## This is the Project Title

#### Your Name

Master of Science
Computer Science
School of Informatics
University of Edinburgh
2020

## **Abstract**

Formal development of Frank.

# Chapter 1 Formalisation of Frank

(1, , , )	D	(interfaces)	I
(data types)	D	(term variables)	x, y, z, f
(value type variables)	X	,	
(effect type variables)	E	(instance variables)	s,a,b,c
(value types)	$A,B ::= D \overline{R}$	(seeds)	$\sigma ::= \emptyset \mid E$
(value types)	,	(abilities)	$\Sigma ::= \sigma \!\mid\! \Xi$
	$  \{C\}   X$	(extensions)	$\Xi ::= \iota \mid \Xi, I \ \overline{R}$
(computation types)	$C::=\overline{T o} G$	,	, ,
(argument types)	$T ::= \langle \Delta \rangle A$	(adaptors)	$\Theta ::= \iota \mid \Theta, I(S \to S')$
( )	( /	(adjustments)	$\Delta ::= \Theta   \Xi$
(return types)	$G::=[\Sigma]A$	(instance patterns)	$S ::= s \mid S \mid a$
(type binders)	$Z ::= X \mid [E]$	(kind environments)	$\Phi,\Psi::=\cdot \Phi,Z$
(type arguments)	$R ::= A \mid [\Sigma]$	,	
(polytypes)	$P ::= \forall \overline{Z}.A$	(type environments)	$\Gamma ::= \cdot \mid \Gamma, x : A \mid \Gamma, f : P$
(poi) (jpes)	1— VZ./1	(instance environments	$\Omega ::= s : \Sigma \mid \Omega, a : I \overline{R}$

Figure 1.1: Types

```
\begin{array}{ll} \text{(constructors)} & k \\ \text{(commands)} & c \\ \text{(uses)} & m ::= x \mid f \ \overline{R} \mid m \ \overline{n} \mid \uparrow (n : A) \\ \text{(constructions)} & n ::= \downarrow m \mid k \ \overline{n} \mid c \ \overline{R} \ \overline{n} \mid \{e\} \\ & \mid \ \text{let} \ f : P = n \ \text{in} \ n' \mid \text{letrec} \ \overline{f : P = e} \ \text{in} \ n \\ & \mid \ \langle \Theta \rangle \ n \\ \text{(computations)} & e ::= \overline{r} \mapsto n \\ \text{(computation patterns)} & r ::= p \mid \langle c \ \overline{p} \rightarrow z \rangle \mid \langle x \rangle \\ \text{(value patterns)} & p ::= k \ \overline{p} \mid x \end{array}
```

Figure 1.2: Terms

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Chapter 1. Formalisation of Frank} \\ \hline \Phi; \Gamma[\underline{\Sigma}] - m \Rightarrow A \\ \hline \\ \frac{x : A \in \Gamma}{\Phi; \Gamma[\underline{\Sigma}] - x \Rightarrow A} & \frac{\Phi \vdash \overline{R} \qquad f : \forall \overline{Z}.A \in \Gamma}{\Phi; \Gamma[\underline{\Sigma}] - f \ \overline{R} \Rightarrow A[\overline{R}/\overline{Z}]} \\ \hline \\ T\text{-APP} & \Sigma' = \Sigma \qquad (\Sigma \vdash \Delta_i \dashv \Sigma_i')_i \qquad \qquad T\text{-ASCRIBE} \\ \Phi; \Gamma[\underline{\Sigma}] - m \Rightarrow \{\overline{(\Delta)A} \rightarrow [\Sigma']B\} \qquad (\Phi; \Gamma[\underline{\Sigma}] - n_i : A_i)_i \qquad \qquad \Phi; \Gamma[\underline{\Sigma}] - n : A \\ \hline \Phi; \Gamma[\underline{\Sigma}] - m \Rightarrow A \qquad A = B \qquad \qquad A \xrightarrow{\Phi}; \Gamma[\underline{\Sigma}] - m \Rightarrow A \qquad A = B \\ \hline \Phi; \Gamma[\underline{\Sigma}] - m \Rightarrow A \qquad A = B \qquad \qquad k \ \overline{A} \in D \ \overline{R} \qquad (\Phi; \Gamma[\underline{\Sigma}] - n_j : A_j)_j \\ \hline \Phi; \Gamma[\underline{\Sigma}] - \mu : B \qquad \qquad \Phi; \Gamma[\underline{\Sigma}] - k \ \overline{n} : D \ \overline{R} \\ \hline \\ T\text{-COMMAND} \qquad \Phi; \Gamma[\underline{\Sigma}] - c \ \overline{R} \ \overline{n} : B[\overline{R}/\overline{Z}] \qquad \qquad T\text{-THUNK} \\ \hline \Phi; \Gamma[\underline{\Sigma}] - e : C \qquad \Phi; \Gamma[\underline{\Sigma}] - e : C \\ \hline E; \Gamma[\underline{\Sigma}] - e : C \\ \hline$$

