AGENT MODULE BASIC-EXP-SYNTAX SYNTAX Exp ::= (Exp) [bracket]	
END MODULE VAL SYNTAX $Exp := Val$ SYNTAX $KResult := Val$	
END MODULE MODULE BOOL-EXP-SYNTAX SYNTAX Exp ::= Bool END MODULE	
MODULE BOOL-EXP $SYNTAX Val ::= Bool$ END MODULE $MODULE INT-EXP-SYNTAX$ $SYNTAX Exp ::= Int$	
END MODULE INT-EXP SYNTAX Val ::= Int END MODULE	
MODULE EXP-SYNTAX $Exp ::= Exp * Exp [strict, mul]$ $ Exp / Exp [strict, div]$ $ Exp + Exp [strict, plus]$ $ Exp + Exp [leq, seqstrict]$ $ Exp = Exp [leq, strict]$ $ not Exp [strict, not]$ $ Exp and Exp [and, strict(1)]$	
END MODULE EXP RULE $\frac{I1:Int + I2:Int}{I1 + Int}$ RULE $\frac{I1:Int * I2:Int}{I1 + Int}$	
RULE $\frac{I1:Int / I2:Int}{I1 \div_{Int} I2}$ requires $I2 = /=_{Int} 0$ RULE $\frac{I1:Int \le I2:Int}{I1 \le_{Int} I2}$ RULE $\frac{V1:Val = V2:Val}{V1:Val = V2:Val}$	
$V1 =_{K} V2$ $\text{RULE} \frac{\text{not } T\text{:}Bool}{\neg_{Bool} T}$ $\text{RULE} \frac{\text{true and } E\text{:}Exp}{\check{E}}$ $\text{RULE} \frac{\text{false and } E}{\text{false}}$	
END MODULE MODULE IF-SYNTAX SYNTAX Exp ::= if Exp then Exp else Exp [if, strict(1)] END MODULE	
MODULE IF RULE $\frac{\text{if true then } E \text{ else } - }{\check{E}}$ RULE $\frac{\text{if false then } - \text{ else } E}{\check{E}}$ END MODULE	
MODULE ID-EXP-SYNTAX SYNTAX Exp ::= Id END MODULE MODULE LAMBDA-SYNTAX SYNTAX Lambda ::= Nd Exp [binder lamb]	
SYNTAX Lambda ::= \(\lambda \) Lambda \(\text{Exp Exp Exp [app, strict]} \) \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
MODULE MU-SYNTAX $Exp := \mu Id.Exp$ [mu, binder] END MODULE MODULE MU RULE $(\mu X:Id.E:K)$	
$\frac{(\mu X. ka. E. N)}{E[(\mu X. E) / X]}$ END MODULE CALLCC-SYNTAX $\text{SYNTAX} \textit{Exp} ::= \text{callcc} \textit{Exp} [\text{strict, callCC}]$ END MODULE	
MODULE CALLCC $ \text{SYNTAX} \textit{Val} ::= \textit{cc}(\textit{K}) $ $ \text{RULE} \qquad \qquad \boxed{ \frac{\texttt{callcc}\left(\textit{V}:\textit{KResult}\right) \curvearrowright \textit{K}}{\left(\textit{V} \cdot \textit{cc}(\textit{K}:\textit{K})\right)} } $	
RULE $(cc(K), V) \sim -V \sim K$ END MODULE MODULE HALT-SYNTAX	
SYNTAX Exp ::= halt Exp [strict] END MODULE MODULE HALT RULE	
END MODULE SEQ $ \begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	[structural]
END MODULE MODULE IO-SYNTAX SYNTAX Exp ::= read [read]	
MODULE IO CONFIGURATION: \$PGM:Exp •List •List RULE k	
$ \begin{array}{c c} \hline \text{read} \\ \hline \hline I: Int \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \textbf{ListItem} \ (I) \\ \hline \bullet_{List} \end{array} \\ \hline \text{RULE} & \begin{array}{c} \textbf{k} \\ \hline \\ \textbf{print} \ V: Val \\ \hline \\ \textbf{skip} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \textbf{out} \\ \hline \\ \textbf{ListItem} \ (V) \end{array} \\ \hline \\ \text{END MODULE} \\ \end{array} $	
END MODULE MODULE REF-SYNTAX SYNTAX $Exp ::= \text{ref } Exp \text{ [ref, strict]}$ $ * Exp \text{ [strict, dref]}$ $ Exp := Exp \text{ [strict(2), assgn]}$ END MODULE	
MODULE REF CONFIGURATION: $k \mod 9PGM:Exp \mod 9Map$ CONTEXT $* \square :=$	
RULE $ \begin{array}{c c} \hline \text{ref } V \colon Val \\ \hline N \colon Int \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c c} \bullet_{Map} \\ \hline N \mapsto V \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c c} \bullet \\ \hline N \mapsto V \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c c} \bullet \\ \hline N \mapsto V \end{array} $	[transition]
RULE $ \begin{array}{c c} & & \\ \hline *N := V \\ \hline \hline *\dot{skip} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ N \mapsto & \\ \hline & \dot{V} \end{array} $ END MODULE	[transition]
