\alpha, \mathbb{F}_p} \mid n$ and hence m_{α, \mathbb{F}_p} appears in RHS.

RHS \mid LHS: Assume $\deg m_{\alpha, \mathbb{F}_p} = d \mid n$, then $[\mathbb{F}_p(\alpha) : \mathbb{F}_p] = d$, so $\alpha^{p^d} = \alpha$, and hence $\alpha = \alpha^{p^d} = \alpha^{p^{2d}} = \dots = \alpha^{p^n}$. \square

Def 82. Möbius μ -function

5.3 Algebra closure

Def 83.

- L is called an **algebraic closure** of K if L/K is algebraic and each polynomial $f(x) \in K[x]$ splits over L .
- L is said to be **algebraically closed** if for each $f(x) \in L[x]$, $f(x)$ has a root in L .

Prop 5.3.1. Given L/K , if L is algebraically closed, then $L_a \triangleq \{\alpha \in L \mid \alpha \text{ is algebraic over } K\}$ is an algebraic closure of K .

Proof. By prop 5.1.4, L_a is a field, and by definition, L/K is algebraic.

Now we proof that for any $K \subseteq L$ and $f(x) \in K[x]$, $f(x)$ splits over K . Using induction, $\deg f = 1$ is trivial. If $\deg f > 1$, then since $f(x) \in K[x] \subseteq L[x]$, f has a root, say α . so we could write $f(x) = (x - \alpha)g(x)$. Then $g(x) \in K(\alpha)[x] \subseteq L[x]$. by induction, $g(x)$ splits and hence $f(x)$ splits.

So for any $f(x) \in K[x]$, f splits. Write $f(x) = (x - \alpha_1) \dots (x - \alpha_n)$, then each α_i is algebraic over K , $\alpha_i \in L_a$ and hence there product $f(x)$ splits in $L_a[x]$. \square

Coro 5.3.1. If K is algebraically closed, then K is an algebraic closure of K itself.

Prop 5.3.2. If L is an algebraic closure of K , then L is algebraically closed.

Proof. For $f(x) \in L[x]$, let α be a root of $f(x)$. Since $L(\alpha)/L$ and L/K is algebraic, by prop 5.1.6, $L(\alpha)/K$ is algebraic. So α must be in L , hence $f(x)$ has a root in L . \square

Prop 5.3.3. The following are equivalent.

1. K has no nontrivial algebraic extension.
2. For all irreducible polynomial in $K[x]$ has degree 1.
3. Every polynomial of positive degree in $K[x]$ has at least one root in K .
4. Every polynomial of positive degree in $K[x]$ splits over K .

In below we would use the Zorn's lemma heavily.

Lemma 6 (Zorn's lemma). Suppose a partially order set P has the property that every chain (i.e., a total order subset) has an upper bound in P , then the set P contains at least one maximal element.

Lemma 7. In a commutative ring R with 1, any proper ideal $I \subsetneq R$ is contained in a maximal ideal.

Proof. Consider $S = \{J \subsetneq R \mid I \subseteq J\} \neq \emptyset$ since $I \in S$. Define a partial order on S by $J_1 \preceq J_2 \iff J_1 \subseteq J_2$.

Given a chain $\{J_i \mid i \in \Lambda\}$, let $J = \bigcup_{i \in \Lambda} J_i$. J is an ideal, since if $x, y \in J$, then $x \in J_1, y \in J_2$. Let $\tilde{J} = \max(J_1, J_2)$, then $x, y \in \tilde{J}$ which implies $x + y \in \tilde{J}$, and it is easy to check that for any $x \in R, y \in J, xy \in J$.

Also, J is proper since $1 \notin J$, or else $1 \in J_i$ and thus $J_i = R$ which leads to a contradiction.

By Zorn's lemma, there exists a maximal element in S , and thus it is a maximal ideal which contains I . \square

Theorem 48. If K is a field, then there exists an algebraic closure L of K .

Proof. Let $S = \{x_f \mid f(x) \in K[x] \text{ with } \deg f \geq 1\}$ be the set of variables indexed by non-constant polynomial in $K[x]$. Consider the polynomial ring $K[S]$ and $I = \langle f(x_f) : f \in K[x] \text{ with } \deg f \geq 1 \rangle$, which is an ideal in $K[S]$.

We claim that $I \neq K[S]$. If not then $1 \in I \implies 1 = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i f_i(x_{f_i})$. Write $x_i \triangleq x_{f_i}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Also, by definition g_i only involves a finite number of variable in S , so we could set $g_i \in K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m]$ with $m \geq n$. That is, $1 = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) f_i(x_i)$. Let Σ be a splitting field for $f(x) = f_1(x) f_2(x) \cdots f_n(x)$ and define $\alpha_i \in \Sigma$ which satisfies $f_i(\alpha_i) = 0$ and $a_i = 0$ for $n+1 \leq i \leq m$. Then $1 = \sum_{i=1}^n g(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m) f_i(\alpha_i) = 0$ which leads to a contradiction.