T-LETREC

$$(P_{i} = \forall \overline{Z}_{i}.\{C_{i}\})_{i} \qquad \text{T-ADAPT}$$

$$(\Phi, \overline{Z}_{i}; \Gamma, \overline{f} : P \vdash e_{i} : C)_{i} \qquad \Phi; \Gamma, \overline{f} : P \sqsubseteq n : B \qquad \Sigma \vdash \Theta \dashv \Sigma' \qquad \Phi; \Gamma \sqsubseteq -n : A$$

$$\Phi; \Gamma \sqsubseteq - \text{letrec } \overline{f} : P = e \text{ in } n : B \qquad \Phi; \Gamma \sqsubseteq - \langle \Theta \rangle n : A$$

 $\Phi$ ;  $\Gamma$  [ $\Sigma$ ] - **let** f: P = n **in** n': B

$$\Phi$$
; $\Gamma$  $\vdash$   $e$ : $C$ 

T-COMP

$$\frac{(\Phi \vdash r_{i,j} : T_j \vdash [\Sigma] \exists \Psi_{i,j} . \Gamma'_{i,j})_{i,j}}{(\Phi, (\Psi_{i,j})_j ; \Gamma, (\Gamma'_{i,j})_j [\Sigma] \vdash n_i : B)_i \qquad ((r_{i,j})_i \text{ covers } T_j)_j}{\Phi; \Gamma \vdash ((r_{i,j})_i \mapsto n_i)_i : (T_i \to)_i [\Sigma]B}$$

Figure 1.3: Term Typing Rules

(uses) 
$$m ::= \cdots \mid \lceil \mathcal{E}[c \ \overline{R} \ \overline{w}] \rceil$$
  
(constructions)  $n ::= \cdots \mid \lceil \mathcal{E}[c \ \overline{R} \ \overline{w}] \rceil$   
(use values)  $u ::= x \mid f \ \overline{R} \mid \uparrow(v : A)$   
(non-use values)  $v ::= k \ \overline{w} \mid \{e\}$   
(construction values)  $w ::= \downarrow u \mid v$   
(normal forms)  $t ::= w \mid \lceil \mathcal{E}[c \ \overline{R} \ \overline{w}] \rceil$   
(evaluation frames)  $\mathcal{F} ::= [\ ] \ \overline{n} \mid u \ (\overline{t}, [\ ], \overline{n}) \mid \uparrow([\ ] : A)$   
 $\downarrow \downarrow [\ ] \mid k \ (\overline{w}, [\ ], \overline{n}) \mid c \ \overline{R} \ (\overline{w}, [\ ], \overline{n})$   
 $\mid \text{ let } f : P = [\ ] \text{ in } n \mid \langle \Theta \rangle [\ ]$   
(evaluation contexts)  $\mathcal{E} ::= [\ ] \mid \mathcal{F}[\mathcal{E}]$ 

Figure 1.4: Runtime Syntax

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \Phi; \Gamma[\Sigma] \vdash m \Rightarrow A & \Phi; \Gamma[\Sigma] \vdash n : A \\ \hline \\ T\text{-Freeze-Use} \\ \hline \neg (\mathcal{E} \text{ handles } c) & \Phi; \Gamma[\Sigma] \vdash \mathcal{E}[c \ \overline{R} \ \overline{w}] \Rightarrow A \\ \hline \\ \Phi; \Gamma[\Sigma] \vdash \lceil \mathcal{E}[c \ \overline{R} \ \overline{w}] \rceil \Rightarrow A \\ \hline \\ T\text{-Freeze-Cons} \\ \hline \neg (\mathcal{E} \text{ handles } c) & \Phi; \Gamma[\Sigma] \vdash \mathcal{E}[c \ \overline{R} \ \overline{w}] : A \\ \hline \\ \Phi; \Gamma[\Sigma] \vdash \lceil \mathcal{E}[c \ \overline{R} \ \overline{w}] \rceil : A \end{array}$$

