Honours Algebra Exam Notes

Made by Leon :) Note: Any reference numbers are to the lecture notes

1 Abstractions upon Abstractions

Definition A: Rings and Fields

A ring (left) is a set with two operations $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$ that satisfies the follow-

A field (right) is an extension of a ring where (·) is a group

- 1. (R, +) is an abelian group with
- 2. (R, \cdot) is a monoid, i.e. it is a set with Associativity and Identity (written as 1)
- 3. Distributive law: For all a, b, and c in F, we have

$$a \cdot (b+c) = (a \cdot b) + (a \cdot c))$$
$$(a+b) \cdot c = (a \cdot c) + (b \cdot c)$$

and they satisfy the following lemmas (for both):

- 1. 0a = 0 = a0
- 2. The elements 0 and 1 are distinct (only ring case is zero ring)

Field Specific Lemmas:

1. (·) in F is associative, 1_F is an identity (it's an abelian group only in $(F \setminus \{0_F\}, \cdot)$

Ring Specific Lemmas and Definitions:

- 1. The **null ring** or **zero ring** is defined as a ring where R is a single element - i.e. $\{0\}$ where 0+0=0 and $0\times 0=0$
- 2. A **commutative ring** is one where $a \cdot b = b \cdot a$ for all $a, b \in R$
- 3. (-a)(b) = -(ab) = a(-b)
- m(na) = (mn)a
- (-a)(-b) = ab
- m(ab) = (ma)b = a(mb)

1. (F, +) is an abelian group F^+ .

2. $(F \setminus \{0_F\}, \cdot)$ is an abelian group

3. Distributive law: For all a, b,

 $a(b+c) = ab + ac \in F$

with identity 0_F

 F^{\times} , with identity 1_F

and c in F, we have

- m(a + b) = ma + mb
- (ma)(nb) = (mn)(ab)
- (m+n)a = ma + na

Definition B: Modules and Vector Spaces

A left module M over a ring R (or an R-module) (left) is a pair consisting of an abelian group M = (M, +) and a mapping

A vector space V over a field F (right) is an extension of a module but over a field instead, and using vectors - $V = (V, \dot{+})$

 $R \times M \to M : (r, a) \mapsto ra$ such that $\forall r, s \in R$ and $a, b \in M$. the following axioms apply:

 $F \times V \to V : (\lambda, \vec{v}) \mapsto \lambda \vec{v}$ such that $\forall \lambda, \mu \in F$ and $\vec{v}, \vec{w} \in v$. the following axioms apply:

 $r(a \dot{+} b) = (ra) \dot{+} (rb)$ (r+s)a = (ra) + (sa)r(sa) = (rs)a $1_R a = a$ Distributivity 1 Distributivity 2 Associativity Identity

 $\lambda(\vec{v}\dot{+}\vec{w}) = \lambda\vec{v}\dot{+}\lambda\vec{w}$ $(\lambda + \mu)\vec{v} = \lambda \vec{v} + \mu \vec{v}$ $\lambda(\mu\vec{v}) = (\lambda\mu)\vec{v}$ $1\vec{v} = \vec{v}$

and they satisfy the following lemmas (for both):

- 1. $0_R a = 0_M$ for all $a \in M$ or $0\vec{v} = \vec{0}$ for all $\vec{v} \in V$
- 2. $r0_M = 0_M$ for all $r \in R$ or $\lambda \vec{0} = \vec{0}$ for all $\lambda \in F$
- 3. (-r)a = r(-a) = -(ra) for all $r \in R$, $a \in M$
 - $(-1)\vec{v} = -\vec{v}$ for all $\vec{v} \in V$

Definition C: Sub-things

A sub-thing is basically something that is a smaller but self-contained version of a thing

• Vector Subspace (left): A subset U of a vector space V

 $\forall a, b \in R'$

- Subring (centre): A subset R' of a ring R under the same operations of addition and multiplication defined in R
- Submodule (right): A subset M' of a module M under the same operations of the R-module M restricted to M

Subspace Criteron $\forall \vec{u}, \vec{v} \in U, \lambda \in F$

1. $\vec{0} \in U$

2.
$$\vec{u} + \vec{v} \in U$$

$$2. \ \vec{u} + \vec{v} \in U$$

3. $\lambda \vec{u} \in U$

Subring Criteron Submod. Criteron $\forall a, b \in M', r \in R$

- 1. R' has a multi-1. $0_M \in M'$ plicative identity
- $a b \in M'$ $a - b \in R'$ 3. $ra \in M'$ $3. a \cdot b \in R'$

Definition D: Homo no homo

Everything has its own homomorphism and they are all the same thing

- . Linear Mapping (left): Homomorphism on a Vector Space
- Ring Homomorphism (centre): Homomorphism on a ring
- R-homomorphism (right): Homomorphism on a module

V. Space Criteron $\forall \vec{u}, \vec{v} \in U, \ \lambda \in F$	Ring Criteron $\forall x, y \in R'$	Module Criteron $\forall a, b \in M', r \in R$
. $f(\vec{v}_1\!\!+\!\!\vec{v}_2)\!=\!f(\vec{v}_1)\!\!+\!\!f(\vec{v}_2)$	• $f(x+y) = f(x)+f(y)$	• $f(a+b) = f(a) + f(b)$
• $f(\lambda \vec{v}_1) = \lambda f(\vec{v}_1)$	• $f(xy) = f(x)f(y)$	f(ra) = rf(a)

- A bijective homomorphism is called a isomorphism
- Two objects with an iso, are called **isomorphic**, written $A \cong B$
- A homomorphism $V \to V$ is called an **endomorphism** of V
- An isomorphism $V \to V$ is called an automorphism of V

Properties of ring homos: Let R and S be rings and $f: R \to S$ a ring homomorphism. Then $\forall x, y \in R$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ $(0_R, 0_S)$ are the zeros of R, S:

- 1. $f(0_R) = 0_S$
- 2. f(-x) = -f(x)3. f(x - y) = f(x) - f(y)
- 4. f(mx) = mf(x)5. $f(x^n) = (f(x))^n$ for all $x \in R$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$

Image and Kernel

The image and kernel of a mapping $f: M \to N$ are as follows:

- Image: im $f = \{f(a) : a \in M\} \subset N$
- Kernel: $\ker f = \{a \in M : f(a) = 0_N\} \subseteq M$

Definition E: Ideals and Submodules

Def 3.4.7: $I \subseteq R$ is an **ideal**, $I \subseteq R$, if the following hold:

- 1. $I \neq \emptyset$
- 2. I is closed under subtraction
- 3. for all $i \in I$ and $r \in R$ we have $ri, ir \in I$

Def 3.4.11: R be a commutative

| **3.7.23**: Let *R* ring, *M* a *R*-module ring and let $T \subset R$. Then the ideal and $T \subseteq M$. Then the submodule of R generated by T is the set Q of M generated by T is the set

$$_{R}\langle T\rangle = \{r_{1}t_{1} + \dots + r_{m}t_{m} : t_{1}, \dots, t_{m} \in T, r_{1}, \dots, r_{m} \in R\}$$

Submodule extra defs and conditions

- Submods add the zero element in the case $T = \emptyset$.
- If $T = \{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$, a finite set, we write $R(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ instead of $_{R}\langle\{t_{1},\ldots,t_{n}\}\rangle.$
- M is finitely generated if it's generated by a finite set $M = R(t_1, ..., t_n)$.
- M is cyclic if it's generated by a singleton $M = {}_{R}\langle T \rangle$

