

CS 5350/6350: Machine Learning Spring 2018

Homework 3 Solutions

Yulong Liang (u1143816)

March 30, 2018

1 Simple Conjunction Learner Analysis

1. [50 points]

(a) $n = 100$, $1 - \delta = 0.9$, $\epsilon = 0.1$

$$m > \frac{n}{\epsilon} \left(\ln(n) + \ln\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right) \right) = \frac{100}{0.1} \left(\ln(100) + \ln\left(\frac{1}{0.1}\right) \right) \approx 6907.8$$

We will need at least 6,908 examples.

(b) $n = 100$, $1 - \delta = 0.99$, $\epsilon = 0.1$

$$m > \frac{n}{\epsilon} \left(\ln(n) + \ln\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right) \right) = \frac{100}{0.1} \left(\ln(100) + \ln\left(\frac{1}{0.01}\right) \right) \approx 9210.3$$

We will need at least 9,211 examples.

(c) $n = 100$, $1 - \delta = 0.9$, $\epsilon = 0.01$

$$m > \frac{n}{\epsilon} \left(\ln(n) + \ln\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right) \right) = \frac{100}{0.01} \left(\ln(100) + \ln\left(\frac{1}{0.1}\right) \right) \approx 69077.6$$

We will need at least 69,078 examples.

(d) $n = 100$, $1 - \delta = 0.99$, $\epsilon = 0.01$

$$m > \frac{n}{\epsilon} \left(\ln(n) + \ln\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right) \right) = \frac{100}{0.01} \left(\ln(100) + \ln\left(\frac{1}{0.01}\right) \right) \approx 92103.4$$

We will need at least 92,104 examples.

(e) $n = 1000$, $1 - \delta = 0.99$, $\epsilon = 0.01$

$$m > \frac{n}{\epsilon} \left(\ln(n) + \ln\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right) \right) = \frac{1000}{0.01} \left(\ln(1000) + \ln\left(\frac{1}{0.01}\right) \right) \approx 921034.0$$

We will need at least 921,035 examples.

2. [10 points] Show that for $0 \leq x \leq 1$,

$$1 - x \leq e^{-x}.$$

Proof Let $f(x) = e^{-x} - (1 - x) = e^{-x} + x - 1$.

The derivative $f'(x) = -e^{-x} + 1$

The second derivative $f''(x) = -(-e^{-x}) = e^{-x}$

$$\therefore f''(x) = e^{-x} > 0, x \in [0, 1]$$

$$\therefore f'(x) \text{ increases within } [0, 1]$$

$$f'(0) = -e^{-0} + 1 = -1 + 1 = 0$$

$$\therefore f'(x) \geq 0, x \in [0, 1]$$

$$\therefore f(x) \text{ increases within } [0, 1]$$

$$f(0) = e^{-0} + 0 - 1 = 1 - 1 = 0$$

$$\therefore f(x) \geq 0, x \in [0, 1]$$

$$\therefore e^{-x} \geq 1 - x$$

2 Occam's Razor and PAC guarantee for consistent learners

1. [20 points]

- (a) I will prefer L_2 . According to Occam's Razor principle, we should prefer simpler explanations over more complex ones. Since both algorithms can find a hypothesis consistent with the training data, I will prefer L_2 because it has a smaller hypothesis space.
- (b) According to the VC inequality, the number of training examples needed m is proportional to the logarithm of size of hypothesis space $|H|$, logarithm of reciprocal of probability of failure δ and reciprocal of error level ϵ . For a fixed probability level $1 - \delta$ and a fixed error level ϵ , the larger hypothesis space is, the more training examples are required.

3 PAC Learnable Results

1. [10 points] General disjunctions out of n binary variables.

- size of hypothesis space:

$$|H| = 3^n$$

- number of training examples needed:

$$m > \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(\ln(|H|) + \ln\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right) \right) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(n \ln(3) + \ln\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right) \right)$$

The number of training examples needed m is polynomial to $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$, $\frac{1}{\delta}$, n , and $|H|$. Thus general disjunctions out of n binary variables is **PAC learnable**.

2. [10 points] m -of- n rules (Note that m is a fixed constant).

- size of hypothesis space:

$$|H| = m \binom{n}{m} \approx mn^m$$

- number of training examples needed:

$$m > \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(\ln(|H|) + \ln\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right) \right) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(\ln(m) + m \ln(n) + \ln\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right) \right)$$

The number of training examples needed m is polynomial to $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$, $\frac{1}{\delta}$, n , and $|H|$. Thus m -of- n rules is **PAC learnable**.

3. [10 points] Simple conjunctions out of n binary variables.

- size of hypothesis space:

$$|H| = 2^n$$

- number of training examples needed:

$$m > \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(\ln(|H|) + \ln\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right) \right) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(n \ln(2) + \ln\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right) \right)$$

The number of training examples needed m is polynomial to $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$, $\frac{1}{\delta}$, n , and $|H|$. Thus simple conjunctions out of n binary variables is **PAC learnable**.

4. [10 points] k -CNF out of n binary variables.

- number of conjuncts:

$$O((2n)^k)$$

- size of hypothesis space:

$$|H| = O(2^{(2n)^k})$$

$$\ln(|H|) = O((2n)^k \ln 2)$$

- number of training examples needed:

$$m > \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(\ln(|H|) + \ln\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right) \right) = O\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \left((2n)^k \ln 2 + \ln\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right) \right)\right)$$

The number of training examples needed m is polynomial to $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$, $\frac{1}{\delta}$, n , and $|H|$. Thus k -CNF out of n binary variables is **PAC learnable**.

5. [10 points] General boolean functions of n binary variables.

- size of hypothesis space:

$$|H| = 2^{2^n}$$

- number of training examples needed:

$$m > \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(\ln(|H|) + \ln\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right) \right) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(2^n \ln(2) + \ln\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right) \right)$$

The number of training examples needed m is exponential to n . Thus general boolean functions of n binary variables is **NOT PAC learnable**.