By lemma 7, there exists a maximal ideal M s.t. $I \subseteq M$.

Consider $K \hookrightarrow F_1 \triangleq K[S]/M$, and then for all $f \in K[x]$, $f(\bar{x}_f) = \bar{0}$ in F_1 . By induction, $\exists F_1 \subseteq F_2 \subseteq F_3 \subseteq \dots$ which satisfies $f(x) \in F_n[x]$ has a root in F_{n+1} . Let $F = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i$ which is algebraically closed since if $f(x) \in F[x]$ then $f(x) \in F_m[x]$ for some m and thus $f(x)$ has a root in $F_{m+1} \subseteq F$.

Finally $L \triangleq \{\alpha \in F \mid \alpha \text{ is algebraic over } K\}$ is an algebraic closure of K . \square

Lemma 8. If L_1/K is algebraic and $\tau : K \rightarrow L_2$ is a non-zero homomorphism with L_2 being algebraically closed, then τ could be extend to $\sigma : L_1 \rightarrow L_2$.

Proof. Consider $S = \{(M, \theta) \mid K \subset M \subset L_1, \theta : M \rightarrow L_2 \text{ with } \theta|_K = \tau\}$, which is not an empty set since $(K, \tau) \in S$.

Define a partial order on S by $(M_1, \theta_1) \preceq (M_2, \theta_2) \iff M_1 \subseteq M_2 \wedge \theta_2|_{M_1} = \theta_1$. Given any chain $\{(M_i, \theta_i) : i \in \Lambda\}$, let $N = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} M_i$ and $\theta = \alpha \mapsto \theta_i(\alpha)$ if $\alpha \in M_i$. It could be check easily that this map is well defined, and (N, θ) is a least upper bound in S for this chain. By Zorn's lemma, there exists a max element (M, σ) in S .

Now, if $M \neq L_1$, then pick $\alpha \in L_1 \setminus M$. Since L_1/K is algebraic, the minimal polynomial $m_{\alpha, K}$ exists. Since L_2 algebraically closed, $\sigma(m_{\alpha, K})$ has a root in L_2 , and thus by lemma 4, σ could be extend to $\sigma' : M(\alpha) \rightarrow L_2$ which contradict the maximality of (M, σ) . Thus $M = L_1$. \square

Theorem 49. Any two algebraic closures L_1, L_2 of K are isomorphic.

Proof. Consider the inclusion map $\text{id}_K :: K \hookrightarrow L_1$. By Lemma 8, id_K could be extend to $\sigma :: L_2 \rightarrow L_1$ such that $\sigma|_K = \text{id}_K$. Since $\sigma \neq 0$, $\sigma(L_2) \cong L_2$. Also, L_2 is algebraically closed implies $\sigma(L_2)$ is algebraically closed. So for any $\alpha \in L_1$, α is algebraic over K and thus over $\sigma(L_2)$, which implies $\alpha \in \sigma(L_2)$, so σ is onto, hence σ is an isomorphism between L_1 and L_2 . \square

Eg 5.3.1. Let p be a prime.

- Any finite field L with $\text{char } L = p$, $L \cong \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}/\mathbb{F}_p) = \langle \sigma_p \rangle$ with $p = \alpha \mapsto \alpha^p :: \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$.
- A subfield L of \mathbb{F}_{p^n} is isomorphic to \mathbb{F}_{p^m} with $m \mid n$ since $[\mathbb{F}_{p^n} : \mathbb{F}_{p^m}] = d \rightsquigarrow p^{md} = p^n$.
- $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ is a field, and it is the algebraic closure of \mathbb{F}_p .

5.4 Separable extension

Def 84.

- α is separable over K if $m_{\alpha, K}$ is separable over K .

- L/K is called a separable extension if $\forall \alpha \in L$, α is separable over K .

Eg 5.4.1. Let $\text{char } K = p$ and $K^p \subsetneq K$. Pick $b \in K \setminus K^p$ and consider L to be the splitting field of $x^p - b$ over K , say $\alpha \in L$ with $\alpha^p = b$. Notice that $x^p - b = x^p - a^p = (x - a)^p$, and $x^p - b$ is irreducible in K , or else if $x^p - b = g(x)h(x)$ in $K[x]$, then write $g(x) = (x - \alpha)^k$, $h(x) = (x - \alpha)^{n-k}$, but then expand $g(x)$ and we would get $\alpha^k \in K$, since $\alpha^p \in K$ and $\gcd(k, p) = 1$ implies $\alpha \in K$ which leads to a contradiction.

By above we know that $x^p - b$ is inseparable.

