

<Typ der Arbeit>

<Name der Arbeit>

Li Yong, Teng

Prüfer:

Prof. Dr.-Ing. <Mustermann>

Prof. Dr.-Ing. <Mustermann>

Betreuer:

M. Sc. <Mustermann>

Institut für Produktionsmanagement und -technik

Technische Universität Hamburg-Harburg

Hamburg, den 9. December 2020

# Scope of work

<Kopie der Aufgabenstellung>

# Declaration of Authorship

I declare that the work presented here is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, original and the result of my own investigations, except as acknowledged, and has not been submitted, either in part or whole, for a degree at this or any other University. Formulations and ideas taken from other sources are cited as such. This work has not been published.

Hamburg, den <Datum einfügen> <Autor einfügen>

# Table of Content

[Scope of work II](#_Toc58371797)

[Declaration of Authorship III](#_Toc58371798)

[Table of Content IV](#_Toc58371799)

[List of Figures VI](#_Toc58371800)

[List of Abbreviations VIII](#_Toc58371801)

[List of Formula Symbols IX](#_Toc58371802)

[1 Introduction 1](#_Toc58371803)

[1.1 Einführung 1](#_Toc58371804)

[1.2 Aufbau der Arbeit 1](#_Toc58371805)

[2 State of The Art 2](#_Toc58371806)

[2.1 Augmented Reality 2](#_Toc58371807)

[2.1.1 Tracking in Augmented Reality 3](#_Toc58371808)

[2.1.2 Marker based Tracking 4](#_Toc58371809)

[2.2 Construction Progress in Augmented Reality 5](#_Toc58371810)

[2.2.1 Time Efficiency 5](#_Toc58371811)

[2.2.2 Data quality and accuracy 6](#_Toc58371812)

[2.2.3 Completion progress 7](#_Toc58371813)

[2.3 Point Cloud Capturing 9](#_Toc58371814)

[2.3.1 Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) 10](#_Toc58371815)

[2.3.2 Photogrammetry 11](#_Toc58371816)

[2.4 Point Cloud Representation 11](#_Toc58371817)

[2.4.1 Meshing 12](#_Toc58371818)

[2.4.2 Polygonization 12](#_Toc58371819)

[2.4.3 Voxelization 13](#_Toc58371820)

[2.5 Noise Filterring and Outliers Removal 14](#_Toc58371821)

[2.5.1 Neighborhood-based methods 14](#_Toc58371822)

[2.5.2 Principal Component Analysis 15](#_Toc58371823)

[2.5.3 Filter point cloud data from RGB information 16](#_Toc58371824)

[2.6 Performance Evaluation Method 17](#_Toc58371825)

[2.6.1 Frame based Metrics 17](#_Toc58371826)

[2.6.2 Object based metrics 18](#_Toc58371827)

[2.7 Resources used for the application 19](#_Toc58371828)

[2.7.1 Unity 19](#_Toc58371829)

[2.7.2 AR Foundation 20](#_Toc58371830)

[2.7.3 Open3D 21](#_Toc58371831)

[2.7.4 Unity Google Drive 22](#_Toc58371832)

[2.7.5 UniGLTF 22](#_Toc58371833)

[3 Analysis 23](#_Toc58371834)

[3.1 Analysis of the construction progress 23](#_Toc58371835)

[3.1.1 System Requirement 23](#_Toc58371836)

[3.1.2 System Design 26](#_Toc58371837)

[3.2 Analysis of data accuracy 27](#_Toc58371838)

[3.2.1 Ground Truth Knowledge 28](#_Toc58371839)

[3.2.2 Object Tracking Calibration 29](#_Toc58371840)

[3.2.3 LiDAR Sensor 30](#_Toc58371841)

[3.2.4 Assembly of Object Part 30](#_Toc58371842)

[3.3 Criteria for indicator construction progress 31](#_Toc58371843)

[3.3.1 Accuracy Graph 32](#_Toc58371844)

[3.3.2 Percentage Threshold 33](#_Toc58371845)

[4 Solution 35](#_Toc58371846)

[4.1 Image Tracking Calibration 35](#_Toc58371847)

[4.1.1 AR Tracked Image Manager 35](#_Toc58371848)

[4.1.2 Reference Image Library 36](#_Toc58371849)

[4.1.3 CAD Model Position and Orientation 37](#_Toc58371850)

[4.1.4 Capturing Point Cloud Data and Mesh Data 38](#_Toc58371851)

[Bibliography 41](#_Toc58371852)

[Anhang 45](#_Toc58371853)

# List of Figures

[Figure 2.1: Representation of the Reality-Virtuality continuum. [Milgram et al., 1995] 2](#_Toc58371854)

[Figure 2.2: Illustration of 3 groups of Augmented Reality devices. [Schmalstieg & Höllerer, 2016] 3](#_Toc58371855)

[Figure 2.3: Tracking methods for augmented reality. [Bostanci et al., 2013] 3](#_Toc58371856)

[Figure 2.4: Marker for tracking purpose 4](#_Toc58371857)

[Figure 2.5: Augmented-Reality based progress monitoring. [Lee & Pena-Mora, 2006] 6](#_Toc58371858)

[Figure 2.6: An example of existing progress reporting techniques. Construction drawings and work schedules are hung on a construction site trailer’s wall to communicate progress with contractors and subcontractors. [Mani et al., 2009] 6](#_Toc58371859)

[Figure 2.7: A sample of a real project progress/inspection report. [Mani et al., 2009] 7](#_Toc58371860)

[Figure 2.8: Progress Deviation Visualize. [Lee & Pena-Mora, 2006] 8](#_Toc58371861)

[Figure 2.9: System process and work flow. [Fard & Peña-Mora, 2007] 8](#_Toc58371862)

[Figure 2.10: Point Cloud dataset of Enschede. [Stucker, 2017] 9](#_Toc58371863)

[Figure 2.11: Point cloud captured of a building. [Hinks et al., 2013] 9](#_Toc58371864)

[Figure 2.12: Basic structure of LiDAR. [Yoo et al., 2018] 10](#_Toc58371865)

[Figure 2.13: iPad camera with LiDAR scanner. (Picture acquired from Apple site) 10](#_Toc58371866)

[Figure 2.14: Point clouds based on feature points of object. 11](#_Toc58371867)

[Figure 2.15: (a) point cloud, (b) control mesh.[Yoon, 2006] 12](#_Toc58371868)

[Figure 2.16: Polygonization of point clouds. [Hidaka, 2016] 13](#_Toc58371869)

[Figure 2.17: Point clouds and binary voxel representation. [Gokberk et al., 2008] 13](#_Toc58371870)

[Figure 2.18: Workflow of FEM model reconstruction. [Hinks et al., 2013] 14](#_Toc58371871)

[Figure 2.19: (Left) Sparse outliers (Right) Point clouds data after outliers removal. [Rusu et al., 2008] 15](#_Toc58371872)

[Figure 2.20: Filtering Process of PCD based on RGB image. [C. Jia et al., 2019] 16](#_Toc58371873)

[Figure 2.21: Process from RGB image, maping, original PCD and filtered PCD. [C. Jia et al., 2019] 16](#_Toc58371874)

[Figure 2.22: Type I and Type II error illustration. 17](#_Toc58371875)

[Figure 2.23: Definition of core metric for object-based metric. [Bashir & Porikli, 2006] 18](#_Toc58371876)

[Figure 2.24: Unity XR platform structure. [Unity, 2019] 19](#_Toc58371877)

[Figure 2.25: AR Foundation chart. 20](#_Toc58371878)

[Figure 2.26: 3D data processing: load a point cloud, downsample it, and estimate normals. [Q.-Y. Zhou et al., 2018] 21](#_Toc58371879)

[Figure 2.27: Voxelization from a point cloud dataset in Open3D 21](#_Toc58371880)

[Figure 2.28: Google Drive API 22](#_Toc58371881)

[Figure 2.29: Imported helmet asset with mesh asset 22](#_Toc58371882)

[Figure 3.1: Low-light environment and Light-sufficient environment 24](#_Toc58371883)

[Figure 3.2: Workspace of the AR application 25](#_Toc58371884)

[Figure 3.3: General illustration of AR application 26](#_Toc58371885)

[Figure 3.4: Virtual object superimpose to real-world object. 27](#_Toc58371886)

[Figure 3.5: CAD model for ground truth knowledge. 28](#_Toc58371887)

[Figure 3.6: Object Tracking Calibration 29](#_Toc58371888)

[Figure 3.7: Partially Assemble and Fully Assemble Middle Cover 31](#_Toc58371889)

[Figure 4.1: Outline of the structure for AR application. 35](#_Toc58371890)

[Figure 4.2: Reference Image Library for Marker 36](#_Toc58371891)

[Figure 4.3: CAD Model superimposing real-world object 36](#_Toc58371892)

[Figure 4.5: Point cloud capturing in AR application 38](#_Toc58371893)

[Figure 4.6: Capturing mesh data in AR application 39](#_Toc58371894)

[Figure 4.7: Process flow of analysis part. 40](#_Toc58371895)

List of Tables

[Table 2.1: Metrics to be computed based on defined quantities 18](#_Toc58371896)

[Table 2.2: Description of each AR Foundation, ARCore, and ARKit. 20](#_Toc58371897)

[Table 3.1: Part name of the CAD Model 28](#_Toc58371898)

[Table 3.2: Template of data collection 32](#_Toc58371899)

[Table 3.3: Allocation of TP, TN, FP and FN 32](#_Toc58371900)

[Table 3.4: Part Number with Bound Magnitude and Threshold Percentage 34](#_Toc58371901)

[Table 4.1: Physical measurement of marker 36](#_Toc58371902)

[Table 4.2: Transformation adjustment of the Virtual Object in Scene 37](#_Toc58371903)

# List of Abbreviations

**Abbreviation Description**

AR Augmented Reality

VR Virtual Reality

SLAM Simultaneous Localization and Mapping

# List of Formula Symbols

# Introduction

Diese Dokumentvorlage wird vom Institut für Produktionsmanagement und -technik bereitgestellt. Sie ist nicht verbindlich.

