

SECURITY IN NETWORKED COMPUTING SYSTEMS  
Computer Engineering

29 January 2014

NAME \_\_\_\_\_ SERIAL NO. \_\_\_\_\_

**EXERCISE NO. 1**

**#MARKS: 10**

Argue whether a truly random block cipher is practically feasible or not.

**EXERCISE NO. 2**

**#MARKS: 10**

A client  $C$  and a server  $S$  share a password  $\Pi$ . Furthermore, client  $C$  knows the public key  $P_S$  of server  $S$ . Client and server are equipped with computationally secure hash functions as well as symmetric and asymmetric ciphers. Finally client and server clocks are not synchronized. Under these assumptions, client and server attempt to establish a symmetric session key  $k_{cs}$  by means of the following protocol.

M1  $C \rightarrow S: E_{P_S}(C, S, n_c, \Pi, k_{cs})$

M2  $S \rightarrow C: E_{k_{cs}}(S, C, n_c, n_s)$

M3  $C \rightarrow S: n_s$

The encryption key indirectly defines the scheme that is used at a given step.

1. Determine whether the protocol satisfies the confidentiality of the  $\Pi$  password in the case of ciphertext-only attack.
2. Assume the adversary gets hold of a session key  $\bar{k}_{cs}$  and records the protocol instance  $\{\bar{M}1, \bar{M}2, \bar{M}3\}$  that led to that key establishment.
  - a. Determine whether the confidentiality of password  $\Pi$  is still guaranteed under this assumption (hint: considers an off-line guessing attack).
  - b. Determine whether the protocol suffers from a replay attack.
  - c. If the protocol suffers from any of these attacks, modify it in order to prevent them and satisfy the key authentication and key confirmation requirements under the same set of assumptions.

**EXERCISE NO. 3**

**#marks: 10**

Let  $(S, D)$  be a secure digital signature scheme with appendix. Let  $S$  and  $D$  be the signature and verification algorithm, respectively. Furthermore, let  $K_P$  be principal  $P$ 's public key, and  $CA$  a Certification Authority that is trusted by all principals of the system. Finally let  $H$  be a secure hash function. Which of the following *certificates* are useful to establish a secure channel with Alice? Argue why.<sup>1</sup>

- (A) "Alice"  $\parallel K_A \parallel S_{CA}(K_A)$
- (B) "Alice"  $\parallel K_A \parallel S_A(\text{"Alice"} \parallel K_A)$
- (C) "Alice"  $\parallel K_A \parallel S_{CA}(\text{"Alice"} \parallel K_A)$
- (D) "Alice"  $\parallel K_A \parallel S_{Bob}(K_A)$
- (E) "Alice"  $\parallel K_A \parallel \text{"issuer: Bob"} \parallel S_{Bob}(\text{"Alice"} \parallel K_A \parallel \text{"issuer: Bob"}) \parallel S_{CA}(\text{"Bob, CA: yes", } K_B)$ .

<sup>1</sup> Neglect any issue related to time.

SECURITY IN NETWORKED COMPUTING SYSTEMS  
Computer Engineering

29 January 2014

**SOLUTION**

**EXERCISE #1**

*See theory*

**EXERCISE #2.**

**Question 1.** The protocol guarantees confidentiality of the password because it is transmitted in its encrypted form. Furthermore M1 is randomized so that an exhaustive data search is not feasible.

**Question 2a.** Confidentiality is not guaranteed anymore because the adversary can obtain  $n_c$  from M2 and thus can mount an off-line password guessing attack exploiting M1. The adversary knows fields  $C$ ,  $S$ ,  $n_c$  and  $k_{cs}$  of this message.

**Question 2b.** The protocol is subject to replay attack because  $S$  has no proof of the freshness of message M1. Therefore, if an adversary  $M$  has obtained a key  $\bar{k}_{cs}$  and the related messages  $\{\bar{M}1, \bar{M}2, \bar{M}3\}$ , then  $M$  can mount a replay attack by replaying message  $\bar{M}1$  and then completing the protocol using the key.

**Question 2c.**

M1  $S \rightarrow C: n_s$

M2  $C \rightarrow S: E_{P_S}(C, S, \Pi, k_{cs}, n_c, n_s)$

M3  $S \rightarrow C: E_{k_{cs}}(S, C, h(n_c))$

Quantity  $n_s$  proves  $S$  the freshness of message M2.

Quantity  $h(n_c)$  proves  $C$  that  $S$  knows  $n_c$  without revealing  $n_c$ . Thus the adversary cannot exploit this knowledge in a off-line guessing attack of the password based on M2. Notice that the adversary knows  $n_s$  which is transmitted in the clear.

**EXERCISE #3.**

1. Certificate A does not link KA to Alice
2. Certificate B is self-signed and Alice is not a trusted authority
3. Certificate C is fine.
4. Bob, who is not a trusted authority, signed certificate D.
5. Certificate E is fine: CA delegates B to sign certificates.