Def 85. K is said to be *perfect* if either $\text{char } K = 0$ or “ $\text{char } K = p$ and $K = K^p$ ”.

Eg 5.4.2. If $\text{char } K = p$ and K/\mathbb{F}_p is algebraic, then K is perfect.

Proof. Consider $\sigma_p : K \rightarrow K$
 $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^p$, which is a monomorphism which fixes \mathbb{F}_p . Since K/\mathbb{F}_p is algebraic, by the exercise problem, σ_p is an automorphism, so $K = K^p$. \square

Fact 5.4.1. K is perfect if and only if for any irreducible polynomial $f(x) \in K[x]$, f is separable. Also, we can find that any irreducible polynomial $f(x) \in K[x]$ is not separable over K if and only if $\text{char } K = p > 0$ and $f(x) = g(x^p)$ for some $g(x) \in K[x]$, where $g(x)$ is irreducible and not all coefficient of g is in K^p .

Finally, if $\text{char } K = 0$, then K is separable.

Prop 5.4.1. Give $K(\alpha)/K$ with degree $m_{\alpha, K} = d$ and $\tau :: K \rightarrow L \neq 0$. If α is separable over K and $\bar{\tau}(m_{\alpha, K})$ splits over L , then there are exactly d monomorphisms $\sigma :: K(\alpha) \rightarrow L$ with $\sigma|_K = \tau$. Otherwise, if α is not separable or $\bar{\tau}(m_{\alpha, K})$ doesn't split over L , then there are $r < d$ such monomorphisms.

Proof. Observe that $m_{\alpha, K}$ is separable over K if and only if $\bar{\tau}(m_{\alpha, K})$ is separable over $\tau(K)$. Extend K to Σ , $\tau(K)$ to Σ' , where Σ, Σ' are the splitting field of $m_{\alpha, K}$ and $\bar{\tau}(m_{\alpha, K})$, respectively. Since $K \cong \tau(K)$, by theorem 43, $\Sigma \cong \Sigma'$. Let τ' be the isomorphism which is an extension of τ .

If $m_{\alpha, K} = (x - \alpha_1)(x - \alpha_2) \cdots (x - \alpha_d)$, then $\bar{\tau}(m_{\alpha, K}) = (x - \tau'(\alpha_1))(x - \tau'(\alpha_2)) \cdots (x - \tau'(\alpha_n))$. where $\tau' :: \Sigma \xrightarrow{\sim} \Sigma'$ and $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_j \iff \tau'(\alpha_i) \neq \tau'(\alpha_j)$. Thus if α is separable, $\bar{\tau}(m_{\alpha, K})$ has d distinct roots in L . By corollary 5.1.2, there are exactly d monomorphisms σ with $\sigma|_K = \tau$.

Otherwise, there are r roots in L where $r < d$, and thus there are $r < d$ such monomorphisms. \square

Prop 5.4.2. Let $[K' : K] = d$ and $\tau :: K \rightarrow L \neq 0$. Then K'/K is separable and $\forall \alpha \in K'$, $\bar{\tau}(m_{\alpha, K})$ splits over L , if and only if there are exactly d monomorphisms $\sigma :: K' \rightarrow L$ with $\sigma|_K = \tau$. Otherwise $\exists r < d$ of such monomorphisms.

Proof. By induction on d , if $d = 1$ we could simply let $\sigma = \tau$.

Now for $d > 1$, let $\alpha \in K' \setminus K$. By prop 1, \exists exactly $[K(\alpha) : K]$ monomorphisms $\tau_1 : K(\alpha) \rightarrow L$.

Now, for any $\beta \in K'/K(\alpha)$, $m_{\beta, K(\alpha)} \mid m_{\beta, K}$ and thus $m_{\beta, K(\alpha)}$ is separable and $\bar{\tau}(m_{\beta, K(\alpha)})$ splits in L since $\bar{\tau}(m_{\beta, K})$ splits. These implies that $K'/K(\alpha)$ is separable and $\forall \beta \in K(\alpha)$, $m_{\beta, K(\alpha)}$ splits in L . Thus, $K(\alpha)$ satisfies the hypothesis, and by induction, there are exactly $[K' : K(\alpha)]$ monomorphisms $\sigma :: K' \rightarrow L$ such that $\sigma|_{K(\alpha)} = \tau_1$, thus there are $[K' : K(\alpha)][K(\alpha) : K] = [K' : K]$ such monomorphisms.