## Einführung

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Aenean commodo ligula eget dolor. Aenean massa. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Donec quam felis, ultricies nec, pellentesque eu, pretium quis, sem. Nulla consequat massa quis enim. Donec pede justo, fringilla vel, aliquet nec, vulputate eget, arcu. In enim justo, rhoncus ut, imperdiet a, venenatis vitae, justo. Nullam dictum felis eu pede mollis pretium. Integer tincidunt. Cras dapibus. Vivamus elementum semper nisi. Aenean vulputate eleifend tellus. Aenean leo ligula, porttitor eu, consequat vitae, eleifend ac, enim. Aliquam lorem ante, dapibus in, viverra quis, feugiat a, tellus. Phasellus viverra nulla ut metus varius laoreet. Quisque rutrum. Aenean imperdiet. Etiam ultricies nisi vel augue. Curabitur ullamcorper ultricies nisi. Nam eget dui. Etiam rhoncus. Maecenas tempus, tellus eget condimentum rhoncus, sem quam semper libero, sit amet adipiscing sem neque sed ipsum. Nam quam nunc, blandit vel, luctus pulvinar, hendrerit id, lorem. Maecenas nec odio et ante tincidunt tempus. Donec vitae sapien ut libero venenatis faucibus. Nullam quis ante.

## Aufbau der Arbeit

Aliquam lorem ante, dapibus in, viverra quis, feugiat a, tellus. Phasellus viverra nulla ut metus varius laoreet. Quisque rutrum. Aenean imperdiet. Etiam ultricies nisi vel augue. Curabitur ullamcorper ultricies nisi. Nam eget dui. Etiam rhoncus. Maecenas tempus, tellus eget condimentum rhoncus, sem quam semper libero, sit amet adipiscing sem neque sed ipsum. Nam quam nunc, blandit vel, luctus pulvinar, hendrerit id, lorem. Maecenas nec odio et ante tincidunt tempus. Donec vitae sapien ut libero venenatis faucibus. Nullam quis ante. Etiam sit amet orci eget eros faucibus tincidunt. Duis leo. Sed fringilla mauris sit amet nibh.

# State of The Art

This chapter discusses the literature review of the thesis work for construction progress in augmented reality, theoretical framework for point cloud capturing, point cloud representation, noise filtering, and point cloud mapping.The theoretical framework covers the required essential knowledge to support the research and it is complemented with an extension of recent studies of related works. The chapter also concludes with the description of available performance evaluation method and resources to be implemented in the thesis work.

## Augmented Reality

Augmented reality, AR, is defined as a virtual object in a real environment, also provide local virtuality. [Van Krevelen & Poelman, 2010] According to [Milgram et al., 1995], AR is defined as a class on the reality-virtuality continuum, which is a real environment in one extreme end and a complete pure virtual environment in the other opposite, where everything in between is called a mixed reality. Figure 2.1 shows the illustration of the explanation. With that being said, Augmented Reality belongs to the mixed reality group which consist of the mix of both dimensions. As Augmented Reality merge real world with virtual objects, there is another group which called Virtual Reality which is fully allowing users to emerge in a virtual environment.




Figure 2.1: Representation of the Reality-Virtuality continuum. [Milgram et al., 1995]

Augmented Reality devices can be divided into several groups, head-mounted display, handheld display and spatial display. Head-mounted display allows users to wear the device on the head, where user can still be able to see the real-world environment alongside with virtual object through the display monitor of the device. Handheld displays employ small computing device which users can hold the device and access augmented reality world in the palm of their hands, a good example will be our daily use smartphones or tablets. Spatial display usually being used with projector based where users would not need to hold or wear the device in order to experience the augmented reality scene. Figure 2.2 shows the illustration of these three group of Augmented Reality devices.




Figure 2.2: Illustration of 3 groups of Augmented Reality devices. [Schmalstieg & Höllerer, 2016]

### Tracking in Augmented Reality

Augmented reality tracking devices must have a higher accuracy for greater tracking, the registration accuracy depends on the distance of object of interest besides geometrical model [Van Krevelen & Poelman, 2010]. Indoor environment usually provides an easier tracking than outdoor environment as the setting in indoor environment is easily modelled and prepare, the lighting efficiency can be easily controlled. There are variety of tracking methods for different applications, Figure 2.3 show the categories of tracking in augmented reality, which includes indoor methods, outdoor methods, and fusion method [Bostanci et al., 2013].

Diagram

Description automatically generated

Figure 2.3: Tracking methods for augmented reality. [Bostanci et al., 2013]

According to [Behzadan et al., 2008], it is stated that for indoor application, the dimension of the environment are fixed and the physical movement of users are restricted and therefore movements are more predictable. There are many different types of indoor tracking methods, classic SLAM techniques [Klein & Murray, 2007] and vision-based tracking which include tracking using markers and object of interest.

On the other hand, outdoor environments are usually limitless in terms of orientation and position of the device. However, the lightning will sometimes bring a problem for camera tracking which it is not an issue for indoor environment. As shown in the figure, GPS is considered a reliable tracking option when the device is at outdoor environment. Inertial-based tracking is also made possible since the position of device can be larger and this work similar to our human ear, but instead the device uses accelerometers and gyros. All of the sensor tracking technologies are well develop and they have all advantage and disadvantages. Based on the research paper of [F. Zhou et al., 2008], a given example is that magnetic sensors will have a high update rate and the weight is very light, but they can be distorted by nearby metallic substance where it may vary the results of tracking. With all of the tracking methods, it makes possible and efficient for development of Augmented Reality application.

### Marker based Tracking

Marker based tracking is one of the visual tracking which attempts to track the head position by analyzing features detected in a live video stream based on a marker anchor. The device is able to calculate the camera position in relation to the marker features seen in the real-world environment. This has become a very low-cost sensor for Augmented Reality registration [Klein, 2006]. These markers have geometric or properties of color which make it easier to be read and identify in a video frame of an Augmented Reality device. Figure 2.4 shows an example of a marker that being used in this thesis work. Marker tracking is robust due to the constant update of relative position of camera and the marker position in each video frame of the device.



Figure 2.4: Marker for tracking purpose

## Construction Progress in Augmented Reality

Progress monitoring is important for a project management team to track the construction progress of the overall project in order to keep every detail of the planned progress in schedule. Early detection of any schedule delay or cost overrun in the field construction activities. [Mani et al., 2009] Currently, the construction projects is time consuming and labor intensive, which consist of discrepancies like charts, graphs and still photos which did not facilitate the communication and cause some distraction to decisions maker from performing a right and accurate decision. There is another way of representing the construction progress is the use of augmented reality, which means to put a virtual object into an immersive environment on a digital device. With the help of augmented reality, time which is a constraint will be overcome by using this technology. This technology could help in improving the project management in many other aspects like data accuracy, data analysis and data quality maintenance. The application of merging virtual and real environment can help in many field, there are proposed evaluation [Kamat & El-Tawil, 2005] of post-disaster buildings using augmented reality, which the application is able to provide real time feedback from the actual building view, where analysis can be detailed record to explore how a building might collapse if some structure is broken. This sub-chapter will discuss about the key performance index of construction progress and how augmented reality helps in improving the monitoring process.

### Time Efficiency

Current methods require data collection and extracting the data models manually from construction drawing, schedules and field report from the actual site. There are research [Mani et al., 2009] states that field personnel collect the progress data from the site and perform analysis before sending to project management team to make decisions, which will be a long run time consuming process. Figure 2.6 shows an example of existing progress reporting techniques, which all things were hung on a wall in order to let all team member to know about the construction progress. This reporting format does not solve problems when it comes to timely manner, all of the report would take times to sort out, prioritize and interprets. However, with the help of Augmented Reality, it can help people who does not have deep knowledge on construction situation to understand the site more. Figure 2.5 shows the illustration of progress monitoring using Augmented Reality as a tool. The progress monitoring is done by superimpose the real-world construction with the planned 3D model. By comparing the real-world construction and 3D model, work completed and work remaining can be clearly visualized.

A screenshot of a cell phone

Description automatically generated

Figure 2.5: Augmented-Reality based progress monitoring. [Lee & Pena-Mora, 2006]

A picture containing text, table

Description automatically generated

Figure 2.6: An example of existing progress reporting techniques. Construction drawings and work schedules are hung on a construction site trailer’s wall to communicate progress with contractors and subcontractors. [Mani et al., 2009]

### Data quality and accuracy

The data that has been manually collected and extracted may be appear in a low-quality manner. [Mani et al., 2009] The information of the construction progress collected tends to base on the people who interpret of what needed to be measured and it may affect the quality of the data and lead into data error since the ability of measuring progress is based on the experience of the person that collecting the data. Figure 2.7 shows a sample of real project progress example to show the percentage done of a work performed in an actual site. As the example shown, different subcontractor may have different judgment and decision to decide the complete percentage of work performed. This will result in an inconsistency data that brings a problem when it comes to decision making for the production management team.

