

Algebra

May 27, 2017

0.1 Artinian rings and DVR (week 13)

0.1.1 Artinian rings

Def 1. R is called an Artinian ring if one of the followings holds:

- any non-empty set of ideals has a minimal element.
- any descending chain of ideals is stationary (DCC).

Goal:

1. $R \cong R_1 \times \cdots \times R_l$ where R_i is an Artinian local rings.
2. Artinian \iff Noetherian + $\dim = 0$.

Prop 0.1.1.

$$\bullet \sqrt{\mathfrak{m}_i^{n_i} + \mathfrak{m}_j^{n_j}} = \sqrt{\sqrt{\mathfrak{m}_i^{n_i}} + \sqrt{\mathfrak{m}_j^{n_j}}}$$

Proof.

" \subseteq " $\forall a \in LHS$, that is, $a^n = b + c$ with $b \in \mathfrak{m}_i^{n_i} \subseteq \sqrt{\mathfrak{m}_i^{n_i}}$ and $c \in \mathfrak{m}_j^{n_j} \subseteq \sqrt{\mathfrak{m}_j^{n_j}}$ then $a \in RHS$.

" \supseteq " $\forall a \in RHS$, that is, $a^n = b + c$ with $b^k \in \mathfrak{m}_i^{n_i}$ and $c^t \in \mathfrak{m}_j^{n_j}$. Then $(a^n)^{k+t} = (b+c)^{k+t} = b^{k+t} + \cdots + C_t^k b^k c^t + \cdots + c^{k+t}$. Every term either in $\mathfrak{m}_i^{n_i}$ or $\mathfrak{m}_j^{n_j}$, then $(a^n)^{k+t} = c + d$ with $c \in \mathfrak{m}_i^{n_i}$ $d \in \mathfrak{m}_j^{n_j} \Rightarrow a \in LHS$ \square

- If m is prime, $\sqrt{m^n} = m$

Proof.

" \subseteq " $a \in LHS \Rightarrow a^k \in m^n$ and m is prime. $\Rightarrow a \in m$.

" \supseteq " $a \in RHS \Rightarrow a^n \in LHS$. \square

- If $m, m_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ are prime and $m \supseteq m_1 \cap \cdots \cap m_n$, then $m \supseteq m_i$ for some i .

Proof.

Suppose not, then we pick $a_i \in m_i \setminus m$. $b = a_1 \cdots a_n \in m_i \forall i$. $\rightsquigarrow b \in m_1 \cap \cdots \cap m_n \subseteq m$. But, m is prime, exist $a_i \in m$, a contradiction. \square

Prop 0.1.2. Let R be an Artinian ring

- (1) $I \subseteq R \rightsquigarrow R/I$ is also Artinian.
- (2) If R is an integral domain, then R is a field.

Proof. $\forall a \in R, \langle a \rangle \supseteq \langle a^2 \rangle \supseteq \cdots$ is a descending chain of ideals $\implies \langle a^l \rangle = \langle a^{l+1} \rangle = \cdots$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$ $\implies a^l = ba^{l+1} \implies a^l(1 - ab) = 0 \implies ab = 1$ since $a^l \neq 0$. \square

- (3) $\text{Spec } R = \text{Max } R$. ($\implies \dim R = 0$)

Proof. $\forall p \in \text{Spec } R, R/p$ is an integral domain $\rightsquigarrow R/p$ is a field $\rightsquigarrow p \in \text{Max } R$. \square

- (4) $|\text{Max } R| < \infty$.