Otherwise, we could choose $\alpha \in K'$ such that $\bar{\tau}(m_{\alpha,K})$ has fewer than $[K(\alpha) : K]$ roots in L , then there are $r' < [K(\alpha) : K]$ monomorphism $\tau_1 :: K(\alpha) \rightarrow L$. By induction, each τ_1 has r'' extensions $\sigma :: K' \rightarrow L$ and $r'' \leq [K' : K(\alpha)]$. Hence the number of monomorphism equals $r'r'' < [K' : K]$. \square

Lemma 9. If $K(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)/K$ is algebraic and L is a splitting field of $f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n m_{\alpha_i, K}$ over K , then for all $\beta \in K(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$, $m_{\beta, K}$ also splits over L .

Proof. Let $L = K(R)$ with R being the set of all roots of $f(x)$. Pick any root γ of $m_{\beta, K}$. Observe the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(R) & \xrightarrow[\substack{\sim \\ (2) \sigma}]{} & K(R, \gamma) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ K(\beta) & \xrightarrow[\substack{\sim \\ (1) \tau}]{} & K(\gamma) \\ & \nwarrow \quad \nearrow & \\ & K & \end{array}$$

Where (1) holds because these field are both isomorphic to $K[x]/\langle m_{\beta, K} \rangle$.

(2) holds because τ obviously fixes K , and hence $K(R)$ is a splitting field of f and $K(R, \gamma)$ is a splitting field of $\bar{\tau}(f)$. By theorem 43, $K(R)$ and $K(R, \gamma)$ is then isomorphic.

Thus we have $[K(R) : K] = [K(R) : K(\beta)][K(\beta) : K] = [K(R, \gamma) : K(\gamma)][K(\gamma) : K] = [K(R, \gamma) : K]$, and $[K(R) : K] = [K(R, \gamma) : K] = [K(\gamma, R) : K(R)][K(R) : K]$ which implies $[K(\gamma, R) : K(R)] = 1$, hence $\gamma \in R$. \square

Theorem 50. Given $K(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)/K$, if α_i is separable over $K_{i-1} \triangleq K(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{i-1})$, then $K(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)/K$ is separable.

Proof. Let L be a splitting field of $f(x) = \prod m_{\alpha_i, K}$.

We claim that there are $[K_j : K]$ monomorphisms $\tau_j :: K_j \rightarrow L$ with $\tau_j|_K = \text{id}_K$. Use induction on j , if $j = 0$, then there are only 1 such monomorphism, namely itself id_K .

For $j > 0$, observe that $m_{\alpha_j, K_{i-1}} \mid m_{\alpha_j, K}$, and since $\bar{\tau}_{j-1}(m_{\alpha_j, K}) = m_{\alpha_j, K}$ splits over L , $m_{\alpha_j, K_{i-1}}$ also splits over L . By hypothesis, α_j is separable over K_{j-1} , so by prop 5.4.1, there are $[K_j : K_{j-1}]$ such monomorphisms $\tau_j :: K_j \rightarrow L$ with $\tau_j|_{K_{j-1}} = \tau_{j-1}$. By induction, there are $[K_{j-1} : K]$ monomorphisms $\tau_{j-1} :: K_{j-1} \rightarrow L$ with $\tau_{j-1}|_K = \text{id}_K$. Compose these monomorphisms, we know that there exists exactly $[K_j : K_{j-1}][K_{j-1} : K] = [K_j : K]$ monomorphisms $\tau_j :: K_j \rightarrow L$ such that $\tau_j|_K = \text{id}_K$.

So there are exactly $[K_n : K]$ monomorphisms $\tau :: K(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \rightarrow L$ with $\tau|_K = \text{id}_K$. By prop 5.4.2, $K(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ is separable. \square

Theorem 51. L/K is separable if and only if L/M , M/K are separable.

Proof. “ \Rightarrow ”: If L/K is separable, then M/K is obviously separable. For any $\beta \in L$, $m_{\beta, M} \mid m_{\beta, K}$ so $m_{\beta, M}$ is separable which implies L/M is separable.

“ \Leftarrow ”: For any $\alpha \in L$, write $m_{\alpha, M} = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1x + a_0$, then $m_{\alpha, M}$ is separable implies that α is separable over $K(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})$. By theorem 50, $K(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, \alpha)/K$ is separable, hence each α is separable over K , thus L/K is separable. \square

5.5 Normal extension

Def 86. L/K is called a **normal extension** if $\forall \alpha \in L$, $m_{\alpha,K}$ splits over L .

Theorem 52. L is a splitting field of some polynomial $f(x)$ over K if and only if L/K is finite and normal.

Proof. “ \Rightarrow ”: Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ be the roots of f , so $L = K(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$, and L is also a splitting field of $\prod m_{\alpha_i,K}$ since $m_{\alpha_i,K} \mid f$. By lemma 9, for any β in L , $m_{\beta,K}$ splits, thus L/K normal and obviously also finite.

