Machine Learning Methodologies and Applications (AI6012) Individual Assignment

Leon Sun (G2204908A)

30 September 2023

1 Question 1 (10 marks)

Multi-class classification, or Multinomial Logistic Regression, can be approached using softmax regression. The softmax function is generally defined as:

$$P(y = c \mid x) = \frac{\exp(w^{(c)}x)}{\sum_{i=1}^{C} \exp(w^{(i)}x)} \quad or \quad \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{i \neq C}^{C} \exp(w^{i}).x}$$
 (1)

Since the sum of all the conditional probabilities for the softmax is 1, we can summarise the probabilities for all classes to:

$$\sum_{c=0}^{C} P(y=c \mid x) = 1$$
 (2)

By introducing the set of logits into we can arrived at the following parametric equations for multinomial logistic regression. Suppose there are C classes, 0, 1, ..., C-1:

For
$$c > 0$$
: $P(y = c \mid x) = \frac{\exp(-w^{(c)^T}x)}{1 + \sum_{c=1}^{C-1} \exp(-w^{(c)^T}x)} = \hat{y}_c$ (3)

For
$$c > 0$$
: $P(y = 0 \mid x) = \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{c=1}^{C-1} \exp(-w^{(c)^T} x)} = \hat{y}_0$ (4)

Given a set of N training input-output pairs like x_i , y_i , i = 1,..., N, which are i.i.d, we can define the likehood as the product of likelihoods of each individual pairs.

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{w_c}) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} l\left(\boldsymbol{w_c} \mid \{\boldsymbol{x_i}, y_i\}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} P\left(y_i \mid \boldsymbol{x_i}; \boldsymbol{w_c}\right)$$
 (5)

Hence the maximum likelihood estimation can be represented in the following ln function which converts the product into a sum.

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{w}}_{c} = \underset{\boldsymbol{w}_{c}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \prod_{i=1}^{N} P\left(y_{i} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{i}; \boldsymbol{w}_{c}\right) = \underset{\boldsymbol{w}_{c}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{c=0}^{C-1} \left(y_{i} \ln \left(g\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}; \boldsymbol{w}_{c}\right)\right)\right)$$
(6)

$$\ln \hat{w} = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{c=1}^{C-1} y_i \ln \left(P(y_i x_j; w_c) \right)$$
 (7)

We will now derive the learning procedure for the multinomial logistic classification using Gradient Descent optimisation method.

$$\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1} = \boldsymbol{w}_{t} - \rho \frac{\partial E(\boldsymbol{w})}{\partial \boldsymbol{w}}$$

$$\frac{\partial E(\boldsymbol{w})}{\partial \boldsymbol{w}} = \frac{\partial \left(-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{c=1}^{c} y_{i} \cdot \ln \left(P\left(y_{i} \mid x_{i}; w_{c}\right) \right) \right)}{\partial w_{c}}$$

$$= -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{c=1}^{c} \frac{\partial \left(y_{i} \cdot \ln \left(p\left(y_{i} \mid x_{i}; w_{c}\right) \right) \right)}{\partial w_{c}}$$

$$(8)$$

Let $P(y_i \mid x_i; w_c)$ be f(z). Using chain rule:

$$\frac{\partial \ln f(z)}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial \ln f(z)}{\partial f(z)} \cdot \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z}
= \frac{1}{f(z)} \cdot \frac{df(z)}{\partial z}$$
(9)

We can differentiate using:

$$\frac{\partial \left(y_i \cdot \ln\left(P\left(y_i \mid x_i; w_c\right)\right)}{\partial w_c} = y_i \cdot \frac{1}{P\left(y_i \mid x_i w_c\right)} \cdot \frac{\partial \left(P\left(y_i \mid x_i; w_c\right)\right)}{\partial w_c}$$
(10)

If y = c, subbing equations (3) into (10) gives us:

$$\frac{\partial \left(P\left(y_{i} \mid x_{i}; w_{c}\right)\right)}{\partial w_{c}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{c}} \left(\frac{\exp\left(-w_{c} \cdot x_{i}\right)}{1 + \sum_{c=1}^{c-1} \exp\left(-w_{c} \cdot x_{i}\right)}\right)$$

Using quotient rule:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial w_c} \left(\frac{\exp\left(-w_c \cdot x_i\right)}{1 + \sum_{c=1}^{c-1} \exp\left(-w_c \cdot x_i\right)} \right)$$