A screenshot of a cell phone

Description automatically generated

Figure 2.7: A sample of a real project progress/inspection report. [Mani et al., 2009]

### Completion progress

Using augmented reality as a tool, it can be linked to a desired schedule so that if there is any discrepancy from the schedule it could be known in first glance. Figure 2.8 show the progress monitoring chart to detect deviation in construction progress. In this example, colors are used to represent the key performance index of the progress monitoring process. For example, green would represent ahead of schedule, whereas red represent behind schedule. Besides, the figure also shows the deviations based on a progress performance metrics such as Schedule Performance Index (SPI) and Cost Performance Index (CPI) which can be quantified and analyze later on. It is an additional improvement to use augmented reality to overcome the progress monitoring from Figure 2.7 manual input of the progress from sub-contractor. In this case, the progress can be software oriented and pre-determined so that everyone would share the same progress in the production management team. If all of the progress monitoring is automated, the augmented reality visualization techniques would give a better support for the production management team to perform the job in real-time.

A screenshot of a social media post

Description automatically generated

Figure 2.8: Progress Deviation Visualize. [Lee & Pena-Mora, 2006]

In one of the research topics [Fard & Peña-Mora, 2007], a series of vision-based methods has been automated based on the progress detection and visualized status. Figure 2.6 shows a proposed algorithm based on the research, which consist of 5 major steps, registration of the 3D model to the environment, analyze of the progress status, color coding, superimposing of the 3D model to environment and remove 3D object that has occluded in the environment.

Diagram

Description automatically generated

Figure 2.9: System process and work flow. [Fard & Peña-Mora, 2007]

## Point Cloud Capturing

There are many ways when it comes to point cloud capturing. The methodology can be classified into three, aerial capture, terrestrial capture [Hinks et al., 2013] and hand-held capture. Aerial capture where large scale data is being collected to do a mapping of big map area where the accuracy of the point clouds is not so important. Figure 2.10 shows a point cloud data example of aerial capture from a city of Enschede. [Stucker, 2017] Terrestrial capture which a small area of compound is being capture for construction sector and development purpose. An example for terrestrial capture is as shown as Figure 2.11, where the building is captured in point cloud form.

A picture containing person, flower, food

Description automatically generated

Figure 2.10: Point Cloud dataset of Enschede. [Stucker, 2017]

A picture containing building, outdoor, front, tower

Description automatically generated

Figure 2.11: Point cloud captured of a building. [Hinks et al., 2013]

Hand-held capture where the method focusses on accuracy and high precise area of small object for digital analysis and reconstruction. This sub chapter will discuss about the ways of collecting point cloud data in real world.

### Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR)

LiDAR is a sensing process which collects measurement from the environment, which emits laser light to the real world. LiDAR is able to measure the roundtrip time for the laser to bounce back to determine the depth and distance of the object accurately. Since LiDAR provide an accurate dataset, this system has various application as a high resolution and highly accurate measurement techniques. [Yoo et al., 2018] Figure 2.12 shows the basic structure of LiDAR, where a laser pulse is generated and hits the object, then the receiver detects the reflected laser pulse, and finally the data acquisition calculate the unit distance of the object from the scanner itself.

A close up of a map

Description automatically generated

Figure 2.12: Basic structure of LiDAR. [Yoo et al., 2018]

LiDAR sensor has been widely used in various field, for example autonomous driving, building construction operations, forestry and robotics. In 2020, Apple introduced a new feature to the iPad, where LiDAR sensor is implemented on a hand-held system, which combine camera and motion sensor data, and the iPad is able to understand the scene more, enables cross-fade the virtual and reality world in an accurate manner. However, the LiDAR data provided from the company is limited by users in an open-source format by the time of this thesis being written. Figure 2.13 shows the image of a 2020 iPad pro with LiDAR 3D scanner. The recent technology of AR Foundation also enable user to capture meshes from the real-world scene using LiDAR sensor that being built on am iPad 2020. The latest AR Foundation also provides a library that enable users to generate mesh based on the LiDAR sensor information, which means it will bring a much more accurate data compared to the traditional mesh generator.

A close up of electronics

Description automatically generated

Figure 2.13: iPad camera with LiDAR scanner. (Picture acquired from Apple site)

### Photogrammetry

Comparing to 3D scanning from LiDAR, photogrammetry uses photographs to gather point clouds data. Many images have been taken in a different angle to capture the object of interest, and the overlap image will provide a data information to form the depth of the object. The advantage of using photogrammetry is that it is less expensive compare to 3D scanning, and it is able to produce an object of interest in full color and texture. Photogrammetry is also a very accessible as the equipment and software is not as complicated as 3D scanning. On the other hand, it has a few drawbacks, which is accuracy and precision. Data processing will be needed for the point clouds data in photogrammetry. Normal smartphones or camera are able to collect point clouds data by using software as an intermediate source to generate the data. There are many libraries that offer the function of generating the point clouds based on feature points of the object of interest. Figure 2.14 shows an example of a point cloud that has been gathered through photogrammetry based on feature points of object. As mentioned previously, AR Foundation has a function to capture the point clouds based on photogrammetry.

A cup of coffee on a table

Description automatically generated

Figure 2.14: Point clouds based on feature points of object.

## Point Cloud Representation

Point cloud is defined in a coordinate system and describes a three-demensional object. Point clouds are gathered through scanning of 3D object using various measuring techniques. Each of the points has an XYZ value that determine the position in the coordinate system. Point clouds consist of millions of points and it is a collection of coordinate points in space. Depending on application, point clouds usually are filtered and processed in order to then highlight the measuring process with certain parameter. Most of the time, point clouds are converted into polygon mesh in order to represent the surface of the scanned object.

One of the major advantage of using point clouds is that it is easy to display the information and filter unwanted points. Since the scale or rotation of points is less important, computational is much easier to handle huge amount of data. Point clouds are the essential step to digitalize real world object. Based on the raw information of point clouds, further steps can be taken on the application. The points of the point cloud can go through several representation, such as meshing, polygonization, and voxelization.

### Meshing

Meshing provide a pleasant visualization for point cloud representation. Nearest neighbors of a point cloud are assumed to represents a small piece of the object’s surface. [Linsen, 2001] The amount of point clouds data is usually large, which will cause measuring error. In order to eliminate such errors, smoothing operator to the point clouds must be applied in meshing representation. Meshes are created using computer algorithm, which one of the input domain geometry is by using point clouds. Techniques such as *Moving Least Squares* (MLS) [Fleishman et al., 2005] can be used as a robust statistics method for surface smoothing. Meshing is done by approximation algorithm, where each data point from point cloud is projected to nearby neighbor’s point. The approximation will be computed based on the projection parameters and adjusted to the least approximation error. [Yoon, 2006] Figure 2.15 shows the approximation process of point clouds computed into control mesh.

A close up of an animal


Description automatically generated

(a) (b)

Figure 2.15: (a) point cloud, (b) control mesh.[Yoon, 2006]

### Polygonization

Polygonization is one of the methods to present an object from point cloud data. The 3D models must be prepared using scanned data, where the set of point cloud is being converted to meaningful polygons. This method is widely used in civil infrastructure, [Hidaka, 2016] which maintenance is a critical issue for government in order to prolong the service life. Most of reconstruction methods [Kazhdan et al., 2006] may fill the incomplete structure, however, the accuracy of polygonization is not completely guaranteed although some of the missing region of object can be estimated. Figure 2.16 shows the polygonization of point cloud using lofting operation. [Hidaka, 2016] This method includes four major steps, which is gathering input point cloud data, center line identification, cross section creation and finally polygonization.



(a) Input point cloud (b) Center-line identification (c) Cross-sections creation (d) Polygonization

Figure 2.16: Polygonization of point clouds. [Hidaka, 2016]

### Voxelization

Voxelization defines the point clouds as 3D boxes in 3D space. [Ruchay et al., 2019] Figure 2.17 shows a sample point cloud data and corresponding voxel representation. [Gokberk et al., 2008] Each voxel, a point is chosen to approximate all the points that lie on that particular voxel. The center of the average points is usually taken as an approximation. However, voxelization method usually leads to information loss of point clouds. Voxelization is used widely for FEM construction, in which point clouds data is semi-automatically computed and the models reconstructed based on voxel grids with critical parameter as voxel size and number of voxel grid. [Ruchay et al., 2019] Figure 2.18 shows the workflow of voxelization used in FEM model reconstruction. The point clouds for FEM model reconstruction is gathered through LiDAR sensor, which then being carried forward for reconstructing procedure. Details of the FEM construction of voxelization work can be found in [Hinks et al., 2013].

A close up of a cage

Description automatically generated

Figure 2.17: Point clouds and binary voxel representation. [Gokberk et al., 2008]

A screenshot of a cell phone

Description automatically generated

Figure 2.18: Workflow of FEM model reconstruction. [Hinks et al., 2013]

## Noise Filterring and Outliers Removal

As mentioned before in the sub chapter, that it is easier to remove unwanted points and display desired information from the point clouds raw data. Point clouds obtained with 3D scanners or imaged-based reconstruction are often corrupted with huge amount of noise and outliers. [Rakotosaona et al., 2020] This sub chapters will discuss the ways and methods to filter the point cloud gathered, which includes filtering algorithm, sparse outliers’ removal, normal and curvature estimation.

Noise filtering is very crucial in point cloud processing, as the object of interest to be analyzed must have a clean point cloud data in order to bring good result and simulation for the real-world application. Fundamentally, a good point cloud filtering method should have the following behavior: [Rakotosaona et al., 2020]

1. Eliminates noise without deleting important details
2. Self- tuning. (e.g.: Noise model is not required to estimate surface)
3. Avoid degrading input data.

The filtering and denoise method that will be discussed in this sub-section will be neighborhood-based methods, principal component analysis, and filtering point cloud data from RGB information.

