Applications of Calculus in Finance and Economics

Leonardo Tiditada Pedersen

October 18, 2025

Contents

Chapter 1

Calculus in Core Finance

1.1 Continuous Compounding and Income Streams

Calculus allows us to move from discrete time finance to a more powerful continuous time framework.

Model 1.1 (Derivation of Continuous Compounding)**.** *The formula for discrete compounding is* $FV = PV\left(1+\frac{r}{k}\right)^{kt}$*, where* k *is the number of compounding periods per year. To get continuous compounding, we take the limit as* $k \to \infty$*. Let* $m = k/r$*. Then* $k = mr$*, and as* $k \to \infty$*,* $m \to \infty$*. The expression becomes:*

$$FV = PV\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right)^{mrt} = PV\left[\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right)^{m}\right]^{rt}$$

The limit is based on the fundamental definition of the number e*:* $\lim_{m\to\infty}\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right)^{m} = e$*. Therefore:*

$$FV = PV \cdot e^{rt}$$

Application 1.1 (Instantaneous Rate of Growth)**.** *The derivative of the future value with respect to time,* $\frac{d(FV)}{dt} = r \cdot PV \cdot e^{rt} = r \cdot FV$*, shows that the investment grows at a rate instantaneously proportional to its current size.*

Application 1.2 (Present Value of a Continuous Income Stream)**.** *Integration, the inverse of differentiation, allows us to value a continuous stream of payments. If an asset generates an income stream* $f(t)$ *from time* $t = 0$ *to* $t = T$*, its present value* (PV) *is the sum of the present values of all the infinitesimal payments, calculated with a definite integral:*

$$PV = \int_0^T f(t)e^{-rt}dt$$

This integral discounts every small payment $f(t)dt$ *back to its value at time 0 and sums them up.*

1.2 Portfolio Optimization

Calculus is the mathematical engine behind Modern Portfolio Theory (MPT), used to construct portfolios that optimize the risk-return trade-off.

Application 1.3 (Minimizing Two-Asset Portfolio Risk)**.** *The variance (risk) of a two-asset portfolio is* $\sigma_p^2 = w_A^2\sigma_A^2 + w_B^2\sigma_B^2 + 2w_A w_B \rho_{AB}\sigma_A\sigma_B$*. Given the constraint* $w_A + w_B = 1$*, we can substitute* $w_B = 1 - w_A$*. To find the weights that minimize risk, we take the first derivative with respect to* w_A *and set it to zero:*

$$\frac{d\sigma_p^2}{dw_A} = 2w_A\sigma_A^2 - 2(1-w_A)\sigma_B^2 + 2(1-2w_A)\rho_{AB}\sigma_A\sigma_B = 0$$

Solving for w_A *gives the weight for the minimum variance portfolio.*

Application 1.4 (N-Asset Optimization with Lagrange Multipliers)**.** *For a portfolio of* N *assets, we want to minimize the variance* $\sigma_p^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N w_i w_j \sigma_{ij}$ *subject to the constraint that the weights sum to one* ($\sum w_i = 1$) *and the expected portfolio return is a target value* μ_p ($\sum w_i\mu_i = \mu_p$)*. We form the Lagrangian function* $\mathcal{L}$*:*

$$\mathcal{L}(w_1, ..., w_N, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \sigma_p^2 - \lambda_1\left(\sum w_i\mu_i - \mu_p\right) - \lambda_2\left(\sum w_i - 1\right)$$

To find the optimal weights, we take the partial derivative of $\mathcal{L}$ *with respect to each weight* w_i *and each Lagrange multiplier* λ_k *and set them all to zero. This creates a system of* $N+2$ *linear equations that can be solved to find the weights of the optimal portfolio on the efficient frontier. The first-order conditions are:*

$$\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial w_i} = 2\sum_{j=1}^N w_j\sigma_{ij} - \lambda_1\mu_i - \lambda_2 = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, ..., N$$

$$\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\lambda_1} = \sum w_i\mu_i - \mu_p = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\lambda_2} = \sum w_i - 1 = 0$$

This system can be solved for the weights w_i *and the multipliers* λ_k*.*

Chapter 2

Calculus in Economic Theory

2.1 Marginal Analysis

Calculus provides the tools to analyze the marginal impact of decisions, which is central to microeconomics.

Application 2.1 (Profit Maximization)**.** *A firm maximizes its profit $\pi(q) = R(q) - C(q)$ where revenue equals marginal cost, $MR(q) = MC(q)$. This is the first-order condition, found by setting $\pi'(q) = 0$. The* ***second-order condition****, $\pi''(q) < 0$, ensures it is a maximum. This means:*

$$R''(q) - C''(q) < 0 \implies MR'(q) < MC'(q)$$

For a maximum profit, the slope of the marginal revenue curve must be less than the slope of the marginal cost curve at the point of intersection.

