

Optimization and Computational Linear Algebra for Data Science

Midterm solutions

October 22, 2019

Problem 1

- (a) $E_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid Ax = 3x\} = \text{Ker}(A - 3\text{Id}_n)$ is a subspace because a kernel of a matrix is a subspace.
- (b) $E_2 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid Ax = y\}$ is not a subspace because it does not contain the zero vector since $A0 = 0 \neq y$.

Problem 2 Statements (a),(c),(d),(f) are equivalent to each other, and statements (b),(e),(g),(h) are equivalent to each other.

Problem 3

- (a) False. The following 4×3 has rank 3:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- (b) False. $\text{rank}(\text{Id}_n + \text{Id}_n) = \text{rank}(2\text{Id}_n) = n \neq 2n = \text{rank}(\text{Id}_n) + \text{rank}(\text{Id}_n)$.
- (c) True. If for all $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\langle u, x \rangle = 0$ then in particular $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$ which gives $\|x\|^2 = 0$ and $x = 0$.
- (d) False. Let $A = \text{Diag}(1, 2, 3, \dots, n)$. $v_1 = e_1$ is an eigenvector associated to $\lambda_1 = 1$ and $v_2 = e_2$ is an eigenvector associated to $\lambda_2 = 2$. However $A(v_1 + v_2) = e_1 + 2e_2 \neq 3(e_1 + e_2)$: $(e_1 + e_2)$ is not an eigenvector of A associated with the eigenvalue $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2$.

Problem 4 Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We know that $P_S x$ is orthogonal to $x - P_S x$. Hence, by Pythagorean theorem:

$$\|x\|^2 = \|P_S x\|^2 + \|x - P_S x\|^2.$$

Problem 6 M is symmetric so by the spectral theorem there exists $D = \text{Diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$, where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}$, and P an orthogonal matrix such that

$$M = PDP^T.$$

$M^{2019} = PDP^T PDP^T \dots PDP^T = PD^{2019}P^T$, because $P^T P = \text{Id}_n$ (recall that P is orthogonal). We get that $PD^{2019}P^T = 0$ which gives $0 = P^T PD^{2019}P^T P = D^{2019}$. Since $D^{2019} = \text{Diag}(\lambda_1^{2019}, \dots, \lambda_n^{2019})$, we get that $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_n = 0$. We conclude that $M = P0P^T = 0$.

Problem 6 We will prove that $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(AA^T)$ which will imply the result, since the $n \times n$ matrix AA^T is invertible if and only if his rank equals n .

We have $\text{Ker}(A^T) = \text{Ker}(AA^T)$. Indeed:

- if $x \in \text{Ker}(A^T)$, then $AA^T x = A0 = 0$. Hence $x \in \text{Ker}(AA^T)$.
- if $x \in \text{Ker}(AA^T)$, then $AA^T x = 0$. Hence $\|A^T x\|^2 = x^T AA^T x = 0$, which implies $A^T x = 0$: $x \in \text{Ker}(A^T)$.

Applying the rank-nullity theorem twice: $\text{rank}(A^T) = n - \dim(\text{Ker}(A^T)) = n - \dim \text{Ker}(AA^T) = \text{rank}(AA^T)$. We conclude that $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(A^T) = \text{rank}(AA^T)$.

Problem 7

- (a) $\text{rank}(A) \leq \min(n, m) = n$. Hence by the rank-nullity theorem we get that $\dim \text{Ker}(A) = m - \text{rank}(A) \geq m - n > 0$. Hence, we can find a non-zero vector $u \in \text{Ker}(A)$. Let $x = x^* + u \neq x^*$. We have

$$f(x) = \|Ax^* + Au - y\| = \|Ax^* - y\| = f(x^*).$$

x^* is therefore not the unique minimizer of f .

- (b) $P_{\text{Im}(A)}y$ belongs to $\text{Im}(A)$, hence there exists $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $P_{\text{Im}(A)}y = Ax$. By contradiction, assume that $Ax^* \neq P_{\text{Im}(A)}y$. Then, by definition of $P_{\text{Im}(A)}y = Ax$,

$$\|Ax - y\| < \|Ax^* - y\|.$$

This contradicts the fact that x^* minimizes f . Therefore $Ax^* = P_{\text{Im}(A)}y$.

- (c) Since $y - P_{\text{Im}(A)}y$ is orthogonal to $\text{Im}(A)$, we get that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$,

$$\langle Ax, y - Ax^* \rangle = 0,$$

because $Ax \in \text{Im}(A)$ and $P_{\text{Im}(A)}y = Ax^*$.

- (d) We deduce that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$:

$$x^\top (A^\top y - A^\top Ax^*) = 0.$$

The vector $A^\top y - A^\top Ax^*$ is therefore orthogonal to every vector of \mathbb{R}^m : it is equal to 0.

- (e) $\text{rank}(A^\top A) \leq \text{rank}(A) \leq n < m$, hence the $m \times m$ matrix $A^\top A$ is not invertible.