Proof. Consider the set $\left\{ \bigcap_{\text{finite}} \mathfrak{m} \mid \mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max } R \right\} \neq \emptyset$. So there exists a minimal element in this set (R is Artinian), say $\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{m}_k$. Now, for $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max } R$, we have $\mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{m}_k = \mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{m}_k$ since the latter is minimal $\implies \mathfrak{m} \supseteq \mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{m}_k \rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{m} \supseteq \mathfrak{m}_i$ for some i , by Prop 0.1.1. $\rightsquigarrow m = m_i$, since m_i is max. So $\text{Max } R = \{\mathfrak{m}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_k\}$. \square

$$(5) \exists n_1, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t. } \langle 0 \rangle = \mathfrak{m}_1^{n_1} \mathfrak{m}_2^{n_2} \cdots \mathfrak{m}_k^{n_k} = \mathfrak{m}_1^{n_1} \cap \mathfrak{m}_2^{n_2} \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{m}_k^{n_k}.$$

Proof.

$$\bullet \mathfrak{m}_1^{n_1} \mathfrak{m}_2^{n_2} \cdots \mathfrak{m}_k^{n_k} = \mathfrak{m}_1^{n_1} \cap \mathfrak{m}_2^{n_2} \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{m}_k^{n_k}.$$

Recall I_i, I_j are coprime for $i \neq j \rightsquigarrow \prod_{i=1}^n I_i = \bigcap_{i=1}^n I_i$. And, by Prop 0.1.1

$$\sqrt{\mathfrak{m}_i^{n_i} + \mathfrak{m}_j^{n_j}} = \sqrt{\sqrt{\mathfrak{m}_i^{n_i}} + \sqrt{\mathfrak{m}_j^{n_j}}} = \sqrt{\mathfrak{m}_i + \mathfrak{m}_j} = \sqrt{R} = R \rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{m}_i^{n_i} + \mathfrak{m}_j^{n_j} = R.$$

$$\bullet \langle 0 \rangle = \mathfrak{m}_1^{n_1} \mathfrak{m}_2^{n_2} \cdots \mathfrak{m}_k^{n_k} \text{ for suitable } \{n_i\} \text{ that } \mathfrak{m}_i^{n_i} = \mathfrak{m}_i^{n_i+1}$$

Let $S = J \subseteq R \mid J \mathfrak{m}_1^{n_1} \mathfrak{m}_2^{n_2} \cdots \mathfrak{m}_k^{n_k} \neq 0$. If $\langle 0 \rangle \neq \mathfrak{m}_1^{n_1} \mathfrak{m}_2^{n_2} \cdots \mathfrak{m}_k^{n_k}$, then $\mathfrak{m}_i \in S$. $\rightsquigarrow S \neq \emptyset$. Since R is artinian, exist minimal element $J_0 \in S$. By definition of S , $\exists x \in J_0$, $x \mathfrak{m}_1^{n_1} \mathfrak{m}_2^{n_2} \cdots \mathfrak{m}_k^{n_k} \neq 0 \rightsquigarrow \langle x \rangle \in S$ and $\langle x \rangle \subseteq J_0 \Rightarrow \langle x \rangle = J_0$.

Also, $x \mathfrak{m}_1^{n_1+1} \mathfrak{m}_2^{n_2+1} \cdots \mathfrak{m}_k^{n_k+1} = x \mathfrak{m}_1^{n_1} \mathfrak{m}_2^{n_2} \cdots \mathfrak{m}_k^{n_k} \neq 0 \rightsquigarrow I = x \mathfrak{m}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{m}_k \in S$ and $I \subseteq J_0 = xR \rightsquigarrow I = xR$.

$$(\mathfrak{m}_1 \mathfrak{m}_2 \cdots \mathfrak{m}_k) xR = xR \rightsquigarrow (\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \mathfrak{m}_2 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{m}_k) xR = xR \rightsquigarrow (\text{Jac } R) xR = xR$$

By Nakayama's lemma, $xR = 0 \implies x = 0$, which is a contradiction. \square

(6) The nilradical \mathfrak{n}_R of R is nilpotent.

Proof. By (3), $\mathfrak{n}_R = \text{Jac } R$. Let $n = \max\{n_1, \dots, n_k\}$ in (5), then $\mathfrak{n}_R^n = (\mathfrak{m}_1 \mathfrak{m}_2 \cdots \mathfrak{m}_k)^n = 0$. \square

Goal 1: $R \cong R_1 \times R_k$ where R_i is Artinian local ring.