Example 2.1. *Let $R(q) = 120q - q^2$ and $C(q) = q^2 + 40q + 10$. $MR(q) = R'(q) = 120 - 2q$. $MC(q) = C'(q) = 2q + 40$. Set $MR = MC$: $120 - 2q = 2q + 40 \implies 80 = 4q \implies q = 20$. Check second-order condition: $MR'(q) = -2$ and $MC'(q) = 2$. Since $-2 < 2$, this is a true maximum.*

2.2 Consumer and Producer Surplus

Integral calculus is used to measure the total benefit to consumers and producers in a market.

Definition 2.1 (Consumer and Producer Surplus)**.** *Given a demand curve $P_d(q)$ and a supply curve $P_s(q)$, with market equilibrium at (q^*, p^*):*

- ***Consumer Surplus (CS)*** *is the total benefit to consumers who were willing to pay more than the market price. It is the area under the demand curve and above the price level.*

$$CS = \int_0^{q^*} [P_d(q) - p^*]dq$$

- ***Producer Surplus (PS)*** *is the total benefit to producers who were willing to sell for less than the market price. It is the area above the supply curve and below the price level.*

$$PS = \int_0^{q^*} [p^* - P_s(q)]dq$$

2.3 Utility Maximization

A core concept in microeconomics is that consumers make choices to maximize their utility (satisfaction) subject to a budget constraint. This is a classic constrained optimization problem solved with Lagrange multipliers.

Application 2.2 (Consumer Choice Problem)**.** *Let a consumer's utility be given by a function of two goods, $U(x, y)$. The consumer has an income I and faces prices p_x and p_y for the goods. The budget constraint is $p_x x + p_y y = I$. The goal is to maximize $U(x, y)$ subject to this constraint. We form the Lagrangian:*

$$\mathcal{L}(x, y, \lambda) = U(x, y) - \lambda(p_x x + p_y y - I)$$

The first-order conditions are found by taking the partial derivatives and setting them to zero:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x} &= \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} - \lambda p_x = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial y} &= \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} - \lambda p_y = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda} &= p_x x + p_y y - I = 0 \end{aligned}$$

From the first two equations, we can derive the fundamental condition for utility maximization:

$$\frac{\partial U/\partial x}{p_x} = \frac{\partial U/\partial y}{p_y} = \lambda$$

This states that at the optimal consumption bundle, the ratio of the marginal utility of a good to its price must be equal across all goods. This ratio represents the marginal utility per dollar spent, which must be equalized at the margin.

Chapter 3

The Calculus Foundation of Econometrics

3.1 Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) Regression

OLS is fundamentally an optimization problem solved with multivariable calculus.

Application 3.1 (Deriving OLS Estimators)**.** *To minimize the Sum of Squared Residuals, $SSR = \sum(Y_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 X_i)^2$, we take partial derivatives and set them to zero: 1. $\frac{\partial(SSR)}{\partial\hat{\beta}_0} = -2\sum(Y_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 X_i) = 0$ 2. $\frac{\partial(SSR)}{\partial\hat{\beta}_1} = -2\sum X_i(Y_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 X_i) = 0$ Solving this system of normal equations for $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$ yields the OLS estimators:*

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\sum(X_i - \bar{X})(Y_i - \bar{Y})}{\sum(X_i - \bar{X})^2} = \frac{Cov(X,Y)}{Var(X)}$$

$$\hat{\beta}_0 = \bar{Y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{X}$$

3.2 Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE)

MLE is another powerful method for parameter estimation. It seeks to find the parameter values that maximize the likelihood function (the probability of observing the given data). This maximization is done using calculus by taking the derivative of the log-likelihood function and setting it to zero.

3.3 Generalized Method of Moments (GMM)

GMM is a highly robust estimation technique that generalizes OLS and other methods. It is based on the idea that in a well-specified model, there are certain population moment conditions that should hold true.

Definition 3.1 (Moment Conditions). *A moment condition is an expectation that is known to be zero based on economic theory. For a parameter vector θ, a set of q moment conditions can be written as:*

$$E[g(W_i, \theta)] = 0$$

where W_i is the data for observation i. The GMM estimator finds the parameter estimate $\hat{\theta}$ that makes the sample analogues of these population moments as close to zero as possible.