Def 3.4.15: Let R be a commutative ring. An ideal I of R is called a **principal ideal** if $I = \langle t \rangle$ for some $t \in R$

Theorem F: Ideals and Submodule Theorems/Lemmas

Let R, S be rings, and let $f: R \to S$ a ring-homomorphism

3.4.14: Let $T \subseteq R$. Then ${}_R\langle T \rangle$ is the smallest ideal of R that contains

- 3.4.18 ker f is an ideal of R (but im f is not always an ideal of S).
- **3.4.20**: f is injective iff ker $f = \{0\}$
- 3.4.21: The intersection of any collection of ideals of R is an ideal of R
- **3.4.22**: Let I and J be ideals of R

$$I+J=\{a+b:a\in I,\,b\in J\}$$
 is an ideal of R

Let R be a ring, M, N be modules. and $f: M \to N$ a R-homomorphism

- **3.7.28**: Let $T \subseteq M$. Then $_{R}\langle T \rangle$ is the smallest submodule of M that
- 3.7.21: ker f is a submodule of M. and im f is a submodule of N
- **3.7.22**: f injective iff $\ker f = \{0_M\}$
- 3.7.29: The intersection of any collection of submodules of M is a submodule of M.

3.7.30: Let M_1 and M_2 be submodules of M. Then

 $M_1 + M_2 = \{a + b : a \in M_1, b \in M_2\}$ is a submodule of M

Definition G: Equivalence Relations

Def 3.5.1: A **relation** R on a set X is a subset $R \subseteq X \times X$. In the context of relations, it's written xRy instead of $(x, y) \in R$. R is an equivalence relation on X when for all elements $x, y, z \in X$ the following hold:

- 1. Reflexivity: xRx
- 2. Symmetry: $xRy \iff yRx$
- 3. Transivity: xRy and $yRz \implies xRz$

Suppose that is an equivalence relation on a set X.

- Equivalence class of x: $E(x) := z \in X : z \sim x$ for $x \in X$
- Equivalence class for \sim : $E \subseteq X$, if $\exists x \in X$ s.t. E = E(x)
- . Representative: Element of an equivalence class
- . System of representatives for \sim : A subset $Z \subseteq X$ containing precisely one element from each equivalence class

Given an equivalence relation \sim on the set X I will denote the set of equivalence classes, which is a subset of the power set $\mathcal{P}(X)$, by

$$(X/\sim):=\{E(x):x\in X\}$$

There is a canonical mapping can : $X \to (X/\sim)$, $x \mapsto E(x)$ (surjection)

Definition H: Coset

Def 3.6.1: Let $I \subseteq R$ be an ideal in a ring R. The set

$$x + I := \{x + i : i \in I\} \subseteq R$$

is a coset of I in R or the coset of x w.r.t I in R

Def 3.6.3: Let R be a ring, $I \triangleleft R$ be an ideal, and \sim the equivalence relation defined by $x \sim y \iff x - y \in I$. Then R/I, the factor ring of R by I or the quotient of R by I, is the set (R/\sim) of cosets of I in R

Thm 3.6.4: Let R be a ring and $I \triangleleft R$ an ideal. Then R/I is a ring. where the operation of addition and multiplication is defined by

$$(x+I) + (y+I) = (x+y) + I, \quad (x+I) \cdot (y+I) = xy + I \quad \forall x, y \in R$$

Def 3.7.31: Let R be a ring, M an R-module, and N a submodule of M. For each $a \in M$ the coset of a with respect to N in M is

$$a + N = \{a + b : b \in N\}$$

It is a coset of N in the abelian group M and so is an equivalence class for the equivalence relation $a \sim b \iff a - b \in N$.

Let M/N, the factor of N by N or the quotient of M by N to be the set (M/\sim) of all cosets of N in M. This becomes an R-module by introducing the operations of addition and multiplication:

$$(a+N)\dot{+}(b+N) = (a+b) + N$$
$$r(a+N) = ra + N$$

for all $a, b \in M, r \in R$.

The zero of M/N is the coset $0_{M/N} = 0_M + N$. The negative of $a + N \in M/N$ is the coset -(a + N) = (-a) + N

The R-module M/N is the **factor module** of M by the submod. N

Theorem I: Universal Properties and First Iso Thm

Thm: Universal Properties

Let A be an object of type σ , and I be an ideal-ish σ object

- The mapping can: $A \to A/I$ sending a to a+I for all $a \in A$ is a surjective σ -homomorphism with kernel I
- If $f: A \to B$ is an σ -homomorphism with $f(I) = \{0_B\}$, so that $I \subseteq \ker f$, then there is a unique σ -homomorphism $\overline{f}: A/I \to B$ such that $f = \overline{f} \circ \operatorname{can}$

Thm: First Isomorphism Theorem

Every σ homomorphism $f: A \to B$ induces an σ -isomorphism

$$\overline{f}: A/\ker f \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{im} f$$

This can be applied to pretty much everything!

- Factor Rings: σ are rings (so A is a ring), and I is an ideal
- Factor Modules: σ are R-modules, and I is a submodule
- Groups: σ are groups, and I is a normal subgroup

2 Rings and Modules

Example 3.1.4: Modulo Rings

Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the set of integers modulo m is a ring, written

$$\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$$

The elements of $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ consist of **congruence classes** of integers modulo m, written \overline{a} , - i.e. "the subsets T of \mathbb{Z} of the form $T = a + m\mathbb{Z}$ with $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ ", or "set of integers that have the same remainder when you divide them by m". $\overline{a} = \overline{b}$ is the same as $a - b \in m\mathbb{Z}$, and often I'll write

$$a \equiv b \mod m$$

Thm 3.1.11 - Prime Property for Fields: Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. The commutative ring $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ is a field if and only if m is prime

Definition 3.2.3: Multiples of an abelian group

Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. The m-th multiple ma of an element ain an abelian group

$$ma = \underbrace{a + a + \dots + a}_{l}$$
 if $m > 0$

0a = 0 and negative multiples are defined by (-m)a = -(ma)

Definition 3.2: Units and Field Construction

Def 3.2.6: Let R be a ring. An element $a \in R$ is called a **unit** if it is invertible in R, i.e. there exists $r^{-1} \in R$ such that

$$aa^{-1} = 1 = a^{-1}a$$

Prop 3.2.9: The set of R^{\times} units in a ring R forms a group under multi-

Definition 3.1.8: A field is a non-zero commutative ring F in which every non-zero element $a \in F$ is a unit.

Definition 3.2.11: zero-divisors of a ring

In a ring R, a non-zero a is called a zero-divisor or divisor of zero if there exists a non-zero element b such that either ab = 0 or ba = 0.

3.2.12 Integral Domain

An integral domain is a non-zero commutative ring that has no zero-divisors. The following two laws hold:

- 1. $ab = 0 \implies a = 0 \text{ or } b =$ 0
- 2. $a \neq 0$ and $b \neq 0 \implies$

Recall A: Group

- Closure: $a * b \in G$
- · Assocciativity: (a * b) * c = a * (b * c)
- Identity: $\exists e \text{ s.t.}$
- e * g = g * e = e
- Inverse: $\exists g \text{ s.t.}$ $g * g^{-1} = g^{-1} * g = e$

Theorem 3.2: Integral Domain Properties

- 3.2.15 (Cancellation Law): Let R be an integral domain and let $a, b, c \in R$. If ab = ac and $a \neq 0$ then b = c
- **3.2.16** Let m be a natural number. Then $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ is an integral domain if and only if m is prime.
- 3.2.17 Every finite integral domain is a field.