Figure 1.5: Frozen Commands

Figure 1.6: Operational Semantics

$$r: T \leftarrow t - [\Sigma] \theta$$

B-VALUE  

$$\Sigma \vdash \Delta \dashv \Sigma'$$

$$p: A \leftarrow w \dashv \theta$$

$$p: \langle \Delta \rangle A \leftarrow w \dashv \Sigma \mid \theta$$

**B-REQUEST** 

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
\Sigma \vdash \Delta \dashv \Sigma' & \mathcal{E} \text{ poised for } c \\
\Delta = \Theta \mid \Xi & c : \forall \overline{Z}.\overline{B} \to B' \in \Xi & (p_i \colon B_i \leftarrow w_i \dashv \theta_i)_i \\
\hline
\langle c \ \overline{p} \to z \rangle : \langle \Delta \rangle A \leftarrow \lceil \mathcal{E}[c \ \overline{R} \ \overline{w}] \rceil \dashv_{\Sigma} \rceil \overline{\theta} [\uparrow (\{x \mapsto \mathcal{E}[x]\} : \{B' \to [\Sigma']A\})/z] \\
B-CATCHALL-VALUE \\
\underline{\Sigma \vdash \Delta \dashv \Sigma'} \\
\hline
\langle x \rangle : \langle \Delta \rangle A \leftarrow w \dashv_{\Sigma} \rceil [\uparrow (\{w\} : \{[\Sigma']A\})/x]
\end{array}$$

B-CATCHALL-REQUEST

$$\begin{split} \Sigma \vdash \Delta \dashv \Sigma' & \mathcal{E} \text{ poisedfor } c \\ \Delta &= \Theta \mid \Xi & c : \forall \overline{Z}. \overline{B \to} B' \in \Xi \\ \hline \langle x \rangle : \langle \Delta \rangle A \leftarrow \lceil \mathcal{E}[c \ \overline{R} \ \overline{w}] \rceil \dashv_{\Sigma} \lceil \uparrow (\{\lceil \mathcal{E}[c \ \overline{R} \ \overline{w}] \rceil\} : \{\lceil \Sigma' | A \}) / x \rceil \end{split}$$

 $p: A \leftarrow w \dashv \theta$ 

$$\frac{\text{B-DATA}}{x : A \leftarrow w \dashv [\uparrow(w : A)/x]} \qquad \frac{k \, \overline{A} \in D \, \overline{R}}{k \, \overline{A} \in D \, \overline{R}} \qquad (p_i : A_i \leftarrow w_i \dashv \theta_i)_i}{k \, \overline{p} : D \, \overline{R} \leftarrow k \, \overline{w} \dashv \overline{\theta}}$$

Figure 1.7: Pattern Binding

## **Chapter 2**

# **Arbitrary Thread Interruption**

### 2.1 Relaxing Catches

B-CATCHALL-REQUEST-LOOSE 
$$\frac{\Sigma \vdash \Delta \dashv \Sigma'}{\langle x \rangle : \langle \Delta \rangle A \leftarrow \lceil \mathcal{E}[c \ \overline{R} \ \overline{w}] \rceil \vdash \!\! \lfloor \Sigma \rfloor \left[ \uparrow (\{\lceil \mathcal{E}[c \ \overline{R} \ \overline{w}] \rceil \} : \{[\Sigma']A\}) / x \right]}$$

Figure 2.1: Updated B-CATCHALL-REQUEST

### 2.2 Interrupting Arbitrary Terms

```
(uses) m ::= \cdots \mid \lceil \mathcal{E}[c \ \overline{R} \ \overline{w}] \rceil

(constructions) n ::= \cdots \mid \lceil \mathcal{E}[c \ \overline{R} \ \overline{w}] \rceil

(use values) u ::= x \mid f \ \overline{R} \mid \uparrow(v : A)

(non-use values) v ::= k \ \overline{w} \mid \{e\}

(construction values) w ::= \downarrow u \mid v

(normal forms) t ::= w \mid \lceil \mathcal{E}[c \ \overline{R} \ \overline{w}] \rceil \mid !(m)

(evaluation frames) \mathcal{F} ::= [\ ] \ \overline{n} \mid u \ (\overline{t}, [\ ], \overline{n}) \mid \uparrow([\ ] : A)

\mid \ \downarrow[\ ] \mid k \ (\overline{w}, [\ ], \overline{n}) \mid c \ \overline{R} \ (\overline{w}, [\ ], \overline{n})

\mid \ \text{let } f : P = [\ ] \ \text{in } n \mid \langle \Theta \rangle \ [\ ]

(evaluation contexts) \mathcal{E} ::= [\ ] \mid \mathcal{F}[\mathcal{E}]
```

Figure 2.2: Runtime Syntax, Updated with Suspension of Uses

$$\frac{\Sigma \vdash \Delta \dashv \Sigma'}{\langle x \rangle : \langle \Delta \rangle A \leftarrow !(m) \dashv [\Sigma] \ [\uparrow(\{m\} : \{[\Sigma']A\})/x]}$$

Figure 2.3: Catching Interrupts rule.

