

# Higher-order feedback computation

Leonardo Pacheco  
*TU Wien*

(j.w.w. Juan P. Aguilera and Robert S. Lubarsky)

14 March 2024

Available at: [leonardopacheco.xyz/slides/ccr2024.pdf](https://leonardopacheco.xyz/slides/ccr2024.pdf)

# FEEDBACK TURING MACHINES

Feedback machines have access to information on convergence/divergence of feedback machines.

# SOME HISTORY

- ▶ Rogers (1967): statements about feedback Turing machines, no proofs.
- ▶ Lubarsky (2010): feedback infinite time Turing machines.
- ▶ Ackerman, Freer, Lubarsky (2015): feedback Turing machines.
- ▶ Aguilera, Lubarsky (2021): feedback hyperjump.

# FEEDBACK ORACLE

Feedback Turing machines have access to a halting oracle:

$$h(e, n) := \begin{cases} \downarrow, & \text{if } \{e\}^h(n) \text{ converges;} \\ \uparrow, & \text{if } \{e\}^h(n) \text{ diverges;} \\ \text{undefined,} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

When  $h(e, n)$  is undefined, the computation  $\{e\}^h(n)$  *freezes*.

# FREEZING

Let  $e$  be such that

$$e(n) := \begin{cases} \text{diverges,} & \text{if } \{n\}^h(n) \text{ converges;} \\ 0, & \text{if } \{n\}^h(n) \text{ diverges.} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$e(e) \text{ converges} \iff e(e) \text{ diverges.}$$

Therefore  $e(e)$  freezes.

# EXAMPLES

$$\emptyset'(n) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \{n\}(n) \text{ converges;} \\ 0, & \text{if } \{n\}(n) \text{ diverges.} \end{cases}$$

$$\emptyset''(n) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \{n\}^{\emptyset'}(n) \text{ converges;} \\ 0, & \text{if } \{n\}^{\emptyset'}(n) \text{ diverges.} \end{cases}$$

$$\emptyset^{(<\omega)}(n) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \{n\}^{\emptyset^{(i)}}(n) \text{ converges for some } i < \omega; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Similar constructions can be used to compute the  $\alpha$ th Turing jump, for any computable  $\alpha$ .

# CHARACTERIZATION

## Theorem (Ackerman, Freer, Lubarsky)

*The following classes coincide:*

1. *the feedback semi-computable sets;*
2. *the  $\Pi_1^1$  sets;*
3. *the sets definable by  $\Sigma_1^1$  inductive operators; and*
4. *the sets of winning positions of Gale–Stewart games whose payoffs are  $\Sigma_1^0$ .*

# SECOND-ORDER FEEDBACK

2-feedback Turing machines have access to 2 freezing oracles:

$$f_0(e, n) := \begin{cases} \downarrow, & \text{if } \{e\}^{f_0, f_1}(n) \text{ converges;} \\ \uparrow_0, & \text{if } \{e\}^{f_0, f_1}(n) \text{ diverges;} \\ \text{undefined,} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$f_1(e, n) := \begin{cases} \downarrow, & \text{if } \{e\}^{f_0, f_1}(n) \text{ converges;} \\ \uparrow_0, & \text{if } \{e\}^{f_0, f_1}(n) \text{ diverges;} \\ \uparrow_1, & \text{if } \{e\}^{f_0, f_1}(n) \text{ freezes;} \\ \text{undefined,} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$



# HIGHER-ORDER FEEDBACK

Fix  $\alpha < \omega_1^{\text{ck}}$ .

For  $\beta < \alpha$ , let

$$f_\beta(e, n) := \begin{cases} \downarrow, & \text{if } \{e\}^{\{f_\gamma\}_{\gamma < \alpha}}(n) \text{ converges;} \\ \uparrow_{\beta'}, & \text{if } \{e\}^{\{f_\gamma\}_{\gamma < \alpha}}(n) \text{ } \beta'\text{-freezes } (\beta' < \beta); \\ \text{undefined,} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

# CHARACTERIZATION

## Theorem (Aguilera, Lubarsky, P.)

*For all  $\alpha < \omega_1^{\text{ck}}$ , the following classes coincide:*

- 1. the  $(\alpha + 1)$ -feedback semi-computable sets;*
- 2. the sets definable by  $\alpha + 1$  simultaneous arithmetical inductive operators; and*
- 3. the sets of winning positions of Gale–Stewart games whose payoffs are differences of  $\alpha$  many  $\Sigma_2^0$  sets.*

# SEMI-COMPUTABLE THEN INDUCTIVELY DEFINABLE

Computation history: sequence of states of a Turing machine.