Definition 3.1.1: Polynomial

Let R be a ring. A **polynomial over** R is an expression of the form

$$P = a_0 + a_1 X + a_2 X^2 + \dots + a_m X^m$$

for some non-negative $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and elements $a_i \in R$ for $0 \le i \le m$.

- The set of all polynomials over R is denoted by R[X].
- In the case where a_m is non-zero, the polynomial P has degree m, (written deg(P)), and a_m is its leading coefficient
- When the leading coefficient is 1 the polynomial is a monic polynomial.
- A polynomial of degree one is called linear, degree two is called quadractic, and degree three is called cubic.

Thm 3.3.2: The set R[X] becomes a ring called the ring of polynomials with coefficients in R, or over R. The zero and the identity of R[X] are the zero and identity of R, respectively.

Theorem 3.3: Properties of a Polynomial Ring

- **3.3.3**: If R is a ring with no zero-divisors, then R[X] has no zero-divisors and deg(PQ) = deg(P) + deg(Q) for non-zero $P, Q \in R[X]$.
 - If R is an integral domain, then so is R[X]
- **3.3.4**: Let R be an integral domain and let $P, Q \in R[X]$ with Q monic. Then there exists unique $A, B \in R[X]$ such that P = AQ + B and deg(B) < deg(Q) or B = 0

Theorem 3.3.10: Degrees of Polynomial Roots

Let R be a field, or more generally an integral domain. Then a non-zero polynomial $P \in R[X] \setminus \{0\}$ has at most $\deg(P)$ roots in R

Definition 3.3.6: Evaluating a Function

Let R be a commutative ring and $P \in R[X]$ a polynomial. P can be **evaluated** at $\lambda \in R$ to make $P(\lambda)$ by replacing the powers of X in P by the corresponding powers of λ . In this way we have a mapping

$$R[X] \to \operatorname{Maps}(R, R)$$

This is the precise definition of thinking of a polynomial as a function. An element $\lambda \in R$ is a **root** of P if $P(\lambda) = 0$

Thm 3.3.9: Let R be a commutative ring, let $\lambda \in R$ and $P(X) \in R[X]$. Then λ is a root of P(X) iff $(X - \lambda)$ divides P(X)

Definition 3.3.11: Algebraically closed fields

A field F is algebraically closed if each non-constant polynomial $P \in F[X] \setminus F$ with coefficients in our field has a root in our field F

Thm 3.3.13 (Fundamental Thm of Algebra): The field of complex numbers C is algebraically closed.

Thm 3.3.14 (Linear factors of closed fields): If F is an algebraically closed field, then every non-zero polynomial $P \in F[X] \setminus \{0\}$ decomposes into linear factors

$$P = c(X - \lambda_1) \cdots (X - \lambda_n)$$

with $n \geq 0$, $c \in F^{\times}$ and $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n \in F$. This decomposition is unique up to reordering the factors

3 Linear algebra (ew)

Definition 1.4.5: Spans and Linear Independence

Let $T \subset V$ for some vector space V over a field F. Then amongus all subspaces of V that include T there is a smallest subspace

$$\langle T \rangle = \langle T \rangle_F \subseteq V$$

"the set of all vectors $\alpha_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + \alpha_r \vec{v}_r$ with $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \in F$ and $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_r \in T$, together with the zero vector in the case $T = \emptyset$ "

Terminology Dump

- Linear Combination of vectors $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_r$: An expression of the form $\alpha_1 \vec{v}_1 + \cdots + \alpha_r \vec{v}_r$
- Vec. Subspace generated (or spanned) by T / span of T: The smallest vector subspace $\langle T \rangle \subseteq V$ containing T
- . If we allow the zero vector to be the "empty linear combination of r=0 vectors", then the span of T is exactly the set of all linear combinations of vectors from T
- 1.4.7: Generating / Spanning set: A subset of a vector space that spans the entire space. A vector space that has a finite generating set is said to be finitely generated
- 1.5.8: Basis of a vec space V: a linearly independent generating set in V
- 1.5.9: Let A and I be sets. A family of elements of A indexed by I. written $(a_i)_{i \in I}$ is a mapping $I \to A$

Theorem 1.5.11: Basis Theorems

Thm 1.5.11 (Linear combinations of basis elements): Let F be a field, V a vector space over F and $\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_r \in V$ vectors. The family $(\vec{v}_i)_{1 \leq i \leq r}$ is a basis of V iff the following "evaluation" mapping, or if we label the family as \mathcal{A} , written $\psi = \psi_{\mathcal{A}} : F^r \to V$,

$$\psi: F^{r} \to V$$

$$(\alpha_{1}, \dots, \alpha_{r}) \mapsto a_{1}\vec{v}_{1} + \dots + \alpha_{r}\vec{v}_{r}$$

is a bijection

Thm 1.5.12 (Characterisation of Bases): The following are equivalent for a subset E of a vector space V:

- 1. E is a basis, i.e. a linearly independent generating set
- 2. E is minimal among all generating sets, meaning that $E \backslash \{\vec{v}\}$ does not generate V, for any $\vec{v} \in E$
- $3.\ E$ is maximal among all linearly independent subsets, meaning that $E \cup \{\vec{v}\}\$ is linearly dependent for any $\vec{v} \in V$

Thm 1.5.14 (Basis Characterisation Variant)

- 1. If $L \subset V$ is a linearly indep. subset and E is minimal over all generating sets of V where $L \subseteq E$, then E is a basis.
- 2. If $E \subseteq V$ is a generating set and if L is maximal amongst all linearly indep. sets of V where $L \subseteq E$, then L is a basis.

Thm 1.5.16 (Variant of Linear Combis of basis elements): Let F be a field, V be an F-vector space and $(\vec{v}_i)_{i\in I}$ a family of vectors from the vector space V. The following are equivalent:

- 1. The family $(\vec{v}_i)_{i \in I}$ is a basis for V
- 2. For each $\vec{v} \in V$ there is precisely one family $(a_i)_{i \in I}$ of elements of F, almost all which are zero and such that

$$\vec{v} = \sum_{i=I} a_i \vec{v}_i$$

Theorem 1.6.1: Fundamental Estimate of LinAlg

No linearly independent subset of a given vector has more elements than a generating set. Thus if V is a vector space, $L \subset V$ a linearly independent subset and $E \subseteq V$ a generating set, then

Definition 1.4 - 1.5: Random sets

Def 1.4.9: The set of all subsets $\mathcal{P}(X) = \{U : U \subseteq X\}$ of X is the **power** set of X, $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is referred to as a system of subsets of X. We can now define 2 new subsets - the union and intersection

$$\bigcup_{U\in\mathcal{U}}U=\{x\in X: \text{there is }U\in\mathcal{U}\text{ with }x\in U\}$$

$$\bigcap_{U\in\mathcal{U}}U=\{x\in X: x\in U\text{ for all }U\in\mathcal{U}\}$$

Def 1.5.15: Let X be a set and F a field. The set Maps(X, F) of all mappings $f: X \to F$ becomes an F-vector space with the operations of pointwise addition and multiplication by a scalar. The subset of all mappings which send almost all elements of X to zero is a vector subspace called the free vector space on the set X

$$F\langle X \rangle \subseteq \text{Maps}(X, F)$$

Theorem 1.6: Steinitz Exchange Theorem

1.6.2: Let V be a vector space, $L \subset V$ a finite linearly indep. subset and $E \subset V$ a generating set. Then there is an injection $\phi: L \hookrightarrow E$ such that $(E \setminus \phi(L)) \cup L$ is also a generating set for V

1.6.3: Let V be a vector space, $M \subseteq V$ a linearly indep. subset, and $E \subseteq V$ a generating subset, such that $M \subseteq E$. If $\vec{w} \in V \setminus M$ is a vector $\not\in M$ such that $M \cup \{\vec{w}\}$ is linearly independent, then there exists $\vec{e} \in E \setminus M$ such that $(E \setminus \{\vec{e}\}) \cup \{\vec{w}\}$ is a generating set

Theorem 1.6: Cardinality of Bases and Dimension

Def 1.6.4: Let V be a finitely generated vector space. V has a finite basis, and any two bases of V also have the same number of elements

Def 1.6.5: The cardinality of a basis of a finitely generated vector space V is called the **dimension** of V, written dim V.