Proof. By Chinese Remainder theorem,

$$R \cong R/\langle 0 \rangle = R/\mathfrak{m}_1^{n_1} \mathfrak{m}_2^{n_2} \cdots \mathfrak{m}_k^{n_k} \cong R/\mathfrak{m}_1^{n_1} \times R/\mathfrak{m}_2^{n_2} \times \cdots \times R/\mathfrak{m}_k^{n_k}$$

Let $R_i = R/\mathfrak{m}_i^{n_i}$, then $\bar{\mathfrak{m}} \in \text{Max } R_i$ if $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max } R$ and $\mathfrak{m} \supset \mathfrak{m}_i^{n_i} \rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_i$. So $\text{Max } R_i = \{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_i\} \implies R_i$ is a local ring. \square

Lemma 1. Let V be a K -vector space, TFAE

- (1) $\dim_k V < \infty$
- (2) V has DCC on subspaces.
- (3) V has ACC on subspaces.

Proof.

Fact : If $V_1 \subseteq V_2$ is finite dim vector space over K , then $V_1 = V_2$ iff $\dim_k V_1 = \dim_k V_2$. Otherwise, $\dim_k V_1 < \dim_k V_2$

(1) \Leftrightarrow (3)

" \Rightarrow " Suppose exists a chain in vector space V with strictly increasing and infinite length,

$$V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \cdots \subseteq V \Rightarrow \dim_k V_1 \leq \dim_k V_2 \leq \cdots \leq \dim_k V$$

Then, $\dim_k V$ must be infinite.

" \Leftarrow " If $\dim_k V$ is infinite, let $S = \{b_1, b_2, \dots\}$ be basis of V .

$$\langle b_1 \rangle_K \subset \langle b_1, b_2 \rangle_K \subset \cdots$$

is a infinite ascending chain.

Similarly, (1) \Leftrightarrow (2). □

Observation: If R is Noetherian and $\dim R = 0$, then $\langle 0 \rangle = \bigcap_{i=1}^k q_i$ (primary decomposition) and $\sqrt{\langle 0 \rangle} = \mathfrak{m}_i \in \text{Spec } R = \text{Max } R$. Also, $\exists n_i \mathfrak{m}_1^{n_1} \mathfrak{m}_2^{n_2} \cdots \mathfrak{m}_k^{n_k} = \langle 0 \rangle$

Since \mathfrak{m}_i is finitely generated, $\exists n_i$ s.t. $\mathfrak{m}_i^{n_i} \subseteq q_i$. Hence

$$\mathfrak{m}_1^{n_1} \mathfrak{m}_2^{n_2} \cdots \mathfrak{m}_k^{n_k} = \mathfrak{m}_1^{n_1} \cap \mathfrak{m}_2^{n_2} \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{m}_k^{n_k} \subseteq q_1 \cap q_2 \cap \cdots \cap q_k = \langle 0 \rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_1^{n_1} \mathfrak{m}_2^{n_2} \cdots \mathfrak{m}_k^{n_k} = \langle 0 \rangle$$

Goal 2: In a ring R , let $\mathfrak{m}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_n$ be, not necessarily different, maximal ideals in R s.t. $\mathfrak{m}_1 \mathfrak{m}_2 \cdots \mathfrak{m}_n = 0$. Then R is Artinian $\iff R$ is Noetherian.

Proof. We have a chain of ideals in R : $\mathfrak{m}_0 = R \supset \mathfrak{m}_1 \supset \mathfrak{m}_1 \mathfrak{m}_2 \supset \cdots \supset \mathfrak{m}_1 \mathfrak{m}_2 \cdots \mathfrak{m}_n = 0$.

