



**UFRJ**

COPPE

PEE

ECA

Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro

Coordenação dos Programas de Pós-Graduação em Engenharia

Programa de Engenharia Elétrica

Engenharia de Controle e Automação

# Adaptive control

## Conteúdo

<b>0 Organização do curso</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>1 Revisão: controle 2DOF</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>2 Exemplos simples</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>3 Identificação adaptativa de parâmetros</b>	<b>179</b>
<b>4 MRAC</b>	<b>296</b>
<b>5 Least-squares MRAC</b>	<b>459</b>
<b>6 Combined/composite M-MRAC+LS estimator</b>	<b>492</b>
<b>7 LS-MRAC with high-order tuners</b>	<b>544</b>
<b>8 Backstepping</b>	<b>627</b>
<b>9 LS-MRAC with <math>n^* &gt; 1</math></b>	<b>819</b>
<b>10 MIMO MRAC</b>	<b>911</b>
<b>11 MIMO MRAC</b>	<b>985</b>
<b>12 MIMO LS-MRAC</b>	<b>1096</b>
<b>11 Apêndice - Projeto do controle 2DOF</b>	<b>1012</b>

# 0 ORGANIZAÇÃO DO CURSO

## Contents

---

0.1	Horário	4
0.2	Prerequisites	5
0.3	Objetivos do curso	6
0.4	Plano de aulas simplificado	7
0.5	Ementa <i>não-oficial</i>	8
0.6	Duração do curso	9
0.7	Atividades	10
0.8	Bibliography	11
0.9	Bibliografia extra	15
0.10	Artigos	16
0.11	Avaliação	18
0.12	Apresentações e entrega dos relatórios	19

---

## 0.1 HORÁRIO

COE835 : H-312B

: 4<sup>a</sup>. feira 10:00 – 12:00

: 6<sup>a</sup>. feira 10:00 – 12:00

COE603 : H-219B

: 3<sup>a</sup>. feira 13:00 – 15:00

: 5<sup>a</sup>. feira 12:00 – 15:00

Professor : Ramon R. Costa

Laboratório : H-345

Telefone : (cel) 98887-9355

e-mails : [ramonrcosta@gmail.com](mailto:ramonrcosta@gmail.com)

## 0.2 PREREQUISITES

- Linear algebra
- Linear systems
- Discrete control
- Nonlinear systems  $\Leftarrow !$

## 0.3 OBJETIVOS DO CURSO

- Apresentar as principais técnicas de análise e projeto
- Introdução ao estado da arte
- Capacitar o aluno a ler e pesquisar no tema

## 0.4 PLANO DE AULAS SIMPLIFICADO

(...)

## 0.5 EMENTA *NÃO-OFFICIAL*

- Revisão: controle 2DOF
- Exemplos simples
  - MRAC ( $n^* = 1$ ) direto e indireto
  - VS-MRAC
- Estimação adaptativa de parâmetros
- MRAC SISO
- LS-MRAC
- Backstepping
- MRAC MIMO
- VS-MRAC

## 0.6 DURAÇÃO DO CURSO

COPPE/PEE

COE835 Controle adaptativo

Início : 24/set/25

Término : 12/dez/25

★ 12 semanas

★ 45 horas-aula

POLI/ECA

COE603 Controle adaptativo

Início : 18/mar/25

Término : 19/jul/25

★ 15 semanas

★ 75 horas-aula

## 0.7 ATIVIDADES

- (1) Aulas
- (2) Seminários
- (3) Pesquisa bibliográfica
- (4) Simulações digitais
- (5) Relatórios

## 0.8 BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] EUGENE LAVRETSKY & KEVIN A. WISE  
*Robust and Adaptive Control. With Aerospace Applications [2 Ed].*  
Springer, **2024**.
- [2] NHAN T. NGUYEN  
*Model-Reference Adaptive Control. A Primer.*  
Springer, **2018**.
- [3] HOVAKIMYAN & CAO  
 *$\mathcal{L}_1$  Adaptive Control Theory.*  
SIAM, **2010**.
- [4] KARL JOHAN ÅSTRÖM & BJÖRN WITTENMARK  
*Adaptive Control [2 Ed].*  
Dover, **2008**.

[5] PETROS IOANNOU & BARIŞ FIDAN

*Adaptive Control Tutorial.*

SIAM, 2006.

[6] GANG TAO

*Adaptive Control Design and Analysis.*

John Wiley & Sons, 2003.

[7] JEFFREY T. SPOONER, MANFREDI MAGGIORE, RAÚL ORDÓÑEZ & KEVIN M. PASSINO

*Stable Adaptive Control and Estimation for Nonlinear Systems: Neural and Fuzzy Approximator Techniques.*

John Wiley & Sons, 2002.

[8] GANG FENG & ROGELIO LOZANO

*Adaptive Control Systems.*

Newnes, 1999.

- [9] IVEN MAREELS & JAN WILLEM POLDERMAN  
*Adaptive Systems. An Introduction.*  
Springer, **1996**.
- [10] PETROS A. IOANNOU & JING SUN  
*Robust Adaptive Control.*  
Prentice Hall, **1996**.
- [11] MIROSLAV KRSTIĆ, IOANNIS KANELAKOPOULOS & PETAR KOKOTOVIĆ  
*Nonlinear and Adaptive Control Design.*  
John Wiley & Sons, Inc., **1995**.
- [12] EDOARDO MOSCA  
*Optimal, Predictive, and Adaptive Control.*  
Prentice-Hall, **1994**.
- [13] JEAN-JACQUES E. SLOTINE & WEIPING LI  
*Applied Nonlinear Control.*  
Prentice Hall, **1991**.

- [14] ROBERT R. BITMEAD, MICHEL GEVERS & VINCENT WERTZ  
*Adaptive Optimal Control. The Thinking Man's GPC.*  
Prentice Hall, **1990**.
- [15] KUMPATI S. NARENDRA & ANURADHA M. ANNASWAMY  
*Stable Adaptive Systems.*  
Prentice Hall, **1989**.
- [16] SHANKAR SAstry & MARC BODSON  
*Adaptive Control. Stability, Convergence, and Robustness.*  
Prentice Hall, **1989**.
- [17] YOAN D. LANDAU  
*Adaptive Control.*  
Marcel Dekker Inc., **1979**.

## 0.9 BIBLIOGRAFIA EXTRA

### 1. GILBERT STRANG

*Introduction to Linear Algebra [4 Ed].*  
Wellesley - Cambridge Press, **2009**.

### 2. KARL JOHAN ÅSTRÖM & BJÖRN WITTENMARK

*Computer Controlled Systems Theory and Design.*  
Prentice-Hall Inc., **1997**.

## 0.10 ARTIGOS

### 1. LIU HSU & RAMON R. COSTA

*Variable structure model reference adaptive control using only input and output measurements: Part 1.*

Int. Journal of Control, Vol. 49, No. 2, 399–416, **1989**.

### 2. RAMON R. COSTA, LIU HSU, ALVARO K. IMAI & PETAR KOKOTOVIĆ

*Lyapunov-based adaptive control of MIMO systems.*

Automatica, Vol. 39, pp. 1251-1257, **2003**.

### 3. RAMON R. COSTA

*Lyapunov design of least-squares model-reference adaptive control.*

IFAC 2020, Berlin, Germany, July 11-17, **2020**.

### 4. RAMON R. COSTA

*Model-reference adaptive control with high-order parameter tuners.*

ACC 2022, Atlanta, USA, June 8-10, **2022**.

5. RAMON R. COSTA

*Least-squares model-reference adaptive control with high-order parameter tuners.*  
Automatica, **2024**.

6. RAMON R. COSTA

*Least-squares adaptive control and observer.*  
IEEE-TAC (To appear), **2025**.

## 0.11 AVALIAÇÃO

★ Baseado em trabalhos:

- Simulações digitais
- Relatórios
- Seminários

## 0.12 APRESENTAÇÕES E ENTREGA DOS RELATÓRIOS

:	Trabalho	Data
1	MRAC (Caso $n = 1$ , $n^* = 1$ e $n_p = 2$ )	
2	Algoritmos de identificação	
3	Solução do controle 2DOF	
4	MRAC (Casos $n^* = 1$ e $2$ , $\forall n$ )	
5	MRAC (Caso $n^* = 3$ , $\forall n$ )	
6	LS-MRAC (Caso $n^* = 1$ )	
7	Composite M-MRAC+LS (Caso $n^* = 1$ )	
8	Backstepping (Caso $n^* = 2$ ), Observador de ordem completa. Indireto	
9	Backstepping (Caso $n^* = 2$ ), Observador de ordem reduzida. Indireto	
10	Backstepping (Caso $n^* = 2$ ), Observador de ordem reduzida. Direto	
11	Backstepping (Caso $n^* = 3$ )	
12	MIMO MRAC (Caso $n^* = 1$ )	
13	MIMO MRAC (Caso $n^* = 2$ )	
14	MIMO LS-MRAC (Caso $n^* = 1$ )	
15	VS-MRAC (Caso $n^* = 1$ )	

# 1 REVISÃO: CONTROLE 2DOF

## Contents

---

1.1	Introduction	21
1.2	Problema geral	22
1.3	Realimentação de estado	23
1.4	Observadores	28
1.4.1	Observadores de ordem mínima	32
1.5	Estrutura 2DOF	33
1.6	Identificação de parâmetros	34
1.7	Estrutura do MRAC	36


---

## 1.1 INTRODUCTION

*“Despite the vast literature on the subject, there is still a general feeling that adaptive control is a collection of unrelated technical tools and tricks.”*

[IOANNOU & SUN:1996] (pag. 25)

## 1.2 PROBLEMA GERAL




**Figura 1:** Diagrama de blocos com incertezas e perturbações.

- $\delta$  : Perturbação de entrada (baixa frequência)
- $\eta$  : Perturbação de saída (alta frequência)
- $\Delta(s)$  : Incerteza na planta

## 1.3 REALIMENTAÇÃO DE ESTADO

- Solução para o problema de estabilização de sistemas lineares.



★ Problema mais simples: Não há incertezas nem perturbações.


■ Vantagem:

- Liberdade para realocar todos os polos

■ Desvantagens:

- Necessita medição do estado
- Análise de robustez difícil

- Solução para o problema de rastreamento.



- ★ A realimentação de estado realoca os polos.
- ★ O bloco *feedforward* (FF) realoca os zeros (via cancelamento).
- ★ Condição de rastreabilidade : os zeros da planta devem ser estáveis.

**Exemplo 1**

Planta de 1a. ordem.


Planta :

$$P(s) = \frac{k_p}{s + a}$$

Modelo :

$$M(s) = \frac{k_m}{s + a_m}$$

(Dinâmica desejada)



Controle :

$$u = \ell_1 y + \ell_2 r$$

Malha fechada:

$$(s + \underbrace{a - k_p \ell_1}_{a_m})y = \underbrace{k_p \ell_2}_{k_m} r$$

Solução:

$$\ell_1 = \frac{a - a_m}{k_p}$$

Feedback (FB)

$$\ell_2 = \frac{k_m}{k_p}$$


Feedforward (FF)

★ Note que  $k_p$  aparece no denominador!

**Problema:** Quando o parâmetro  $k_p$  é adaptado  $\Rightarrow \hat{k}_p \neq 0 !!$   
 $\Rightarrow \text{sign}(k_p)$  deve ser conhecido.

## 1.4 OBSERVADORES

- Estimador dinâmico de estado.



★ O observador é uma cópia da planta (+ uma realimentação).

Hipótese fundamental :

- A planta é perfeitamente conhecida.
- A planta é completamente observável.

★ Somente  $x(0)$  é desconhecido !!


Planta :

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$$


Observador :

$$\dot{\hat{x}} = A\hat{x} + Bu + \underbrace{K(y - \hat{y})}_{\text{Realimentação}}$$

Diagrama de blocos : Observador de ordem completa com realimentação.




- Solução para o problema de estabilização sem medição do estado.



★ Muito importante : Princípio da separação.

Matrizes  $K$  e  $L$  podem ser projetadas separadamente !!


### 1.4.1 OBSERVADORES DE ORDEM MÍNIMA



★ Ideia :  $y = x_1$  não precisa ser estimado. É medido!


## 1.5 ESTRUTURA 2DOF

- Solução geral para o problema de rastreamento sem medição do estado.



★  $M(s)$  : *Modelo de referência.*  
Dinâmica desejada para o sistema em malha fechada.


## 1.6 IDENTIFICAÇÃO DE PARÂMETROS



- ★  $\theta$  não é realimentado na planta!
- ★ Os algoritmos utilizam previsões.  $\Rightarrow$  Problema não-linear.


Problema: Como implementar?

Solução: Utilizar estrutura com filtros.



★ LS = Least-Squares.


## 1.7 ESTRUTURA DO MRAC



- ★ **MRAC** : *Model-reference adaptive control*
- ★  $G_{fb}$  e  $G_{ff}$  são *ajustados/adaptados* tal que  $e_0(t) \rightarrow 0$ .
- ★ O problema torna-se **não linear** e **variante no tempo**.

**Problema:** Como implementar?

**Solução:** Utilizar estrutura **com filtros** (semelhante ao caso do identificador).



**Figura 2:** Estrutura do MRAC.

## 2 EXEMPLOS SIMPLES

### Contents

---

2.1	Planta com 1 parâmetro desconhecido ( $a_p$ ) . . . . .	40
2.1.1	MRAC direto . . . . .	42
2.1.2	Método do Gradiente . . . . .	67
2.1.3	MRAC indireto . . . . .	84
2.1.4	VS-MRAC . . . . .	96
2.1.5	Problemas & exercícios . . . . .	114
2.2	Enfoque discreto . . . . .	119
2.2.1	Método do Gradiente discreto . . . . .	120
2.2.2	MRAC indireto discreto . . . . .	130
2.2.3	Problemas & exercícios . . . . .	131
2.3	Planta com 1 parâmetro desconhecido ( $k_p$ ) . . . . .	132
2.3.1	MRAC direto . . . . .	133
2.3.2	Solução analítica . . . . .	142
2.3.3	MRAC indireto . . . . .	145
2.3.4	Simulações . . . . .	153
2.3.5	Problemas & exercícios . . . . .	154
2.4	Planta com 2 parâmetros desconhecidos ( $a_p$ e $k_p$ ) . . . . .	155

2.4.1	MRAC direto	156
2.4.2	Problemas & exercícios	178

---

## 2.1 PLANTA COM 1 PARÂMETRO DESCONHECIDO ( $a_p$ )

Classificação do sistema:

$n = 1$	(ordem)
$n^* = 1$	(grau relativo)
$n_p = 1$	(# de parâmetros)

■ Planta :

$$\dot{y} = a_p y + u$$

$y = \frac{1}{s - a_p} u$

$a_p$  desconhecido !

■ Modelo :

$$\dot{y}_m = -a_m y_m + r$$

$y_m = \frac{1}{s + a_m} r$

- ★ Neste caso, o problema pode ser resolvido utilizando apenas *feedback*.  
Não é necessário *feedforward*.