MODULE WHILE-SYNTAX $SYNTAX Exp ::= \text{ while } Exp \text{ do } Exp \text{ [while]}$ END MODULE MODULE WHILE RULE	
END MODULE MODULE THREADS CONFIGURATION: k	
RULE thread *Bag thread spawn S skip S	[transition]
RULE $V: Val$ $holds: Map$ $Busy: Set$ $Busy - Set$ $keys$ $(Holds)$	[transition]
RULE $ \begin{array}{c c} k & & \text{holds} \\ \hline & \text{acquire } V : Val \\ \hline & \text{skip} \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c c} \bullet_{Map} & \bullet_{Set} \\ \hline & V \mapsto 0 \end{array} \end{array} $ RULE $ \begin{array}{c c} k & & \text{holds} \\ \hline & \text{acquire } V : Val \\ \hline & \text{skip} \end{array} \begin{array}{c c} busy & \bullet_{Set} \\ \hline & \text{SetItem } (V) \end{array} $	[transition]
RULE k holds requires $N >_{Int} 0$ RULE k holds busy release $V: Val$ $V \mapsto N$ $N = N$ holds $V \mapsto N$ $N = N$ $N $	
RULE $\frac{k}{\text{rendezvous }V:Val}$ $\frac{k}{\text{skip}}$ $\frac{\text{rendezvous }V}{\text{skip}}$ END MODULE	[transition]
MODULE AGENTS-SYNTAX SYNTAX Exp ::= newAgent Exp [newAg]	
barrier [bar] broadcast Exp [bcst, strict] haltAgent [haltAg] END MODULE MODULE AGENTS CONFIGURATION:	
agent* world SetItem (0) true Set messages from K *Set from *Set *S	
RULE agent S: K N1: Int Set Set Item (N2) RULE Set Set Item (N2) Set Item (N2) Set Item (N2) Set Item (N2)	
*Bag N:Int *Bag RULE *Bag RULE *Bag RULE *Set *Set	[transition]
RULE N N N	
RULE N parent N RULE M N N RULE M N	
RULE N N_1 SetItem N_2 N_3 N_4 N_4 N_5 SetItem N_4 N_5 N_6	[transition]
RULE $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N^2}}$	[transition]
RULE Message N broadcast V world N W:Set Message N W V N W V	[structural]
RULE \bullet_{Set} \bullet_{Bag} RULE \bullet_{Set} \bullet_{Rot}	[transition]
RULE SendSynch V to N2 Skip N2 receive V	[transition]
RULE N barrier true W \bullet_{Set}	[transition]
RULE Maiting SetItem (N) RULE barrier waiting RULE barrier waiting RULE barrier waiting	
END MODULE Generic Visitors	
 #visitor, which is a KItem stating what to do during the visit. Currently, #visitor expects four arguments: the first two describe the action to take, and the later two describe the condition under which to take the action. We need two arguments for each because both the action and the condition consist of a KLabel and a partial list of arguments for it. The complete list of arguments is obtained by appending the actual node being visited to the partial list of arguments. #visited, which is a wrapper for the visited K term. One important aspect of K visitors is that they need to allow for code (i.e., K terms) to be executed during the visiting process. For example, in an implementation of quote/unquote using visitors, code which is unquoted the right number of times (as 	
many times as it has been quoted) has to be executed in exactly that context. This is achieved by simply allowing the action embedded in the visitor to do anything, including replacing the visited node with code to be executed, in particular with itself. In order for this to work, the temporary constructs used during the top-down traversal saying that the term is being visited need to be made strict. Once the argument subterms of these strict operators are visited, the larger term is also marked visited and the process continues until the entire term is marked as visited. The #visited label needs to yield KResults in order for this to work, although in practice probably users of the visitor will subsort #visited-wrapped terms to their definition's particular KResults.	