#### 2.3 Freezing

Another way of doing it is to just let any use become frozen, in the same way as commands become frozen once invoked.

```
TODO: Do we need the 'hoisting' rules for general suspended terms?
```

#### 2.4 Yielding

#### 2.5 Counting

In practise we count up through the amount of R-HANDLE rules we apply and only insert the yield when this count exceeds a threshold value  $t_y$ .

So we supplement the operational semantics with a *counter*  $c_y$ , so that our transitions are e.g.  $m; c_y \leadsto_u m'; c_y'$ . We adopt the convention that, when the counter is not mentioned in a transition<sup>1</sup>, the counter stays the same. Hence e.g.  $m \leadsto_u m'$  desugars

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>That is, the transition is of the form  $m \rightsquigarrow_{\Pi} m'$ .

R-INTERRUPT
$$\frac{}{m \leadsto_{\mathbf{u}}!(m)}$$

Figure 2.4: Use interruption rule

Figure 2.5: Updated Freezing

to  $m; c_y \leadsto_{\mathbf{u}} m'; c_y$ .

So to get our counting semantics we just need to supplement Figure? with the updated rule in

(uses) 
$$m ::= ... | \lceil \mathcal{E}[c \ \overline{R} \ \overline{w}] \rceil | \boxed{m}$$
  
(constructions)  $n ::= ... | \lceil \mathcal{E}[c \ \overline{R} \ \overline{w}] \rceil | \boxed{m}$   
(use values)  $u ::= x | f \ \overline{R} | \uparrow (v : A)$   
(non-use values)  $v ::= k \ \overline{w} | \{e\}$   
(construction values)  $w ::= \downarrow u | v$   
(normal forms)  $t ::= w | \lceil \mathcal{E}[c \ \overline{R} \ \overline{w}] \rceil | \boxed{m}$   
(evaluation frames)  $\mathcal{F} ::= [] \ \overline{n} | u \ (\overline{t}, [], \overline{n}) | \uparrow ([] : A)$   
 $| \downarrow [] | k \ (\overline{w}, [], \overline{n}) | c \ \overline{R} \ (\overline{w}, [], \overline{n})$   
 $| \text{let } f : P = [] \text{ in } n | \langle \Theta \rangle []$   
(evaluation contexts)  $\mathcal{E} ::= [] | \mathcal{F}[\mathcal{E}]$ 

Figure 2.6: Runtime Syntax, Updated with Freezing of Uses

B-CATCHALL-INTERRUPT
$$\frac{\Sigma \vdash \Delta \dashv \Sigma'}{\langle x \rangle : \langle \Delta \rangle A \leftarrow \lceil m \rceil \dashv \Sigma \rceil \left[ \uparrow (\{m\} : \{ \lceil \Sigma' \mid A \}) / x \right]}$$

Figure 2.7: Catching Frozen Terms rule.

$$\frac{R\text{-YIELD}}{\Delta = \Theta \,|\, \Xi \qquad \text{Yield} \in \Xi} \\ \frac{\Delta = \Theta \,|\, \Xi \qquad \text{Yield} \in \Xi}{\uparrow (n: [\Delta]A) \leadsto_{\mathrm{u}} \uparrow (\textbf{let yield!} : [\Delta] \mathsf{Unit} = \_\, \textbf{in} \,\, m: [\Delta]A)}$$

Figure 2.8: Inserting Yields

$$\frac{m \leadsto_{\mathbf{u}} m'}{\text{R-HANDLE}} \frac{\left[ m \leadsto_{\mathbf{u}} m' \right] \left[ n \Longrightarrow_{\mathbf{c}} n' \right]}{k = \min_{i} \left\{ i \mid \exists \overline{\theta}. (r_{i,j} : \langle \Delta_{j} \rangle A_{j} \leftarrow t_{j} - [\Sigma] \theta_{j})_{j} \right\} \qquad (r_{k,j} : \langle \Delta_{j} \rangle A_{j} \leftarrow t_{j} - [\Sigma] \theta_{j})_{j}}{\uparrow (\left\{ ((r_{i,j})_{j} \rightarrow n_{i})_{i} \right\} : \left\{ \overline{\langle \Delta \rangle} A \rightarrow [\Sigma] B \right\}) \overline{t}; c_{y} \leadsto_{\mathbf{u}} \uparrow ((\overline{\theta}(n_{k}) : B)); c_{y} + 1}$$