### Neighborhood-based methods

The non-local image filter [Wang et al., 2006] is one of the neighborhood-based methods to recover original data from noisy measurement. The concept is as shown in the equation below, where is the observed value, is the object of interest value, and is the noise perturbation at pixel .

This method defines the intensity value of pixel in an image depending on the weight average of neighbor point cloud data with the same intensity value.[Schall et al., 2006] This method is widely use in image processing, which an image , where is pixel position and is the intensity value. The smoothed value of intensity is average computed as follow:

Sparse outliers which caused by measurement errors may corrupt the overall point clouds data. [Rusu et al., 2008] These outliers will cause complication for the estimation of local point clouds data. Fortunately, sparse outliers removal module is able to correct the irregularities by computing the mean and standard deviation of nearest neighbor distance. Figure 2.19 shows the overall result for sparse outliers removal based on neighborhood-based method.

A picture containing indoor, old, photo, table

Description automatically generated

Figure 2.19: (Left) Sparse outliers (Right) Point clouds data after outliers removal. [Rusu et al., 2008]

### Principal Component Analysis

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) method is used to effectively smooth the noise in point cloud data without losing original feature of the object during 3D scanning. [C. C. Jia et al., 2018] Principal Component Analysis consist of three essential steps, first, the normal vector is estimated using the algorithm where the surface variation is estimated. Then, the point cloud data is being separated into different region by comparing the surface variation. Finally, the filter algorithm is being applied based on the new normal vector of the point clouds data and adopted to smooth the regions that are being separated.

However, there are problems when using Principal Component Analysis. [Belton, 2008] First, neighborhood point cloud data may consist various discrete surface entities, where the algorithm will estimate that there is only one surface structured. The second problem by using Principal Component Analysis is that the point clouds data information can be lost, such as object surface curvature through surface variation.

### Filter point cloud data from RGB information

Point clouds data that has been collected by RGB camera is usually distort with points and outliers that do not belong to the object of interest. The point clouds that generated from the image taken by the RGB camera has limitation as it depends on light intensity and also different viewing angles. Figure 2.20 shows the overview of the method to process point clouds data from RGB information [C. Jia et al., 2019]. The image taken from RGB camera is processed and required point clouds data is being extracted and filtered. This method is able to segment the object of interest and outliers, by modifying the image from RGB information to depth image, and extract the object of interest, which remove the outlier noise. Figure 2.21 shows the results of this particular method of filtering, where the RGB image is mapped and filtered. This method uses four different angle cameras to capture the RGB data on the same spot, which provide a sufficient computation for depth input and point cloud data based on feature points of the scanned object.

A close up of a device

Description automatically generated

Figure 2.20: Filtering Process of PCD based on RGB image. [C. Jia et al., 2019]

A picture containing food

Description automatically generated

Figure 2.21: Process from RGB image, maping, original PCD and filtered PCD. [C. Jia et al., 2019]

## Performance Evaluation Method

This sub-chapter discuss about the method used for evaluating the performance of the research method used. There are many researches which present metrics and algorithms evaluation for tracking system. The evaluation is statistical detection and estimation theory to evaluation tracking task using frame based as well as evaluation based on object of interest. Both of the methods require ground truth knowledge to be able to perform the evaluation. The evaluation method is based on a statistical hypothesis of Type I (α) and Type II (β) error, which consist of true negative, true positive, false negative and false positive. The method will be further discussed in the sub chapters of each metrics method. Figure 2.22 shows an illustration of the Type I and Type II error being implemented. Type I errors are assimilated with false positives, this happen when the hypothesis is true but being rejected. For example, in a construction progress scene, where the object is not being built and completed, but however the system validate that the object is available. Type II errors on the other hand are assimilated with false negatives, this will happen when the hypothesis is being false but being accepted. We will take the same construction scene that we discussed before as another example, where the object is being completed and built, but the system validates the object is not available.

A picture containing diagram

Description automatically generated

Figure 2.22: Type I and Type II error illustration.

### Frame based Metrics

Frame based metrics uses a method of starting with the initial frame of the sequence, and compute every frame in the sequence. [Bashir & Porikli, 2006] Firstly the true and false detection are being computed as follow:

* True Negative (TN): Total number of frames that ground truth and system agreed that the object is absence.
* True Positive (TP): Total number of frames that the ground truth and system agreed that the object is present.
* False Negative (FN): Total number of frames that the ground truth contains presence of the object, but the system register that the object is absence.
* False Positive (FP): Total number of frames that the system registered presence of the object, but the ground truth contains presence of the object.

(Table) shows an example of how the metrics are computed, where total ground truth (TG) is the total number of frames for ground truth object and TF is the total number of frames in the sequence.

Table 2.1: Metrics to be computed based on defined quantities

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Tracker Detection Rate |  |
| False Alarm Rate |  |
| Accuracy |  |
| Detection Rate |  |

### Object based metrics

Object based metrics evaluates the complete sequence and lifespan of individual system or application. The correspondence of ground truth is far more than one application track; therefore, a mapping has to be done before the evaluation. With that being said, the mapping of object and also frame based metrics are computer. Figure 2.23 shows an example from a research [Bashir & Porikli, 2006] where the metrics is being computed based on Euclidean distance of the current object and ground truth knowledge to determine the core metric (TN, TP, FN and FP). If the threshold of Euclidean distance has reached certain value, the decision is being made whether if it is True Positive or False Negative, and vice versa.




Figure 2.23: Definition of core metric for object-based metric. [Bashir & Porikli, 2006]

## Resources used for the application

In order to have a up and running application, a development platform and tools need to be prepared and well researched. This sub-chapter will discuss about the resources used for Augmented Reality Application in this thesis work, which will include the Integrated Development Environment (IDE), tools for the IDE, and supporting frameworks for creating the application.

### Unity

Unity is an IDE that enable designers and developers to work together to create application. This platform enables users to create games, application for automotive, transportation, manufacturing, film, animation and even architecture, engineering and construction. Unity also supports a very wide range of platform products, which include macOS, iOS, Android, Windows, Xbox One, Oculus, Nintendo Switch and many more. [Unity, 2019]

Unity is also able to support numerous AR and VR platforms, such as Unity MARS, and AR Foundation. This technology is possible with the use of Unity engine, which created in 2005 by the San Francisco-based Unity Technologies, which is primarily for the video-game industry. [Chen, 2019] It is widely used in most mobile application in AR, including the popular augmented-reality game Pokémon-Go. Besides, AR application is not merely just for gaming, but also good for simulation and construction progress in engineering field.

Unity provide an XR platform, which enables developer to work in AR or VR application. Figure 2.24 shows the structure of Unity XR platform, which the provider framework define the implementation and device specific SDK, which then able to handle translation of platform specific representation. The middle layer from the figure is an interface layer, which optimize core engine implementation from Unity. The developer framework, which is AR foundation framework, is a layer that expose the functionality of the subsystem from provider framework, which is also game object based and developer friendly to use the framework effectively.

Diagram

Description automatically generated

Figure 2.24: Unity XR platform structure. [Unity, 2019]

### AR Foundation

AR Foundation is an open source AR Foundation allows user to work with Augmented Reality platforms in a multi-platform, such as iOS and android within Unity. In fundamental level, AR foundation uses separate packages (ARKit for iOS and ARCore for Android) for the target platform officially supported by Unity. In short, AR Foundation enables users to write the application once, and build for both Android and iOS platform. However, AR Foundation does not implement all features from ARKit and ARCore, AR Foundation provides a scripting API and MonoBehaviours for making both of ARCore and ARKit application that use core functionality to share between Android and iOS platform. Table 2.2 and Figure 2.25 shows the summary of both of the SDK for ARKit, ARCore and AR Foundation. AR Foundation contains APIs that support various features from the packages, for instance, device tracking which track the device’s position, rotation and orientation in real-world environment. AR Foundation also provide other features API such as plane detection, point clouds, light estimation, face tracking, meshing and even body tracking. AR Foundation package wraps API and enhance with advance utilities, such as creating GameObject in unity platform to represent detected features in real time environment.

Table 2.2: Description of each AR Foundation, ARCore, and ARKit.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Details** | AR Foundation | Unity ARCore SDK | Unity ARKit Plugin |
| **Description** | Wraps ARKit and ARCore low-level APIs into a cohesive framework | Provides native APIs for all essential AR feature supported on ARCore for Android platform | Plugin for building ARKit experiences in Unity that exposes the objective- APIs in C# for use within Unity. |

Diagram

Description automatically generated

Figure 2.25: AR Foundation chart.

### Open3D

Open3D [Q.-Y. Zhou et al., 2018] is an open source library that support development of software that deals with 3D data. Frontend of this library uses a set of data structures and algorithm in programming languages such as C++ and Python. This library consist of core features such as 3D data processing algorithms, scene reconstruction, surface alignment and many more. An example of a data processing task is shown in Figure 2.26, where a set of point cloud is loaded, down sampled, and normal is estimated. Besides, this library also provides implementation of multiple surface registration method, such as iterative closest point. Open3D ease up the workflow of point-cloud data analysis and it is useful for broad community of developers who are dealing with complex 3D data.

A picture containing game

Description automatically generated

Figure 2.26: 3D data processing: load a point cloud, downsample it, and estimate normals. [Q.-Y. Zhou et al., 2018]

This library enables users to perform data analysis on point-cloud data, which including cropping point cloud based on point of interest, visualizing point cloud to a desirable view, point cloud outliers removal which filter unwanted noise from the point clouds data, surface construction which helps in reconstructing missing part by estimating the normal of the point cloud data and many more. Open3D also supports various type of point clouds data, with the format of: .xyz, *.xyzn*, *.xyzrgb*, *.pts*, *.ply* and *.pcd*, which is consider very flexible for an open source library. There is possibility to modify point cloud into desire format, such as meshes and voxel, Figure 2.27 shows the implementation of voxelization from a point cloud to voxel. For more thorough and view for the library function, it can be found here in [Q.-Y. Zhou et al., 2018].