Code Generation Here we show the semantics of the code generation constructs, namely of quote, unquote, lift, eval. The interesting aspect of our K definition of these constructs below is that it is language independent. That is, nothing needs to change in the semantics below if new syntactic constructs are added to or removed from Agent. MODULE QUOTE-UNQUOTE-SYNTAX	
Syntax. Lift and eval are strict, where the former takes the resulting value and lifts it into a code value, and the later expects its argument to evaluate to a code value and turns it into its corresponding code, which is consequently evaluated in the current context. quote and unquote are not strict. The former freezes its argument code into a code value, without evaluating it, except for code appearing as arguments of unquote. In fact, quote and unquote can be nested; a counter keeps track of how many times quote appears nested, and only the code which is unquoted the same number of times gets evaluated in the current context. Please refer to languages like Scheme for more details on how these constructs work.	
SYNTAX Exp ::= quote Exp [quote] unquote Exp [unquote] lift Exp [lift, strict] eval Exp [eval, strict] END MODULE MODULE QUOTE-UNQUOTE	
Semantics. We here chose to use the generic visitor pre-defined in \mathbb{K} . A direct definition would be clearer, but although still language-independent it would involve more rules. Additionally, this offers an opportunity to illustrate the power of \mathbb{K} 's generic visitors. Define a visitor parametric in a natural number N that applies quoteit (defined below) with first argument N to quote and unquote nodes; these nodes are recognized with the predicate isQuote (also defined below). We define this visitor as a	
SYNTAX $\mathit{KItem} ::= qVisitor(\mathit{Int}) [klabel('qVisitor)]$ RULE $\qquad \qquad \qquad$	[macro]
term will always be an expression, but we want our semantics to be as general as possible, so we want it to work also if we add other syntactic categories to our language (e.g., statements): SYNTAX $Exp ::= mQuote(K, Int) [klabel('mQuote)]$ RULE $\frac{mQuote(E, N)}{\#visit(E, qVisitor(N))}$	[macro]
The semantics of quote E is defined as follows: visit E, starting with counter 0; whenever a nested quote construct is encountered, increment the counter and continue; whenever a nested unquote construct is encountered, if the counter is 0 then execute the unquoted code, otherwise decrement the counter and continue. The unquote construct is expected to produce a code value, otherwise a runtime error will occur if executed (because unquote can only occur inside the argument expression of a quote). Since the result of applying a visitor to a K term is the visited K term wrapped with label #visited, the semantics of the constructs lift and eval are defined using the #visited wrapper: SYNTAX KItem ::= quoteit (Int, K) [klabel('quoteit)]	
$ \begin{array}{ll} \text{SYNTAX} & \textit{KItem} ::= \text{quoteit} \left(\textit{Int}, K\right) \left[\text{klabel('quoteit)}\right] \\ \text{RULE} & \frac{\text{quote} E}{\text{mQuote} \left(E, 0\right)} \\ \text{RULE} & \frac{\text{quoteit} \left(N, \text{quote} E\right)}{\# visiting.kapp} \left(\# \text{label} \left('quote_\right), \text{mQuote} \left(E, N +_{Int} 1\right)\right) \\ \text{RULE} & \frac{\text{quoteit} \left(0, \text{unquote} E\right)}{E} \\ \end{array} $	
RULE $\frac{\text{quoteit}(N, \text{unquote}E)}{\#visiting.kapp(\ \#\text{label}\ ('unquote_), \ mQuote\ (E, N{Int}\ 1))} \\ \text{RULE} \frac{\text{lift}\ V:Val}{\#visited(V)} \\ \text{RULE} \frac{\text{eval}\ \#visited(E:K)}{E} \\ \end{array}$	
Since we want code values to become actual values in our language, we also need to explicitly state it that #visited-wrapped terms belong to Val (the generic visitor only ensures they are KResults): RULE isVal(#visited(—)) true Finally, we define the auxiliary predicate testing if a code fragment is a quote or unquote:	
true RULE isQuoted (—) false Conceptually, the above is the conventional definition of quote/unquote. However, the definitions that we encountered so far were all language specific; that is, rules propagating the transformations above through each particular language construct were given, ending up with a semantics of quote/unquote as large as the size of the language syntax. Note that our semantics is fixed and applies to any language.	[owise]
END MODULE MODULE AGENT-SYNTAX END MODULE MODULE AGENT	
CONFIGURATION: T agent* mextAgent formal parent	waiting •Set
END MODULE	