Let $M_i = \mathfrak{m}_1 \mathfrak{m}_2 \cdots \mathfrak{m}_{i-1} / \mathfrak{m}_1 \mathfrak{m}_2 \cdots \mathfrak{m}_i$ as R -module. Notice that $\mathfrak{m}_i M_i = 0$, we can treat M_i as R/\mathfrak{m}_i -module. But R/\mathfrak{m}_i is a field, so M_i can be regarded as a vector space. Hence, by lemma 1

$$M_i \text{ is Artinian } \iff M_i \text{ is Noetherian.}$$

By definition,

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_1 \mathfrak{m}_2 \cdots \mathfrak{m}_i \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_1 \mathfrak{m}_2 \cdots \mathfrak{m}_{i-1} \rightarrow M_i \rightarrow 0$$

By Ex1,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{m}_0 = R \text{ Artinian} &\iff \mathfrak{m}_1, M_1 \text{ Artinian} \\ &\iff \mathfrak{m}_1 \mathfrak{m}_2, M_1, M_2 \text{ Artinian} \\ &\vdots \\ &\iff \mathfrak{m}_1 \mathfrak{m}_2 \cdots \mathfrak{m}_n, M_1, \dots, M_n \text{ Artinian} \\ &\iff \mathfrak{m}_1 \mathfrak{m}_2 \cdots \mathfrak{m}_n, M_1, \dots, M_n \text{ Noetherian} \\ &\vdots \\ &\iff \mathfrak{m}_1 \mathfrak{m}_2, M_1, M_2 \text{ Noetherian} \\ &\iff \mathfrak{m}_1, M_1 \text{ Noetherian} \iff \mathfrak{m}_0 = R \text{ Noetherian} \end{aligned}$$

Note: Goal 2 is accomplish by recognizing that,

- R is Artinian $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_1^{n_1} \cdots \mathfrak{m}_k^{n_k} = \langle 0 \rangle$ by prop 0.1.2 (4).
- R is Noether + $\dim 0 \Rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_1^{n_1} \cdots \mathfrak{m}_k^{n_k} = \langle 0 \rangle$ by Observation.

□

0.1.2 DVR (Discrete Valuation Ring)

Def 2.

- (1) Let K be a field. A discrete valuation of K is $\nu : K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ ($\nu(0) = \infty$) s.t.
- $\nu(xy) = \nu(x) + \nu(y)$.
 - $\nu(x \pm y) = \min\{\nu(x), \nu(y)\}$.
- (2) The valuation ring of ν is $R = \{x \in K \mid \nu(x) \geq 0\}$, called a DVR.
- Fact
 $\nu(1) = 0 : \nu(1) = \nu(1) + \nu(1) \implies \nu(1) = 0$
 $\nu(x) = -\nu(x^{-1}) : 0 = \nu(xx^{-1}) = \nu(x) + \nu(x^{-1})$
 - $\mathfrak{m} = \{x \in R \mid \nu(x) > 0\}$ is the unique maximal ideal in R since $\nu(x) = 0 \iff x$ is a unit.
- Proof.*
 $\Rightarrow \nu(x) = 0 \rightsquigarrow \nu(x^{-1}) = 0 \rightsquigarrow x^{-1} \in R$
 $\Leftarrow \nu(x^{-1}), \nu(x) \geq 0$. And, $\nu(x) = -\nu(x) \leq 0 \rightsquigarrow \nu(x) = 0$ □
- Let $t \in R$ with $\nu(t) = 1$, then $\mathfrak{m} = \langle t \rangle$.
 $\forall x \in \mathfrak{m}, \nu(x) = k > 0. \rightsquigarrow \nu(x(t^k)^{-1}) = \nu(x) - k\nu(t) = 0 \rightsquigarrow x = t^k u$, u is unit in R .
 - Let $I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ and define $m = \min\{l \in \mathbb{N} \mid x = t^l u \quad \forall x \in I\}$. Then $I = \langle t^m \rangle$.

Prop 0.1.3. R is a DVR $\iff R$ is 1-dimensional normal, Noetherian local domain.

Proof.