Figure 2.9: R-Handle with counting.

$$m \rightsquigarrow_{\mathrm{u}} m'$$

$$\frac{c_y \geq t_y}{m; c_y \leadsto_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{let} \ \mathsf{yield} : [\mathsf{Yield}] \mathsf{Unit} = \bot \mathbf{in} \ m; 0}$$

Figure 2.10: Inserting Yields, when over counter

# Appendix A Remaining Formalisms

Figure A.1: Action of an Adjustment on an Ability and Auxiliary Judgements

$$X ::= A \mid C \mid T \mid G \mid Z \mid R \mid P \mid \sigma \mid \Sigma \mid \Xi \mid \Theta \mid \Delta \mid \Gamma \mid \exists \Psi.\Gamma \mid \Omega$$

$$\frac{\Phi \vdash X}{\Phi \vdash X}$$

$$\frac{WF\text{-Val}}{\Phi, X \vdash X}$$

$$\frac{WF\text{-Eff}}{\Phi, [E] \vdash E}$$

$$\frac{WF\text{-Poly}}{\Phi \vdash \forall \overline{Z}.A}$$

$$\frac{WF\text{-Data}}{\Phi \vdash D\overline{R}}$$

$$\frac{WF\text{-Thunk}}{\Phi \vdash C}$$

$$\frac{(\Phi \vdash T)_i}{\Phi \vdash D\overline{R}}$$

$$\frac{\Phi \vdash C}{\Phi \vdash \{C\}}$$

$$\frac{(\Phi \vdash T)_i}{\Phi \vdash D\overline{R}}$$

$$\frac{WF\text{-Arag}}{\Phi \vdash \Delta}$$

$$\frac{WF\text{-Arag}}{\Phi \vdash \Delta}$$

$$\frac{WF\text{-Billity}}{\Phi \vdash D\overline{R}}$$

$$\frac{WF\text{-Billity}}{\Phi \vdash D\overline{R}}$$

$$\frac{WF\text{-Billity}}{\Phi \vdash D\overline{R}}$$

$$\frac{WF\text{-Dure}}{\Phi \vdash D\overline{R}}$$

Figure A.2: Well-Formedness Rules

$$\begin{array}{ll} \Phi \vdash p : A \dashv \Gamma \\ \hline \\ \Phi \vdash x : A \dashv x : A \\ \hline \\ \Phi \vdash r : T \dashv \Sigma \end{bmatrix} \exists \Psi. \Gamma \\ \hline \\ P-VALUE \\ \Sigma \vdash \Delta \dashv \Sigma' \qquad \Phi \vdash p : A \dashv \Gamma \\ \hline \\ \Phi \vdash p : \langle \Delta \rangle A \dashv \Sigma \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{array}{l} P-DATA \\ \underline{k \, \overline{A} \in D \, \overline{R}} \qquad (\Phi \vdash p_i : A_i \dashv \Gamma)_i \\ \hline \\ \Phi \vdash k \, \overline{p} : D \, \overline{R} \dashv \overline{\Gamma} \\ \hline \\ P-CATCHALL \\ \underline{\Sigma \vdash \Delta \dashv \Sigma'} \qquad \Phi \vdash p : A \dashv \Gamma \\ \hline \\ \Phi \vdash p : \langle \Delta \rangle A \dashv \Sigma \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{array}{l} P-CATCHALL \\ \underline{\Sigma \vdash \Delta \dashv \Sigma'} \\ \hline \\ \Phi \vdash \langle x \rangle : \langle \Delta \rangle A \dashv \Sigma \end{bmatrix} x : \{ [\Sigma']A \} \\ \hline \\ P-COMMAND \\ \underline{\Sigma \vdash \Delta \dashv \Sigma'} \qquad \Delta = \Theta \mid \Xi \qquad c : \forall \overline{Z}.\overline{A \rightarrow B} \in \Xi \qquad (\Phi, \overline{Z} \vdash p_i : A_i \dashv \Gamma_i)_i \\ \hline \\ \Phi \vdash \langle c \, \overline{p} \rightarrow z \rangle : \langle \Delta \rangle B' \dashv \Sigma \end{bmatrix} \exists \overline{Z}.\overline{\Gamma}, z : \{ \langle \iota \mid \iota \rangle B \rightarrow [\Sigma']B' \} \end{array}$$

Figure A.3: Pattern Matching Typing Rules