Os seguintes algoritmos são discutidos:

**MRAC direto**: Os parâmetros da lei de controle são adaptados diretamente utilizando um critério de estabilidade.

**Método do Gradiente**: Os parâmetros do controle são projetados por otimalidade.

**MRAC indireto**: Os parâmetros da planta são identificados e então utilizados para gerar o controle.

**VS-MRAC**: É uma abordagem onde a lei de controle é baseada em relés.

---

**VS-MRAC** = Variable structure MRAC


## 2.1.1 MRAC DIRETO

**Exemplo 1** [Tao:2003], (pag. 17)

Planta :  $\boxed{\dot{y} = a_p y + u}$        $y = \frac{1}{s - a_p} u$        $a_p$  desconhecido !

Modelo :  $\boxed{\dot{y}_m = -a_m y_m + r}$        $y_m = \frac{1}{s + a_m} r$

Se  $a_p$  fosse conhecido, então



Lei de controle ideal :

$$u^* = \theta^* y + r$$

Matching gain :

$$\theta^* = -a_p - a_m$$

$$\exists! \theta^*$$

Quando  $a_p$  é desconhecido, usamos o controle


$$u = \theta(t) y + r$$

*Certainty equivalence principle*

★  $\theta(t)$  é uma estimativa de  $\theta^*$ .

★  $\theta(t)$  é variante no tempo!  $\Rightarrow$  O problema torna-se não linear.

Estrutura do MRAC:



Lei de controle:

$$u = \theta(t) y + r$$

Não linear !

Erro de saída :

$$e = y - y_m$$

Equação do erro (dinâmica de  $e(t)$ ):

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{e} &= \dot{y} - \dot{y}_m \\ &= (a_p y + u) - (-a_m y_m + r) + (a_m y) - (a_m y) \\ &= -a_m \underbrace{(y - y_m)}_e + \underbrace{(a_p + a_m)}_{-\theta^*} y - r + u \\ &= -a_m e - \underbrace{(\theta^* y + r)}_{u^*} + u\end{aligned}$$

Portanto,

$$\dot{e} = -a_m e + [u - u^*]$$

Erro paramétrico :


$$\tilde{\theta} = \theta - \theta^*$$

A equação do erro pode ser escrita como:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{e} &= -a_m e + [\theta y + r - \theta^* y - r] \\ &= -a_m e + \tilde{\theta} y\end{aligned}$$

Ou ainda,

$$e = M(s)[\tilde{\theta} y], \quad M(s) = \frac{1}{s + a_m}$$



Erro de controle:

$$\tilde{u} = u - u^* = \tilde{\theta}y$$

(mismatching control)

Outra forma para a equação do erro:

$$e = M(s)[\tilde{u}]$$

★ Note que,  $\tilde{\theta} \equiv 0 \Rightarrow e(t) \rightarrow 0$  exponencialmente !

Resumo das equações do sistema (em termos de erros):

$$\begin{cases} \dot{e} = -a_m e + \tilde{\theta} y & \text{(dinâmica do erro de rastreamento)} \\ \dot{\tilde{\theta}} = \dot{\theta} = ? & \text{(dinâmica do erro paramétrico)} \end{cases}$$

Próximo passo: Achar uma lei de adaptação para  $\tilde{\theta}(t)$  tal que  $e(t) \rightarrow 0$  e também  $\tilde{\theta}(t) \rightarrow 0$ .

★ Fundamental: estabilidade !

Tomamos a função de Lyapunov [Parks:1966]:

$$\boxed{2V(e, \tilde{\theta}) = e^2 + \tilde{\theta}^2} \quad \text{positiva definida} \quad (1)$$

Derivando,

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{V} &= \frac{\partial V}{\partial e} \dot{e} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \tilde{\theta}} \dot{\tilde{\theta}} \\ &= e \dot{e} + \tilde{\theta} \dot{\tilde{\theta}} \\ &= e(-a_m e + \tilde{\theta} y) + \tilde{\theta} \dot{\tilde{\theta}} \\ &= -a_m e^2 + \tilde{\theta} e y + \tilde{\theta} \dot{\tilde{\theta}} \\ &= -a_m e^2 + \tilde{\theta} [ey + \dot{\tilde{\theta}}]\end{aligned}$$

Escolhemos:

$$\boxed{\dot{\tilde{\theta}} = -ey}$$

Resultado:  $\dot{V} = -a_m e^2 \leq 0$  negativa semi-definida !

Conclusão:  $e(t), \tilde{\theta}(t) \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \Rightarrow$  Estabilidade

## Análise de convergência

$$\bullet r \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \Rightarrow y_m \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$$

$$\bullet \begin{cases} e \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \\ y_m \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \end{cases} \Rightarrow y \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$$

$$\bullet \dot{V} \leq 0 \Rightarrow V(t) \leq V(0)$$

Integrando a expressão de  $\dot{V}$ , temos:

$$\int_0^t \dot{V}(\tau) d\tau = \int_0^t -a_m e^2(\tau) d\tau = -a_m \int_0^t e^2(\tau) d\tau$$

Ou melhor,

$$\underbrace{V(t) - V(0)}_{\leq 0} = -a_m \int_0^t e^2(\tau) d\tau$$

$$\underbrace{V(0) - V(t)}_{\geq 0} = a_m \int_0^t e^2(\tau) d\tau < \infty, \quad \forall t !$$

Conclusão:

$$e \in \mathcal{L}_2$$

★ Propriedade importante! Isto é *quase convergência*.

★ Aparentemente,  $e \in \mathcal{L}_2 \Rightarrow e(t) \rightarrow 0$ . Porém isto não é verdade.

**Exemplo 2** Função solução.



$$\int_0^\infty f^2(d\tau) < \infty \quad \text{porém} \quad f(t) \not\rightarrow 0$$

Ref.: [Slotine & Li:1991], (pag. 122)  
[Chen:1999], (pag. 123)

**Lema.** [Tao:2003], (pag. 80)

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} f(t) \in \mathcal{L}_2 \\ \dot{f}(t) \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \boxed{\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t) = 0}$$

Outro lema mais geral ainda,

**Lema.** (Barbalăt) [Tao:2003], (pag. 81)

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} f(t) \text{ unif. contínua} \\ \int_0^\infty f(t) dt < \infty \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \boxed{\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t) = 0}$$

**Lembrete :**

$$\left[ \begin{array}{l} f(t) \text{ dif.} \\ \dot{f}(t) \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \end{array} \right] \Rightarrow \left[ \begin{array}{l} f(t) \text{ Lip.} \end{array} \right] \Rightarrow \left[ \begin{array}{l} f(t) \text{ u.c.} \end{array} \right] \Rightarrow \left[ \begin{array}{l} f(t) \text{ contínua} \end{array} \right]$$

Note que

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} e(t) \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \\ \tilde{\theta} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \\ y \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \dot{e} = \underbrace{-a_m e}_{\mathcal{L}_\infty} + \underbrace{\tilde{\theta} y}_{\mathcal{L}_\infty} \Rightarrow \boxed{\dot{e}(t) \in \mathcal{L}_\infty}$$

Aplicando o lema, conclui-se que:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} e(t) \in \mathcal{L}_2 \\ \dot{e}(t) \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \boxed{\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e(t) = 0}$$

Fato.

$$\theta(t) \not\rightarrow \theta^*$$

Condição para convergência: Excitação persistente (PE)

$$\int_t^{t+\tau} y^2(\xi) d\xi \geq \alpha > 0$$

## Variação da análise de estabilidade

Modificando a função de Lyapunov (1) para

$$2V(e, \tilde{\theta}) = e^2 + \gamma^{-1} \tilde{\theta}^2$$

sua derivada resulta

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{V} &= e(-a_m e + \tilde{\theta} y) + \gamma^{-1} \tilde{\theta} \dot{\theta} \\ &= -a_m e^2 + \tilde{\theta}(ey + \gamma^{-1} \dot{\theta})\end{aligned}$$

e, portanto,

$$\boxed{\dot{\theta} = -\gamma ey \quad (\gamma > 0)}$$

★  $\gamma$  = ganho de adaptação.

## Summary of the algorithm

Subsystem	Equation	Order
Plant	$\dot{y} = a_p y + u$	1
Model	$\dot{y}_m = -a_m y_m + r$	1
Tracking error	$e_0 = y - y_m$	
Control law	$u = \theta y + r$	
Update law	$\dot{\theta} = -\gamma e_0 y$	1
	System order =	3

Resultados de simulação

Vide: [relatorio-01.pdf]

Simulação #1 Condições iniciais nulas.

Condições iniciais . . . :  $y(0) = 0$

$$y_m(0) = 0$$

$$\theta(0) = 0$$

Parâmetros . . . . . :  $a_p = 2$

$$a_m = 1$$

$$\gamma = 2, 100$$

Sinal de referência . . . :  $r = 1$





Figura 3: Resultado da simulação com algoritmo MRAC direto.

(Script: `simu01.m`)

- Observa-se que o aumento de  $\gamma$  somente causa um aumento na frequência de oscilação de  $\theta$ .
- A envoltória permanece aproximadamente a mesma.



**Figura 4:** Resultado da simulação com algoritmo MRAC direto.

(Script: `simu01.m`)

Simulação #2 Efeito de condição inicial pequena.

Condições iniciais . . . :  $y(0) = 2$

$$y_m(0) = 0$$

$$\theta(0) = 0$$

Parâmetros . . . . . :  $a_p = 2$

$$a_m = 1$$

$$\gamma = 2, 100$$

Sinal de referência . . :  $r = 1$




Figura 5: Resultado da simulação com algoritmo MRAC direto.

(Script: `simu02.m`)

- Esta simulação mostra a não uniformidade do transitório de adaptação em relação às condições iniciais.
- O comportamento de  $\theta$  para  $\gamma = 2$  é completamente diferente do comportamento para  $\gamma = 100$ .

**Simulação #3** Efeito de condição inicial grande.

Condições iniciais . . . :       $y(0) = 10$

$$y_m(0) = 0$$

$$\theta(0) = 0$$

Parâmetros . . . . . :       $a_p = 2$

$$a_m = 1$$

$$\gamma = 2, 100$$

Sinal de referência . . :       $r = 1$




Figura 6: Resultado da simulação com algoritmo MRAC direto.

(Script: `simu03.m`)

- A duração do transitório de adaptação aumenta com as condições iniciais.
- $e(0)$  grande  $\Rightarrow \dot{\theta}(0)$  grande  $\Rightarrow$  Salto inicial de  $\theta$ . *(Super-estabilização)*
- $\theta$  grande  $\Rightarrow y = \frac{1}{s+\theta}r = \frac{1/\theta}{s+1/\theta}r \simeq 0$ . *(Atenuação de r)*

Simulação #4 Efeito de variações descontínuas no parâmetro  $a_p$ .

Condições iniciais . . . :  $y(0) = 2$

$$y_m(0) = 0$$


$$\theta(0) = 0$$

Parâmetros . . . . . :  $a_p = [2, 3, 4, 5, 6]$

$$a_m = 1$$

$$\gamma = 10$$

Sinal de referência . . :  $r = 1$



**Figura 7:** Resultado da simulação com algoritmo MRAC direto.

(Script: `simu04.m`)

## 2.1.2 MÉTODO DO GRADIENTE

**Exemplo 3** Ref.: [Tao:2003], (pag. 20)

Planta : 
$$\boxed{\dot{y} = a_p y + u}$$
  $P(s) = \frac{1}{s - a_p}$   $a_p$  desconhecido

Modelo : 
$$\boxed{\dot{y}_m = -a_m y_m + r}$$
  $M(s) = \frac{1}{s + a_m}$

Lei de controle: 
$$\boxed{u = \theta y + r}$$

Equação do erro: 
$$\boxed{\dot{e} = -a_m e + \tilde{\theta} y}$$

Vamos definir o seguinte sinal filtrado:

$$\zeta = M(s)y = \frac{1}{s + a_m} y$$

Então, podemos reescrever a equação do erro como

$$\begin{aligned} e &= M[\theta y - \theta^* y] \\ &= M[\theta y] - \theta^* M[y] \\ &= M[\theta y] - \theta^* \zeta \end{aligned}$$

Note que:  $e$  : sinal medido

$\theta$  : parâmetro adaptado

$y$  : sinal medido

$\theta^*$  : parâmetro desconhecido

$\zeta$  : sinal filtrado

Idéia: Ajustar o parâmetro  $\theta$  tal que o erro de estimativa de  $e$  seja pequeno.

Estimador: 
$$\hat{e} = M[\theta y] - \theta \zeta$$
       $\theta$  = estimativa de  $\theta^*$

Erro de estima: 
$$\varepsilon = e - \hat{e}$$

**Nota.** Partindo-se da equação do erro na forma

$$e = M[\theta y - \theta^* y]$$

o estimador resulta

$$\hat{e} = M[\theta y - \theta y] = 0 \quad !!!$$

O erro de estima também pode ser escrito como

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon &= e - \hat{e} \\ &= M[\theta y] - \theta^* \zeta - M[\theta y] + \theta \zeta \quad \Rightarrow \quad \boxed{\varepsilon = \tilde{\theta} \zeta}\end{aligned}$$

Vamos agora escolher a função de Lyapunov (parcial)

$$2V(\tilde{\theta}) = \gamma^{-1} \tilde{\theta}^2, \quad \gamma > 0$$

Derivando,

$$\dot{V} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial \tilde{\theta}} \dot{\tilde{\theta}} = \gamma^{-1} \tilde{\theta} \dot{\tilde{\theta}}$$

Considere a seguinte lei de adaptação normalizada

$$\dot{\theta} = -\frac{\gamma \varepsilon \zeta}{m^2}$$

com sinal normalizante

$$m^2 = 1 + \zeta^2$$

★ Lembrar que  $\zeta = M(s)y$ .

Substituindo em  $\dot{V}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{V} &= \gamma^{-1} \tilde{\theta} \dot{\theta} \\ &= \gamma^{-1} \tilde{\theta} \left( -\frac{\gamma \varepsilon \zeta}{m^2} \right) \\ &= -\frac{\tilde{\theta} \varepsilon \zeta}{m^2}\end{aligned}$$

Lembrando que  $\varepsilon = \tilde{\theta} \zeta$ ,

$$\boxed{\dot{V} = -\frac{\varepsilon^2}{m^2} \leq 0}$$

Conclusão:

- $V(\tilde{\theta})$  não cresce  $\Rightarrow$

$$\boxed{\tilde{\theta} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty}$$

$$\bullet \varepsilon = \tilde{\theta} \zeta \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\varepsilon}{m} = \underbrace{\tilde{\theta}}_{<1} \underbrace{\frac{\zeta}{m}}_{<1} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \boxed{|\frac{\varepsilon}{m} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty|}$$

$$\bullet \dot{V} = -\frac{\varepsilon^2}{m^2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{\varepsilon^2}{m^2} d\tau < \infty \quad \Rightarrow \quad \boxed{|\frac{\varepsilon}{m} \in \mathcal{L}_2|}$$

$$\bullet \dot{\theta} = -\gamma \underbrace{\frac{\varepsilon}{m}}_{\mathcal{L}_\infty \cap \mathcal{L}_2} \underbrace{\frac{\zeta}{m}}_{<1} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \boxed{|\dot{\theta} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty|} \quad \text{e} \quad \boxed{|\dot{\theta} \in \mathcal{L}_2|}$$

## Análise de convergência

- ★ É possível mostrar com estes resultados que

$$y \rightarrow y_m$$

- ★ A demonstração é trabalhosa !