Figure 2.27: Voxelization from a point cloud dataset in Open3D

### Unity Google Drive

Unity Google Drive [Erlingus, 2020] is an API library for listing, searching, uploading, downloading, deleting and exporting files to user’s drive from within Unity game engine. This API Library supports all major platforms such as Windows, Mac, Linux, iOS and Android. Most importantly, it enables users to be able to acquire data wirelessly and accessible through a free data storage on cloud. Last but not least, it is an open-source accessible SDK for developer to use for Unity projects. Figure 2.28 shows the interface tab of the SDK itself, which is directly connects to google drive API to enable data transferring within the drive and the Unity game engine. Certain settings and approval need to be done in the Google OAuth consent screen in order to create an access token key.

Graphical user interface, text, application

Description automatically generated

Figure 2.28: Google Drive API

### UniGLTF

UniGLTF is an open source github project where enable developers to import glb CAD model as a GameObject in Unity engine. Figure 2.29 shows an example of a helmet being imported into the Unity scene using UniGLTF with a standard shader. This open-source project act as a median to enable developer to easily import asset to Unity Engine for further development. Besides, UniGLTF also able to create a mesh asset for the imported asset, which developers are able to manipulate the imported object with mesh collider and interact with the asset in the unity scene.

A picture containing engine, close, helmet

Description automatically generated A picture containing text

Description automatically generated

Figure 2.29: Imported helmet asset with mesh asset

# Analysis

This research is based on a development of method to track construction progress. The master plan of the project as a whole is to enable operator to track the construction progress with an augmented reality system that enables simulation visualization in real-time in the operating site. The AR experience is then enables the operator to make intuitive decision to determine the overall construction progress. As a result, this AR assistance may increase the productivity for the management planning and commisioning of the construction process for the operating site. This chapter will discuss about the analysis part of the overall work, which will also include limitation and criterion of the overall AR system. In this thesis work, two major method has been used to compare and analyzed, which is point cloud comparing method and mesh data comparing method. All of the resources and method will be discussed in this chapter.

## Analysis of the construction progress

This section is used to analyze the construction progress using Augmented Reality application to identify the key performance index of the overall application. Section 3.1.1 will discuss about the system requirement, which will state the boundary condition and influence factors of the approach. Section 3.1.2 will analyze the system design on the method of the AR application is being developed.

### System Requirement

By looking at the overall aim of the thesis work, there are criterion, boundary and limitation for the developed AR application. The limitation can be explained by looking into the application itself, which include the overall environment and operator. As part of this work was the assembly of an engine and tracking the construction progress. There are several requirements that need to be set for this particular AR application:

* Indoor environment with sufficient artificial lightning
* Enough space that makes dynamic movement possible
* Object of interest has been pre-defined
* Object Tracking is assumed to be near perfect
* Hand-held iPad to run the AR application

The environment status is crucial for the AR application to work, as insufficient lightning will cause an incorrect tracking position relative to the camera and world co-ordinates, and this may impact the results of the overall work. On the other hand, since the initial object tracking is based on a marker, sufficient lightning is even crucial in order for the device camera to detect the marker and perform good tracking. Figure 3.1 shows an example of how the lightning will influence the object tracking based on marker affect the overall tracking, it is nearly impossible for AR application to track a marker in a low light condition. In the case under consideration, all of the data for this thesis work is gathered with sufficient lightning condition in an indoor environment.

A person in a dark room

Description automatically generated A close up of a computer

Description automatically generated

Figure 3.1: Low-light environment and Light-sufficient environment

Secondly, as the AR application will be used in an indoor environment, the functionality of the device, such as GPS tracking shall be omitted from the design. GPS tracking is not taking into consideration due to the small working area that this thesis work is being carried out. On the other hand, the indoor environment shall consist of enough space to accommodate the movement of operator to scan the object of interest. This requirement is crucial, so that every part from the object of interest will be covered and scanned by the device to get an optimal result. Besides, it is also important to ensure that every part of the object of interest is captured, for example, the operator has to walk around the object of interest with AR device and capture the data of the scene. Figure 3.2 shows the illustration of the workspace required. The space ranges minimum between 1 meter and 3.5 meter to ensure that the device is not too close or too far from the object of interest. If the object is too close, the camera would not be able to capture the overall view, and it may cause inaccuracy of the data collected. On the other hand, if the device is held too far away, the application will fail to capture detail feature of the object.

A picture containing photo, flying, colorful, air

Description automatically generated

Figure 3.2: Workspace of the AR application

Operator must already acquire a virtual model to have a general ground truth knowledge comparison with the real model. That means the virtual CAD model is pre-defined in the application before the scanning of object of interest start. The CAD model should be accurate to the real-world object in this case, this allows components of the same part to be distinguished from one another. The procedure described forms the standard course. This part is also important where the result is highly dependent on the accuracy and precision of the model itself. The dimension and orientation of the virtual model plays also a crucial role in the application itself; this is to be done because the virtual object will match with the real-world object in the AR application. Thus, dimension and accuracy of each part in the CAD model have to be nearly perfect to match with the real-world object.

The tracking of the object is provided from AR foundation, the marker and virtual object is assumed to be near perfect. This means that the transformation and rotation of the virtual object placed is assumed to be exact position and orientation of the real-world object. However, the tracking efficiency and algorithm is not covered in the scope of this thesis work.

Last but not least, iPad with LiDAR sensor is being used for the AR application. The latest technology enable operator to capture the data more efficient due to the computing speed and LiDAR sensor also helps a lot in collecting meshes data which will be discussed further in this chapter. Despite that, capturing point cloud in this thesis work does not use the LiDAR sensor by iPad. This is due to limitation of the open-source library, where the full LiDAR sensor could not be access by the time of this thesis is written.

In conclusion, the requirement for the AR application for this thesis can be formulated as follow:

1. Indoor environment with sufficient lightning
2. Working space ranges from 1 meter to 3.5 meters.
3. Operator is able to move around the object of interest dynamically.
4. Object tracking is assumed to be nearly perfect.
5. The latest iPad Pro with LiDAR sensor is being used.

### System Design

The aim of the AR application is to track the construction progress by comparing the known model and real-world object of interest. The AR application will collect the data collected from the object of interest. Figure 3.3 shows an illustration of how the AR application generally works, an example based on the figure can be explained as follow:

1. AR device’s camera capturing the object of interest.
2. AR device detected the object of interest.
3. AR application will superimpose a virtual object upon the object of interest as shown in Figure 3.4.
4. Data is captured based on the intersection of real and virtual object.
5. Analysis is made based on the data captured.
6. Construction progress percentage will then be presented in other analysis tool.

Shape, rectangle

Description automatically generated

Figure 3.3: General illustration of AR application

There are also assumption and boundary condition needed to be clarified for this application. The condition is as follow:

1. Superimposed virtual object is assumed and taken to nearly perfect fit from the real-world object.
2. Undetectable object due to virtually occlusive which is inside the object of interest is assumed to be presence.




Figure 3.4: Virtual object superimpose to real-world object.

As mentioned before, the method used to monitor the construction progress is to compare the virtual object with the real-world object. Therefore, the object tracking is then assumed to be nearly perfect fit to each other to enable better analysis for the construction progress.

Secondly, virtually occlusive object is also assumed to be presence if it is undetectable. For example, referring to Figure 3.3 and Figure 3.4, object P1 is inside of object P2, which it is virtually impossible for the AR device’s camera to detect object P1, either the camera angle is taken from side to side or from top to bottom. In this case, P1 is assumed to be presence in the monitor of construction progress. By looking at the logic of a normal construction progress, the part that needed to be complete, in this case, the inner part of the overall model, must been construct and complete before the next part to be completed, which is the enclosure of the model. This is to be taken into consideration when operators are using the AR application. The thesis is based on two major part, which is data collecting part and analysis part. Data collecting part is done by developing the AR application, where analysis part is done offline, where the data collected is being analyze and results are plotted. More detail of the method will be discussed in the next chapter of this documentation, which is point cloud capturing method and mesh data capturing method.

## Analysis of data accuracy

This section discussed about the accuracy of the data collected using the AR developed AR application. Section 3.2.1 will cover the analysis of the ground truth data for the object of interest. Section 3.2.2 will discuss the analysis of object tracking calibration. Both of the factors are very important to the accuracy of the data collected. The usage of LiDAR sensor will also be discussed and analyze in Section 3.2.3. The assembly of the object part will then be discussed in section 3.2.4.

### Ground Truth Knowledge

Before discussing about ways of capturing point cloud data and mesh data, ground truth knowledge plays a crucial role in this thesis work. As mentioned before, a pre-defined model has to be known in order to compare the model with the real-world object of interest. Every part of the model is defined separately in the backend of the AR application, so that the AR application is able to differentiate which point or meshes belongs to which specific part of the CAD model. Figure 3.5 shows the CAD model that has being use in this thesis work. The CAD model consist of the parts as shown in Table 3.1. This information will be then used to map the feature points or meshes to respective parts in the CAD model. As shown in the table, this CAD Model consist of 41 separate parts to assemble. Every part of the engine is accurately and precisely digitalized into the CAD Model.

