Modelling Concurrent Systems Notes

Leon Lee

September 24, 2024

Contents

1	Introduction to Concurrent Systems			
	1.1	Lecture 1 - Specification and Implementation		
	1.2	Deadlocks	١	
	1.3	Relations	6	

1 Introduction to Concurrent Systems

1.1 Lecture 1 - Specification and Implementation

The main topics that are covered in this course:

- Formalising specifications as well as implementations of concurrent systems
- Studying the criteria for deciding whether an implementation meets a specification
- Techniques for proving whether an implementation meets a specification

Both specifications and implementations can be represented by means of models of concurrency such as Labelled Transition Systems (LTSs) or Process Graphs.

Definition 1.1.1: Process Graphs and LTSs

A **process graph** is a triple (S, I, \rightarrow) , defined by the following:

- S is a set of **states**
- $I \in S$ is an initial state
- \rightarrow is a set of triples (s, a, t) with $s, t \in S$, and a an action drawn from a set Act

A Labelled Transition System(LTS) is a process graph without the initial state (but sometimes LTS is used as a synonym for process graph i.e. with the initial state)

Sometimes we will use process graphs with a fourth component $\checkmark \subseteq S$ indicating the final states of the process: those in the system can terminate successfully

Specifications and implementations can not only be represented by LTSs or other models of concurrency, but also by **process algebraic expressions**, where complex processes are built up from constants for atomic actions using operators for *sequential*, *alternative*, and *parallel composition*.

The most popular process algebraic languages from literature are:

- CCS: the Calculus of Communicating Systems
- CSP: Communicating Sequential Processes
- ACP: the Algebra of Communicating Processing

We will be using ACP, focusing on the partially synchronous parallel composition operator

Definition 1.1.2: ACP

The syntax of **ACP**, the **Algebra of Communicating Processes** features the operations

- 1. ϵ : Successful termination (only in the optional extension ACP_{ϵ})
- 2. δ : Deadlock
- 3. a: Action constant for each action a
- 4. $P \cdot Q$: Sequential Composition
- 5. P + Q: Summation, Choice, or Alternative Composition
- 6. P||Q: Parallel Composition
- 7. $\partial_H(P)$: Restriction, or Encapsulation for each set of visible actions H
- 8. $\tau_I(P)$: **Abstraction** for each set of visible actions I (only in the optional extension ACT_{τ})

Note: There is also left and right parallel operators, P

The atomic actions of ACP consist of all a, b, c etc from a given set A of visible actions, and one special action τ , that is meant to be internal and invisible to the outside world.

For each application, a partial **communication function** $\gamma: A \times A \to A$ is chosen that tells for each two visible actions a and b whether they synchronise (namely if γ is defined), and if so, what is result of their synchronisation: $\gamma(a,b)$. The communication function is required to be commutative and associative. The invisible action cannot take part in synchronisations.

Definition 1.1.3: ACP in terms of Process Graphs

Below is the **ACP** operations in terms of process graphs extended with a predicate \checkmark that signals successful termination

- ϵ is the graph with one state and no transition. This one state is the initial state, and is marked with \checkmark
- δ is the graph with one state and no transitions. This one state is the initial state. It is not maked as terminating.
- a is a graph with two states (and initial and a final one) and one transition between them, labelled a. The final state is marked with \checkmark
- $G \cdot H$ is the process that first performs G, and upon successful termination of G proceed with H.
- G+H is obtained by taking the union of copies of G and H with disjoint sets of states, and adding a fresh state **root** which will be the initial state of G+H. For each transition $I_G \stackrel{a}{\to} s$ in G, where I_G denotes the initial state of G, there will be an extra transition **root** $\stackrel{a}{\to} s$, and likewise, for each transition $I_H \stackrel{a}{\to} s$ in H, where I_H denotes the initial state of h, there will be an extra transition **root** $\stackrel{a}{\to} s$ **root** is labelled with \checkmark if either I_G or I_H is.
- G||H is obtained by taking the Cartesian product of the states of G and H; that is, the states of G|H are pairs (s,t) with s a state from G and t a state from H. The initial state of G||H is the pair of initial states of G and H. A state (s,t) is labelled \checkmark iff both s and t are labelled \checkmark . The transitions are
 - $-(s,t) \xrightarrow{a} (s',t)$ whenever $s \xrightarrow{a} s'$ is a transition in G
 - $-(s,t) \xrightarrow{a} (s,t')$ whenever $t \xrightarrow{a} t'$ is a transition in H
 - $-(s,t) \xrightarrow{c} (s',t')$ whenever $s \xrightarrow{a} s$ is a transition in G, and $t \xrightarrow{b} t'$ is a transition in H, and $\gamma(a,b) = c$

Intuitively, G||H allows all possible interleavings of actions from G and actions from H. In addition, it enables actions to synchronise with their communication partners.

- $\partial_H(G)$ is just G, but with all actions in G omitted. It is used to remove the remnants of unsuccessful communication, so that the synchronisation that is enabled by parallel composition, is enforced.
- $\tau_I(G)$ is just G, but with all actions in I renamed into τ .

These semantics are of the **denotional** kind. Here "denotional" entails that each constant denotes a process graph (up to **isomorphism**) and each ACP operator denotes an operation on process graphs (creating a new graph out of one or two argument graphs)

1.2 Deadlocks

Deadlock means that a process isn't "terminated" but it cannot go further $ACP-\epsilon$ is an alternative modele where non-finishing states can also terminate

1.3 Relations

Definition 1.3.1: Equivalence Relation

A binary relation \sim on a set D is an equivalence relation if it is

• Reflexive: $p \sim p$ for all processes $p \in D$

• Symmetric: If $p \sim q$ then $q \sim p$

• Transitive: If $p \sim q$ and $q \sim r$ then $p \sim r$

Definition 1.3.2: Equivalence Class

If \sim is an equivalence relation on D, and p is in D, then $[p]_{\sim}$ denotes the set of all processes in D that are equivalent to p. Such a set is called an **equivalent class**

Equivalence relations that split a set into more sets are called **finer** or **more discriminating**, and equivalence relations that split a set into less sets are called **coarser** or **more identifying**. The finest relation is e - every element has its own class, and the coarsest relation is the universal equivalence - everything is equivalent to itself

Definition 1.3.3: Equivalence Relation

A binary relation on a set D is a $\mathbf{preorder} \; (\sqsubseteq)$ if it is

• Reflexive: $p \sqsubseteq p$ for all processes $p \in D$

• Transitive: If $p \sqsubseteq q$ and $q \sqsubseteq r$ then $p \sqsubseteq r$