“ \Leftarrow ”: Since L/K is a finite extension, we could write $L = K(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$. Let $f = \prod m_{\alpha_i,K}$, then since L/K normal, each $m_{\alpha_i,K}$ splits. It is also easy to see that L is the smallest field where f splits, thus L is a splitting field of f . \square

Remark 28. If L/K is normal, then for any M with $K \subset M \subset L$, we have L/M is normal, this is because $\forall \alpha$, $m_{\alpha,M} \mid m_{\alpha,K}$, and thus $m_{\alpha,M}$ splits since $m_{\alpha,K}$ splits.

But M/K need not to be normal. For example, Let $K = \mathbb{Q}$, L be the splitting field of $x^3 - 2$, by theorem 52 L/K is normal. Then $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}, \omega)$ where $\omega \triangleq e^{2\pi i/3}$. Let $M = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$ then $m_{\sqrt[3]{2},K}$ doesn't split in M , so M/K is not normal.

Prop 5.5.1. Let L/K be a finite, normal extension and $L \supset M \supset K$, then the following are equivalent.

- (a) M/K is normal.
- (b) $\forall \sigma \in \text{Aut}(L/K)$, $\sigma(M) \subset M$.
- (c) $\forall \sigma \in \text{Aut}(L/K)$, $\sigma(M) = M$.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b): $\forall \alpha \in M$, $m_{\alpha,K}(\sigma(\alpha)) = \sigma(m_{\alpha,K}(\alpha)) = 0$. So $\sigma(\alpha)$ is a root of $m_{\alpha,K}$. Since M/K normal, $m_{\alpha,K}$ splits in M and thus every root of $m_{\alpha,K}$ is in M , hence $\forall m$, $\sigma(m) \in M \Rightarrow \sigma(M) \subset M$.

(b) \Rightarrow (c): Since L/K algebraic and σ 1-1, by a homework problem, σ onto.

(c) \Rightarrow (a): For any $\alpha \in M$, let $\beta \in L$ be a root of $m_{\alpha,K}$. By theorem 52, we could assume L is a splitting field of f over K . Consider the following diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 L & \xrightarrow[\sigma]{\sim} & L \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 K(\alpha) & \xrightarrow[\tau]{\sim} & K(\beta) \\
 & \nwarrow \quad \nearrow & \\
 & K &
 \end{array}$$

Where isomorphism τ with $\tau(\alpha) = \beta$ exists since α, β share the same minimal polynomial, and σ with $\sigma|_K = \tau$ exists by theorem 43. Since $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(L/K)$, $\beta = \sigma(\alpha) \in M$, thus M/K normal. \square

Def 87. Let L/K is called a *Galois extension* if L/K is finite, normal and separable. That is, L is a splitting field of some separable polynomial over K .

Theorem 53. If L/K is Galois, then $|\text{Aut}(L/K)| = [L : K]$. Otherwise, $|\text{Aut}(L/K)| < [L : K]$.

Proof. Since L/K is normal, for any α , $m_{\alpha,K}$ splits over L . Since L/K is separable, $m_{\alpha,K}$ has no multiple roots. So there are exactly $[L : K]$ extensions $\sigma :: L \rightarrow L$ of id_K . \square

Def 88. Given a field L , define the **fixed field** of G by $L^G \triangleq \{\alpha \in L \mid \sigma(\alpha) = \alpha, \forall \sigma \in G\}$.

Theorem 54. If G is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(L)$ with $|G| < \infty$, then $|G| = [L : L^G]$, $G = \text{Aut}(L/L^G)$ and L/L^G is Galois.

Proof. First we prove that $[L : L^G] \leq |G|$. Assume not, then $|G| < [L : L^G]$. Let $G = \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n\}$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n+1} \in L$. Which α_i is linear independent over L^G .

Consider the equations

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_1(\alpha_1)x_1 + \dots + \sigma_1(\alpha_{n+1})x_{n+1} &= 0 \\ \sigma_2(\alpha_1)x_1 + \dots + \sigma_2(\alpha_{n+1})x_{n+1} &= 0 \\ \vdots &\vdots \\ \sigma_n(\alpha_1)x_1 + \dots + \sigma_n(\alpha_{n+1})x_{n+1} &= 0 \end{cases}$$

Since the number of variables is more than the number of equations, there is a non-trivial solution. Choose one solution (a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) having the least amount of nonzero element. By reordering, we could assume the solution is $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ and it is no harm to assume $\sigma_1 = 1_G$. If $m = 1$, then and $\sigma_1(\alpha_1)a_1 = \alpha_1 a_1 = 0 \implies a_1 = 0$, which is a contradiction.