Theorems

1.6.7 (Cardinality Criterion for Bases)

- 1. Each linearly independent subset $L \subset V$ has at most dim V elements, and if $|L| = \dim V$ then L is a basis 2. Each generating set $E \subset V$ has at least dim V elements, and if
- $|E| = \dim V$ then E is a basis
- 1.6.8 (Dimension Estimate for Vector Subspaces): A proper vector subspace of a finite dimensional vector space has itself a strictly smaller dimension
- **1.6.9** If $U \subseteq V$ is a subspace of an arbitrary vector space, then we have $\dim \overline{U} < \dim V$, and if $\dim U = \dim V < \infty$ then U = V
- 1.6.10 (The Dimension Theorem): Let V be a vector space containing vector subspaces $U, W \subseteq V$. Then

$$\dim(U+W) + \dim(U\cap W) = \dim U + \dim W$$

Definition 1.7.1: Random tidbits about Linear Mappings

Def 1.7.5: A point that is sent to itself by a mapping is called a fixed **point** of the mapping. Given a mapping $f: X \to X$, we denote the set of fixed points by

$$X^f = \{x \in X : f(x) = x\}$$

Def 1.7.6: Two vector subspaces V_1, V_2 of a vector space V are called complementary if addition defines a bijection

$$V_1 \times V_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} V$$

Example (Direct Sum): Given vector spaces V_1, \ldots, V_n, W and linear maps $f_i: V_i \to W$ we can form a new mapping $f: V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_n \to W$ by $f(v_1,\ldots,v_n)=f_1(v_1)+\cdots+f_n(v_n)$. This is a bijection

$$\operatorname{Hom}(V_1, W) \times \cdots \times \operatorname{Hom}(V_n, W) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}(V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_n, W)$$

Taking W = V, we produce a vector iso. $V_1 \oplus V_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} V$. Writing $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$, we call V the direct sum, or internal direct sum of the subspaces V_1, V_2

Theorem 1.7: Vector Spaces and Linear Maps

- 1.7.7 Let n be a natural number. Then a vector space over a field F is isomorphic to F^n iff it has dimension n
- 1.7.8 (Linear Mapping and Bases): Let V, W be vector spaces over a field F. The set of all homoms $V \to W$ is denoted by

$$\operatorname{Hom}_F(V, W) = \operatorname{Hom}(V, W) \subseteq \operatorname{Maps}(V, W)$$

Let $B \subset V$ be a basis. Then restriction of a mapping gives a bijection

$$\operatorname{Hom}_F(V,W) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Maps}(B,W) : f \mapsto f|_B$$

1.7.9: (Inverse Mappings)

- 1. Every injective linear map $f: V \hookrightarrow W$ has a **left inverse**, or a linear mapping $g: W \to V$ s.t. $g \circ f = \mathrm{id}_V$
- 2. Every surjective linear map $f: V \twoheadrightarrow W$ has a **right inverse**, or a linear mapping $G: W \to V$ s.t. $f \circ q = \mathrm{id}_W$
- 1.8.2 A linear mapping is injective iff its kernel is zero
- 1.8.4 (Rank-Nullity Theorem): Let $f: V \to W$ be a linear mapping between vector spaces. Then:

$$\dim V = \dim(\ker f) + \dim(\operatorname{im} f)$$

Dim. of im $f = \mathbf{rank}$ of f, and the dim. of ker $f = \mathbf{nullity}$ of f

Theorem 2.1.1: Linear Maps $F^m \to F^n$ and Matrices

Let F be a field and let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. There is a bijection between the space of linear mappings $F^m \to F^n$ and the set of matrices with n rows, m columns, and entries in F:

$$M: \operatorname{Hom}_F(F^m, F^n) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Mat}(n \times m; F)$$

 $f \mapsto [f]$

This attaches to each linear mapping f its representing matrix M(f) := [f]. The columns of this matrix are the images under f of the standard basis elements of F^m

$$[f] := (f(\vec{e}_1)|f(\vec{e}_2)| | \cdots | f(\vec{e}_m))$$

Theorem 2.1.8: Composition of maps to products

Let $g: F^{\ell} \to F^m$ and $f: F^m \to F^n$ be linear mappings. The representing matrix of their composition is the product of their representing matrices:

$$[f \circ g] = [f] \circ [g]$$

Definition 2.2: Big def-thm pairs

Thm 2.2.3: Every square matrix with entries in a field can be written as a product of elementary matrices

Def 2.2.4: Smith Normal Form: A matrix that is fully zero, except for 1's on the diagonal followed by 0's

Thm 2.2.5: For each matrix $A \in \operatorname{Mat}(n \times m; F)$ there exist invertible matrices P and Q such that PAQ is a matrix in Smith NF

Thm 2.4.5: Let $f: V \to W$ be a linear map between finite dim. F-vector spaces. There exists two ordered bases \mathcal{A} of V, and \mathcal{B} of W s.t. the representing matrix $_{\mathcal{B}}[f]_{\mathcal{A}}$ is in Smith Normal Form

Def 2.2.9: **Rank** of a matrix $A \in \text{Mat}(n \times m; F)$, written rk A: The dim. of the subspace of F^n generated by the columns of A, or same with the row (The row/column rank are the same). If the rank is equal to the no. of rows/columns, then the matrix has full rank

Def 2.4.6: Trace, written tr(A) is the sum of diagonal entries

Theorem 2.3: Representing Matrices

Thm 2.3.1: Let F be a field, V and W vector spaces over F with ordered bases $\mathcal{A} = (\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m)$ and $\mathcal{B} = (\vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_n)$. Then to each linear mapping $f: V \to W$ we associate a representing matrix $\beta[f]_A$ whose entries a_{ij} are defined by the identity

$$f(\vec{v}_i) = a_{1i}\vec{w}_1 + \dots + a_{ni}\vec{w}_n \in W$$

This makes a bijection, which is an isomorphism of vector spaces:

$$M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{A}}: \operatorname{Hom}_{F}(V, W) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Mat}(n \times m; F) \quad f \mapsto_{\mathcal{B}}[f]_{\mathcal{A}}$$

Thm 2.3.2: Let F field and U, V, W finite dim. vector spaces over kFwith ordered bases $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$. If $f: U \to V, g: V \to W$ are linear maps, then the representing matrix of the composition $g \circ f: U \to W$ is the matrix product of the representing matrices of f and g:

$$_{\mathcal{C}}[g \circ f]_{\mathcal{A}} = _{\mathcal{C}}[g]_{\mathcal{B}} \circ _{\mathcal{B}}[f]_{\mathcal{A}}$$

Def 2.3.4: Let V be a finite dimensional vector space with an ordered basis $\mathcal{A} = (\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m)$. We'll denote the inverse to the bijection in 3