A common reason is that to have a one-to-one comparison between the virtual object and real object, in this case, the engine itself. The CAD model and the engine part is provided from the institute for this thesis work. The marker is attached to the front part of the engine to enable AR application to recognize the object. The engine also been put onto a mount to enable the object to be upright standing position for the tracking purpose. This however disclosed certain part of the engine to be exposed virtually. In this case, the AR application will not be able to capture the outer shaft of the engine itself.

A picture containing light, toy, sitting, table

Description automatically generated

Figure 3.5: CAD model for ground truth knowledge.

Table 3.1: Part name of the CAD Model

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Housing Screw 1 | Pass feeder 1 | Big Housing (57310000) | Bearing (6200) |
| Housing Screw 2 | Pass feeder 2 | Middle Case (57313000) | Bearing (6200) X |
| Housing Screw 3 | Pass feeder 3 | Gear (57371420) | Bearing (6209) |
| Housing Screw 4 | Locking ring 1 | Gear (57373070) | Middle shaft (57330000) |
| Housing Screw 5 | Locking ring 2 | Gear (57371350) | Spacer (57382020) |
| Housing Screw 6 | Locking ring 3 | Gear shaft (57372220) | Spacer (57392010) |
| Housing Screw 7 | Outer Hexagon Screw 1 | Gear shaft (57372400) | Radial shaft seal |
| Housing Screw 8 | Outer Hexagon Screw 2 | Bearing (6201) | Adapter |
| Housing Screw 9 | Outer Hexagon Screw 3 | Bearing (6202) |
| Oil Inlet Screw | Outer Hexagon Screw 4 | Bearing (6204) |
| Oil Outlet Screw 1 | Oil Outlet Screw 2 | Bearing (6300) |

### Object Tracking Calibration

Object Tracking calibration is required to reduce the error as small as possible in the system. Although the system and library tools has been designed in a good and structured fashion, there will be still position and rotation error and this will lead to inaccurate result. Besides, the application will need to firstly determine the initial position and rotation of the virtual object.

This is done to ensure the virtual object is directly superimposed on the object of interest. Once the marker-based object tracking is established, the AR application will then perform the tracking based on the relative position of the AR camera and the marker within the AR scene. The calibration is necessary to compensate all possible error, the object tracking is based on the position of the camera itself with the marker position. Figure 3.6 shows an example of why object tracking calibration is important in the AR application, as the virtual object is not accurately superimpose to the real-world object of interest, it will cause inaccurate result. Therefore, object tracking calibration protocol is needed to achieve a reliable, accurate and reproduceable result. The marker is been attached to the object of interest as shown in Figure 3.1, the implementation of object tracking will be then further discussed in the next chapter.

With that being said, in order to have a one-to-one comparison of CAD model and the real-world object, tracking calibration is very important so that the intersection of every part of the object is mapped on each other. As mentioned before, the scope of this thesis does not cover the tracking performance, in this thesis work the tracking is presumed to be perfect mapped between virtual and real object.




Figure 3.6: Object Tracking Calibration

### LiDAR Sensor

The AR application uses LiDAR sensor to collect mesh data, hypothetically, this provides an accurate data compared to a classical feature points collection. With the application of LiDAR sensor, the device is able to interact physically with the real world and collect the data by knowing the depth of the object and features of the object.

AR Foundation provides a library tool that enable the application to collect the mesh data using LiDAR sensor. The addition of LiDAR sensor enables the application to collect data much faster than the classical feature points collection. As discussed in previous chapter in Section 2.3.1, the iPad combines camera and motion sensor data, and the iPad is able to understand the scene more, enables cross-fade the virtual and reality world in an accurate manner. The LiDAR sensor was instead meant to be used for augmented reality for the new iPad 2020. It can detect and classify features of object in the environment.

At the point of this thesis is being written, the application can only collect the mesh data from the LiDAR sensor on the device and there are not any APIs available for developers to get the raw depth data from the sensor. Further detail of the implementation of collecting mesh data using LiDAR sensor will be discussed in the next chapter.

### Assembly of Object Part

The AR application tracks the assembly of the real-world object; therefore, assembly plays a big role in this procedure. In order to have an accurate data, the differential part have to be assembled correctly with the right position and right orientation. Besides the right orientation and right position, the part has to be completely installed and attached to the right place. Figure 3.7 shows the difference of fully fastened middle cover of the engine and a partially installed middle cover. This will affect the accuracy of the result as the part is not fully assemble into the right place. Therefore, every installation or assembly must be correct into the right place so that the application will be able to retrieve the data correctly and accurately.

All things considered, the operator must have prior knowledge of the assembly process and the AR application is just a supporting tool to track the construction progress in site. Another factor is that knowing the sequence of the assembly process, so that nothing in internal is left out before installing the outer part. Section 3.1.2 had discussed about the AR application ability, where the application can only be able to track visible parts of the object of interest.

A picture containing indoor, sitting, table, plane

Description automatically generated A picture containing indoor, table, sitting, small

Description automatically generated

Figure 3.7: Partially Assemble and Fully Assemble Middle Cover

On the other hand, there is also another scenario which will affect the accuracy of the gathered data, which is assembly wrong parts onto the wrong position. The operator must be able to distinguish the assembly part to put it into the right place of the object. The scope of the AR application does not detect if there is wrong part been place onto a wrong place. If the wrong part falsely assembled, the AR application will assume that the assembly is completed, therefore the parts must be well-known by the operator before the assembly begin. A common mistake is that fastening the screw with different size and the application assumed that the screw part is there. Although this is a rare case, but there is still possibility to happen and it may affect the accuracy of the data.

## Criteria for indicator construction progress

Percentage of the collected data is calculated based on the data collected. As discussed previously, only part that are visible by the device are considered in our data. The percentage is calculated based on the intersection of the data with between real and virtual object, which is the true positive value of the data over the total number of ground truth data.

For visible part, the AR application will show the output of what the system defined. In this thesis work, there is 41 different parts, but however it is not all visible. The AR application is able to determine available parts by scanning the object through the scene. Section 3.3.1 will discuss about the percentage requirement for each part of the CAD model, and Section 3.3.2 will discuss about the method of collecting data as a true positive value to determine the accuracy of the AR application for both point cloud and mesh data method.

### Accuracy Graph

The key performance index for each method is determined based on the method discussed at Section 2.6.1, which is accuracy. The data is being collected and organize in a template as shown in Table 3.2. The first row in Table 3.2 shows an example of how the data is being recorded. The data will be as followed, where the part name, Nd and NGT, is number of detections of part and number of ground truth parts. %T represent the percentage threshold, and %R is the percentage calculated as follow:

Where = Part detection number,

= Ground truth part numbers

*User Input* is needed to be input manually, which depends on the availability of the part of the testing scene. *System Define* is being input automatically when the percentage is higher than threshold percentage.

Table 3.2: Template of data collection

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Test** | **Part Name** | **Nd** | **NGT** | **%T** | **%R** | **User**  **Input** | **System**  **Define** | **TP** | **TN** | **FP** | **FN** |
| 1 | Housing Screw 1 | 200 | 400 | 30% | 50% | X | X | 200 | 0 | 0.5 |  |
| 1 | Housing Screw 2 | 100 | 500 | 30% | 20% |  |  | 0 | 100 | 0 |  |

The value of TP, TN, FP, FN is being allocated as the following scenario as shown in Table 3.3. For example, when *User Input* and *System Define* is positive, the value from is being recorded in TP. Another example is that when *User Input* is positive and the *System Define* is negative, the value from is being recorded in FN.

Table 3.3: Allocation of TP, TN, FP and FN

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | User Input | System Define |
| True Positive, TP | X | X |
| True Negative, TN |  |  |
| False Positive, FP |  | X |
| False Negative, FN | X |  |

For analysis purpose, the percentage used here is not dynamic to show the relationship of the percentage and accuracy of the system definition. The key performance index is accuracy which based on the value of all TP, TN, FP, FN with the formula from state of the art at Section 2.6.1. The analysis will be done offline based on the template and finally a graph is plotted and analyzed.

### Percentage Threshold

The point cloud and mesh data collected is being converted into percentage, and the AR application will be able to distinguish and make judgement based on the percentage as follow:

X : Point Cloud/ Mesh Data of part

GT : Ground Truth Data of part

When the data collected reached a certain threshold, the system will register the part as available. However, there are 41 parts in the CAD model itself, where every part is different size and dimension, this may cause a slight problem if the application has been set to a constant percentage threshold. Also, due to different part size of the CAD model, it is difficult to just set a hard value to determine the percentage threshold. In order to subdue the percentage threshold problem, a dynamic percentage threshold is introduced, where the threshold is set upon the part size of the CAD model. All things considered; every part of the CAD model will have their own percentage threshold calculate in the backend of the application. The formula to calculate dynamic percentage is being established as follows:

: Percentage Threshold

: : Gain Threshold

: Bound Magnitude of Parts

represent the bound magnitude of the CAD model, where this is obtained and calculated in the AR application and the value K is set at 400. In this thesis work, larger part of the CAD model is set to a threshold at 10%. By using this method to calculate the dynamic percentage threshold, larger CAD part will bring a small percentage compare to the others, therefore, for larger parts (E.g.: Big House and Middle Cover), the percentage threshold is set to a threshold of 10%. In one way or another, the smaller parts would require higher percentage where larger part require lesser percentage. The reason of this scenario is that larger part will have higher possibility chance for AR application to detect where smaller object part will need more data points to confirm the existence. This is done to provide a more robust and resilient results.