So assume that $m > 1$, we have

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_1(\alpha_1)a_1 + \dots + \sigma_1(\alpha_m)a_m &= 0 \\ \sigma_2(\alpha_1)a_1 + \dots + \sigma_2(\alpha_m)a_m &= 0 \\ \vdots &\vdots \\ \sigma_n(\alpha_1)a_1 + \dots + \sigma_n(\alpha_m)a_m &= 0 \end{cases}$$

By multipling a_m^{-1} , we could assume $a_m = 1$. The equation about σ_1 gives $\alpha_1 a_1 + \dots + \alpha_m a_m = 0$, since α_i is linear independent, one of the a_i s, say a_k is not in L^G , and thus there exists t so that $\sigma_t(a_k) \neq a_k$. Apply σ_t to each equation, we have

$$\sigma_t \sigma_i(\alpha_1) \sigma_t(a_1) + \dots + \sigma_t \sigma_i(\alpha_m) \sigma_t(a_m) = 0, \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$$

But since $\{\sigma_t \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_t \sigma_n\} = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n\}$, $(\sigma_t(a_1), \sigma_t(a_2), \dots, \sigma_t(a_m), 0, \dots, 0)$ is a solution and thus $(a_1 - \sigma_t(a_1), \dots, a_m - \sigma_t(a_m), 0, \dots)$ is also a solution to the equations. Since $\sigma_t(a_k) \neq a_k$, the solution is not trivial, and because $a_m = 1$, $a_m - \sigma_t(a_m) = 0$. Hence this solution has $m - 1$ nonzero element, which contradict the minimality of the original solution. Thus $[L : L^G] \leq |\text{Aut}(L/L^G)|$.

Finally, $|\text{Aut}(L/L^G)| \leq [L : L^G]$ by theorem 50, thus $|G| \leq |\text{Aut}(L/L^G)| \leq [L : L^G] \leq |G|$, hence they are all equal. \square

Def 89. Let $f(x) \in K[x]$ and L be a splitting field of $f(x)$ over K . We call $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ the **Galois group** of $f(x)$.

Prop 5.5.2. Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ be irreducible polynomial of degree p where p is a prime. If $f(x)$ has exactly $p - 2$ roots and 2 complex roots, then the Galois group of $f(x)$ is S_p .

Proof. Let L be a splitting field of f over \mathbb{Q} and $R = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p\}$ be the set of all roots of $f(x)$. Since $f(x)$ is irreducible, $f(x)/a_p = m_{\alpha_i, \mathbb{Q}}, \forall i$. By lemma 4, for any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$, σ sends α_i to another root α_j . Also, $\{\alpha_i\}$ generates L so $G \triangleq \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) \leq S_p$.

Now, we define an equivalence relation on R such that $\alpha_i \sim \alpha_j \iff (\alpha_i \alpha_j) \in G$, that is, $\exists \sigma \in G$ such that $\sigma(\alpha_i) = \alpha_j, \sigma(\alpha_j) = \alpha_i$ and $\sigma(\alpha_t) = \alpha_t, \forall t \neq i, j$.

We claim that each equivalence class has the same size. Let $[\alpha_i], [\alpha_j]$ be two equivalence classes. Since α_i, α_j share the same minimal polynomial, by lemma 4, $\exists \sigma, \sigma(\alpha_i) = \alpha_j$, and σ sends $[\alpha_i]$

to $[\alpha_j]$, since if $\alpha_k \in [\alpha_i]$, $(\alpha_i \alpha_k) \in G$ and thus $\sigma(\alpha_i \alpha_k)\sigma^{-1} = (\alpha_j \sigma(\alpha_k)) \in G$. Since σ 1-1, $|[\alpha_i]| \leq |[\alpha_j]|$, and by symmetry we have $|[\alpha_i]| = |[\alpha_j]|$.

But then if $[\alpha_i] = n$, $p = |R| = \sum |[\alpha_j]| = kn$, so either there are p equivalence classes each with size 1, which is impossible since the two complex root are equivalent by conjugation, or there are only one equivalence classes, which means that any 2 cycle are in G , and thus $G = S_p$. \square

5.6 Fundamental theorem of Galois theory

Theorem 55 (Main theorem). Let L/K be a Galois extension, where L be a splitting field of a separable polynomial f , and let $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$. Then:

- (1) There is a 1-1 correspond from the set of intermediate field to the set of subgroup given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{M : K \subseteq M \subseteq L\} & \longleftrightarrow & \{H : H \leq G\} \\ M & \longmapsto & \text{Gal}(L/M) \\ L^H & \longleftarrow & H \end{array}$$

Proof. We check these two mapping are the inverse of each other.

By theorem 54, $\text{Gal}(L/L^H) = H$.

Now we have $M \subseteq L^{\text{Gal}(L/M)}$. Since L/M is galois, $[L : M] = |\text{Gal}(L/M)|$. By theorem 54 again, $|\text{Gal}(L/M)| = [L : L^{\text{Gal}(L/M)}]$, thus $[L : M] = [L : L^{\text{Gal}(L/M)}] \implies M = L^{\text{Gal}(L/M)}$. \square

- (2) If $M_1 = L^{H_1}, M_2 = L^{H_2}$, then $M_1 \subseteq M_2 \iff H_2 \leq H_1$.

