

# BML lecture #3: variational Bayes

<http://github.com/rbardenet/bml-course>

Rémi Bardenet

CNRS & CRIS<sup>t</sup>AL, Univ. Lille, France



**1** Introduction

**2** Variational inference

**3** Back to LDA

## 1 Introduction

## 2 Variational inference

## 3 Back to LDA

What comes to *your* mind when you hear "variational inference"?

1 Introduction

**2 Variational inference**

3 Back to LDA

## Turning integration into optimization over measures

Variational Bayesian inference (VB) consists in approximating

$$\int f(\theta)\pi(\theta)d\theta \approx \int f(\theta)q(\theta)d\theta$$

with  $q \in \arg \min_{\tilde{q} \in \mathcal{Q}} \text{distance}(\pi, \tilde{q})$ . Often we take

$$\text{distance}(\pi, \tilde{q}) = \text{KL}(\tilde{q}, \pi) := \int q(\theta) \log \frac{q(\theta)}{\pi(\theta)} d\theta.$$

for computational convenience.

But remember we can only evaluate  $\pi_u = Z\pi\ldots$

► Show that  $J(q) := \int q(\theta) \log \frac{q(\theta)}{\pi_u(\theta)} d\theta = \text{KL}(q, \pi) - \log Z$ .

► In particular,  $L(q) = -J(q) \leq \log Z$ . For

$$\pi_u(\theta) = p(\text{data}|\theta)p(\theta),$$

$L(q)$  is thus a lower bound for the evidence  $p(\text{data})$  (ELBO).

- ▶ The most common approach is the mean-field approximation

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{\theta \mapsto \prod_{d=1}^D q_d(\theta_d)\}.$$

- ▶ Include all variables over which you integrate, e.g.

$$q(\theta, z_{1:n}) = \prod_{d=1}^D q_d(\theta_d) \prod_{i=1}^N q_i(z_i).$$

- ▶ Try to keep some dependence if it is key in your application.
- ▶ If your original model has NEF conditionals, **coordinate-wise maximization of  $q \mapsto L(q)$  is easy.**





1 Introduction

2 Variational inference

**3 Back to LDA**

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \log p(y, z, \pi, B) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^N \left[ \log p(\pi_i | \alpha) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{L_i} \left( \log p(z_{i\ell} | \pi_i) + \log p(y_{i\ell} | z_{i\ell}, B) \right) \right] + p(B | \gamma) \\
 &\propto \sum_{i=1}^N \left[ \sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k \log \pi_{ik} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{L_i} \left( \sum_{k=1}^K 1_{z_{i\ell}=k} \log \pi_{ik} + \sum_{v=1}^V \sum_{k=1}^K 1_{y_{i\ell}=v} 1_{z_{i\ell}=k} \log b_{kv} \right) \right] \\
 &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{v=1}^V \gamma_k \log b_{kv}.
 \end{aligned}$$

## Lemma (exercise)

Let  $\Psi(\cdot) := \Gamma'(\cdot)/\Gamma(\cdot)$  be the digamma function. Then

$$\mathbb{E}_{\text{Dir}(\theta|\alpha)} \log \theta_i = \Psi(\theta_i) - \Psi(\|\theta\|_1).$$



- ▶ Storing  $\tilde{z}_{i\ell k}$  requires  $\mathcal{O}(NK \sum_i L_i)$  space. In practice, one works with (sparse) count data

$n_{iv}$  = number of times word  $v$  appears in document  $i$ ,

and variables  $c_{ivk}$ , thus reducing storage costs (and the dimension of the underlying integral!) to  $\mathcal{O}(NVK)$ .



- ▶ For hidden variable models, **EM** is VB with

$$q(z, \theta) = \pi(z|\theta)\delta_{\tilde{\theta}}(\theta).$$

- ▶ **Variational EM** is VB with

$$q(z, \theta) = q(z)\delta_{\tilde{\theta}}(\theta).$$

- ▶ VB for any PGMs with NEF arrows is **variational message passing**.
- ▶ Rather approximating

$$\pi(\theta) \approx \prod_{f=1}^F q_f(\theta)$$

leads to **expectation propagation**.

- ▶ These days, **ADVI with stochastic gradients** is the default VI choice in probabilistic programming software like PyMC3, Stan, or PyRo.

- [1] A. Kucukelbir et al. “Automatic differentiation variational inference”. In: *The Journal of Machine Learning Research* 18.1 (2017), pp. 430–474.
- [2] K. Murphy. *Machine learning: a probabilistic perspective*. MIT Press, 2012.