Ver [Tao:2003], (pag. 212) .

**Nota.** Aplicação do método do Gradiente.

Função custo:

$$J = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{m^2} = \frac{\tilde{\theta}^2 \zeta^2}{m^2}$$

Derivando em relação a  $\tilde{\theta}$ ,

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \tilde{\theta}} = 2\tilde{\theta} \frac{\zeta^2}{m^2} = 2\varepsilon \frac{\zeta}{m^2} \quad !!$$

**Lei do gradiente:** A adaptação é feita no sentido negativo de  $\frac{\partial J}{\partial \tilde{\theta}}$ .

Isto é,

$$\dot{\tilde{\theta}} = -\frac{\partial J}{\partial \tilde{\theta}} = -\gamma \varepsilon \frac{\zeta}{m^2}$$

★ Note o efeito da normalização:  $J = \underbrace{\tilde{\theta}^2}_{<1} \frac{\zeta^2}{m^2}$

## Summary of the algorithm

Subsystem	Equation	Order
Plant	$\dot{y} = a_p y + u$	1
Model	$\dot{y}_m = -a_m y_m + r$	1
Tracking error	$e_0 = y - y_m$	
Filter	$\dot{\zeta} = -a_m \zeta + y$	1
Control law	$u = \theta y + r$	
Prediction	$\hat{e} = \frac{1}{s + a_m} [\theta y] - \theta \zeta$	1
Prediction error	$\varepsilon = e_0 - \hat{e}$	
Normalizing signal	$m^2 = 1 + \zeta^2$	
Update law	$\dot{\theta} = -\frac{\gamma \varepsilon \zeta}{m^2}$	1
	<b>System order =</b>	<b>5</b>

Resultados de simulação

Vide: [relatorio-02.pdf]

Simulação #1 Condições iniciais nulas.

Condições iniciais.....:  $y(0) = 0$

$$y_m(0) = 0$$


$$\theta(0) = 0$$

Parâmetros.....:  $a_p = 2$


$$a_m = 1$$

$$\gamma = 10, 100$$

Sinal de referência....:  $r = 1$



**Figura 8:** Resultado da simulação com algoritmo Gradiente normalizado. (Script: `simu01.m`)



**Figura 9:** Resultado da simulação com algoritmo Gradiente normalizado. (Script: `simu01.m`)

Simulação #2 Efeito de condição inicial pequena.

Condições iniciais.....:  $y(0) = 2$

$$y_m(0) = 0$$


$$\theta(0) = 0$$

Parâmetros.....:  $a_p = 2$

$$a_m = 1$$

$$\gamma = 2, 100$$

Sinal de referência....:  $r = 1$



**Figura 10:** Resultado da simulação com algoritmo Gradiente normalizado. (Script: `simu02.m`)

Simulação #3 Efeito de condição inicial grande.

Condições iniciais.....:  $y(0) = 10$

$$y_m(0) = 0$$


$$\theta(0) = 0$$

Parâmetros.....:  $a_p = 2$

$$a_m = 1$$

$$\gamma = 1, 100$$

Sinal de referência....:  $r = 1$



**Figura 11:** Resultado da simulação com algoritmo Gradiente normalizado. (Script: `simu03.m`)

### 2.1.3 MRAC INDIRETO

**Exemplo 4** Ref.: [Tao:2003], (pag. 21)

Planta : 
$$\boxed{\dot{y} = a_p y + u}$$
  $P(s) = \frac{1}{s - a_p}$   $a_p$  desconhecido

Modelo : 
$$\boxed{\dot{y}_m = -a_m y_m + r}$$
  $M(s) = \frac{1}{s + a_m}$

Lei de controle: 
$$\boxed{u = \theta y + r}$$
,  $\theta^* = -a_p - a_m$

Seja  $\hat{a}_p$  uma **estima de  $a_p$** .

Podemos calcular  $\theta(t)$  como:

$$\boxed{\theta(t) = -\hat{a}_p - a_m}$$

Para encontrar  $\hat{a}_p$ , introduz-se um filtro estável

$$\frac{1}{s + a_f}, \quad a_f > 0$$

A planta pode ser expressa como

$$\begin{aligned} sy &= a_p y + u + (a_f y) - (a_f y) \\ &= -a_f y + (a_f + a_p)y + u \end{aligned}$$

Portanto,

$$(s + a_f)y = (a_f + a_p)y + u$$

ou melhor,

$$y = \underbrace{(a_f + a_p)}_{\psi^*} \underbrace{\frac{1}{s + a_f}y}_{y_f} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{s + a_f}u}_{u_f} \Rightarrow \boxed{y = \psi^* y_f + u_f}$$

Predição de  $y$ :

$$\hat{y} = \psi y_f + u_f$$

- ★ Lembrar que  $\psi = a_f + \hat{a}_p$  é uma estima de  $\psi^*$ .  $\Rightarrow$

$$\theta(t) = -\psi + a_f - a_m$$

- ★ A análise pode ser feita com  $\hat{a}_p$  ou  $\psi$ .

Erro de predição:


$$\varepsilon = \hat{y} - y$$

$$= (\psi y_f + u_f) - (\psi^* y_f + u_f) \quad \Rightarrow$$

$$\varepsilon = \tilde{\psi} y_f$$

- ★ A equação do erro não tem dinâmica !!

Estrutura do MRAC indireto:



Lei de adaptação:

$$\boxed{\dot{\psi} = -\frac{\gamma \varepsilon y_f}{m^2}}, \quad m^2 = 1 + y_f^2, \quad \gamma > 0$$

### Análise de estabilidade

Função de Lyapunov:  $2V(\tilde{\psi}) = \gamma^{-1} \tilde{\psi}^2$

Derivando,

$$\dot{V} = \gamma^{-1} \tilde{\psi} \dot{\psi} = \gamma^{-1} \tilde{\psi} \left( -\frac{\gamma \varepsilon y_f}{m^2} \right) = -\frac{\varepsilon y_f \tilde{\psi}}{m^2} = -\frac{\varepsilon^2}{m^2} \leq 0$$

Conclusão:

$$\boxed{\tilde{\psi} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty}$$

## Summary of the algorithm

Subsystem	Equation	Order
Plant	$\dot{y} = a_p y + u$	1
Model	$\dot{y}_m = -a_m y_m + r$	1
Tracking error	$e_0 = y - y_m$	
Filters	$\dot{y}_f = -a_f y_f + y$ $\dot{u}_f = -a_f u_f + u$	1 1
Identification	$\hat{a}_p = \psi - a_f$	
Control gain	$\theta = -\hat{a}_p - a_m$	
Control law	$u = \theta y + r$	
Prediction	$\hat{y} = \psi y_f + u_f$	
Prediction error	$\varepsilon = \hat{y} - y$	
Normalizing signal	$m^2 = 1 + y_f^2$	
Update law	$\dot{\psi} = -\gamma \varepsilon \frac{y_f}{m^2}$	1
	<b>System order =</b>	5

Resultados de simulação    Vide: [relatorio-03.pdf]

Simulação #1   Condições iniciais nulas.

Condições iniciais.....:  $y(0) = 0$

$$y_m(0) = 0$$


$$\psi(0) = 0$$

Parâmetros.....:  $a_p = 2$

$$a_m = 1$$

$$\gamma = 2, 100$$

Sinal de referência....:  $r = 1$



**Figura 12:** Resultado da simulação com algoritmo MRAC indireto.

(Script: **simu01.m**)

Simulação #2 Efeito de condição inicial pequena.

Condições iniciais.....:  $y(0) = 2$

$$y_m(0) = 0$$

$$\psi(0) = 0$$

Parâmetros.....:  $a_p = 2$

$$a_m = 1$$

$$\gamma = 2, 100$$

Sinal de referência....:  $r = 1$




Figura 13: Resultado da simulação com algoritmo MRAC indireto.

(Script: `simu02.m`)

Simulação #3 Efeito de condição inicial grande.

Condições iniciais.....:  $y(0) = 10$

$$y_m(0) = 0$$


$$\psi(0) = 0$$

Parâmetros.....:  $a_p = 2$

$$a_m = 1$$

$$\gamma = 2, 100$$

Sinal de referência....:  $r = 1$



**Figura 14:** Resultado da simulação com algoritmo MRAC indireto.

(Script: `simu03.m`)


## 2.1.4 VS-MRAC

Ref.: [Hsu & Costa:1989]

Planta : 
$$\dot{y} = a_p y + u \quad y = \frac{1}{s - a_p} u \quad a_p \text{ desconhecido !}$$

Modelo : 
$$\dot{y}_m = -a_m y_m + r \quad y_m = \frac{1}{s + a_m} r$$

Se  $a_p$  fosse conhecido, então



Lei de *controle ideal*:

$$u^* = \theta^* y + r$$

Matching gain :

$$\theta^* = -a_p - a_m$$

Controle proposto:

$$u = \theta(t) y + r$$

★  $\theta(t)$  é um **sinal chaveado** (*switching function*).

Erro de saída:

$$e = y - y_m$$

Equação do erro:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{e} &= \dot{y} - \dot{y}_m \\ &= (a_p y + u) - (-a_m y_m + r) + (a_m y) - (a_m y) \\ &= -a_m (\underbrace{y - y_m}_e) + \underbrace{(a_p + a_m)}_{-\theta^*} y - r + u \\ &= -a_m e - \theta^* y - r + \theta y + r \\ &= -a_m e + [\underbrace{\theta - \theta^*}_{\tilde{\theta}}] y\end{aligned}$$

Erro paramétrico:

$$\tilde{\theta} = \theta - \theta^*$$

Portanto,

$$\dot{e} = -a_m e + \tilde{\theta} y$$

Resumo das equações do sistema (em termos de erros):

$$\begin{cases} \dot{e} = -a_m e + \tilde{\theta} y \\ \theta = ? \quad (\text{switching function}) \end{cases}$$

Nova proposta: *Variable Structure - MRAC* (VS-MRAC)

★ O sinal  $\theta$  não é definido por uma equação diferencial !!

Função de Lyapunov para este sistema (sem o termo  $\tilde{\theta}^2$ ) :

$$2V(e) = e^2$$

Derivando,

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{V}(e) &= e\dot{e} = -a_m e^2 + \tilde{\theta}ye \\ &= -a_m e^2 + (\theta - \theta^*)ye \\ &= -a_m e^2 + \theta ye - \theta^*ye\end{aligned}$$

Hipótese: Conhecemos um *upper-bound*  $\bar{\theta}$  para  $\theta^*$ . Isto é

$$\bar{\theta} > |\theta^*|$$

Isto permite escolher

$$\theta = -\bar{\theta} \operatorname{sign}(ye)$$

Resultado:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{V} &= -a_m e^2 - \bar{\theta} ye \operatorname{sign}(ye) - \theta^* ye \\ &= -a_m e^2 - \underbrace{\bar{\theta}|ye|}_{>0} - \theta^* ye\end{aligned}$$

★ Note que  $\bar{\theta}|ye| > |\theta^*ye| > 0$

Portanto,

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{V} &= -a_m e^2 - \Delta \quad (\Delta > 0) \\ &< -a_m e^2 \quad (!!)\end{aligned}$$

Conclusão:  $\boxed{\dot{V} < 0} \Rightarrow \boxed{e(t) \rightarrow 0}$  pelo menos exponencialmente !!

A lei de controle pode ser escrita como


$$\begin{aligned} u &= \bar{\theta} y + r \\ &= -\bar{\theta} \operatorname{sign}(ey)y + r \\ &= -\underbrace{\bar{\theta}|y|}_{f} \operatorname{sign}(e) + r \end{aligned}$$

Ou melhor,

$$u = -f \operatorname{sign}(e) + r$$

- ★  $f = \bar{\theta}|y|$  é um upper-bound para  $\theta^*|y|$ .

Estrutura do VS-MRAC:




Resultados de simulação

Vide: [relatorio-04.pdf]

★ **Problema:** descontinuidade da função  $\text{sign}(\cdot)$ .

★ Para a implementação da lei de controle, o relé é substituído por uma zona linear.



## Summary of the algorithm

Subsystem	Equation	Order
Plant	$\dot{y} = a_p(t)y + u$	1
Model	$\dot{y}_m = -a_m y_m + r$	1
Tracking error	$e_0 = y - y_m$	
Filter	$\tau \dot{\theta}_{eq} = -\theta_{eq} + \theta$	1
Control law	$u = \theta_{eq} y + r$	
Update law	$\theta = -\bar{\theta} \text{ sat}(ye_0)$	
Linear zone	$\text{sat}(x) = \begin{cases} \delta^{-1}x & \text{se }  x  < \delta \\ \text{sign}(x) & \text{se }  x  \geq \delta \end{cases}$	
	<b>System order =</b>	3

★ Note that the plant is time varying!

**Simulação #1** Efeito da zona linear  $\delta$ .

Condições iniciais.....:  $y(0) = 2$

$$y_m(0) = 0$$

$$\theta(0) = 0$$

Parâmetros.....:  $a_p = -2$

$$a_m = 1$$


$$\bar{\theta} = 5$$

$$\tau = 0.1$$

$$\delta = 0.1, 0.01$$

Sinal de referência....:  $r = 1$

- ★ O aumento da zona linear suaviza os sinais porém cria um erro de regime que pode ser observado em  $y$ .



**Figura 15:** Resultado da simulação com algoritmo VS-MRAC.

(Script: `simu01.m`)

Simulação #2 Efeito da constante de tempo  $\tau$  do filtro.

Condições iniciais.....:  $y(0) = 2$

$$y_m(0) = 0$$

$$\theta(0) = 0$$

Parâmetros.....:  $a_p = -2$


$$a_m = 1$$

$$\bar{\theta} = 5$$

$$\tau = 0.1, 0.01$$

$$\delta = 0.01$$

Sinal de referência....:  $r = 1$



**Figura 16:** Resultado da simulação com algoritmo VS-MRAC.

(Script: `simu02.m`)

Simulação #3 Efeito de uma constante de tempo  $\tau$  muito pequena.

Condições iniciais.....:  $y(0) = 10$

$$y_m(0) = 0$$

$$\theta(0) = 0$$

Parâmetros.....:  $a_p = -2$

$$a_m = 1$$

$$\bar{\theta} = 5$$

$$\tau = 0.1, 0.00001$$

$$\delta = 0.00001$$

Sinal de referência....:  $r = 1$

★ Neste caso, o *chattering* torna-se visível.