The bound size of the CAD model part is acquired in the Unity Game Engine, where it runs in the backend of the application. Table 3.4 shows the summary of boundary magnitude for different CAD model parts, which categorize into large part and smaller part. Larger part will have the percentage threshold of 10% where smaller part will vary based on the bound magnitude. The table is shown in ascending in bound magnitude of the CAD model itself. The categorization of larger part and smaller part has a threshold value of 2000, which means as long as the value is larger than 2000, it is consider as a larger part which will hold a threshold percentage of 10% in the AR application. In this case, the gain threshold, is set at 400.

Table 3.4: Part Number with Bound Magnitude and Threshold Percentage

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Part Name** | **Bound Magnitude** | **Threshold Percentage** |
| Oil Outlet Screw 2 | 503 | 80% |
| Oil Outlet Screw 1 | 507 | 79% |
| Pass feeder 1 | 513 | 78% |
| Pass feeder 2 | 513 | 78% |
| Pass feeder 3 | 513 | 78% |
| Oil Inlet Screw | 675 | 59% |
| Spacer (57382020) | 1085 | 37% |
| Spacer (57392010) | 1129 | 35% |
| Housing Screw 1 | 1160 | 34% |
| Housing Screw 2 | 1160 | 34% |
| Housing Screw 3 | 1160 | 34% |
| Housing Screw 4 | 1160 | 34% |
| Housing Screw 5 | 1160 | 34% |
| Housing Screw 6 | 1160 | 34% |
| Housing Screw 7 | 1160 | 34% |
| Housing Screw 8 | 1160 | 34% |
| Housing Screw 9 | 1160 | 34% |
| Outer Hexagon Screw 1 | 1387 | 29% |
| Outer Hexagon Screw 2 | 1387 | 29% |
| Outer Hexagon Screw 3 | 1387 | 29% |
| Outer Hexagon Screw 4 | 1387 | 29% |
| Bearing (6300) | 1866 | 21% |
| **Other Parts** | >2000 | 10% |

# Solution

This chapter discusses the structure of the automatic recognition of construction progress and the implementation from back-end to the front end of the AR application. There are two method of capturing the data in this thesis work, which is point cloud capturing method and mesh capturing. Unity is used as an IDE for the application and the marker used for tracking purpose is provided from the institute IPMT. The structure of the thesis work is as shown in Figure 4.1, where the object tracking is being calibrated and implemented, follow by data collection and finally analysis part for evaluation outside of AR application.




Figure 4.1: Outline of the structure for AR application.

Calibration of object tracking will be discussed in Section 4.1, where the approach of the method will be introduced. Section 4.2 and section 4.3 will be discussing about the methods of point cloud and mesh capturing method in the AR application. Last but not least, the result collected is computed offline and the result will be evaluated in the next chapter.

## Image Tracking Calibration

The AR application uses marker to implement image tracking and therefore, it is first needed to be calibrated. This section will discuss about essential elements of image tracking, which include the reference image library provided from AR foundation which will be discussed in Section 4.1.2. The orientation calibration of the CAD model into real-world scenario will be discussed in Section 4.1.3.

### AR Tracked Image Manager

The AR tracked image manager in Unity is responsible of creating Game Object for the detected image in the real-world environment. The manager is instructed to look for a set of reference image that compiled in a reference image library, which we will discuss in the next sub-section. After the image from the library is detected, the manager will then generate and create a Game Object to the real-world environment. In this thesis work, the manager tracks the marker image and superimpose the CAD model onto the real-world object, which is an engine provided from IPMT. When the image is detected, AR Foundation will create a CAD model to represent on the marker itself. Note that the CAD model is imported from glb file by UniGLTF which discussed in Section 2.7.5.

### Reference Image Library

The identification of the object is based on image tracking in the real-world scenario. Firstly, the reference image library is being created in Unity and the physical size of the marker has to be measured. The marker that being used in this thesis is as shown in Figure 2.4 and the size of the marker is as shown as follow in Table 4.1. This parameter is being input into the assets to be used in the AR application. Figure 4.2 Figure 2.1shows the implementation in Unity where the physical size settings is being input into the field of the reference image library named *BoxSingleMarker.*

Table 4.1: Physical measurement of marker


|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Physical Size (X)/ meters | 0.1340000 |
| Physical Size (Y)/ meters | 0.1010234 |

Graphical user interface, text, application

Description automatically generated

Figure 4.2: Reference Image Library for Marker

The reference image library is then being referred by the AR tracked Image Manager. Once the AR application detect the image on the engine, the CAD model will be superimposed on the real environment engine as shown in Figure 4.3. The material of the CAD Model is set to semi-transparent so that when the device is tracking the object, it is still visible to see the real-world engine in the AR scene.

 A picture containing appliance, stacked, stack

Description automatically generated

Figure 4.3: CAD Model superimposing real-world object

### CAD Model Position and Orientation

After the reference image library is being configured, the CAD model does not stay on top of the real-world object and translation and orientation need to be configure. This is to be done to ensure the virtual object is one-to-one matching and superimpose with the real object in the environment. One of the reasons is the placement of the marker and the orientation of the marker placed on the engine itself. The prefab transformation is being adjusted as shown in Table 4.2. After the adjustment and calibration, the virtual engine is visually paired with the real-world engine and the scene is then ready for the next implementation.

Table 4.2: Transformation adjustment of the Virtual Object in Scene

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **X** | **Y** | **Z** |
| **Position** | 0 | -0.092 | 0.048 |
| **Rotation** | 180 | 180 | 0 |

Diagram

Description automatically generated

Figure 4.4 shows an example of what being explained, where the green cross represent the point cloud data captured based on the feature point of the real-world object of interest and the data is stored when the overlapping distance is within the tolerance between CAD model and object of interest.

### Capturing Point Cloud Data and Mesh Data

This part of the work is done all in the AR application, where the application will detect the marker (As shown in Figure 2.4) which will be used for tracking in AR scene. Then, the object tracking will start by superimpose the CAD model to the object of interest. Based on the feature points or mesh data of the object of interest, the AR application will then collect the point cloud data from the AR scene. While the object tracking is running, the AR application will then detect the intersection of the CAD Model and object of interest, if the feature points is overlapping with the CAD model within a tolerance distance, in this case if it is within 1mm distance, the point cloud data is then stored and save for analyze. The data is then uploaded to an accessible storage for offline analysis, in this thesis work, the data is uploaded to Google Drive.

Diagram

Description automatically generated

Figure 4.4: Point cloud capturing in AR application

With that being said, the mesh data is also being collected by the same manner, but different AR scene in the application itself. Instead of capturing feature points, LiDAR sensor captures the mesh data of the real-world object, and with the same process, if the intersection of the CAD Model is overlapping within a tolerance value between the virtual object and real object, the mesh data is then captured and saved. Figure 4.5 shows an example of how mesh data is being collected in AR application.

Diagram

Description automatically generated

Figure 4.5: Capturing mesh data in AR application

After collecting the data from the AR scene, analysis part is done to monitor the construction progress of the object of interest. Two types of data are collected, which is point cloud data and mesh data, these relative data will have different approach of performing the analysis part. Firstly, point cloud data collected will have the data of each point cloud position as well as orientation. These data will be processed at the backend of the AR application, where the data is compared with the ground truth data from the CAD model. Then, the relative percentages of each part will also be calculated and recorded. The process after this is being done offline, which is out of the AR application.

As mentioned previously, there are two types of data, which will be handle differently. Point cloud data will be analyze using Open3D as discussed in Section 2.8.3, the library will perform Iterative closest point to match the point cloud data with ground truth data. This is done as a control method, where result will be analyzed and compare where which method suits the best for monitoring the construction progress. Likewise, point cloud data and mesh data results will be compiled and show in a statistical approach as discussed in Section 2.7. Figure 4.6 shows the process flow chart for the analysis part of the thesis work.

Diagram

Description automatically generated

Figure 4.6: Process flow of analysis part.

# Bibliography

[Habe02] Haberfellner, R.; Daenzer, W.F.: Systems Engineering. Methodik und Praxis. Verl. Industrielle Organisation, Zürich, 2002.

[Bashir06] Bashir, F., & Porikli, F.: Performance Evaluation of Object Detection and Tracking Systems. Proceeding from the 9th IEEE Workshop, 2006.

[Behza08] Behzadan, A. H., Timm, B. W., & Kamat, V. R.: General-purpose modular hardware and software framework for mobile outdoor augmented reality applications in engineering. University of Michigan, Am Arbor, 2008

[Belton08] Belton, D. Improving and extending the information on principal component analysis for local neighborhoods in 3D point clouds. Department of Spatial Science, Australia, 2008.

[Bost13] Bostanci, E., Kanwal, N., Ehsan, S., & Clark, A. F. User Tracking Methods for Augmented Reality. *International Journal of Computer Theory and Engineering*, 2013.

[Chen19] Chen,S. How Virtual Reality is Augmenting Realty. The New York Times, United States, 2019.

[Du10] Du, S., Zheng, N., Xiong, L., Ying, S., & Xue, J. Scaling iterative closest point algorithm for registration of m-D point sets. *Journal of Visual Communication and Image Representation*, 2010.

[Dunc00] Duncan, J. S., & Ayache, N. Medical image analysis: Progress over two decades and the challenges ahead. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 2000.

[Erling20] Erlingus. Unity Google Drive. <https://github.com/Elringus/UnityGoogleDrive>, 2020.