\Rightarrow

$$DVR \implies PID \implies UFD \implies normal \\ \implies Noetherian$$

$\forall P \neq 0 \in \text{Spec } R, P = \langle t^k \rangle = m^k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N} \rightsquigarrow P = \sqrt{P} = \sqrt{m^k} = m \rightsquigarrow P = m \rightsquigarrow \langle 0 \rangle \subset m \rightsquigarrow \dim R = 1$
 \Leftarrow

- $\mathfrak{m} \neq \mathfrak{m}^2$:
 If $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}^2$ and $\mathfrak{m} = \text{Jac}R$, then $m = \langle 0 \rangle$ by Nakayama lemma, a contradiction to $\dim R = 1$.
- Let $t \in \mathfrak{m} - \mathfrak{m}^2$ and $\mathfrak{m} = \langle t \rangle$
 Consider $M = \mathfrak{m} / \langle t \rangle$ and assume $M \neq 0$
Fact $I = \text{ann}(\bar{x}) \quad \bar{x} \in M \implies I \in \text{Spec } R$ Since $ab \in I, a, b \notin I$, then $a\bar{b}\bar{x} = 0$, and $\bar{b}\bar{x} \neq 0$.
 Suppose I is max, $\text{ann}(\bar{b}\bar{x}) \supseteq \text{ann}(\bar{x}) \rightsquigarrow \text{ann}(\bar{b}\bar{x}) = \text{ann}(\bar{x})$ Then, $a \in \text{ann}(\bar{b}\bar{x}) = \text{ann}(\bar{x})$, a contradiction.
 By Fact, $\exists \bar{x} \neq 0 \in M$ s.t. $\text{ann}(\bar{x}) = \mathfrak{m} \rightsquigarrow x\mathfrak{m} = \langle t \rangle = tR \rightsquigarrow \frac{x}{t}\mathfrak{m} \subseteq R$.
 (1) If $\frac{x}{t} = R \rightsquigarrow \frac{xy}{t} = 1$ for some $y \in \mathfrak{m} \rightsquigarrow t = xy \in \mathfrak{m}$, a contradiction.
 (2) If $\frac{x}{t} \subset \mathfrak{m}$, let $\mathfrak{m} = \langle y_1, \dots, y_n \rangle_R$ Write $\frac{x}{t}y_i = \sum_{j=1}^l a_{ij}y_j \forall i = 1, \dots, l$ By using determinant trick, we have $\frac{x}{t}$ is integral over R , but R is normal $\rightsquigarrow \frac{x}{t} \in R \rightsquigarrow x \in \langle t \rangle \rightsquigarrow \bar{x} = \bar{0}$, a contradiction.
 Therefore, $\mathfrak{m} = \langle t \rangle$.
- By Ex3, $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} m^n = 0$. Thus, $\forall x \in R, \exists !k$ s.t. $x \in m^k$ and $x \notin m^{k+1}$. $\rightsquigarrow x = t^k u$, u is units.

- Define $\nu(x) = k$ and $\forall \frac{x}{y} \in \text{Frac } R \nu(\frac{x}{y}) = \nu(x) - \nu(y)$.
 - (1) $\frac{x}{y} = \frac{x'}{y'} \rightsquigarrow xy' = x'y \rightsquigarrow \nu(xy') = \nu(x'y)$.
 - (2) $\nu(\frac{a}{b} \frac{c}{d}) = \nu(ac) - \nu(bd) = [\nu(a) - \nu(b)] - [\nu(c) - \nu(d)] = \nu(\frac{a}{b}) - \nu(\frac{c}{d})$
 - (3) $\nu(\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d}), \nu(a) = v_a, \nu(b) = v_b, \nu(c) = v_c, \nu(d) = v_d. \nu(\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d}) = \min\{\nu(\frac{a}{b}), \nu(\frac{c}{d})\} = \min\{\nu(\frac{ad}{bd}), \nu(\frac{bc}{bd})\} = \nu(\frac{ad+bc}{bd})$.

Therefore, R is DVR. □

0.1.3 Dedekind domains

Def 3. A Dedekind domain is a Noetherian normal domain of dim 1.