Figura 17: Resultado da simulação com algoritmo VS-MRAC.

(Script: `simu03.m`)

Simulação #4 Caso em que o parâmetro da planta é variante no tempo.

Condições iniciais....:  $y(0) = 2$

$$y_m(0) = 0$$

$$\theta(0) = 0$$

Parâmetros.....:  $a_p(t) = \begin{cases} -2, & 0 \leq t \leq 10 \\ -2 + \sin(2t), & t > 10 \end{cases}$


$$a_m = 1$$

$$\bar{\theta} = 5$$

$$\tau = 0.1$$

$$\delta = 0.1$$

Sinal de referência....:  $r = 1$



**Figura 18:** Resultado da simulação com algoritmo VS-MRAC.

(Script: `simu04.m`)

## 2.1.5 PROBLEMAS & EXERCÍCIOS

- (1)** Observe o diagrama de blocos do MRAC indireto. O sinal de erro  $e$  não é utilizado na lei de controle e nem na lei de adaptação.
- (a)** O modelo de referência é realmente necessário?
- (b)** Explique?

Solução:

(2) Considere o algoritmo Gradiente Normalizado apresentado na seção 2.1.2.

Modifique o fator de normalização para

$$m^2 = 1 + \zeta^2 + \dot{\zeta}^2$$

e mostre que, com essa modificação,

$$\frac{\dot{\varepsilon}}{m} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty .$$

Solução:

Relembrando...

Erro de estima :

$$\varepsilon = \tilde{k}\zeta$$

Lei de adaptação :

$$\dot{k} = -\frac{\gamma\varepsilon\zeta}{m^2}$$

Derivando a equação do erro,

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\varepsilon} &= \dot{k}\zeta + \tilde{k}\dot{\zeta} \\ &= -\gamma\varepsilon\frac{\zeta^2}{m^2} + \tilde{k}\dot{\zeta}\end{aligned}$$

Porém,

$$\zeta = \frac{1}{s + a_m}y \quad \Rightarrow \quad \dot{\zeta} = -a_m\zeta + y$$

Portanto,

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\varepsilon} &= -\gamma \varepsilon \frac{\zeta^2}{m^2} + \tilde{k} \dot{\zeta} \\ &= -\gamma \varepsilon \frac{\zeta^2}{m^2} + \tilde{k}(-a_m \zeta + y) \\ &= -\gamma \varepsilon \frac{\zeta^2}{m^2} - a_m \underbrace{\tilde{k} \zeta}_{\varepsilon} + \tilde{k} y \\ &= -\left(a_m + \gamma \frac{\zeta^2}{m^2}\right) \varepsilon + \tilde{k} y\end{aligned}$$

Dividindo por  $m$ ,

$$\frac{\dot{\varepsilon}}{m} = -\underbrace{\left(a_m + \gamma \frac{\zeta^2}{m^2}\right)}_{\in \mathcal{L}_\infty} \frac{\varepsilon}{m} + \tilde{k} \underbrace{\frac{y}{m}}_{\in \mathcal{L}_\infty} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \boxed{\frac{\dot{\varepsilon}}{m} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty}$$

**Detalhe :**  $\dot{\zeta} = -a_m \zeta + y \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{y}{m} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \quad !!$

## 2.2 ENFOQUE DISCRETO

Algoritmos analisados:

- Método do Gradiente
  - MRAC indireto
- 
- ★ Não existe um equivalente discreto para o MRAC direto.

## 2.2.1 MÉTODO DO GRADIENTE DISCRETO

**Exemplo 5** Ref.: [Tao:2003], (pag. 23)

Planta :  $y(t+1) = a_p y + u$   $P(z) = \frac{1}{z - a_p}$   $a_p$  desconhecido

Modelo :  $y_m(t+1) = -a_m y_m + r$   $M(z) = \frac{1}{z + a_m}, \quad |a_m| < 1$

Parâmetro ideal:  $\theta^* = -(a_p + a_m)$

Controle ideal :  $u^* = \theta^* y + r$

Controle adaptativo:  $u = \theta y + r$

Erro de saída:

$$e = y - y_m$$

Equação do erro (dinâmica de  $e(t)$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} e(t+1) &= y(t+1) - y_m(t+1) \\ &= (a_p y + u) - (-a_m y_m + r) + (a_m y) - (a_m y) \\ &= -a_m \underbrace{(y - y_m)}_e + \underbrace{(a_p + a_m)}_{-\theta^*} y - r + u \\ &= -a_m e - \theta^* y + \theta y \end{aligned}$$

Portanto,

$$e(t+1) = -a_m e(t) + [\tilde{\theta} y]$$

ou

$$e = M(z)[\tilde{\theta} y]$$

★ Similar ao caso contínuo.

Como no caso contínuo, define-se o sinal filtrado

$$\boxed{\zeta = M(z)y = \frac{1}{z + a_m}y}$$

Pode-se reescrever a equação do erro como

$$\begin{aligned} e &= M[\theta y - \theta^* y] \\ &= M[\theta y] - \theta^* M[y] \\ &= M[\theta y] - \theta^* \zeta \end{aligned}$$

Estimador:

$$\boxed{\hat{e} = M[\theta y] - \theta \zeta} \quad \theta = \text{estimativa de } \theta^*$$

Erro de estima:

$$\boxed{\varepsilon = e - \hat{e}}$$

Que pode ser escrito como

$$\varepsilon = e - \hat{e}$$

$$= M[\theta y] - \theta^* \zeta - M[\theta y] + \theta \zeta \quad \Rightarrow$$

$$\boxed{\varepsilon = \tilde{\theta} \zeta}$$

Função de Lyapunov:  $V(\tilde{\theta}) = \gamma^{-1} \tilde{\theta}^2$

A variação é dada por

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta V(\tilde{\theta}) &= V(\tilde{\theta}(t+1)) - V(\tilde{\theta}(t)) \\ &= \gamma^{-1} \tilde{\theta}^2(t+1) - \gamma^{-1} \tilde{\theta}^2(t)\end{aligned}$$

Considere a seguinte lei de adaptação normalizada

$$\theta(t+1) = \theta(t) - \frac{\gamma \varepsilon(t) \zeta(t)}{m^2(t)}$$

com sinal normalizante

$$m^2 = 1 + \zeta^2$$

Portanto,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta V(\tilde{\theta}) &= \gamma^{-1} \tilde{\theta}^2(t+1) - \gamma^{-1} \tilde{\theta}^2(t) \\ &= \gamma^{-1} [\theta(t+1) - \theta^*]^2 - \gamma^{-1} [\theta(t) - \theta^*]^2 \\ &= \gamma^{-1} [\theta^2(t+1) - 2\theta(t+1)\theta^* + \theta^{*2}] - \gamma^{-1} [\theta^2(t) - 2\theta(t)\theta^* + \theta^{*2}]\end{aligned}$$

Usando

$$\theta^2(t+1) = \theta^2 - 2\frac{\gamma\varepsilon\zeta}{m^2}\theta + \left(\frac{\gamma\varepsilon\zeta}{m^2}\right)^2$$

e simplificando a notação, tem-se que

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta V(\tilde{\theta}) &= \gamma^{-1} \left[ -2\frac{\gamma\varepsilon\zeta}{m^2}\theta + \left(\frac{\gamma\varepsilon\zeta}{m^2}\right)^2 - 2\left(\theta - \frac{\gamma\varepsilon\zeta}{m^2}\right)\theta^* \right] + 2\gamma^{-1}\theta\theta^* \\ &= -2\frac{\varepsilon\zeta}{m^2}\theta + \gamma^{-1}\left(\frac{\gamma\varepsilon\zeta}{m^2}\right)^2 + 2\frac{\varepsilon\zeta}{m^2}\theta^* \\ &= \gamma^{-1}\left(\frac{\gamma\varepsilon\zeta}{m^2}\right)^2 - 2\frac{\varepsilon\zeta}{m^2}\tilde{\theta} \\ &= \left(\frac{\gamma\zeta^2}{m^2} - 2\right)\frac{\varepsilon^2}{m^2}\end{aligned}$$

Lembrando que  $\frac{\zeta^2}{m^2} < 1$ ,

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\dot{V} \leq -\alpha_1 \frac{\varepsilon^2}{m^2}} \leq 0 \quad \text{para} \quad \boxed{0 < \gamma < 2}$$

★ Note que neste caso (discreto), o ganho de adaptação é limitado.

Conclusão:

- $V(\tilde{\theta})$  não cresce  $\Rightarrow \boxed{\tilde{\theta} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty}$  e  $\boxed{\theta \in \mathcal{L}_\infty}$

- $\varepsilon = \tilde{\theta} \zeta \Rightarrow \frac{\varepsilon}{m} = \underbrace{\tilde{\theta}}_{<1} \frac{\zeta}{m} \Rightarrow \boxed{\frac{\varepsilon}{m} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty}$

$$\bullet \Delta\theta = \theta(t+1) - \theta(t) = -\gamma \underbrace{\frac{\varepsilon}{m}}_{\mathcal{L}_\infty} \underbrace{\frac{\zeta}{m}}_{<1} \Rightarrow \boxed{\Delta\theta \in \mathcal{L}_\infty}$$

$$\bullet \theta(t) = \theta(0) + \sum_{t=0}^t \Delta\theta < \infty \Rightarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow |\theta(t)| \leq |\theta(0)| + \sum_{t=0}^t |\Delta\theta| < \infty, \forall t \Rightarrow \boxed{\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} |\Delta\theta| < \infty}$$

$$\bullet \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \Delta\theta = -\gamma \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \underbrace{\frac{\varepsilon}{m}}_{<1} \underbrace{\frac{\zeta}{m}}_{<1} < \infty \Rightarrow \boxed{\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon}{m} < \infty}$$

$$\bullet \Delta V \leq 0 \Rightarrow V(t) \leq V(0) < \infty$$

$$\bullet V(t) = V(0) + \sum \Delta V \leq V(0) < \infty \Rightarrow \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} |\Delta V| < \infty$$

$$\bullet \Delta V \leq -\alpha_1 \frac{\varepsilon^2}{m^2} \Rightarrow \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon^2}{m^2} d\tau < \infty \Rightarrow \boxed{\left| \frac{\varepsilon^2}{m^2} \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty} \right|} \text{ e } \boxed{\left| \frac{\varepsilon}{m} \in \mathcal{L}_2 \right|}$$

★ É possível mostrar com estes resultados que

$$y \rightarrow y_m$$

A demonstração é trabalhosa !

## 2.2.2 MRAC INDIRETO DISCRETO

★ Algoritmo similar ao caso contínuo.



Exercício!

## 2.2.3 PROBLEMAS & EXERCÍCIOS

Exercício. ...

## 2.3 PLANTA COM 1 PARÂMETRO DESCONHECIDO ( $k_p$ )

A planta ainda é bastante simples:  $n = 1$  (ordem)

$n^* = 1$  (grau relativo)

$n_p = 1$  (# de parâmetros)

Apenas o ganho de alta frequência  $k_p$  é desconhecido.

■ Planta : 
$$\dot{y} = -y + k_p u \quad y = \frac{k_p}{s+1} u \quad k_p \text{ desconhecido !}$$

■ Modelo : 
$$\dot{y}_m = -y_m + r \quad y_m = \frac{1}{s+1} r$$


- ★ Neste caso, o problema pode ser resolvido utilizando apenas *feedforward*.  
Não é necessário *feedback*.

### 2.3.1 MRAC DIRETO

Planta :  $\boxed{\dot{y} = -y + k_p u}$        $y = \frac{k_p}{s+1} u$        $k_p$  desconhecido !

Modelo :  $\boxed{\dot{y}_m = -y_m + r}$        $y_m = \frac{1}{s+1} r$

Se  $k_p$  fosse conhecido, então



Lei de *controle ideal*:

$$u^* = \theta^* r$$

$$\theta^* = \frac{1}{k_p}$$

Lei de controle:

$$u = \theta r$$

Erro de saída:

$$e = y - y_m$$

Equação do erro:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{e} &= \dot{y} - \dot{y}_m \\ &= (-y + k_p u) - (-y_m + r) \\ &= -(y - y_m) + k_p \left( u - \frac{1}{k_p} r \right) \\ &= -e + k_p (u - \theta^* r) \\ &= -e + k_p (u - u^*)\end{aligned}$$

Erro paramétrico:

$$\tilde{\theta} = \theta - \theta^*$$

A equação do erro pode ser escrita como:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{e} &= -e + k_p [\theta r - \theta^* r] \\ &= -e + k_p \tilde{\theta} r\end{aligned}$$

Ou ainda,

$$e = M(s) k_p [\tilde{\theta} r]$$

★ Note a presença de  $k_p$  na equação do erro.

Resumo das equações do sistema (em termos de erros):

$$\begin{cases} \dot{e} = -e + k_p \tilde{\theta} r \\ \dot{\tilde{\theta}} = ? \end{cases}$$

Função de Lyapunov:

$$2V(e, \tilde{\theta}) = e^2 + \gamma^{-1} \tilde{\theta}^2$$

Derivando,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V} &= e\dot{e} + \gamma^{-1} \tilde{\theta}\dot{\tilde{\theta}} \\ &= -e^2 + k_p \tilde{\theta} e r + \gamma^{-1} \tilde{\theta}\dot{\tilde{\theta}} \\ &= -e^2 + \gamma^{-1} \tilde{\theta} [\dot{\tilde{\theta}} + \gamma k_p e r] \end{aligned}$$

Qual é o problema?!

Nova função de Lyapunov:

$$2V(e, \tilde{\theta}) = e^2 + \gamma^{-1} |k_p| \tilde{\theta}^2 > 0$$

Derivando,

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{V} &= e\dot{e} + \gamma^{-1} |k_p| \tilde{\theta} \dot{\theta} \\ &= -e^2 + k_p \tilde{\theta} e_r + \gamma^{-1} |k_p| \tilde{\theta} \dot{\theta} \\ &= -e^2 + \gamma^{-1} |k_p| \tilde{\theta} \left[ \dot{\theta} + \gamma \operatorname{sign}(k_p) e_r \right]\end{aligned}$$

Escolhemos:

$$\dot{\theta} = -\gamma \operatorname{sign}(k_p) e_r$$

Resultado:  $\dot{V} = -e^2 \leq 0 \Rightarrow$

$$e(t), \theta(t) \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$$

Hipótese fundamental. É necessário o conhecimento de  $\text{sign}(k_p)$ .

- ★ É interessante notar que o único mecanismo para instabilização neste caso é quando  $\theta \rightarrow \infty$ .
- ★ A planta é estável.