"
$$\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}: F^m \xrightarrow{\sim} V, (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)^T \mapsto \alpha_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + \alpha_m \vec{v}_m$$
" by

$$\vec{v} \mapsto A[\vec{v}]$$

The column vector $_{\Delta}[\vec{v}]$ is called the **representation of the vector** \vec{v} with respect to the basis A

Thm 2.3.4: Representation of the Image of a Vector: Let V, W be finite dim. vector spaces over F with ordered bases \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} and let $f: V \to W$ be a linear mapping. The following holds for $\vec{v} \in V$:

$$_{\mathcal{B}}[f(\vec{v})] = _{\mathcal{B}}[f]_{\mathcal{A}} \circ _{\mathcal{A}}[\vec{v}]$$

Definition 2.4.1: Change of Basis Matrix

Let $\mathcal{A} = (\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n)$, $\mathcal{B} = (\vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_n)$ be ordered bases of the same F-vector space V. Then the matrix representing the identity mapping wrt these bases

$$g[id_V]_A$$

is called a **change of basis matrix**. Its entries are $\vec{v}_j = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} \vec{w}_i$

Thm 2.4.3: Let V and W be finite dimensional vector spaces over F and let $f: V \to W$ be a linear mapping. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}'$ are ordered bases of V and $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}'$ are ordered bases of W. Then

$$_{\mathcal{B}'}[f]_{\mathcal{A}'} = _{\mathcal{B}'}[\mathrm{id}_W]_{\mathcal{B}} \circ _{\mathcal{B}}[f]_{\mathcal{A}} \circ _{\mathcal{A}}[\mathrm{id}_V]_{\mathcal{A}'}$$

Crl 2.4.4: Let V be a finite dimensional vector space and let $f: V \to V$ be an endomorphim of V. Suppose that A, A' are ordered bases of V. Then

$$_{\mathcal{A}'}[f]_{\mathcal{A}'}=_{\mathcal{A}}[\operatorname{id}_{V}]_{\mathcal{A}'}^{-1}\circ_{\mathcal{A}}[f]_{\mathcal{A}}\circ_{\mathcal{A}}[\operatorname{id}_{V}]_{\mathcal{A}'}$$

Definition 4.1.1: Symmetric Groups

The group of all permutations of the set $\{1,2,\ldots,n\}$, or bijections from $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ to itself is denoted by S_n and called the n-th symmetric **group**. It is a group under composition and has n! elements.

- . Tranposition: A permutation that swaps two elements of the set and leaves all the others unchanged.
- Inversion of a permutation $\sigma \in S_n$: A pair (i, j) such that $1 \le i < j \le n \text{ and } \sigma(i) > \sigma(j).$
- Length of σ : Num. of inversions of the perm. σ , written $\ell(\sigma)$. i.e.

$$\ell(\sigma) = |\{(i,j) : i < j \text{ but } \sigma(i) > \sigma(j)\}|$$

Sign of σ: The parity of the number of inversions of σ. i.e.:

$$sgn(\sigma) = (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)}$$

Theorem 4.1: Multiplicativity of the sign

Thm 4.1.5: For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the sign of a permutation produces a group homomorphism sgn: $S_n \to \{+1, -1\}$ from the symmetric group to the two-element group of signs. In formulas:

$$\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma \tau) = \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \operatorname{sgn}(\tau) \quad \forall \sigma, \tau \in S_n$$

Def 4.1.6 (Alternating Group): For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the set of even permutations in S_n forms a subgroup of S_n because it's the kernel of the group homomorphism sgn: $S_n \to \{+1, -1\}$, written A_n

Definition 4.3.1: Bilinear Forms

Let U, V, W be F-vector spaces. A bilinear form on $U \times V$ with values in W is a mapping $H: U \times V \to W$ which is a linear mapping in both of its entries. This means that it must satisfy the following properties for all $u_1, u_2 \in U$ and $v_1, v_2 \in V$ and all $\lambda \in F$:

$$\begin{array}{ll} H(u_1+u_2,v_2) = H(u_1,v_1) + H(u_2,v_1), & H(\lambda u_1,v_1) = \lambda H(u_1,v_1) \\ H(u_1,v_2+u_2) = H(u_1,v_1) + H(u_2,v_1), & H(u_1,\lambda v_1) = \lambda H(u_1,v_1) \end{array}$$

while it is antisymmetric or alternating if U = V and

$$H(u, u) = 0$$
 for all $u \in U$

- antisymmetric $\implies H(u,v) = -H(v,u)$
- $H(u,v) = -H(v,u) \implies \text{antisymmetric iff } 1_F + 1_F \neq 0_F$

Definition 4.3.3: Multilinear Forms

Let V_1, \ldots, V_n, W be F-vector spaces. A mapping $H: V_1 \times V_2 \times \cdots \times V_n \to W$ is a multilinear form or just multilinear if for each j, the mapping $V_i \to W$ defined by $v_i \mapsto H(v_1, \ldots, v_i, \ldots, v_n)$, with the $v_i \in V_i$ arbitrary fixed vectors of V_i for $i \neq j$ is linear.

Let V and W be F-vector spaces. A multilinear form

 $H: V \times \cdots \times V \to W$ is alternating if it vanishes on every n-tuple of elements of V that has at least two entries equal, in other words if:

$$(\exists i \neq j \text{ with } v_i = v_j) \rightarrow H(v_1, \dots, v_i, \dots, v_j, \dots, v_n) = 0$$

Theorem 4.3.6: Characterisation of the Determinant

Let F be a field. The mapping

$$\det: \operatorname{Mat}(n; F) \to F$$

is the unique alternating multilinear form on n-tuples of column vectors with values in F that takes the value 1_F on the identity matrix

Definition 4.4.6: Cofactors of a Matrix

Let $A \in \operatorname{Mat}(n; R)$ for some commutative ring R and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ between 1 and n. Then the (i, j) cofactor of A is

 $C_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} \det(A\langle i,j\rangle)$ where $A\langle i,j\rangle$ is the matrix obtained from A by deleting the i-th row and j-th column.

$$C_{23} = (-1)^{2+3} \det \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix} = -a_{11}a_{32} + a_{31}a_{12}$$

Theorem 4.4.7: Laplace's Expansion

Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an $(n \times n)$ -matrix with entries from a commutative ring R. For a fixed i, the i-th row expansion of the determinant (left) and similarly, the j-th column expansion of the determinant (right) is

$$\det(A) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} C_{ij}$$

$$\det(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ij} C_{ij}$$

$$\det(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ij} C_{i,j}$$

Definition 4.4.8: Adjugate Matrix

Let A be a $(n \times n)$ -matrix with entries in a commutative ring R. The adjugate matrix adj(A) is the $(n \times n)$ -matrix whose entries are $adj(A)_{ij} = C_{ji}$ where C_{ji} is the (j, i)-cofactor

Theorem 4.4: Determinant Theorem Bank

4.4.1: Let R be a commutative ring, $A, B \in Mat(n; R)$. Then

$$\det(AB) = \det(A)\det(B)$$

4.4.2: The determinant of a square matrix with entries in a field F is non-zero if and only if the matrix is invertible