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial w_c} \exp\left(-w_c \cdot x_i\right)\right) \left(1 + \sum_{c=1}^{c-1} \exp\left(-w_c \cdot x_i\right)\right) + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial w_c} \left(1 + \sum_{c=1}^{c-1} \exp\left(-w_c \cdot x_i\right)\right)\right) \left(\exp\left(-w_c \cdot x_i\right)\right)}{\left(1 + \sum_{c=1}^{c-1} \exp\left(-w_c \cdot x_i\right)\right)^2}$$

$$= \frac{\left(x_i \cdot \exp\left(-w_c \cdot x_i\right)\right) \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{c-1} \exp\left(-w_c \cdot x_i\right)\right) + x_i \cdot \exp\left(-w_c \cdot x_i\right) \cdot \left(\exp\left(-w_c \cdot x_i\right)\right)}{\left(1 + \sum_{c=1}^{c-1} \exp\left(-w_c \cdot x_i\right)\right)^2}$$

$$x_i \exp\left(-w_c \cdot x_i\right) \left(1 + \sum_{c=1}^{c-1} \exp\left(-w_c \cdot x_i\right) - \exp\left(-w_c \cdot x_i\right)\right)$$

$$= \frac{x_i \exp(-W_c \cdot x_i) \left(1 + \sum_{c=1}^{c-1} \exp(-W_c \cdot x_i) - \exp(-W_c \cdot x_i)\right)}{\left(1 + \sum_{c=1}^{c-1} \exp(-W_c \cdot x_i)\right)^2}$$

$$=\frac{x_{i}\cdot\exp\left(-w_{c}\cdot x_{i}\right)}{1+\sum_{c=1}^{c-1}\exp\left(-w_{c}\cdot x_{i}\right)}\cdot\frac{1+\sum_{c=1}^{c-1}\exp\left(-w_{c}\cdot x_{i}\right)-\exp\left(-w_{c}\cdot x_{i}\right)}{1+\sum_{c=1}^{c-1}\exp\left(-w_{c}\cdot x_{i}\right)}$$

$$= \frac{x_i \cdot \exp\left(-w_c \cdot x_i\right)}{1 + \sum_{c=1}^{c-1} \exp\left(-w_c, x_i\right)} \cdot \left(\frac{1 + \sum_{c=1}^{c-1} \exp\left(-w_c, x_i\right)}{1 + \sum_{c=1}^{c-1} \exp\left(-w_c, x_i\right)} - \frac{\exp\left(-w_c \cdot x_i\right)}{1 + \sum_{c=1}^{c-1} \exp\left(-w_c \cdot x_i\right)}\right)$$

$$=x_i\cdot\hat{y}_c(1-\hat{y}_c)\tag{11}$$

Subbing (11) back into (10):

$$\frac{\partial \left(P\left(y_{i} \mid x_{i}; w_{c}\right)\right)}{\partial w_{c}}$$

$$= y_{i} \cdot \frac{1}{P\left(y_{i} \mid x_{i}w_{c}\right)} \cdot \frac{\partial \left(P\left(y_{i} \mid x_{i}; w_{c}\right)\right)}{\partial w_{c}}$$

$$= y_{i} \cdot \frac{1}{\hat{y}_{c}} \cdot \left(x_{i} \cdot \hat{y}_{c}(1 - \hat{y}_{c})\right)$$

$$= x_{i}(y_{i} - y_{i} \cdot \hat{y}_{c})$$
(12)

Putting them back together, we will sub (12) into the gradient descent rule (8)

$$\mathbf{w}_{t+1} = \mathbf{w}_{t} - \rho \frac{\partial E(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}}$$

$$= \mathbf{w}_{t} - \rho \left(-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{c=1}^{C} \frac{\partial \left(y_{i} \cdot \ln \left(p\left(y_{i} \mid x_{i}; w_{c}\right)\right)\right)}{\partial w_{c}} - \lambda \mathbf{w}\right)$$

$$= \mathbf{w}_{t} + \rho \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(y_{i} - y_{i} \cdot \hat{y}_{c}\right) x_{i} - \lambda \mathbf{w}\right)$$
(13)

2 Question 2 (5 marks)

2.2. Answer:

C=0.01	C=0.05	C=0.1	C=0.5	C=1
0.84958	0.85038	0.85038	0.85050	0.85032

Table 1: Classification accuracy on running linear kernel SVM on 3-fold cross-validation using training set with different values of the parameter C in $\{0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.5, 1\}$

2.3. Answer:

	g = 0.01	g = 0.05	g=0.1	g = 0.5	g=1
C=0.01	0.76377	0.76433	0.77096	0.76377	0.76377
C=0.05	0.78871	0.83293	0.83029	0.76961	0.76377
C=0.1	0.83226	0.83754	0.83717	0.79092	0.76377
C=0.5	0.84411	0.84546	0.84559	0.82507	0.77772
C=1	0.84682	0.84589	0.84651	0.82956	0.78668

Table 2: Classification accuracy on running rbf kernel SVM on 3-fold cross-validation using training set with parameter gamma in {0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.5, 1} and different values of the parameter C in {0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.5, 1}

2.4. Answer:

	kernal=Linear, C=1
Accuracy of SVMs	0.84733

Table 3: Classification accuracy on running linear kernel SVM on 3-fold cross-validation using test set with different values with C=1

- 3 Question 3 (5 marks)
- 4 Question 4 (5 marks)

 $\begin{array}{ccc} & \textbf{kernal=Linear, C=1} \\ \textbf{Accuracy of SVMs} & 0.84733 \end{array}$

Table 4: Classification accuracy on running linear kernel SVM on 3-fold cross-validation using test set with different values with C=1