## Summary of the algorithm

Subsystem	Equation	Order
Plant	$\dot{y} = -a_m y + k_p u$	1
Model	$\dot{y}_m = -a_m y_m + r$	1
Tracking error	$e_0 = y - y_m$	
Control law	$u = \theta r$	
Update law	$\dot{\theta} = -\gamma \operatorname{sign}(k_p) e r$	1
	System order =	3

## Simulation results

### Simulation 1

Initial conditions.....:  $y(0) = 2$

$$y_m(0) = 0$$

$$\theta(0) = 0$$

Parameters.....:  $a_m = 1$


$$k_p = 0.5$$

$$\gamma = 10, 100$$

Reference signal.....:  $r = 1$

Matching.....:

$$\theta^* = 2$$



**Figura 19:** Direct MRAC. Case:  $\gamma = 10, 100$ .

\*\*\* To be checked.  $\theta \not\rightarrow -2$

★ Simulations are performed with the Matlab ODE package.

## 2.3.2 SOLUÇÃO ANALÍTICA

Planta : 
$$\dot{y} = -a_m y + k_p u \quad y = \frac{k_p}{s + a_m} u, \quad k_p > 0$$

Modelo : 
$$\dot{y}_m = -a_m y_m + k_m r \quad y_m = \frac{1}{s + a_m} r$$

Controle : 
$$u = \theta r$$

Adaptação : 
$$\dot{\theta} = -\gamma e r$$

Sinal de referência : 
$$r = 1 \quad (\text{Simplificação !!})$$

★ A solução analítica mostra o efeito de  $\gamma$  no comportamento transitório.

Como  $r = 1$ , a dinâmica é regulada pelo sistema linear

$$\begin{cases} \dot{e} = -a_m e + k_p \tilde{\theta} \\ \dot{\tilde{\theta}} = -\gamma e \end{cases}$$

Aplicando Transformada de Laplace,

$$\begin{cases} se - e(0) = -a_m e + k_p \tilde{\theta} \\ s\tilde{\theta} - \tilde{\theta}(0) = -\gamma e \end{cases}$$

Resolvendo para  $e$ , tem-se

$$se + a_m e = e(0) + k_p \tilde{\theta} \quad (\times s)$$

$$s^2 e + a_m s e = e(0)s + k_p s \tilde{\theta}$$

$$s^2 e + a_m s e = e(0)s + k_p (\tilde{\theta}(0) - \gamma e)$$

$$(s^2 + a_m s + k_p \gamma) e = e(0)s + k_p \tilde{\theta}(0)$$

Porém,

$$\begin{aligned} k_p \tilde{\theta}(0) &= k_p (\theta(0) - \theta^*) \\ &= k_p \theta(0) - k_p \frac{1}{k_p} \\ &= k_p \theta(0) - 1 \end{aligned}$$

Então,

$$(s^2 + a_m s + k_p \gamma) e = e(0)s + k_p \theta(0) - 1$$

Resultado:

$$e = \frac{e(0)s + k_p \theta(0) - 1}{s^2 + a_m s + k_p \gamma} \quad (\theta(0) = \theta^* \Rightarrow e = 0)$$

★ O ganho  $\gamma$  só influencia a frequência de oscilação.

★ A atenuação é definida pelo parâmetro  $a_m$  do modelo.

★ Note que o sistema oscila mesmo para  $e(0) = 0$ .

### 2.3.3 MRAC INDIRETO

Planta :

$$\dot{y} = -y + k_p u \quad y = \frac{k_p}{s+1} u \quad k_p \text{ desconhecido !}$$

Modelo :

$$\dot{y}_m = -y_m + r \quad y_m = \frac{1}{s+1} r$$

Lei de *controle ideal*:

$$u^* = \theta^* r \quad \theta^* = \frac{1}{k_p}$$

Lei de controle:

$$u = \theta r$$

Estima de  $k_p$  :

$$\hat{k}_p \Rightarrow \theta = \frac{1}{\hat{k}_p}$$

A planta pode ser escrita como:  $y = k_p \underbrace{M(s)u}_{u_f}$  (\*)

Filtro:  $u_f = M(s)u = \frac{1}{s+1} u$

Predição:  $\hat{y} = \hat{k}_p M(s)u \Rightarrow \boxed{\hat{y} = \hat{k}_p u_f}$


Erro de predição:

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon &= \hat{y} - y \\ &= \hat{k}_p u_f - k_p u_f \\ &= \tilde{k}_p u_f\end{aligned}$$

---

(\*) Desprezando-se as condições iniciais.

Diagrama de blocos do MRAC indireto:



Lei de adaptação:

$$\dot{\hat{k}}_p = -\gamma \varepsilon u_f$$

### Análise de estabilidade

Função de Lyapunov:  $2V(\tilde{k}_p) = \tilde{k}_p^2$

Derivando,

$$\dot{V} = \tilde{k}_p \dot{\hat{k}}_p = -\gamma \tilde{k}_p \varepsilon u_f = -\gamma \varepsilon^2 \leq 0$$

Conclusão:

$$\tilde{k}_p, \hat{k}_p \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \quad \text{e} \quad \varepsilon \in \mathcal{L}_2$$

Dúvida: Não é necessário conhecer  $\text{sign}(k_p)$  ?

★ De fato! Não é necessário conhecer  $\text{sign}(k_p)$  para identificação.

★ O conhecimento de  $\text{sign}(k_p)$  é necessário somente para estabilização.

O controle é dado por :


$$| \quad u = \frac{1}{\hat{k}_p} r$$

★  $\hat{k}_p(t)$  não pode cruzar por zero!

A lei de adaptação pode ser escrita como:

$$\dot{\hat{k}}_p = -\gamma \varepsilon u_f = -\underbrace{\gamma u_f^2}_{\geq 0} \tilde{k}_p$$

Portanto,  $| \quad \tilde{k}_p \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow | \quad \hat{k}_p \rightarrow k^*$  monotonicamente.



★ O cruzamento de  $\hat{k}_p$  por zero deve ser evitado.

**Solução:** Inicializar  $\hat{k}_p$  do lado correto!

★ É necessário o conhecimento de  $\text{sign}(k_p)$ . (!!)

## Condição de identificabilidade

Referência: [Sastry & Bodson:1989], (pag. 15)

A solução do sistema linear variante no tempo

$$\dot{\tilde{k}}_p = -\gamma u_f^2 \tilde{k}_p \quad (\dot{\tilde{k}}_p = \dot{\hat{k}}_p)$$

é dada por

$$\tilde{k}_p(t) = \tilde{k}_p(0) \exp\left(-\gamma \int_0^t u_f^2(\tau) d\tau\right)$$

Portanto, se

$$\boxed{\int_0^t u_f^2(\tau) d\tau \rightarrow \infty}$$

então

$$\boxed{\tilde{k}_p(t) \rightarrow 0}$$

★ Esta condição depende de  $u_f$  (sinal interno que depende de  $\theta$  e  $r$ ).

Pontos que serão abordados mais adiante:

- Persistent excitation (PE) : condição sobre o sinal  $u_f$  para que ocorra a identificação.
- Richness : condição sobre o sinal  $r$  para que  $u_f$  seja PE.

## 2.3.4 SIMULAÇÕES

(...)

## 2.3.5 PROBLEMAS & EXERCÍCIOS

**Exercício.** Considere o seguinte caso em que a planta é instável.

Planta : 
$$\dot{y} = y + k_p u$$

$$y = \frac{k_p}{s - 1} u$$

Apenas  $k_p$  desconhecido !

Modelo : 
$$\dot{y}_m = -y_m + r$$

$$y_m = \frac{1}{s + 1} r$$

Obtenha os algoritmos de controle e de adaptação.

## 2.4 PLANTA COM 2 PARÂMETROS DESCONHECIDOS ( $a_p$ E $k_p$ )

A planta ainda é bastante simples:  $n = 1$  (ordem)

$n^* = 1$  (grau relativo)

$n_p = 2$  (# de parâmetros)

Planta : 
$$\boxed{\dot{y} = a_p y + k_p u} \quad y = \frac{k_p}{s - a_p} u \quad a_p \text{ e } k_p \text{ desconhecidos !}$$

Modelo : 
$$\boxed{\dot{y}_m = -a_m y_m + k_m r} \quad y_m = \frac{k_m}{s + a_m} r$$

★ Neste caso, são necessários *feedback* e *feedforward*.


## 2.4.1 MRAC DIRETO

Exemplo 6 Ref.: [...]

Planta :  $\dot{y} = a_p y + k_p u$   $k_p$  e  $a_p$  desconhecidos !

Modelo :  $\dot{y}_m = -a_m y_m + k_m r$

Lei de *controle ideal*:  $u^* = \theta_1^* y + \theta_2^* r$



Verificação:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{y} &= a_p y + k_p (\theta_1^* y + \theta_2^* r) \\ &= \underbrace{(a_p + k_p \theta_1^*)}_{-a_m} y + \underbrace{k_p \theta_2^*}_{{k_m}} r \end{aligned}$$

Matching gains :


$$\boxed{\theta_1^* = -\frac{a_p + a_m}{k_p}}$$

$$\boxed{\theta_2^* = \frac{k_m}{k_p}}$$

**Obs.:** It is usual to assume  $k_m = 1$ .

Rewrite the reference model as

$$\dot{y}_m = -a_m y_m + \underbrace{k_m r}_{r'} = -a_m y_m + r'$$



*Matching gains :*

$$\theta_1^* = -\frac{a_p + a_m}{k_p}$$

$$\theta_2^* = \frac{1}{k_p}$$

Forma vetorial:

$$u^* = \theta_1^* y + \theta_2^* r$$

$\Rightarrow$

$$u^* = \theta^{*T} \omega$$

★  $\theta^* = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_1^* \\ \theta_2^* \end{bmatrix}$  e  $\omega = \begin{bmatrix} y \\ r \end{bmatrix}$  (Vetores !!)

★ For convenience, the reference signal is written  $r$ .

Controle adaptativo:

$$u = \theta_1 y + \theta_2 r$$

$\Rightarrow$

$$u = \theta^T \omega$$

★  $\theta = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \end{bmatrix}$

Erro de saída:

$$e = y - y_m$$

Equação do erro:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{e} &= \dot{y} - \dot{y}_m \\ &= (a_p y + k_p u) - (-a_m y_m + r) + (a_m y) - (a_m y) \\ &= -a_m \underbrace{(y - y_m)}_e + (a_p + a_m)y + k_p u - r \\ &= -a_m e + k_p \left[ \underbrace{\frac{a_p + a_m}{k_p} y + u}_{-\theta_1^*} - \underbrace{\frac{1}{k_p} r}_{\theta_2^*} \right] \\ &= -a_m e + k_p \left[ u - \theta_1^* y - \theta_2^* r \right] \\ &= -a_m e + k_p \left[ u - u^* \right]\end{aligned}$$

Portanto,

$$\dot{e} = -a_m e + k_p [u - u^*]$$

ou ainda,

$$e = M(s) k_p [u - u^*]$$

★ Note que

$$k_p = (\theta_2^*)^{-1}$$

Controle:  $u = \theta_1 y + \theta_2 r = [\theta_1 \quad \theta_2] \begin{bmatrix} y \\ r \end{bmatrix} = \theta^T \omega$

Erro paramétrico:  $\begin{cases} \tilde{\theta}_1 = \theta_1 - \theta_1^* \\ \tilde{\theta}_2 = \theta_2 - \theta_2^* \end{cases} \Rightarrow \boxed{\tilde{\theta} = \theta - \theta^*}$

A equação do erro pode ser escrita como:

$$\dot{e} = -a_m e + k_p [\tilde{\theta}^T \omega]$$

Ou ainda,

$$\boxed{e = M(s) k_p [\tilde{\theta}^T \omega]}$$

Resumo das equações do sistema (em termos de erros):

$$\begin{cases} \dot{e} = -a_m e + k_p [\tilde{\theta}_1 y + \tilde{\theta}_2 r] \\ \dot{\theta}_1 = ? \\ \dot{\theta}_2 = ? \end{cases}$$

Notação compacta (vetorial):

$$\begin{cases} \dot{e} = -a_m e + k_p [\tilde{\theta}^T \omega] \\ \dot{\theta} = ? \end{cases}$$

Função de Lyapunov:

$$\begin{aligned} 2V(e, \tilde{\theta}_1, \tilde{\theta}_2) &= e^2 + \gamma_1^{-1} |k_p| \tilde{\theta}_1^2 + \gamma_2^{-1} |k_p| \tilde{\theta}_2^2 \\ &= e^2 + |k_p| \tilde{\theta}^T \Gamma^{-1} \tilde{\theta} \end{aligned}$$

Derivando,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V} &= e \dot{e} + |k_p| (\gamma_1^{-1} \tilde{\theta}_1 \dot{\tilde{\theta}}_1 + \gamma_2^{-1} \tilde{\theta}_2 \dot{\tilde{\theta}}_2) \\ &= -a_m e^2 + k_p \tilde{\theta}^T \omega e + |k_p| \tilde{\theta}^T \Gamma^{-1} \dot{\tilde{\theta}} \\ &= -a_m e^2 + |k_p| \tilde{\theta}^T [\text{sign}(k_p) \omega e + \Gamma^{-1} \dot{\tilde{\theta}}] \end{aligned}$$

Escolhemos:

$$\boxed{\dot{\tilde{\theta}} = -\Gamma \text{sign}(k_p) \omega e}$$

Resultado:  $\dot{V} = -a_m e^2 \leq 0$  negativa semi-definida !

Conclusão:

$$e(t), \theta(t) \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$$

e

$$e(t) \in \mathcal{L}_2$$

### Análise de convergência

Semelhante ao caso do exemplo 1 :

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} e(t) \in \mathcal{L}_2 \\ \dot{e}(t) \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e(t) = 0$$

## Summary of the algorithm

Subsystem	Equation	Order
Plant	$\dot{y} = a_p y + k_p u$	1
Model	$\dot{y}_m = -a_m y_m + k_m r$	1
Tracking error	$e_0 = y - y_m$	
Regressor	$\omega = [y \ r]^T$	
Control law	$u = \theta^T \omega$	
Update law	$\dot{\theta} = -\Gamma \text{sign}(k_p) \omega e$	2
	<b>System order =</b>	4

## Simulation results

Simulation #1 Null initial conditions.

Initial conditions.....:  $y(0) = 0$

$$y_m(0) = 0$$

$$\theta(0) = [0 \ 0]^T$$

Parameters.....:  $a_p = 2$        $a_m = 1$        $\Gamma = I$   
 $k_p = 0.5$        $k_m = 1$

Reference signal.....:  $r(t) = dc + a \sin(\omega t)$   
 $dc = 1$   
 $a = 1$   
 $\omega = 1$

Matching parameter.:  $\theta^* = [-6, 2]$  ,  $k^* = 0.5$  ,  $\|\theta^*\| = 6.32$




Figura 20: Resultado da simulação com algoritmo MRAC direto.

(Script: **simu01.m**)

Simulação #2 Efeito da condição inicial pequena.

Initial conditions.....:  $y(0) = 1$

$$y_m(0) = 0$$

$$\theta(0) = [0 \ 0]^T$$

Parameters.....:  $a_p = 2$        $a_m = 1$        $\Gamma = I$

$$k_p = 0.5$$

$$k_m = 1$$

Reference signal.....:  $dc = 1$

$$a = 1$$

$$\omega = 1$$




Figura 21: Resultado da simulação com algoritmo MRAC direto.