4.4.3: - If A is invertible then $det(A^{-1}) = det(A)^{-1}$

- If B is a square matrix then $det(A^{-1}BA) = det(B)$

4.4.4: For all $A \in Mat(n; R)$ with R a commutative ring,

$$\det(A^T) = \det(A)$$

4.4.9 (Cramer's Rule): Let A be a $(n \times n)$ -matrix with entries in a commutative ring R. Then

$$A \cdot \operatorname{adj}(A) = (\det A)I_n$$

4.4.11 A square matrix with entries in a commutative ring R is invertible if and only if its determinant is a unit in R. That is, $A \in Mat(n; R)$ is invertible if and only if $det(A) \in \mathbb{R}^{\times}$

4.4.14 (Jacobi's Formula): Let $A = (a_{ij})$ where the coefficients $a_{ij} = a_{ij}(t)$ are functions of t. Then

$$\frac{d}{dt}\det A = \text{TrAdj}A\frac{dA}{dt}$$

Definition 4.5.6: Characteristic Polynomial

Let R be a commutative ring and let $A \in Mat(n; R)$ be a square matrix with entries in R. The polynomial $\det(xI_n - A) \in R[x]$ is called the **char**acteristic polynomial of the matrix A. It is denoted by

$$\chi_A(x) := \det(xI_n - A)$$

Thm: 4.5.8: Let F be a field and $A \in Mat(n; F)$ a square matrix with entries in F. The eigenvalues of the linear mapping $A: F^n \to F^n$ are exactly the roots of the characteristic polynomial χ_A

Theorem 4.5.9: Eigenvalue Remarks

- Thm 4.5.4 (Existence of Eigenvalues) Each endomorphism of a non-zero finite dimensional vector space over an algebraically closed field has an eigenvalue
- Square matrices $A, B \in Mat(n; R)$ of same size are **conjugate** if

$$B = P^{-1}AP \in Mat(n; R)$$

for an invertible $P \in GL(n; R)$

- Conjugacy is an equivalence relation on Mat(n; R)
- · The char. polynomials for two conjugate matrices are the same
- We can define the char. polynomials of an endomorphism $f: V \to V$ of an n-dim vector space over a field F to be

$$\chi_f(x) = \chi_A(x) \in F[x]$$

with $A = A[f]_{\mathcal{A}} \in \operatorname{Mat}(n; R)$ the matrix of f w.r.t any basis \mathcal{A} for V. The E.V.s of f are exactly the roots of χ_f

Theorem 4.5.10: Extending Bases

Let $f: V \to V$ be an endomorphism of an n-dimensional vector space V over a field F. Suppose given an m-dimensional subspace $W\subseteq V$ such that $f(W) \subseteq W$, so that there are defined endomorphisms of the subspace and the quotient space:

$$g: W \to W; \ \vec{w} \mapsto f(\vec{w})$$

 $h: V/W \to V/W; \ W + \vec{v} \mapsto W + f(\vec{v})$

The char. poly. of f is the product of the char. poly.s of g and h

Definition 4.6.1: Triangularisability

Let $f: V \to V$ be an endomorphism of a finite dimensional F-vector space V. f is **triangularisable** if the vector space V has an ordered basis $\mathcal{B} = (\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n)$ such that

$$\begin{split} f(\vec{v}_1) &= a_{11}\vec{v}_1, \\ f(\vec{v}_2) &= a_{12}\vec{v}_1 + a_{22}\vec{v}_2, \\ & \vdots \\ f(\vec{v}_n) &= a_{1n}\vec{v}_1 + a_{2n}\vec{v}_2 + \dots + a_{nn}\vec{v}_n \in V \end{split}$$

(so that the first basis vector \vec{v}_1 is an eigenvector, with eigenvalue a_{11}) or equivalently such that the $n \times n$ matrix $_{\mathcal{B}}[f]_{\mathcal{B}} = (a_{ij})$ representing f with respect to \mathcal{B} is upper triangular (or any other triangular)

Theorem 4.6.1 - 4.6.3

Let $f: V \to V$ be an endomorphism of a finite dimensional F-vector space V. Then f is triangularisable iff the characteristic polynomial χ_f decomposes into linear factors in F[x]

Finding ordered bases - Choose from the following subspaces

- 1. $W = \{ \mu \vec{v}_1 \mid \mu \in F \} \subset V$
- 2. $W' = \ker(f \lambda 1_V)$. This has a basis of E.Vs $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_r\}$
- 3. $W'' = \text{im}(\lambda 1_V f)$

Then extend the basis to another ordered basis \mathcal{B} for V (the full space) where $can(\vec{v}_i) = \vec{u}_i$ forms a basis for V/W. $\beta[f]\beta$ is upper triangular.

An endomorphism $A: F^n \to F^n$ is triangularisable iff $A=(a_{ij})$ is conjugate to $B = (b_{ij})(b_{ij} = 0 \text{ for } i > j)$, an upper triangular matrix, with $P^{-1}AP = B$ for an invertible matrix P

Definition 4.6.6: Diagonalisability

An endomorphism $f: V \to V$ of an F-vector space V is **diagonalisable** iff there exists a basis of V consisting of eigenvectors of f. If V is finite dimensional then this is the same as saving that there exists an ordered basis $\mathcal{B} = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ where $\beta[f]_{\mathcal{B}} = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$. In this case, of course, $f(\vec{v}_i) = \lambda_i \vec{v}_i$.

A square matrix $A \in Mat(n; F)$ is **diagonalisable** iff A is conjugate to a diagonal matrix, i.e. there exists $P \in GL(n; F)$ such that $P^{-1}AP = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$. In this case the columns P are the vectors of a basis of F^n consisting of eigenvectors of A with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$

Theorem 4.6.9: Linear Independence of Eigenvectors

Let $f: V \to V$ be an endomorphism of a vector space V and let $\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_n$ be eigenvectors of f with pairwise different eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$. Then the vectors $\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_n$ are linearly independent

Theorem 4.6.10: Cayley-Hamilton Theorem

Let $A \in Mat(n; R)$ be a square matrix with entries in a commutative ring R. Then evaluating its characteristic polynomial $\chi_A(x) \in R[x]$ at the matrix A gives zero.

4 Inner Product Spaces

Definition 5.1.1: Inner Product

Let V be a vector space over \mathbb{R} . An **inner product** on V is a mapping

$$(-,-):V\times V\to \mathbb{R}$$

that satisfies the following for all $\vec{x}, \vec{y}, \vec{z} \in V$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$:

- 1. $(\lambda \vec{x} + \mu \vec{y}, \vec{z}) = \lambda(\vec{x}, \vec{z}) + \mu(\vec{y}, \vec{z})$
- 2. $(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = (\vec{y}, \vec{x})$
- 3. $(\vec{x}, \vec{x}) > 0$, with equality iff $\vec{x} = \vec{0}$

A real inner product space is a real vector space equipped with an inner product. Note: basically a generalisation of dot prod.