Proof. Obvious. \square

- (3) If $M = L^H$, then M/K is normal if and only if $H \triangleleft G$.

Proof. If $\sigma \in G$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \tau \in \text{Gal}(L/\sigma(M)) &\iff \tau(\sigma(x)) = \sigma(x), \forall x \in M \\ &\iff \sigma^{-1}\tau\sigma(x) = x, \forall x \in M \\ &\iff \sigma^{-1}\tau\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/M) \\ &\iff \tau \in \sigma \text{Gal}(L/M)\sigma^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

So by prop 5.5.1, M/K is normal if and only if for all $\sigma \in G$, $\sigma(M) = M$ and thus if and only if $\text{Gal}(L/M) = \text{Gal}(L/\sigma(M))$. But $\text{Gal}(L/\sigma(M)) = \sigma \text{Gal}(L/M)\sigma^{-1} = \sigma H \sigma^{-1}$ by the discussion above, hence it is equivalent to $H = \sigma H \sigma^{-1}$ which is same as $H \triangleleft G$. \square

- (4) If $H \triangleleft G$, then $G/H \cong \text{Gal}(M/K)$.

Proof. Since $H \triangleleft G$, by (3) we know that M/K is Galois. Define $\varphi = \sigma \mapsto \sigma|_M :: \text{Gal}(L/K) \mapsto \text{Gal}(M/K)$. The mapping is well defined since by prop 5.5.1, $\sigma(M) = M$. Also, this map is onto since by corollary 43, each $\tau \in \text{Gal}(M/K)$ could be extend to $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$ because $\bar{\tau}(f) = f$. Finally notice that $\ker \varphi = H$, thus by the first isomorphism theorem, $G/H \cong \text{Gal}(M/K)$. \square

- (5) If $M_1 = L^{H_1}, M_2 = L^{H_2}$, then $M_1 \cup M_2 = L^{\langle H_1, H_2 \rangle}$ and $M_1 M_2 = L^{H_1 \cup H_2}$.

Theorem 56. Let L/K be Galois, and N/K be any extension, then LN/N is Galois and $\text{Gal}(LN/N) \cong \text{Gal}(L/L \cap N)$ by the isomorphism $\varphi = \sigma \mapsto \sigma|_L$.

Proof. Let L be a splitting field of the separable polynomial $f(x)$ over K , say $L = K(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$. Then $LN = N(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, which can be regarded as a splitting field of $f(x)$ over N . Thus by theorem 52, LN/N is Galois.

Now we check that φ is well defined, notice that $f(\sigma(\alpha_i)) = \sigma(f(\alpha_i)) = 0$ since σ fixes K , and thus f sends α_i to some α_j . Also, $\{\alpha_i\}$ generate L over K , thus $\sigma|_L(L) = L$.

If $\sigma|_L = \text{id}_L$, then $\sigma(\alpha_i) = \alpha_i, \forall i$. Since $\{\alpha_i\}$ generate LN over N , $\sigma = \text{id}_{LN}$. Thus φ is 1-1.

Finally, let $H = \text{Im } \varphi$, we claim that $L^H = L \cap N$, since

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \in L^H &\iff \alpha \in L \text{ and } \forall \sigma \in \text{Gal}(LN/N), \sigma|_L(\alpha) = \alpha \\ &\iff \alpha \in L \text{ and } \forall \sigma \in \text{Gal}(LN/N), \sigma(\alpha) = \alpha \\ &\iff \alpha \in L \text{ and } \alpha \in (LN)^{\text{Gal}(LN/N)} \\ &\iff \alpha \in L \text{ and } \alpha \in N \iff \alpha \in L \cap N \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 29. If L/K is Galois and N/K is finite, then $[NL : K] = [N : K][L : K]/[N \cap L : K]$.

Proof.

$$[NL : K]/[N : K] = [NL : L] = \text{Gal}(NL/L) = \text{Gal}(L/N \cap L) = [L : N \cup L] = [L : K]/[N \cap L : K]$$

and the proof is completed. □

5.7 Abelian extension

Def 90. L/K is called an abelian extension if L/K is Galois and $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ is abelian.

Eg 5.7.1. For an extension $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}/\mathbb{F}_q$ of a finite field, \mathbb{F}_{q^n} is a splitting field of $x^{q^n} - x$ over \mathbb{F}_p , so $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}/\mathbb{F}_q$ is Galois by theorem 52. By theorem 46, we know that $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}/\mathbb{F}_q) = \langle \sigma_q \rangle$ is a cyclic group.

Def 91.