[Fard07] Fard, M. G., & Peña-Mora, F. Application of visualization techniques for construction progress monitoring. *Congress on Computing in Civil Engineering, 2007.*

[Fleish05] Fleishman, S., Cohen-Or, D., & T.Silva, C. (2005). Robust Moving Least-squares Fitting with Sharp Features. *Association for Computing Machinery, 2005*

[Gokb08] Gokberk, B., Dutagaci, H., Ulas, A., Akarun, L., & Sankur, B. Representation plurality and fusion for 3-D face recognition. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, 2008*

[He17] He, Y., Liang, B., Yang, J., Li, S., & He, J. An iterative closest points algorithm for registration of 3D laser scanner point clouds with geometric features, Switzerland, 2017

[Hida16] Hidaka, N. Polygonization of Point Cloud of Elongated Civil. 2016

Bashir, F., & Porikli, F. (2006). Performance Evaluaion of Object Detection and Tracking Systems. *Proceedings from the 9th IEEE Workshop on PETS*, *Pets*, 7–14.

Behzadan, A. H., Timm, B. W., & Kamat, V. R. (2008). General-purpose modular hardware and software framework for mobile outdoor augmented reality applications in engineering. *Advanced Engineering Informatics*, *22*(1), 90–105. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aei.2007.08.005

Belton, D. (2008). Improving and extending the information on principal component analysis for local neighborhoods in 3D point clouds. *ISPRS Congress*, 477–484.

Bostanci, E., Kanwal, N., Ehsan, S., & Clark, A. F. (2013). User Tracking Methods for Augmented Reality. *International Journal of Computer Theory and Engineering*, *5*(1), 93–98. https://doi.org/10.7763/ijcte.2013.v5.654

Chen, S. (2019, November). How Virtual Reality is Augmenting Realty. *The New York Times*.

Du, S., Zheng, N., Xiong, L., Ying, S., & Xue, J. (2010). Scaling iterative closest point algorithm for registration of m-D point sets. *Journal of Visual Communication and Image Representation*, *21*(5–6), 442–452. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvcir.2010.02.005

Duncan, J. S., & Ayache, N. (2000). Medical image analysis: Progress over two decades and the challenges ahead. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, *22*(1), 85–106. https://doi.org/10.1109/34.824822

Erlingus. (2020). *Unity Google Drive*. https://github.com/Elringus/UnityGoogleDrive

Fard, M. G., & Peña-Mora, F. (2007). Application of visualization techniques for construction progress monitoring. *Congress on Computing in Civil Engineering, Proceedings*, *40937*(July 2007), 216–223. https://doi.org/10.1061/40937(261)27

Fleishman, S., Cohen-Or, D., & T.Silva, C. (2005). Robust Moving Least-squares Fitting with Sharp Features. *Association for Computing Machinery, Inc.* https://doi.org/0730-0301/05/0700- 0544

Gokberk, B., Dutagaci, H., Ulas, A., Akarun, L., & Sankur, B. (2008). Representation plurality and fusion for 3-D face recognition. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part B: Cybernetics*, *38*(1), 155–173. https://doi.org/10.1109/TSMCB.2007.908865

He, Y., Liang, B., Yang, J., Li, S., & He, J. (2017). An iterative closest points algorithm for registration of 3D laser scanner point clouds with geometric features. *Sensors (Switzerland)*, *17*(8). https://doi.org/10.3390/s17081862

Hidaka, N. (2016). *POLYGONIZATION OF POINT CLOUD OF ELONGATED CIVIL*. *December*.

Hinks, T., Carr, H., Truong-Hong, L., & Laefer, D. F. (2013). Point cloud data conversion into solid models via point-based voxelization. *Journal of Surveying Engineering*, *139*(2), 72–83. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)SU.1943-5428.0000097

Jia, C. C., Wang, C. J., Yang, T., Fan, B. H., & He, F. G. (2018). A 3D Point Cloud Filtering Algorithm based on Surface Variation Factor Classification. *Procedia Computer Science*, *154*(1985), 54–61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2019.06.010

Jia, C., Yang, T., Wang, C., Fan, B., & He, F. (2019). A new fast filtering algorithm for a 3D point cloud based on RGB-D information. *PLoS ONE*, *14*(8), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0220253

Kamat, V. R., & El-Tawil, S. (2005). Rapid post-disaster evaluation of building damage using augmented situational visualization. *Construction Research Congress 2005: Broadening Perspectives - Proceedings of the Congress*, 1251–1260. https://doi.org/10.1061/40754(183)122

Kazhdan, M., Bolitho, M., & Hoppe, H. (2006). Poisson Surface Reconstruction. *Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore MD, USA*.

Klein, G. (2006). Visual Tracking for Augmented Reality. *System*, *January*, 182. http://www.robots.ox.ac.uk/~gk/publications/Klein2006Thesis.pdf

Klein, G., & Murray, D. (2007). *Parallel Tracking and Mapping for Small AR Workspaces*.

Lee, S., & Pena-Mora, F. (2006). Visualization of construction progress monitoring. *Joint International Conference on Computing and Decision Making in Civil and Building Engineering*, 2527–2533. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0887-3801(2009)23:6(391)

Linsen, L. (2001). Point cloud representation. *University of Karlsruhe, Germany Technical Report, Faculty of Informatics*, 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13398-014-0173-7.2

Lu, M., Guo, Y., & Ma, Y. (2015). Accelerated Coherent Point Drift for Automatic 3D Point Cloud Registration. *College of Electronic Science and Engineering National University of Defense Technology Changsha, Hunan*, *November*.

Mani, G. F., Feniosky, P. M., & Savarese, S. (2009). D4AR-A 4-dimensional augmented reality model for automating construction progress monitoring data collection, processing and communication. *Electronic Journal of Information Technology in Construction*, *14*(June), 129–153.

Milgram, P., Takemura, H., Utsumi, A., & Kishino, F. (1995). *Augmented reality: a class of displays on the reality-virtuality continuum*.

Minguez, J., Montesano, L., & Lamiraux, F. (2006). Metric-based iterative closest point scan matching for sensor displacement estimation. *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, *22*(5), 1047–1054. https://doi.org/10.1109/TRO.2006.878961

Myronenko, A., & Song, X. (2010). Point set registration: Coherent point drifts. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, *32*(12), 2262–2275. https://doi.org/10.1109/TPAMI.2010.46

Myronenko, A., Song, X., & Carreira-Perpiñán, M. Á. (2007). Non-rigid point set registration: Coherent point drift. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 1009–1016. https://doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/7503.003.0131

Nguyen, V. D., Nzomigni, V., & Stewart, C. V. (1999). Fast and robust registration of 3D surfaces using low curvature patches. *Proceedings - 2nd International Conference on 3-D Digital Imaging and Modeling, 3DIM 1999*, 201–208. https://doi.org/10.1109/IM.1999.805350

Rakotosaona, M. J., La Barbera, V., Guerrero, P., Mitra, N. J., & Ovsjanikov, M. (2020). PointCleanNet: Learning to Denoise and Remove Outliers from Dense Point Clouds. *Computer Graphics Forum*, *39*(1), 185–203. https://doi.org/10.1111/cgf.13753

Ruchay, A. N., Dorofeev, K. A., & Kalschikov, V. V. (2019). Accuracy analysis of 3D object reconstruction using point cloud filtering algorithms. *CEUR Workshop Proceedings*, *2391*, 169–174. https://doi.org/10.18287/1613-0073-2019-2391-169-174

Rusu, R. B., Marton, Z. C., Blodow, N., Dolha, M., & Beetz, M. (2008). Towards 3D Point cloud based object maps for household environments. *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, *56*(11), 927–941. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.robot.2008.08.005

Schall, O., Belyaev, A., & Seidel, H. P. (2006). Feature-preserving denoising of time-varying range data. *ACM SIGGRAPH 2006: Sketches, SIGGRAPH ’06*, *June*. https://doi.org/10.1145/1179849.1179919

Schmalstieg, D., & Höllerer, T. (2016). *Augmented reality: principles and practice*.

Stewart, C. V., Tsai, C. L., & Roysam, B. (2003). The dual-bootstrap iterative closest point algorithm with application to retinal image registration. *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*, *22*(11), 1379–1394. https://doi.org/10.1109/TMI.2003.819276

Stucker, C. (2017). *Semantic Point Cloud Filtering by*. *July*.

Unity. (2019). *Explore Unity*. unity.com

Van Krevelen, D. W. F., & Poelman, R. (2010). A Survey of Augmented Reality Technologies, Applications and Limitations. *International Journal of Virtual Reality*, *9*(2), 1–20. https://doi.org/10.20870/ijvr.2010.9.2.2767

Wang, J., Guo, Y., Ying, Y., Liu, Y., & Peng, Q. (2006). Fast non-local algorithm for image denoising. *Proceedings - International Conference on Image Processing, ICIP*, *0*, 1429–1432. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICIP.2006.312698

Yoo, H. W., Druml, N., Brunner, D., Schwarzl, C., Thurner, T., Hennecke, M., & Schitter, G. (2018). MEMS-based lidar for autonomous driving. *Elektrotechnik und Informationstechnik*, *135*(6), 408–415. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00502-018-0635-2

Yoon, S.-H. (2006). A Surface Displaced from a Manifold. *Computer Science and Engineering, Seoul National University, Korea*. https://doi.org/10.1007/11802914

Zhou, F., Been-Lirn Duh, H., & Billinghurst, M. (2008). Trends in Augmented Reality Tracking, Interaction and Display: A Review of Ten Years of ISMAR Feng. *Center for Human Factors and Ergonomics, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore 2Department*, *Cambridge*, *UK*. https://doi.org/10.1109/ISMAR.2008.4637362

Zhou, Q.-Y., Park, J., & Koltun, V. (2018). *Open3D: A Modern Library for 3D Data Processing*. http://arxiv.org/abs/1801.09847

# Anhang

#### Zeichnungsstandards am IPMT