A complex inner product space is a complex vector space equipped with an inner product. This is the exact same, but condition 2 uses $(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \overline{(\vec{y}, \vec{x})}$ where \overline{z} is the complex conjugate

Definition 5.1.5: Norm

In a real/complex inner product space, the length or inner product **norm** or **norm** $\|\vec{v}\| \in \mathbb{R}$ of a vector \vec{v} is the non-negative square root

$$\|\vec{v}\| = \sqrt{(\vec{v}, \vec{v})}$$

Vectors whose length are 1 are called units. Two vectors \vec{v} , \vec{w} are orthogonal, written $\vec{v} \perp \vec{w}$, iff $(\vec{v}, \vec{w}) = 0$

The norm $\|\cdot\|$ on an inner product spaces V satisfies, for any $\vec{v}, \vec{w} \in V$ and

- 1. $\|\vec{v}\| > 0$ with equality iff $\vec{v} = \vec{0}$
- 2. $\|\lambda \vec{v}\| = |\lambda| \|\vec{v}\|$
- 3. $|\vec{v} + \vec{w}| < ||\vec{v}|| + ||\vec{w}||$ (triangle inequality)

Definition 5.1.7: Orthonormal Family

A family $(\vec{v}_i)_{i \in I}$ for vectors from an inner product space is an **orthonor**mal family if all the vectors \vec{v}_i have length 1 and if they are pairwise orthogonal to each other. If $\delta_{i,j}$ is the Kronecker delta defined by "1 if i=j, and 0 otherwise", this means that $(\vec{v}_i, \vec{v}_j) = \delta_{ij}$. An orthonormal family that has a basis is an orthonormal basis

Thm 5.1.10: Every finite dimensional inner product space has an orthonormal basis

Definition 5.2.1: Orthogonals to a Subset

Let V be an inner product space and let $T \subseteq V$ be an arbitrary subset.

$$T^{\perp} = \{ \vec{v} \in V : \vec{v} \perp \vec{t} \, \forall \vec{t} \in T \}$$

calling this set the orthogonal to T

Theorem 5.2.2: Complementary Othorgonals

Let V be an inner product space and let U be a finite dimensional subspace of V. Then U and U^{\perp} are complementary, i.e. $V = U \oplus U^{\perp}$

Definition 5.2.3: Orthogonal Projection

Let U be a finite dimensional subspace of an inner product space V. The space U^{\perp} is the **orthogonal complement to** U. The **orthogonal projection from** V **onto** U is the map

$$\pi_U:V\to V$$

that sends $\vec{v} = \vec{p} + \vec{r}$ to \vec{p}

Prop 5.2.4: Let U be a finite dimensional subspace of an inner product space V and let π_U be the orthogonal projection from V onto U

- 1. π_U is a linear mapping with $\operatorname{im}(\pi_U) = U$ and $\operatorname{ker}(\pi_U) = U^{\perp}$
- 2. If $\{\vec{v}_1,\ldots,\vec{v}_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis of U, then π_U is given by the following formula for all $\vec{v}\in V$

$$\pi_U(\vec{v}) = \sum_{i=1}^n (\vec{v}, \vec{v}_i) \vec{v}_i$$

3. $\pi_{II}^2 = \pi_{II}$, that is, π_{II} is an idempotent

Theorem 5.2.5: Cauchy-Shwarz Inequality

Let \vec{v} , \vec{w} be vectors in an inner product space. Then

$$|(\vec{v}, \vec{w})| \le ||\vec{v}|| ||\vec{w}||$$

with equality if and only if \vec{v} and \vec{w} are linearly dependent

Theorem 5.2.7: Gram-Shmidt Process

Let $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k$ be linearly independent vectors in an inner product space V. Then there exists an orthonormal family $\vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_k$ with the property that for all $1 \le i \le k$,

$$\vec{w}_i \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \vec{v}_i + \langle \vec{v}_{i-1}, \dots, \vec{v}_1 \rangle$$

Gram-Shmidt Algorithm: Start with an arbitrary linerly independent ordered subset v_1, v_2 of an inner product space

- 1. Take the first element v_1 and normalise it to have length 1
- 2. Take v_2 , and subtract the orthogonal projection of it to the space $\langle \vec{w}_1 \rangle$ to make it a right angle. Normalise this to have length 1
- 3. Take v_3 and subtract the orthogonal projection of it onto $\langle \vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2 \rangle$. Normalise this to length 1

Repeat this for all vectors. Observe that for each step we have $\langle \vec{w}_{i-1}, \ldots, \vec{w}_1 \rangle \subseteq \langle \vec{v}_{i-1}, \ldots, \vec{v}_1 \rangle$ and because the dimension of both sides is the same, it's actually an equality

Definition 5.3.1: Adjoints

Let V be an inner product space. Then two endomorphisms $T,S:V\to V$ are called **adjoint** to one another if the following holds for all $\vec{v},\vec{w}\in V$:

$$(T\vec{v}, \vec{w}) = (\vec{v}, S\vec{w})$$

In this case I will write $S = T^*$ and call S the **adjoint** of T

Remark 5.3.2: Any endomorphism has at most one adjoint.

Theorem 5.3.4

Let V be a finite dimensional inner product space. Let $T:V\to V$ be an endomorphism. Then T^* exists. That is, there is a unique linear mapping $T^*:V\to V$ such that for all $\vec{v},\vec{w}\in V$:

$$(T\vec{v}, \vec{w}) = (\vec{v}, T^*\vec{w})$$

Definition 5.3.5: Self Adjoints

An endomorphism of an inner product space $T: V \to V$ is **self-adjoint** if it equals its own adjoint, i.e. if $T^* = T$

Thm 5.3.7: Let $T:V\to V$ be a self-adjoint linear mapping on an inner product space V

- 1. Every eigenvalue of T is real
- 2. If λ and μ are distinct eigenvalues of T with corresponding eigenvectors \vec{v} and $\vec{w},$ then $(\vec{v},\vec{w})=0$
- 3. T has an eigenvalue

Definition 5.3.11: Orthogonal Matrices

An **Orthogonal matrix** is an $(n \times n)$ -matrix P with real entries such that $P^T P = I_n$, or in other words such that $P^{-1} = P^T$

A hermitian matrix is one that is self-adjoint in $\mathbb{C},$ or in other words one where $A=\overline{A}^T$ holds

An unitary matrix is an $(n \times n)$ -matrix P with complex entries such that $\overline{P}^T P = I_n$, or such that $P^{-1} = \overline{P}^T$

Theorem 5.3.9: Spectral Theorems

5.3.9: The Spectral Theorem for Self-Adjoint Endomorphisms

Let V be a finite dimensional inner product space and let $T:V\to V$ be a self-adjoint linear mapping. Then V has an orthonormal basis consisting of eigenvalues of T.

5.3.11: The Spectral Theorem for Real Symmetric Matrices Let A be a real $(n \times n)$ -symmetric matrix. Then there is an $(n \times n)$ -orthogonal matrix P such that

$$P^{T}AP = P^{-1}AP = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_{1}, \dots, \lambda_{n})$$

where $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ are the (necessarily real) eigenvalues of A, repeated according to their multiplicity as roots of χ_A

5.3.15: The Spectral Theorem for Hermitian Matrices

Let A be a $(n \times n)$ -hermitian matrix. Then there is an $(n \times n)$ -unitary matrix P such that

$$\overline{P}^T A P = P^{-1} A P = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$$

where $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ are the (necessarily real) eigenvalues of A, repeated according to their multiplicity as roots of χ_A

5 Jordan Normal Form

Definition 6.2.1: Jordan Blocks

Given an integer $r \ge 1$ define an $(r \times r)$ -matrix J(r) called the **nilpotent Jordan block of size** r, by the rule $J(r)_{ij} = 1$ for j = i+1 AND $J(r)_{ij} = 0$ otherwise

In particular, J(1) is a (1×1) -matrix whose only entry is zero.