- The cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ is the splitting field of $x^n - 1$ over \mathbb{Q} .
- ζ is called an n th root of unity if $\zeta^n = 1$. $\mathcal{U} = \langle \zeta \rangle$ is the multiplicative group of n th roots of unity.
- ζ_n is called a primitive n th root of unity if $\zeta^n = 1$ but $\zeta^m \neq 1, \forall 0 < m < n$.
- The n th cyclotomic polynomial is defined as

$$\Phi_n \triangleq \prod (x - \zeta) = \prod_{\gcd(k,n)=1} (x - \zeta_n^k) \implies \deg \Phi_n = \varphi(n)$$

Prop 5.7.1.

- $x^n - 1 = \prod_{d|n} \Phi_d$.

Proof. First, Both sides have no multiple root. Then since $\alpha^n = 1 \iff \text{ord}_\times(\alpha) \mid n$, we know that two sides has equal roots. \square

- $\Phi_n \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$.

Proof. By induction on n . $n = 1$ is trivial. Assume that the statement is true for all $k < n$, then since

$$x^n - 1 = \Phi_n \prod_{d|n, d < n} \Phi_d \triangleq \Phi_n \Phi_{<n}$$

But notice that $\Phi_{<n}$ is monic, so by the long division algorithm, it is easy to see that $\Phi_n = (x^n - 1)/\Phi_{<n}$ has all coefficient in \mathbb{Z} . \square

- Φ_n is irreducible.

Proof. Suppose $\Phi_n = f(x)g(x)$ with f irreducible, and both f, g are monic. By Gauss lemma, we could assume $f(x), g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Let ζ_n be a primitive n th root of unity which satisfied $f(\zeta_n) = 0$ and p be a prime with $p \nmid n$.

Assume that $g(\zeta_n^p) = 0$, $m_{\zeta_n, \mathbb{Q}} = f \implies f \mid g(x^p)$, say $g(x^p) = f(x)h(x)$. By the long division algorithm, we know that $h(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, since $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and monic.

In $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[x]$, we have $\bar{g}(x)^p = \bar{g}(x^p) = \bar{f}(x)\bar{h}(x)$, which implies \bar{g}, \bar{f} has common root, thus $\bar{\Phi}_n = \bar{f}\bar{g}$ and hence $x^n - \bar{1}$ has a multiple root. But $(x^n - \bar{1})' = nx^{n-1} \neq 0$, and 0 is not a root of $x^n - \bar{1}$, which leads to a contradiction.

So we conclude that $f(\eta_n^p) = 0$ for any $p \mid n$, which could be extend and show that $f(\eta_n^k) = 0$ for any $\gcd(k, n) = 1$, thus $f = \Phi_n$. \square

- $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)/\mathbb{Q}$ is Galois with $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) : \mathbb{Q}] = \deg \Phi_n = \varphi(n)$.
- $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{F}_n^\times$.

Eg 5.7.2. Let $n = p$ be a prime.

- $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{F}_p^\times = \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}$.
- For $H \leq \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q})$, find $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)^H$. $\alpha = \sum_{\tau \in H} \tau(\zeta_p) \implies \alpha \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)^H$

Eg 5.7.3. Suppose $n = p_1^{n_1} p_2^{n_2} \cdots p_k^{n_k}$ with p_1, \dots, p_k be distinct primes. Define $L_i \triangleq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p_i^{n_i}})$.

Lemma 10. If $L_1/K, L_2/K$ are Galois, then $L_1 \cap L_2/K, L_1 L_2/K$ are Galois and

$$\text{Gal}(L_1 L_2/K) \cong \{(\sigma, \tau) \mid \sigma|_{L_1 \cap L_2} = \tau|_{L_1 \cap L_2}\} \leq \text{Gal}(L_1/K) \times \text{Gal}(L_2/K)$$

In particular, if $L_1 \cap L_2 = K$, then $\text{Gal}(L_1 L_2/K) \cong \text{Gal}(L_1/K) \times \text{Gal}(L_2/K)$.

Proof. We know that $L_1 \cap L_2/K$ is finite, separable. □

Back to our problem, $[L_1 L_2 \cdots L_k : \mathbb{Q}] = [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) : \mathbb{Q}] = \varphi(n) = \varphi(p_1^{n_1}) \cdots \varphi(p_k^{n_k}) = [L_1 : \mathbb{Q}][L_2 : \mathbb{Q}] \cdots [L_k : \mathbb{Q}]$.

Theorem 57. Let G be a finite abelian group. Then exists a subfield L of a cyclotomic field satisfied $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) \cong G$.

Index

	A				
algebraic closure		71	Galois group		76
algebraically closed		71		I	
	E		Ideal		
Extension			maximal ideal		66
Galois extension		75	prime ideal		66
normal extension		75		P	
	F		perfect		73
fixed field		76		S	
Frobenius homomorphism		69	seperable		69
	G		splitting field		67