Process of finding bugs:	1
Bugs Registry	1
BUG-001: Sum fails whenever result digits sum is 42	1
BUG-002: Add and Subtract operation error when The result is zero\n - Both operands not equal - The sum of the absolute value of both operands is greater than Integer/MAX_VALUE / 2	are 2
Other possible issues	4
Other quality considerations	4
Automated tests	4

# Process of finding bugs:

- 1. Define a list of requirements for operations and then test cases to validate all operations
- 2. Exploratory test session
- 3. Code review application
  - a. Extract the source jar from the docker image
    - i. id=\$(docker create public.ecr.aws/l4q9w4c5/loanpro-calculator-cli:latest)
    - ii. docker cp \$id:/app/cli-calculator.jar > ./output
  - b. Decompile jar file
    - i. java -jar ./vineflower.jar -dgs=1 ./cli-calculator.jar ./decompiled
  - c. (Optional) pass code through IA to make it more human readable
  - d. Do code review

## **Bugs Registry**

# BUG-001: Sum fails whenever result digits sum is 42

### **Description**

Whenever doing a "sum" operation where the result is a multiple digit value, if the sum of each digit of the result is equals 42, the sum operation changes the response by adding a random value to the correct result.

#### **Example**

- inputs\_a=99996, input\_b=0
- expected result: 99996
- actual result: 100026 (always a number > 99996)

### Root cause analisys

The bug source seems to be linked to the code class core\$bug\_1:39:45 that contains the following code:

```
if (Util.equiv(sum_digits, 42L)) {
    Object var13 = null;
    var23 = Numbers.add(n, ((IFn)const__14.getRawRoot()).invoke(const__13));
} else {
    var23 = n;
    n = null;
}
```

BUG-002: Add and Subtract operation error when The result is zero\n - Both operands are not equal - The sum of the absolute value of both operands is greater than Integer/MAX VALUE / 2

### Description

Whenever doing a "sum" or "subtract" operation where the following criteria is met: The result is zero - Both operands are not equal, the sum of the absolute value of both operands is greater than "Integer/MAX\_VALUE / 2", then the result will always be `31337`

### **Example**

operation: add

- inputs a=2147483647, input b=-2147483647

expected result: 0actual result: 31337

#### Root cause analisys

The bug source seems to be linked to the code class core\$bug\_2:39:45 that contains the following code:

```
private static final Object RETURN_VALUE = 31337L;

boolean isAddOrSubtract = Util.equiv(op, "add") || Util.equiv(op, "subtract");
boolean areOperandsNotEqual = !Util.equiv(a, b);
boolean isSumGreaterThanHalfMaxValue = false;
if (isAddOrSubtract && areOperandsNotEqual) {
   int absASumAbsB = Math.abs((int)a) + Math.abs((int)b);
   isSumGreaterThanHalfMaxValue = absASumAbsB > Integer.MAX_VALUE / 2;
}

boolean shouldReturnReturnValue = isAddOrSubtract && areOperandsNotEqual &&
isSumGreaterThanHalfMaxValue && Numbers.isZero(n);
```

```
if (shouldReturnReturnValue) {
    return Tuple.create(op, a, b, RETURN_VALUE);
} else {
    return Tuple.create(op, a, b, n);
}
```

## Other possible issues

- 1. The program does not handle negative zero results. The core\$result\_negative\_zero function is used to replace negative zero results with positive zero, but it is not applied consistently throughout the program.
- 2. The program does not handle rounding consistently. The core\$result\_round\_float function is used to round the final result of an operation to a double value, but it is not applied consistently throughout the program.

## Other quality considerations

- The challenge text states "Your software developer peers tell you that it appears to be working OK for all intended purposes; there are even some unit tests providing coverage, so their confidence level is high"
  - a. Regarding this, code coverage although being a good measure is not the best way to provide functional bevavior coverage (what is delivered to the final user), due to it's nature of testing the code functions and not it's outputs. It also can cover code, but not assert the correctness of it.
  - b. An option would be to have integration tests for the code that verifies functional behavior
  - c. To supplement unit test I'd also recommend two other techniques:
    - Test data auto generation: auto generated data can provide bigger variability of test cases and find corner cases that were not though by the developer
    - ii. Apply mutation testing: mutation testing is a type of testing provided by some community libraries that verifies the effectiveness of the unit tests, by doing modifications on the source code and verifying if the unit tests fails (they should) - if the code is changed and no test fails it means that the unit test coverage is not properly covering the altered condition. For JVM languages the most prominent lib is the Pitest

## **Automated tests**

The following code can be saved in a `test.sh` file and executed by running the command "sh\_/tests.sh".

#!/bin/bash

docker\_image="public.ecr.aws/14q9w4c5/loanpro-calculator-cli"

# test cases in format <operation>@<case>@<input\_a>@<input\_b>@<expected\_result>

```
inputs@21474836472147483647123@21474836472147483647123@Result: 4.6116860151×10<sup>44</sup>"
```

```
for test_case in "${test_cases[@]}"; do
  operation="${params[0]}"
  input_a=${params[2]}
  input b=${params[3]}
  output=$(docker run --rm $docker image $operation $input a $input b)
  if [ "$output" == "$expected result" ]; then
      echo "PASS: $operation $case name ($input a, $input b) = $expected result"
      echo "FAIL: $operation $case name ($input a, $input b)@ expected
$expected result, got $output"
done
```