Def 4. Let R be an integral domain and $K = \text{Frac}(R)$. A nonzero R -submodule I of K is called a fractional ideal of R if $\exists 0 \neq a \in R$ s.t. $aI \subset R$.

Eg 0.1.1. If $I = \langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle_R$ with $f_i = \frac{a_i}{b_i} \in K$, then $a = b_1 b_2 \dots b_n$ and $aI \subset R \implies I$ is fractional.

In general, if R is a Noetherian, then every fractional ideal I of R is finitely generated.

Def 5. A fractional ideal I of R is invertible if $\exists J$: a fractional ideal of R s.t. $IJ = R$.

Prop 0.1.4.

1. If I is invertible, then $J = I^{-1}$ is unique and equals $J = (R : I) \triangleq \{a \in K \mid aI \subset R\}$.

Proof.

$$J \subseteq (R : I) \subseteq (R : I)R \subseteq (R : I)IJ \subseteq RJ = J \rightsquigarrow J = (R : I)$$

□

2. If I is invertible, then I is a finitely generated R -module.

Proof.

$$I(R : I) = R \rightsquigarrow 1 = \sum_{i=0}^k x_i y_i, x_i \in I \text{ and } y_i \in (R : I). \text{ Then, } \forall x \in I, x = \sum_{i=0}^k \underbrace{(x y_i)}_{\in R} x_i \rightsquigarrow I = \langle x_0, \dots, x_k \rangle_R$$

□

3. Let R be a local domain but not a field, $K = \text{Frac}(R)$. Then R is a DVR \iff every nonzero fractional ideal I of R is invertible.

Proof.

" \implies "

Let I be fractional ideal of R , then $\exists a \in R \rightsquigarrow aI \subseteq R$. And, $\mathfrak{m} = \langle t \rangle$, since R is not a field $t \neq 0. \rightsquigarrow a = t^k u$, u is unit $\in R$.

If $aI = R$, then $J = \langle a \rangle_R. \rightsquigarrow IJ = R$. If not, $aI \subsetneq R \rightsquigarrow aI \subseteq \mathfrak{m} \rightsquigarrow aI = \langle t^l \rangle \rightsquigarrow I = \langle t^{l-k} \rangle_R$.

Let $J = \langle t^{k-l} \rangle_R$, then $IJ = R$.

" \Leftarrow "

- R is Noether:
 $\forall I \subsetneq R$ and I is invertible $\rightsquigarrow I$ is f.g. R module.

- $\mathfrak{m} \neq \mathfrak{m}^2$ \mathfrak{m} is unique max in R :
 $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}^2$ and $\mathfrak{m} = \text{Jac}R \rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{m} = 0 \rightsquigarrow R$ is a field, a contradiction.
- $\mathfrak{m} = \langle t \rangle_R$:
 Pick $t \in \mathfrak{m} - \mathfrak{m}^2$ and let $\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{m}^{-1} = R \rightsquigarrow t\mathfrak{m}^{-1} \subseteq R$. If $t\mathfrak{m} \subseteq$, then $t\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{m}^{-1} = tR \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^2$, a contradiction. Therefore, $\mathfrak{m} \subset t\mathfrak{m} \rightsquigarrow t\mathfrak{m} = R \rightsquigarrow t\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{m}^{-1} = tR = \mathfrak{m} \rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{m} = \langle t \rangle_R$.
- Using the same construction ν in prop 0.1.3 we have the R is DVR.

□

Theorem 1. Let R be an integral domain and $K = \text{Frac}(R)$. TFAE

- (a) R is a Dedekind domain.
- (b) R is Noetherian and R_P is a DVR for all $P \in \text{Spec } R$.
- (c) Every nonzero fractional ideal of R is invertible.
- (d) Every nonzero proper ideal of R can be written (uniquely) as a product of powers of prime ideals.

Proof.