Given an integer $r \geq 1$ and a scalar $\lambda \in F$, define an $(r \times r)$ -matrix $J(r, \lambda)$ called the **Jordan block of size** r and **eigenvalue** λ by the rule

$$J(r,\lambda) = \lambda I_r + J(r) = D + N$$

with $\lambda I_r=\mathrm{diag}(\lambda,\lambda,\dots,\lambda)=D$ diagonal and J(r)=N nilpotent such that DN=ND

Theorem 6.2.2: Jordan Normal Form

Let F be an algebraically closed field. Let V be a finite dimensional vector space and let $\phi:V\to V$ be an endomorphism of V with char. polynomial

$$\chi_{\phi}(x) = (x - \lambda_1)^{a_1} (x - \lambda_2)^{a_2} .. (x - \lambda_s)^{a_s} \in F[x], a_i \ge 1, \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = n$$

For distinct $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_s \in F$. Then there exists an ordered basis \mathcal{B} of V such that the matrix of ϕ with respect to the block \mathcal{B} is block diagonal with Jordan blocks on the diagonal, $_{\mathcal{B}}[\phi]_{\mathcal{B}}$

= diag
$$(J(r_{11}, \lambda_1), \ldots, J(r_{1m_1}, \lambda_1), J(r_{21}, \lambda_2), \ldots, J(r_{sm_s}, \lambda_s))$$

with $r_{11}, \ldots, r_{1m_1}, r_{21, \ldots, r_{sm_s}} \ge 1$ such that

$$a_i = r_{i_1} + r_{i_2} + \dots + r_{i_{m_i}} \quad (1 \le i \le s)$$

Theorem 6.3.1: Bézout's identity for polynomials

For a characteristic polynomial

$$\chi_{\phi}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{s} (x - \lambda_i)^{a_i} \in F[x]$$

where each a_i is a positive integer, $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$ for $i \neq j$, and λ_i are e.v.s of ϕ . For each $1 \leq j \leq s$ define

$$P_j(x) = \prod_{\substack{i=1\\i\neq j}}^s (x - \lambda_i)^{a_i}$$

There exists polynomials $Q_i(x) \in F[x]$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{s} P_j(x)Q_j(x) = 1$$

Definition 6.3.2: Generalised Eigenspace

The generalised eigenspace of ϕ with eigenvalue λ_i , $E^{\rm gen}(\lambda_i, \phi)$ is the following subspace of V:

$$E^{\text{gen}}(\lambda_i, \phi) = \{ \vec{v} \in V \mid (\phi - \lambda_i \operatorname{id}_V)^{a_i}(\vec{v}) = \vec{0} \}$$

The dimension of $E^{\rm gen}(\lambda_i,\phi)$ is called the **algebraic multiplicity of** ϕ with eigenvalue λ_i while the dimension of the eigenspace $E(\lambda_i,\phi)$ is called the **geometric multiplicity of** ϕ with eigenvalue λ

Remark 6.3.4: The actual eigenspace is defined by

$$E(\lambda_i, \phi) = \{ \vec{v} \in V \mid (\phi - \lambda_i \operatorname{id}_V)(\vec{v}) = \vec{0} \}$$

 $E^{\mathrm{gen}}(\lambda_i, \phi) \subseteq E^{\mathrm{gen}}(\lambda_i, \phi)$, or the algebraic multiplicity of any e.v. must be greater or equal to the corresponding geometric multiplicity

Definition 6.3.4: Stable subsets

Let $f: X \to X$ be a mapping from a set X to itself. A subset $Y \subseteq X$ is **stable under** f precisely when $f(Y) \subseteq Y$, that is if $y \in Y$ then $f(y) \in Y$.

Theorem 6.3: JNF Theorem Bank

6.3.6: For each i, define a linear mapping

$$\psi_i: \frac{W_i}{W_{i-1}} \to \frac{W_{i-1}}{W_{i-2}}$$

by $\psi_i(\vec{w} + W_{i-1}) = \psi(\vec{w}) + W_{i-2}$ for $\vec{w} \in W_i$. Then ψ_i is well-defined and injective

- **6.3.7**: Let $f: X \to Y$ be an injective linear mapping between the F-vector spaces X and Y. If $\{\vec{x}_1, \ldots, \vec{x}_t\}$ is a linearly independent set in X, then $\{f(\vec{x}_1, \ldots, \vec{x}_t)\}$ is a linearly independent set in Y
- **6.3.8**: The set of elements $\{\vec{v}_{j,k}:1\leq j\leq m,1\leq k\leq d_j\}$ constructed in the next algorithm is a basis for W
- **6.3.9**: Let \mathcal{B} be the ordered basis of W $\{\vec{v}_{j,k}: 1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq k \leq d_j\}$. Then $_{\mathcal{B}}[\psi]_{\mathcal{B}} =$

$$\underbrace{J(m),..,J(m)}_{d_m \text{ times}},\underbrace{J(m-1),..,J(m-1)}_{d_{m-1}-d_m \text{ times}},..,\underbrace{J(1),..,J(1)}_{d_1-d_2 \text{ times}}$$

where J(r) denotes the nilpotent Jordan block of size r

Theorem 6.3.5: Direct Sum Composition

For each $1 \leq i \leq s$, let

$$\mathcal{B}_i = \{ \vec{v}_{ij} \in V \mid 1 \le j \le a_i \}$$

be a basis of $E^{\mathrm{gen}}(\lambda_i, \phi)$, where a_i is the algebraic multiplicity of ϕ with eigenvalue λ_i s.t. $\sum_{i=1}^s a_i = n$ is the dimension of V.

- 1. Each $E^{\mathrm{gen}}(\lambda_i, \phi)$ is stable under ϕ
- 2. For each $\vec{v} \in V$ there exist unique $\vec{v}_i \in E^{\mathrm{gen}}(\lambda_i, \phi)$ such that $\vec{v} = \sum_{i=1}^s \vec{v}_i$. In other words, there is a direct sum decomposition

$$V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} E^{\text{gen}}(\lambda_i, \phi)$$

with ϕ restricting to endomorphisms of the summands

$$\phi_i = \phi|: E^{\text{gen}}(\lambda_i, \phi) \to E^{\text{gen}}(\lambda_i, \phi)$$

3. Then

$$\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_2 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{B}_s = \{\vec{v}_{ij} \mid 1 \le i \le s, 1 \le j \le a_i\}$$

is a basis of V. The matrix of the endomorphism ϕ w.r.t. this basis is given by the block diagonal matrix

$$_{\mathcal{B}}[\phi]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{B_1}{0} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & B_2 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & B_s \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{Mat}(n; F)$$

with $B_i = B_i [\phi_i]_{B_i} \in Mat(a_i; F)$

Theorem 6.3: JNF Basis Algorithm

Algorithm to construct a basis for each W_i/W_{i-1} :

• Choose an arbitrary basis for W_m/W_{m-1} , say

$$\{v_{m,1}+W_{m-1}, \vec{v}_{m,2}+W_{m-1}, \dots, \vec{v}_m, d_m+W_{m-1}\}$$

• Since $\psi_m: W_m/W_{m-1} \to W_{m-1}/W_{m-2}$ is injective by 6.3.6, 6.3.7 proves that

$$\{\psi(\vec{v}_{m,1}) + W_{m-2}, \psi(\vec{v}_m, 2) + W_{m-2}, ..., \psi(\vec{v}_m, d_m + W_{m-2})\}$$

is a linearly independent set in W_{m-1}/W_{m-2} . Set $\vec{v}_{m-1,i}=\psi(\vec{v}_{m,i})$ for $1\leq i\leq d_m$

- . Choose vectors $\{\vec{v}_{m-1,i}:d_m+1\leq i\leq d_{m-1}\}$ so that $\{\vec{v}_{m-1,i}+W_{m-i-1}:1\leq k\leq d_{m-i}\}$ is a basis of W_{m-1}/W_{m-2}
- · Repeat!