- ▶ Converging computation: finite history.
- ▶ Diverging computation: infinite history.
- ▶ Freezing computation: sequences of finite histories.

Approximate each oracle  $f_\beta$  with a  $\Sigma_1^1$  inductive definition.

# TECHNICAL ASIDE

To prove that sets defined by simultaneous  $\Sigma_1^1$  inductive definitions, we use the  $\mu$ -arithmetic:

$$t := 0 \mid 1 \mid x \mid t + t \mid t \times t.$$

$$T := X \mid \mu x X.\varphi \mid \nu x X.\varphi,$$

$$\varphi := t = t \mid t \in T \mid \neg\varphi \mid \varphi \vee \varphi \mid \varphi \wedge \varphi \mid \exists x.\varphi \mid \forall x.\varphi \mid \bigvee_{i < \omega} \varphi_i \mid \bigwedge_{i < \omega} \varphi_i.$$

# INDUCTIVELY DEFINABLE THEN SEMI-COMPUTABLE

Feedback can be used to check quantifiers, conjunctions, and disjunctions. For example:

$$\text{forall}(\psi(x), s, i) := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \text{eval}(\psi(i), s) = 0 \\ \text{forall}(\psi(x), s, i + 1), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{eval}(\forall x. \psi, s) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \text{forall}(\psi(x), s, 0) \text{ diverges} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

# INDUCTIVELY DEFINABLE THEN SEMI-COMPUTABLE

Higher-order feedback can be used to check fixed-point formulas.

$$\text{eval}(t \in \mu x_i X_i. \psi, s) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \text{eval}(\psi(t), s[X_i := \emptyset]) = 1 \\ & \text{or } \text{eval}(\psi(t), s[X_i := \mu x_i X_i. \psi]) = 1 \\ \uparrow_\beta, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{eval}(t \in \nu x X. \psi, s) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \text{eval}(t \in \mu x X. \neg \psi(\neg X), s) \text{ } \beta\text{-freezes} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

# CHARACTERIZATION

## Theorem (Aguilera, Lubarsky, P.)

*For all  $\alpha < \omega_1^{\text{ck}}$ , the following classes coincide:*

- 1. the  $(\alpha + 1)$ -feedback semi-computable sets;*
- 2. the sets definable by  $\alpha + 1$  simultaneous arithmetical inductive operators; and*
- 3. the sets of winning positions of Gale–Stewart games whose payoffs are differences of  $\alpha$  many  $\Sigma_2^0$  sets.*

# FUTURE WORK

Connection between feedback and reflecting ordinals:

## Almost a Theorem

*For all  $\alpha < \omega_1^{\text{ck}}$ , the following classes coincide:*

- 1. the  $(\alpha + 1)$ -feedback semi-computable sets, and*
- 2. the  $\Sigma_1$ -definable sets in  $L_{\beta_{\alpha+1}}$ , where  $\beta_{\alpha+1}$  is the least  $\alpha + 1$ -reflecting ordinal.*

There are strict and loose notions of feedback hyperjump.  
The following follows from work of Aguilera and Lubarsky:

## Theorem

*A set of natural numbers is 2-feedback semi-computable iff it is reducible to the loose feedback hyperjump  $\mathcal{LO}$ .*

The relation between higher-order feedback and strict feedback hyperjump is unclear.



# REFERENCES

- [1] Ackerman, Freer, Lubarsky, “An Introduction to Feedback Turing Computability”, 2020.
- [2] Aguilera, Lubarsky, Pacheco, “Higher-order feedback computability”, submitted.
- [3] Rogers Jr., “Theory of Recursive Functions and Effective Computability”, 1987.