(Script: **simu02.m**)

**Simulação #3** Efeito da condição inicial grande.Initial conditions.....:  $y(0) = 5$ 

$$y_m(0) = 0$$

$$\theta(0) = [0 \ 0]^T$$

Parameters.....:  $a_p = 2$        $a_m = 1$        $\Gamma = I$ 

$$k_p = 0.5$$
       $k_m = 1$

Reference signal.....:  $dc = 1$ 

$$a = 1$$

$$\omega = 1$$




Figura 22: Resultado da simulação com algoritmo MRAC direto.

(Script: `simu03.m`)

**Simulação #4** Efeito do ganho de adaptação.


Initial conditions.....:  $y(0) = 2$

$$y_m(0) = 0$$

$$\theta(0) = [0 \ 0]^T$$

Parameters.....:  $a_p = 2$        $a_m = 1$        $\Gamma = 10 I$   
 $k_p = 0.5$        $k_m = 1$

Reference signal.....:  $dc = 1$   
 $a = 1$   
 $\omega = 1$



**Figura 23:** Resultado da simulação com algoritmo MRAC direto.


(Script: **simu04.m**)

**Simulação #5** Efeito do sinal DC.Initial conditions.....:  $y(0) = 2$ 

$$y_m(0) = 0$$

$$\theta(0) = [0 \ 0]^T$$

Parameters.....:  $a_p = 2$        $a_m = 1$        $\Gamma = 10 I$   
 $k_p = 0.5$        $k_m = 1$ Reference signal.....:  $dc = \{1, 2, 3\}$   
 $a = 1$   
 $\omega = 1$



**Figura 24:** Resultado da simulação com algoritmo MRAC direto.

(Script: **simu05.m**)

## Resultados de simulações

- Mostrar convergência
- Mostrar não uniformidade em relação às condições iniciais

## 2.4.2 PROBLEMAS & EXERCÍCIOS

Exercício. ...

# 3 IDENTIFICAÇÃO ADAPTATIVA DE PARÂMETROS

## Contents

3.1	Introdução	181
3.2	Parametrização do modelo	182
3.2.1	Parametrização alternativa	186
3.2.2	Implementação dos filtros	188
3.2.3	Adaptação	190
3.3	Gradiente normalizado	191
3.3.1	Simulações	197
3.4	<i>Least-squares</i> normalizado	233
3.4.1	Simulações	246
3.4.2	Problemas & exercícios	260
3.5	Parameter convergence	262
3.5.1	Improving convergence	263
3.5.2	Simulations	268
3.6	Adaptação robusta	285
3.6.1	Modificação zona-morta	287
3.6.2	Modificação $\sigma$	288
3.6.3	Modificação $\sigma$ com switching	289


3.6.4	Projeção	291
-------	----------	-----

---

## 3.1 INTRODUÇÃO

Referência. [Tao:2003], (pag. 99)

### Problema de identificação



★  $\theta$  não é realimentado na planta!

★ A planta pode ser instável!  $\Rightarrow$  Necessidade de normalização do regressor.

## 3.2 PARAMETRIZAÇÃO DO MODELO

Planta :

$$P(s)y = Z(s)u$$

onde :  $P(s) = s^n + \dots + p_1s + p_0$


$$Z(s) = z_ms^m + \dots + z_1s + z_0$$

Filtros :

$$\frac{1}{\Lambda(s)}$$

(grau  $n$ )

onde :  $\Lambda(s) = s^n + \dots + \lambda_1s + \lambda_0$



Podemos escrever a equação da planta como:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{P}{\Lambda}y &= \frac{Z}{\Lambda}u \\ &= \frac{Z}{\Lambda}u + \left( \frac{\Lambda}{\Lambda}y - \frac{\Lambda}{\Lambda}y \right)\end{aligned}$$

ou melhor,

$$y = \frac{Z}{\Lambda}u + \frac{\Lambda - P}{\Lambda}y$$

ou

$$y = Zu_f + (\Lambda - P)y_f$$

★  $\text{grau}(\Lambda - P) = n - 1$

★ O sinal  $y(t)$  pode ser escrito em função de sinais filtrados.

Definimos o **vetor de parâmetros**

$$\theta^* = \begin{bmatrix} z_0 & z_1 & \cdots & z_m & (\lambda_0 - p_0) & (\lambda_1 - p_1) & \cdots & (\lambda_{n-1} - p_{n-1}) \end{bmatrix}^T$$

e o **vetor regressor**

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &= \left[ \frac{1}{\Lambda} u \quad \frac{s}{\Lambda} u \quad \cdots \quad \frac{s^m}{\Lambda} u \quad \frac{1}{\Lambda} y \quad \frac{s}{\Lambda} y \quad \cdots \quad \frac{s^{n-1}}{\Lambda} y \right]^T \\ &= [u_f \quad \dot{u}_f \quad \ddot{u}_f \quad \cdots \quad y_f \quad \dot{y}_f \quad \ddot{y}_f \quad \cdots]^T \end{aligned}$$

★ Note que  $\theta^*, \phi \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m+1}$ .

Então,

$$y = \theta^{*T} \phi$$

**Exemplo 7** Parametrização de um sistema de 2a. ordem.

Planta:  $(s^2 + p_1 s + p_0)y = (z_1 s + z_0)u$

Filtros:  $\frac{1}{\Lambda(s)} = \frac{1}{s^2 + \lambda_1 s + \lambda_0} \Rightarrow$

$u_f = \frac{1}{\Lambda} u$
-----------------------------

e

$y_f = \frac{1}{\Lambda} y$
-----------------------------

Definindo

$$\theta^* = [z_0 \ z_1 \ (\lambda_0 - p_0) \ (\lambda_1 - p_1)]^T \in \mathbb{R}^4$$

$$\phi = [u_f \ \dot{u}_f \ y_f \ \dot{y}_f]^T \in \mathbb{R}^4$$

Então,

$y = \theta^{*T} \phi$
------------------------

### 3.2.1 PARAMETRIZAÇÃO ALTERNATIVA

- Na parametrização anterior,  $\theta$  contem coeficientes de  $Z(s)$  e de  $\Lambda(s) - P(s)$ .
  - Na parametrização alternativa a seguir, definimos  $\theta_p$ , que contem somente os coeficientes de  $Z(s)$  e  $P(s)$ .
- ★ Um exemplo com um sistema de 2a. ordem ilustra o procedimento.

**Exemplo 8** Parametrização alternativa de um sistema de 2a. ordem.

Plant:  $(s^2 + p_1s + p_0)y = (z_1s + z_0)u$

Filter:  $\frac{1}{\Lambda(s)} = \frac{1}{s^2 + \lambda_1s + \lambda_0} \quad \Rightarrow \quad u_f = \frac{1}{\Lambda} u \quad \text{and} \quad y_f = \frac{1}{\Lambda} y$

Applying the filter to both sides:  $(s^2 + p_1s + p_0)\frac{y}{\Lambda} = (z_1s + z_0)\frac{u}{\Lambda}$   
 $\Rightarrow \ddot{y}_f = (z_1s + z_0)u_f - (p_1s + p_0)y_f$

Defining

$$\theta_p^* = [z_0 \ z_1 \ p_0 \ p_1]^T$$

$$\phi = [u_f \ \dot{u}_f \ -y_f \ -\dot{y}_f]^T$$

Then,

$$\ddot{y}_f = \theta_p^{*T} \phi$$

Note that

$$\ddot{y}_f = y - (\lambda_1s + \lambda_0)y_f$$

### 3.2.2 IMPLEMENTAÇÃO DOS FILTROS

- ★ Os filtros são idênticos.

Realização de estado:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\omega}_1 = A\omega_1 + bu \\ \dot{\omega}_2 = A\omega_2 + by \end{cases}$$

Escolhemos

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\lambda_0 & -\lambda_1 \end{bmatrix} \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Portanto,

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_1 &= (sI - A)^{-1}bu \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} s & -1 \\ \lambda_0 & s + \lambda_1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} u \\ &= \frac{1}{\Lambda} \begin{bmatrix} s + \lambda_1 & 1 \\ -\lambda_0 & s \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} u = \frac{1}{\Lambda} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ s \end{bmatrix} u = \begin{bmatrix} u_f \\ \dot{u}_f \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

Da mesma forma,

$$\omega_2 = (sI - A)^{-1}by = \begin{bmatrix} y_f \\ \dot{y}_f \end{bmatrix}$$

Vetor regressor :

$$\phi = \begin{bmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \omega_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

★ Note que utiliza-se o mesmo filtro para  $u$  e  $y$ .

### 3.2.3 ADAPTAÇÃO

Seja  $\theta(t)$  uma estimativa de  $\theta^*$  obtida por um algoritmo adaptativo.

Estimativa de  $y$  :

$$\hat{y} = \theta^T \phi$$

(Parametrização linear)

Erro de estimativa :

$$\epsilon = \hat{y} - y$$

Erro paramétrico:

$$\tilde{\theta} = \theta - \theta^*$$

Portanto,

$$\epsilon = \tilde{\theta}^T \phi$$

(Equação do erro)

### 3.3 GRADIENTE NORMALIZADO

**Ideia:** Atualizar a estimativa do parâmetro na direção do negativo do gradiente.

Função custo:

$$J(\theta) = \frac{\epsilon^2}{2m^2}$$

Sinal normalizante:

$$m^2 = 1 + \kappa \phi^T \phi \quad \kappa > 0$$

- ★ Em geral,  $m$  é definido de forma a garantir que  $\frac{\phi}{m} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$ .

Note que:

$$J(\theta) = \frac{\epsilon^2}{2m^2} = \frac{\tilde{\theta}^T \phi \phi^T \tilde{\theta}}{2m^2}$$

Gradiente de  $J$ :

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta} = \frac{2\phi \phi^T \tilde{\theta}}{2m^2} = \frac{\phi \epsilon}{m^2}$$

Outra forma de obtenção:

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial \epsilon} \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\epsilon}{m^2} \phi$$

Algoritmo do Gradiente:

$$\dot{\theta} = -\Gamma \frac{\phi \epsilon}{m^2} \quad \Gamma > 0$$

Lema. Estabilidade do algoritmo do Gradiente:

- $\theta \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$
- $\dot{\theta}, \frac{\epsilon}{m} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \cap \mathcal{L}_2$

Prova. [Tao:2003], (pag. 103)

Função de Lyapunov:  $2V(\tilde{\theta}) = \tilde{\theta}^T \Gamma^{-1} \tilde{\theta}$

Derivando,

$$\dot{V}(\tilde{\theta}) = \tilde{\theta}^T \Gamma^{-1} \dot{\theta} = \tilde{\theta}^T \Gamma^{-1} \left( -\frac{\Gamma \phi \epsilon}{m^2} \right) = -\frac{\epsilon^2}{m^2} = -\frac{(\tilde{\theta}^T \phi)^2}{m^2} \leq 0$$

★ Porque não é definida negativa?!

Conclusões:

$$\bullet \tilde{\theta} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$$

$$\bullet \frac{\epsilon}{m} \in \mathcal{L}_2$$

$$\bullet \frac{|\epsilon|}{m} \leq \|\tilde{\theta}\| \underbrace{\frac{\|\phi\|}{m}}_{<1} \Rightarrow \boxed{\frac{|\epsilon|}{m} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty}$$

$$\bullet \dot{\theta} = -\Gamma \underbrace{\frac{\phi}{m}}_{<1} \underbrace{\frac{\epsilon}{m}}_{\mathcal{L}_2 \cap \mathcal{L}_\infty} \Rightarrow \boxed{\dot{\theta} \in \mathcal{L}_2 \cap \mathcal{L}_\infty}$$



Note que

$$\dot{\theta} = -\Gamma \frac{\phi \epsilon}{m^2} = -\underbrace{\frac{\Gamma \phi \phi^T}{m^2}}_{A(t)} \tilde{\theta} = -A(t) \tilde{\theta}$$

onde

$$A(t) = A^T(t) \geq 0 \quad \text{rank}(A(t)) = 1$$

★ Quer dizer,  $\tilde{\theta} = 0$  é um ponto de equilíbrio unif. estável !!

Importante:

- $\tilde{\theta} \equiv 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \epsilon = 0$
  
- $\epsilon = \tilde{\theta}^T \phi \equiv 0 \quad \not\Rightarrow \quad \tilde{\theta} = 0$   
 $\Rightarrow \quad \tilde{\theta} \perp \phi \quad (!!)$
  
- $\begin{cases} \dot{\theta} \in \mathcal{L}_2 \cap \mathcal{L}_\infty \\ \text{"Se" } \ddot{\theta} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \end{cases} \Rightarrow \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \dot{\theta} = 0 \quad \not\Rightarrow \quad \theta \rightarrow \text{constante} \quad (!!)$

★ Ver exemplos em [Slotine & Li:1991], (pag. 122) .

### 3.3.1 SIMULAÇÕES

**Case 1** First order plant, one unknown parameter.

Plant ..... :  $P(s) = \frac{N(s)}{D(s)} = \frac{1}{\tau s + 1}$

Filter ..... :  $\frac{1}{\Lambda(s)} = \frac{1}{s + \lambda}$

★ As simulações utilizam o pacote ODE do Matlab.

## Resumo das equações

Planta.....:  $\dot{y} = (1/\tau)(-y + u) \Rightarrow \tau\dot{y} = -y + u$

**Parametrização 1** ....:  $\underbrace{\dot{y}_f}_{Y_1} = \underbrace{(1/\tau)}_{\theta_1^*} \underbrace{(-y_f + u_f)}_{\phi_1}$

**Parametrização 2** ....:  $\underbrace{-y_f + u_f}_{Y_2} = \underbrace{(\tau)}_{\theta_2^*} \underbrace{(\dot{y}_f)}_{\phi_2}$

Estimativa.....:  $\hat{Y}_i = \theta_i \phi_i, \quad (i = 1, 2)$

Erro.....:  $\epsilon_i = \hat{Y}_i - Y_i$

Normalização.....:  $m_i^2 = 1 + \kappa_i \phi_i^2$

Lei de adaptação ..:  $\dot{\theta}_i = -\gamma_i \frac{\phi_i \epsilon_i}{m_i^2}$


Simulation 1 Zero initial conditions, small gains.

Algorithm : Normalized Gradient

Parameters . . . . . :  $\tau = 0.7$        $\gamma_1 = 1$        $\kappa_1 = 0$   
 $\lambda = 1$        $\gamma_2 = 1$        $\kappa_2 = 0$

Initial conditions . . . . . :  $y(0) = 0$   
 $\theta_1(0) = 0$   
 $\theta_2(0) = 0$

Input signal . . . . . :  $r = 1 + 2 \operatorname{sign}(\sin(0.1\pi t))$



**Figura 25:** Normalized Gradient. Plant-11.


Simulation 2 Zero initial conditions, large gains.