Application 3.2 (GMM Estimation). *The sample moment conditions are $m(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} g(W_i, \hat{\theta})$. The GMM estimator $\hat{\theta}_{GMM}$ is the value that minimizes a quadratic form of this vector:*

$$\hat{\theta}_{GMM} = \arg\min_{\theta} \left(m(\theta)' W m(\theta) \right)$$

where W is a positive definite weighting matrix. This minimization is a calculus problem, solved by taking the derivative of the objective function with respect to θ and setting it to zero.

Chapter 4

Calculus in Quantitative Finance

4.1 The Black-Scholes-Merton Model and The Greeks

The Greeks are the essential risk management tools derived from the BSM model via partial differentiation.

Application 4.1 (The Greeks as Partial Derivatives)**.** *If C is the price of a call option:*

- ***Delta** ($\Delta = \frac{\partial C}{\partial S}$): Sensitivity to stock price. Used for hedging to create a "delta-neutral" portfolio whose value does not change for small changes in the underlying asset's price.*
- ***Gamma** ($\Gamma = \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial S^2}$): Sensitivity of Delta to stock price. Manages the risk of large price moves and the stability of the delta hedge.*
- ***Theta** ($\Theta = -\frac{\partial C}{\partial t}$): Sensitivity to time. Represents the "time decay" of an option's value.*
- ***Vega** ($\nu = \frac{\partial C}{\partial \sigma}$): Sensitivity to implied volatility. Critical for trading volatility itself.*
- ***Rho** ($\rho = \frac{\partial C}{\partial r}$): Sensitivity to the risk-free interest rate. Generally less impactful for short-dated options.*

4.2 Stochastic Calculus and Itô's Lemma

Stochastic calculus extends traditional calculus to handle random processes like stock price movements.

Definition 4.1 (Wiener Process and Itô Process)**.** *A standard Wiener process (or Brownian motion), W_t, is a stochastic process with a mean change of zero and a variance that grows linearly with time. A key property is that $(dW_t)^2 = dt$. This is unlike classical calculus where $(dt)^2$ is effectively zero. An Itô process is a generalization: $dX_t = a(t, X_t)dt + b(t, X_t)dW_t$.*

Theorem 4.1 (Itô's Lemma)**.** *Itô's Lemma is the stochastic chain rule. For a function $f(t, S_t)$ where S_t is an Itô process, its differential is:*

$$df = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + a\frac{\partial f}{\partial S} + \frac{1}{2}b^2\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial S^2}\right)dt + b\frac{\partial f}{\partial S}dW_t$$

The term with the second derivative, $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial S^2}$, appears because of the non-zero quadratic variation of the Wiener process. This term is the crucial difference from the classical chain rule and is what allows us to model the convexity (Gamma) effects seen in finance. Itô's Lemma is the indispensable tool used to derive the Black-Scholes-Merton partial differential equation.

Application 4.2 (Derivation of the Black-Scholes PDE)**.** *The derivation is one of the most elegant applications of calculus in finance.*

1. *Assume the underlying stock price S_t follows a geometric Brownian motion: $dS_t = \mu S_t dt + \sigma S_t dW_t$.*

2. *Consider a portfolio Π consisting of one derivative security (e.g., a call option with price $C(S,t)$) and a short position in Δ shares of the underlying stock: $\Pi = C - \Delta S$.*

3. *The change in the value of this portfolio, $d\Pi$, comes from the change in the option price, dC, and the change in the stock price, dS. We apply Itô's lemma to find dC:*
$$dC = \left(\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + \mu S\frac{\partial C}{\partial S} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 S^2\frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial S^2}\right)dt + \sigma S\frac{\partial C}{\partial S}dW_t$$

4. *The change in the portfolio value is then:*
$$d\Pi = dC - \Delta dS = \left[\left(\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + ...\right)dt + \sigma S\frac{\partial C}{\partial S}dW_t\right] - \Delta\left[\mu S dt + \sigma S dW_t\right]$$

5. *Now, we choose the hedge ratio $\Delta = \frac{\partial C}{\partial S}$ (the option's Delta). This strategic choice cancels out the random Wiener process terms (dW_t):*
$$d\Pi = \left(\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 S^2\frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial S^2}\right)dt$$

6. *The portfolio is now risk-free. In a no-arbitrage world, a risk-free portfolio must earn the risk-free interest rate, r. So, $d\Pi = r\Pi dt = r(C - \Delta S)dt$.*

7. *Equating the two expressions for $d\Pi$ and substituting $\Delta = \frac{\partial C}{\partial S}$ gives the Black-Scholes PDE:*
$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + rS\frac{\partial C}{\partial S} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 S^2\frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial S^2} - rC = 0$$

This partial differential equation, derived using calculus and a no-arbitrage argument, is the foundation for pricing a vast range of financial derivatives.