(a) \Leftrightarrow (b):

- R is normal $\iff R_P$ is normal for all $P \in \text{Spec } R$.
- $\dim R_P = 1 \quad \forall P \in \text{Spec } R \iff h(P) = 1 \quad \forall 0 \neq P \in \text{Spec } R \iff \dim R = 1$.

(b) \Leftrightarrow (c):

- (b) and (c) $\implies R$ is noetherian
- $I_P(R : I)_P = I_P(R_P : I_P)$ (Hint I is f.g.)
- R_P is DVR $\forall P \in \text{Spec } R \iff$ Every nonzero fractional ideal of R is invertible

$$\forall P \in \text{Spec } R \quad R_P = I_P(R_P : I_P) = I_P(R : I)_P = I(R : I)_P \iff R = I(R : I)$$

(a)(b)(c) \implies (d):

Existence :

- $I = q_1 \cap \dots \cap q_n$ and $\sqrt{q_i} = P_i \in R$ by primary decomposition thm.
- $q_1 \cap \dots \cap q_n = q_1 \dots q_n$
 Since $\dim R = 1$, $P_i \in \text{Max} R$. And, R is Noeth, $\exists n_i \in \mathbb{N} m_i^{n_i} \subseteq q_i$. Then, $m_i^{n_i} + m_j^{n_j} = R \forall i \neq j \rightsquigarrow q_i + q_j = R \rightsquigarrow q_1 \cap \dots \cap q_n = q_1 \dots q_n$
- $I = m_i^{r_i} \dots m_n^{r_n}$
 Since R_{m_i} is DVR $\rightsquigarrow (q_i)_{m_i} = (m_i^{r_i})_{m_i} \rightsquigarrow q_i = m_i^{r_i}$ by prime is 1-1 correspondence in localization. Therefore, $I = m_i^{r_i} \dots m_n^{r_n}$.

Uniqueness :

- $P_1 \dots P_k = Q_1 \dots Q_r$ P_i, Q_i is prime. Then, $P_1 \dots P_k \subseteq Q_1 \rightsquigarrow P_i \subseteq Q_1$, say $i = 1$ by prop 0.1.1.
 Since Q_1 is invertible, then $P_2 \dots P_k = Q_2 \dots Q_r$. By induction by hypothesis, we have the uniqueness result.

(d) \implies (c): • Every invertible prime is maximal:

If not, let $p + aR = P_1 \dots P_k$ and $p + a^2R = Q_1 \dots Q_r$. $\rightsquigarrow p \subseteq P_i$ and Q_j

Claim $(p + aR)^2 = (p + a^2R)$:

In R/p , $\langle \bar{a} \rangle = (P_1/p) \dots (P_k/p)$ and $\langle \bar{a}^2 \rangle = (Q_1/p) \dots (Q_r/p)$. And, $\langle \bar{a} \rangle = (P_1/p)^2 \dots (P_k/p)^2 = (Q_1/p) \dots (Q_r/p)$

- $P = P^2 + aP$

$$P \subseteq P + a^2R = (P + aR)^2 \subseteq P^2 + aR$$

Then,

$$\forall x \in P, \underbrace{x}_{\in P} = \underbrace{y}_{\in P^2} + a \underbrace{z}_{\in R} \rightsquigarrow z \in P$$

Therefore, $P \subseteq P^2 + aP \rightsquigarrow P = P^2 + aP$. By invertibility of P , we have $R = P + aR$, a contradiction.

- Every nonzero prime is invertible
Let $a \neq 0$ in P , and $P \supseteq \langle a \rangle$ is invertible. $\langle a \rangle = P_1 \cdots P_n$ and P_i is invertible. And, $P \subseteq P_i \rightsquigarrow P = P_i$ since P_i is max.
- \forall ideal $I \neq 0 \subseteq R$, $I = P_1 \cdots P_m \rightsquigarrow I$ is invertible.
- If I is fractional ideal of R , say $aI \subseteq R \rightsquigarrow \exists J$ ideal in $R \rightsquigarrow aIJ = R \rightsquigarrow I(aJ) = R$. I is invertible.

□