Algorithm : Normalized Gradient

Parameters . . . . . :  $\tau = 0.7$        $\gamma_1 = 10$        $\kappa_1 = 0$   
 $\lambda = 1$        $\gamma_2 = 10$        $\kappa_2 = 0$

Initial conditions . . . . . :  $y(0) = 0$   
 $\theta_1(0) = 0$   
 $\theta_2(0) = 0$

Input signal . . . . . :  $r = 1 + 2 \operatorname{sign}(\sin(0.1\pi t))$



**Figura 26:** Normalized Gradient. Plant-11.


Simulation 3 Small initial conditions, large gains.

Algorithm : Normalized Gradient

Parameters . . . . . :  $\tau = 0.7$        $\gamma_1 = 10$        $\kappa_1 = 0$   
 $\lambda = 1$        $\gamma_2 = 10$        $\kappa_2 = 0$

Initial conditions . . . . . :  $y(0) = 5$   
 $\theta_1(0) = 0$   
 $\theta_2(0) = 0$

Input signal . . . . . :  $r = 1 + 2 \operatorname{sign}(\sin(0.1\pi t))$




**Figura 27:** Normalized Gradient. Plant-11.

**Simulation 4** Effect of normalization.Algorithm : **Normalized Gradient**

Parameters . . . . . :     $\tau = 0.7$      $\gamma_1 = 10$      $\kappa_1 = 10$   
                             $\lambda = 1$      $\gamma_2 = 10$      $\kappa_2 = 10$

Initial conditions . . . . :     $y(0) = 5$   
                                 $\theta_1(0) = 0$   
                                 $\theta_2(0) = 0$

Input signal . . . . . :     $r = 1 + 2 \operatorname{sign}(\sin(0.1\pi t))$



**Figura 28:** Normalized Gradient. Plant-11.

Case 2 First order plant, 2 unknown parameters.

Plant ..... :  $P(s) = \frac{N(s)}{D(s)} = \frac{b_0}{s + a_0}$

Filter ..... :  $\frac{1}{\Lambda(s)} = \frac{1}{s + \lambda}$

★ As simulações utilizam o pacote ODE do Matlab.

## Resumo das equações

Planta.....:  $\dot{y} = -a_0 y + b_0 u$

Filtro.....:  $\Lambda(s) = s + \lambda$

**Parametrização 1** ....:  $\underbrace{y}_{Y_1} = b_0 u_f + (\lambda - a_0) y_f = [b_0 \quad (a_0 - \lambda)] \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} u_f \\ -y_f \end{bmatrix}}_{\phi_1}$

**Parametrização 2** ....:  $\underbrace{\dot{y}_f}_{Y_2} = b_0 u_f - a_0 y_f = [b_0 \quad a_0] \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} u_f \\ -y_f \end{bmatrix}}_{\phi_2}$

**Parametrização 3** ....:  $\underbrace{u_f}_{Y_3} = (1/b_0)\dot{y}_f + (a_0/b_0)y_f = [1/b_0 \quad a_0/b_0] \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \dot{y}_f \\ y_f \end{bmatrix}}_{\phi_3}$

Estimativa.....:  $\hat{Y}_i = \theta_i^T \phi_i$

Erro.....:  $\epsilon_i = \hat{Y}_i - Y_i$

Normalização.....:  $m_i^2 = 1 + \kappa_i \phi_i^T \phi_i$

Lei de adaptação ....:  $\dot{\theta}_i = -\gamma_i \frac{\phi_i \epsilon_i}{m_i^2}$

Simulation 1 Zero initial conditions, small gains.

Algorithm : Normalized Gradient

Parameters . . . . . :  $a_0 = 2$        $b_0 = 3$

$$\lambda = 1$$


$$\Gamma_i = 1 I$$

$$\kappa_i = 0$$

Initial conditions . . . . :  $y(0) = 0$

$$\theta_i(0) = 0$$

Input signal . . . . . :  $r = 1 + 2 \operatorname{sign}(\sin(0.1 \pi t))$



**Figura 29:** Normalized Gradient. Plant-12.

Simulation 2 Zero initial conditions, large gains.

Algorithm : Normalized Gradient

Parameters . . . . . :  $a_0 = 2$        $b_0 = 3$

$$\lambda = 1$$


$$\Gamma_i = 10 I$$

$$\kappa_i = 0$$

Initial conditions . . . . :  $y(0) = 0$

$$\theta_i(0) = 0$$

Input signal . . . . . :  $r = 1 + 2 \operatorname{sign}(\sin(0.1\pi t))$



**Figura 30:** Normalized Gradient. Plant-12.

Simulation 3 Small initial conditions, large gains.

Algorithm : Normalized Gradient

Parameters . . . . . :  $a_0 = 2$        $b_0 = 3$

$$\lambda = 1$$


$$\Gamma_i = 10 I$$

$$\kappa_i = 0$$

Initial conditions . . . . :  $y(0) = 5$

$$\theta_i(0) = 0$$

Input signal . . . . . :  $r = 1 + 2 \operatorname{sign}(\sin(0.1\pi t))$



**Figura 31:** Normalized Gradient. Plant-12.

Simulation 4 Effect of normalization (large  $\kappa$ ).

Algorithm : Normalized Gradient

Parameters . . . . . :  $a_0 = 2$        $b_0 = 3$

$$\lambda = 1$$


$$\Gamma_i = 10 I$$

$$\kappa_i = 10$$

Initial conditions . . . . :  $y(0) = 5$

$$\theta_i(0) = 0$$

Input signal . . . . . :  $r = 1 + 2 \operatorname{sign}(\sin(0.1\pi t))$



**Figura 32:** Normalized Gradient. Plant-12.

Simulation 5 Plant is an integrator.

Algorithm : Normalized Gradient

Parameters . . . . . :  $a_0 = 0$        $b_0 = 3$

$$\lambda = 1$$


$$\Gamma_i = 10 I$$

$$\kappa_i = 0$$

Initial conditions . . . . :  $y(0) = 0$

$$\theta_i(0) = 0$$

Input signal . . . . . :  $r = 1 + 2 \operatorname{sign}(\sin(0.1\pi t))$



**Figura 33:** Normalized Gradient. Plant-12.

Case 3 2nd order plant, 4 unknown parameters.

Plant ..... :  $P(s) = \frac{N(s)}{D(s)} = \frac{b_1 s + b_0}{s^2 + a_1 s + a_0}$

Filter ..... :  $\frac{1}{\Lambda(s)} = \frac{1}{s^2 + \lambda_1 s + \lambda_0}$

★ As simulações utilizam o pacote ODE do Matlab.

## Resumo das equações

**Parametrização 1** ....:  $\underbrace{y}_{Y_1} = b_0 u_f + b_1 \dot{u}_f + (a_0 - \lambda_0) y_f + (a_1 - \lambda_1) \dot{y}_f = \theta_1^* \phi_1$

Regressor .....:  $\phi_1 = [u_f \quad \dot{u}_f \quad -y_f \quad -\dot{y}_f]^T$

Parâmetros .....:  $\theta_1^* = [b_0 \quad b_1 \quad (a_0 - \lambda_0) \quad (a_1 - \lambda_1)]^T$

**Parametrização 2** ....:  $\underbrace{\ddot{y}_f}_{Y_2} = b_0 u_f + b_1 \dot{u}_f - a_0 y_f - a_1 \dot{y}_f = \theta_1^* \phi_1$

Regressor .....:  $\phi_2 = [u_f \quad \dot{u}_f \quad -y_f \quad -\dot{y}_f]^T$

Parâmetros .....:  $\theta_2^* = [b_0 \quad b_1 \quad a_0 \quad a_1]^T$

**Parametrização 3** ....:  $\underbrace{u_f}_{Y_3} = \frac{1}{b_0} \ddot{\textcolor{red}{y}_f} - \frac{b_1}{b_0} \dot{u}_f + \frac{a_0}{b_0} y_f + \frac{a_1}{b_0} \dot{y}_f$

Regressor .....:  $\phi_3 = [\ddot{y}_f \quad -\dot{u}_f \quad y_f \quad \dot{y}_f]^T$

Parâmetros .....:  $\theta_3^* = [1/b_0 \quad b_1/b_0 \quad a_0/b_0 \quad a_1/b_0]^T$

Estimativa .....:  $\hat{Y}_i = \theta_i^T \phi_i$

Erro .....:  $\epsilon_i = \hat{Y}_i - Y_i$

Normalização .....:  $m_i^2 = 1 + \kappa_i \phi_i^T \phi_i$

Lei de adaptação .....:  $\dot{\theta}_i = -\gamma_i \frac{\phi_i \epsilon_i}{m_i^2}$

**Nota.**  $P(s)y_f = Z(s)u_f \Rightarrow \ddot{\textcolor{red}{y}_f} + a_1 \dot{y}_f + a_0 y_f = b_1 \dot{u}_f + b_0 u_f$

Simulation 1 Zero initial conditions, small gains.

Algorithm : Normalized Gradient

Parameters . . . . . :  $a_1 = 2.5$        $a_0 = 1.5$        $b_1 = 2$        $b_0 = 0.8$

$\lambda_0 = 1$        $\lambda_1 = 2$

$\Gamma_i = 1I$

$\kappa_i = 0$

Initial conditions . . . . :  $x(0) = [0 \ 0]^T$

$\theta_i(0) = [0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]^T$

Input signal . . . . . :  $r = 1 + 2 \operatorname{sign}(\sin(0.1\pi t))$

Matching parameters:  $\theta_1^* = [0.8 \ 2 \ 0.5 \ 0.5]$

$\theta_2^* = [0.8 \ 2 \ 1.5 \ 2.5]$

$\theta_3^* = [1 \ 2.5 \ 1.875 \ 3.125]$




Figura 34: Normalized Gradient. Plant-24.

Simulation 2 Zero initial conditions, large gains.

Algorithm : Normalized Gradient

Parameters . . . . . :  $a_1 = 2.5$        $a_0 = 1.5$        $b_1 = 2$        $b_0 = 0.8$

$\lambda_0 = 1$        $\lambda_1 = 2$

$\Gamma_i = 10 I$

$\kappa_i = 0$

Initial conditions . . . . :  $x(0) = [0 \ 0]^T$

$\theta_i(0) = [0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]^T$

Input signal . . . . . :  $r = 1 + 2 \operatorname{sign}(\sin(0.1\pi t))$

Matching parameters:  $\theta_1^* = [0.8 \ 2 \ 0.5 \ 0.5]$

$\theta_2^* = [0.8 \ 2 \ 1.5 \ 2.5]$

$\theta_3^* = [1 \ 2.5 \ 1.875 \ 3.125]$




Figura 35: Normalized Gradient. Plant-24.

Simulation 3 Zero initial conditions, large gains & more excitation.

Algorithm : Normalized Gradient

Parameters . . . . . :  $a_1 = 2.5$        $a_0 = 1.5$        $b_1 = 2$        $b_0 = 0.8$

$\lambda_0 = 1$        $\lambda_1 = 2$

$\Gamma_i = 10 I$

$\kappa_i = 0$

Initial conditions . . . . :  $x(0) = [0 \ 0]^T$

$\theta_i(0) = [0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]^T$

Input signal . . . . . :  $r = 1 + 2 \operatorname{sign}(\sin(0.1\pi t)) + 2 \sin(2t)$

Matching parameters:  $\theta_1^* = [0.8 \ 2 \ 0.5 \ 0.5]$

$\theta_2^* = [0.8 \ 2 \ 1.5 \ 2.5]$

$\theta_3^* = [1 \ 2.5 \ 1.875 \ 3.125]$




Figura 36: Normalized Gradient. Plant-24.

**Simulation 4** Effect of a large initial condition.Algorithm : **Normalized Gradient**Parameters . . . . . :  $a_1 = 2.5$        $a_0 = 1.5$        $b_1 = 2$        $b_0 = 0.8$ 

$$\lambda_0 = 1 \quad \lambda_1 = 2$$

$$\Gamma_i = 10 I$$

$$\kappa_i = 0$$

Initial conditions . . . . :  $x(0) = [15 \ 0]^T$ 

$$\theta_i(0) = [0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]^T$$

Input signal . . . . . :  $r = 1 + 2 \operatorname{sign}(\sin(0.1\pi t)) + 2 \sin(2t)$ 

$$\theta_1^* = [0.8 \ 2 \ 0.5 \ 0.5]$$

$$\theta_2^* = [0.8 \ 2 \ 1.5 \ 2.5]$$

$$\theta_3^* = [1 \ 2.5 \ 1.875 \ 3.125]$$




Figura 37: Normalized Gradient. Plant-24.

**Simulation 5** Effect of the normalization.Algorithm : **Normalized Gradient**Parameters . . . . . :  $a_1 = 2.5$        $a_0 = 1.5$        $b_1 = 2$        $b_0 = 0.8$  $\lambda_0 = 1$        $\lambda_1 = 2$  $\Gamma_i = 10 I$  $\kappa_i = 1$ Initial conditions . . . . :  $x(0) = [15 \ 0]^T$  $\theta_i(0) = [0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]^T$ Input signal . . . . . :  $r = 1 + 2 \operatorname{sign}(\sin(0.1\pi t)) + 2 \sin(2t)$ Matching parameters:  $\theta_1^* = [0.8 \ 2 \ 0.5 \ 0.5]$  $\theta_2^* = [0.8 \ 2 \ 1.5 \ 2.5]$  $\theta_3^* = [1 \ 2.5 \ 1.875 \ 3.125]$




Figura 38: Normalized Gradient. Plant-24.

## 3.4 LEAST-SQUARES NORMALIZADO

Algoritmo:

$$\dot{\theta} = -\frac{P\phi\epsilon}{m^2}, \quad \theta(t_0) = \theta_0 \quad (2)$$

$$\dot{P} = -\frac{P\phi\phi^TP}{m^2}, \quad P(t_0) = P_0 > 0 \quad (3)$$

$$m^2 = 1 + \kappa \phi^T P \phi, \quad \kappa > 0$$

★ Note que  $P$  é um ganho de adaptação variante no tempo.

**Lema.** Estabilidade do algoritmo LS normalizado.

- $P(t) = P^T(t) > 0, \quad \forall t$
- $P, \dot{P} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$
- $\theta, \frac{\epsilon}{m}, \frac{\epsilon}{\bar{m}} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$
- $\dot{\theta}, \frac{\epsilon}{m}, \frac{\epsilon}{\bar{m}} \in \mathcal{L}_2$
- $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P(t) = P_\infty$
- $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \theta(t) = \theta_\infty$

$$\boxed{\bar{m}^2 = 1 + \phi^T \phi}$$

Prova. [Tao:2003], (pag. 105)

Como  $P(t_0) > 0$ , então para  $t = t_0$

$$P(t)P^{-1}(t) = I$$

Derivando,

$$\dot{P}P^{-1} + P \frac{d}{dt}(P^{-1}) = 0$$

Portanto,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}(P^{-1}) &= -P^{-1}\dot{P}P^{-1} \\ &= -P^{-1} \left( -\frac{P\phi\phi^TP}{m^2} \right) P^{-1} = \frac{\phi\phi^T}{m^2} \geq 0\end{aligned}$$

Portanto,

- $P^{-1}$  é monotonicamente crescente  $\Rightarrow$

$$P^{-1}(t) \geq P^{-1}(t_0) > 0$$

- $P(t) > 0, \forall t$

- $P^{-1}$  é monot. crescente  $\Rightarrow P$  é monot. decrescente  $\Rightarrow$

$$P(t) \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$$

- $\dot{P} = -\frac{P\phi\phi^TP}{m^2} = -\underbrace{Q}_{\mathcal{L}_\infty} \underbrace{\frac{Q\phi\phi^TQ}{1 + \kappa\phi^TQQ\phi}}_{\mathcal{L}_\infty} \underbrace{Q}_{\mathcal{L}_\infty} \Rightarrow$

$$\dot{P} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$$

★ No item anterior,  $P = QQ$ .

Note que  $P$  pode  $\rightarrow 0$  e, portanto, não se pode afirmar que  $\frac{\phi\phi^T}{m^2} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$ .

Função de Lyapunov:  $V(\tilde{\theta}) = \tilde{\theta}^T P^{-1} \tilde{\theta}$

Derivando,

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{V} &= \dot{\tilde{\theta}}^T P^{-1} \tilde{\theta} + \tilde{\theta}^T \dot{P}^{-1} \tilde{\theta} + \tilde{\theta}^T P^{-1} \dot{\tilde{\theta}} \\ &= 2\tilde{\theta}^T P^{-1} \dot{\tilde{\theta}} + \tilde{\theta}^T (-P^{-1} \dot{P} P^{-1}) \tilde{\theta} \\ &= 2\tilde{\theta}^T P^{-1} \left( -\frac{P\phi\epsilon}{m^2} \right) - \tilde{\theta}^T P^{-1} \left( -\frac{P\phi\phi^T P}{m^2} \right) P^{-1} \tilde{\theta} \\ &= -2\frac{\epsilon^2}{m^2} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{m^2} \\ &= -\frac{\epsilon^2}{m^2} \leq 0\end{aligned}$$

Portanto,

- $\boxed{\tilde{\theta} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty}$

- $\boxed{\frac{\epsilon}{m} \in \mathcal{L}_2}$

- $P \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \Rightarrow \boxed{\frac{m}{\bar{m}} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty} \quad (P \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow m \rightarrow 1)$

- $\frac{\epsilon}{\bar{m}} = \underbrace{\tilde{\theta}}_{\mathcal{L}_\infty} \underbrace{\frac{\phi}{\bar{m}}}_{\mathcal{L}_\infty} \Rightarrow \boxed{\frac{\epsilon}{\bar{m}} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty}$

- $\frac{\epsilon}{\bar{m}} = \underbrace{\frac{\epsilon}{m}}_{\mathcal{L}_2} \underbrace{\frac{m}{\bar{m}}}_{\mathcal{L}_\infty} \Rightarrow \boxed{\frac{\epsilon}{\bar{m}} \in \mathcal{L}_2}$

- $\frac{\epsilon}{\bar{m}} = \underbrace{\frac{\epsilon}{m}}_{\mathcal{L}_\infty} \underbrace{\frac{m}{\bar{m}}}_{\mathcal{L}_\infty} \Rightarrow \boxed{\frac{\epsilon}{m} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty}$

- $\dot{\theta} = -\frac{P\phi\epsilon}{m^2} = -\underbrace{Q}_{\mathcal{L}_\infty} \underbrace{\frac{Q\phi}{m}}_{\mathcal{L}_\infty} \underbrace{\frac{\epsilon}{m}}_{\mathcal{L}_2 \cap \mathcal{L}_\infty} \Rightarrow \boxed{\dot{\theta} \in \mathcal{L}_2 \cap \mathcal{L}_\infty}$

★ Note que pode ocorrer  $P \rightarrow 0$  e  $\phi \rightarrow \infty$ .

Portanto, pode ocorrer  $m \rightarrow 1$  e  $\bar{m} \rightarrow \infty$ .

★  $\frac{\phi}{\bar{m}} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$ . Porém,  $\frac{\phi}{m} \notin \mathcal{L}_\infty$ .

Para provar que  $P \rightarrow P_\infty$ , integramos a expressão de  $P$ :

$$P(t) = \underbrace{P_0}_{>0} - \underbrace{\int_{t_0}^t \frac{P\phi\phi^T P}{m^2} d\tau}_{\mathcal{I}} > 0$$

Então, para todo  $z$ ,

$$z^T P z = z^T P_0 z - z^T \mathcal{I} z > 0$$

Portanto,

- $z^T \mathcal{I} z > 0$  e monotonicamente crescente.
- $\underbrace{z^T P z}_{>0} = z^T P_0 z - \underbrace{z^T \mathcal{I} z}_{>0} > 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad z^T \mathcal{I} z \leq z^T P_0 z.$

**Fato.** Se uma função  $f(t)$  é monotonicamente crescente e limitada, então

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t) = f_\infty < \infty.$$

Portanto,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z^T P z = z^T P_0 z - \underbrace{\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z^T \mathcal{I} z}_{f_\infty} < \infty$$

- Para uma escolha adequada de  $z$ , tem-se que

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P(t) = P_\infty$$

- ★ Exemplo de escolha de  $z$ :  $z = [1 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0]^T$ .

- Agora vem a parte mais elaborada da prova: mostrar que  $\theta \rightarrow \theta_\infty$ .

O algoritmo LS minimiza a função custo

$$J(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\varepsilon^2(\tau)}{m^2(\tau)} d\tau + \frac{1}{2} (\theta - \theta_0) P_0^{-1} (\theta - \theta_0)$$

onde  $\varepsilon(\tau) = \theta^T(t) \phi(\tau) - y(\tau)$ .

Para encontrar o mínimo, fazemos

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial \varepsilon} \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial \theta} = \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\varepsilon(\tau)}{m^2(\tau)} \phi(\tau) d\tau + P_0^{-1} (\theta - \theta_0) = 0$$

Resolvendo para  $\theta$ , obtém-se

$$P_0^{-1} \theta = P_0^{-1} \theta_0 - \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\phi^T \theta - y}{m^2} \phi d\tau$$

Portanto,

$$P_0^{-1}\theta(t) = P_0^{-1}\theta_0 - \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\phi\phi^T}{m^2}\theta(t)d\tau + \int_{t_0}^t \frac{y\phi}{m^2}d\tau$$

★ Lembrar que  $\varepsilon(\tau) = \theta^T(t)\phi(\tau) - y(\tau)$ .

Então,

$$P_0^{-1}\theta(t) + \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\phi\phi^T}{m^2}d\tau\theta(t) = P_0^{-1}\theta_0 + \int_{t_0}^t \frac{y\phi}{m^2}d\tau$$

$$\underbrace{\left( P_0^{-1} + \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\phi\phi^T}{m^2}d\tau \right)}_{P^{-1}}\theta(t) = P_0^{-1}\theta_0 + \int_{t_0}^t \frac{y\phi}{m^2}d\tau$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\theta(t) = P \left( P_0^{-1}\theta_0 + \int_{t_0}^t \frac{y\phi}{m^2}d\tau \right)} \quad (4)$$

Lembrando que  $y = \phi^T \theta^*$ , podemos escrever

$$\underbrace{\theta(t) - \theta^*}_{\tilde{\theta}} = P \left( P_0^{-1} \theta_0 + \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\phi \phi^T \theta^*}{m^2} d\tau \right) - \theta^*$$

Porém,

$$P^{-1} = P_0^{-1} + \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\phi \phi^T}{m^2} d\tau \quad \Rightarrow \quad P^{-1} - P_0^{-1} = \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\phi \phi^T}{m^2} d\tau$$

Portanto,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\theta} &= PP_0^{-1}\theta_0 + P(P^{-1} - P_0^{-1})\theta^* - \theta^* \\ &= PP_0^{-1}\theta_0 - PP_0^{-1}\theta^* \\ &= PP_0^{-1} \underbrace{(\theta_0 - \theta^*)}_{\tilde{\theta}(0)} \end{aligned}$$

Resumindo:

$$\tilde{\theta} = PP_0^{-1}\tilde{\theta}(0) \Rightarrow P^{-1}\tilde{\theta} = P_0^{-1}\tilde{\theta}(0) = \text{constante (!!)}$$

★ Esta é uma relação surpreendente!

Portanto,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \theta(t) = \theta^* + \underbrace{\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P(t)}_{P_\infty} P_0^{-1}\tilde{\theta}(0) = \underbrace{\theta^* + P_\infty P_0^{-1}\tilde{\theta}(0)}_{\theta_\infty}$$

- 

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \theta(t) = \theta_\infty$$



### 3.4.1 SIMULAÇÕES

Case 1 2nd order plant, 4 unknown parameters.

Plant ..... :  $P(s) = \frac{N(s)}{D(s)} = \frac{b_1 s + b_0}{s^2 + a_1 s + a_0}$

Filter ..... :  $\frac{1}{\Lambda(s)} = \frac{1}{s^2 + \lambda_1 s + \lambda_0}$

★ As simulações utilizam o pacote ODE do Matlab.

## Resumo das equações

**Parametrização 1** ....:  $\underbrace{y}_Y = b_0 u_f + b_1 \dot{u}_f + (a_0 - \lambda_0) y_f + (a_1 - \lambda_1) \dot{y}_f = \theta^* \phi$

Regressor .....:  $\phi = [u_f \quad \dot{u}_f \quad y_f \quad \dot{y}_f]^T$

Parâmetros .....:  $\theta^* = [b_0 \quad b_1 \quad (a_0 - \lambda_0) \quad (a_1 - \lambda_1)]^T$

Estimativa .....:  $\hat{Y} = \theta^T \phi$

Erro .....:  $\epsilon = \hat{Y} - Y$

Sinal normalizante....:  $m^2 = 1 + \kappa \phi^T P \phi, \quad \kappa > 0$

Lei de adaptação ....:  $\dot{\theta} = -\frac{P \phi \epsilon}{m^2}$

Matriz de covariância:  $\dot{P} = -\frac{P \phi \phi^T P}{m^2}, \quad P(0) > 0$

Simulation 1 Zero initial conditions, small gains.


Algorithm : Normalized Least-squares

Parameters . . . . . :  $P(s) = \frac{0.5s + 2}{s^2 + 3s + 1.25}$   
 $\Lambda(s) = s^2 + 3s + 2$   
 $\kappa = 0$

Initial conditions . . . . :  $x(0) = [0 \ 0]^T$   
 $\theta(0) = [0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]^T$   
 $P(0) = 1 I$

Input signal . . . . . :  $r = 3 + 5 \operatorname{sign}(\sin(0.1\pi t))$

Matching parameters:  $\theta^* = [2 \ 0.5 \ -0.75 \ 0]$



**Figura 39:** Normalized Least-squares. Plant-24.

Simulation 2 Zero initial conditions, large gains.


Algorithm : Normalized Least-squares

Parameters . . . . . :  $P(s) = \frac{0.5s + 2}{s^2 + 3s + 1.25}$   
 $\Lambda(s) = s^2 + 3s + 2$   
 $\kappa = 0$

Initial conditions . . . . :  $x(0) = [0 \ 0]^T$   
 $\theta(0) = [0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]^T$   
 $P(0) = 10 I$

Input signal . . . . . :  $r = 3 + 5 \operatorname{sign}(\sin(0.1\pi t))$

Matching parameters:  $\theta^* = [2 \ 0.5 \ -0.75 \ 0]$



**Figura 40:** Normalized Least-squares. Plant-24.


**Simulation 3** Effect of excitation frequencies.Algorithm : **Normalized Least-squares**

Parameters . . . . . :  $P(s) = \frac{0.5s + 2}{s^2 + 3s + 1.25}$   
 $\Lambda(s) = s^2 + 3s + 2$   
 $\kappa = 0$

Initial conditions . . . . :  $x(0) = [0 \ 0]^T$   
 $\theta(0) = [0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]^T$   
 $P(0) = 10 I$

Input signal . . . . . :  $r = 3 + 5 \operatorname{sign}(\sin(0.1\pi t)) + \sin(t) + 2 \sin(2.3t)$

Matching parameters:  $\theta^* = [2 \ 0.5 \ -0.75 \ 0]$



**Figura 41:** Normalized Least-squares. Plant-24.


**Simulation 4** Effect of large initial condition.Algorithm : **Normalized Least-squares**

Parameters . . . . . :  $P(s) = \frac{0.5s + 2}{s^2 + 3s + 1.25}$   
 $\Lambda(s) = s^2 + 3s + 2$   
 $\kappa = 0$

Initial conditions . . . . :  $x(0) = [10 \ 0]^T$   
 $\theta(0) = [0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]^T$   
 $P(0) = 10 I$

Input signal . . . . . :  $r = 3 + 5 \operatorname{sign}(\sin(0.1\pi t)) + \sin(t) + 2 \sin(2.3t)$

Matching parameters:  $\theta^* = [2 \ 0.5 \ -0.75 \ 0]$



**Figura 42:** Normalized Least-squares. Plant-24.

**Simulation 5** Effect of plant complex poles.

Algorithm : Normalized Least-squares

Parameters . . . . . :  $P(s) = \frac{0.5s + 2}{s^2 + s + 1.25} = \frac{0.5s + 2}{(s + 0.5 + i)(s + 0.5 - i)}$


$$\Lambda(s) = s^2 + 3s + 2$$
$$\kappa = 0$$

Initial conditions . . . . :  $x(0) = [0 \ 0]^T$

$$\theta(0) = [0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]^T$$
$$P(0) = 1 I$$

Input signal . . . . . :  $r = 3 + 5 \operatorname{sign}(\sin(0.1\pi t))$

Matching parameters:  $\theta^* = [2 \ 0.5 \ -0.75 \ -2]$



**Figura 43:** Normalized Least-squares. Plant-24.

**Simulation 6** Effect of large gains.

Algorithm : Normalized Least-squares

Parameters . . . . . :  $P(s) = \frac{0.5s + 2}{s^2 + s + 1.25} = \frac{0.5s + 2}{(s + 0.5 + i)(s + 0.5 - i)}$


$$\Lambda(s) = s^2 + 3s + 2$$
$$\kappa = 0$$

Initial conditions . . . . :  $x(0) = [0 \ 0]^T$

$$\theta(0) = [0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]^T$$
$$P(0) = 10 I$$

Input signal . . . . . :  $r = 3 + 5 \operatorname{sign}(\sin(0.1\pi t))$

Matching parameters:  $\theta^* = [2 \ 0.5 \ -0.75 \ -2]$



**Figura 44:** Normalized Least-squares. Plant-24.

### 3.4.2 PROBLEMAS & EXERCÍCIOS

(1) Mostre que a equação (4),

$$\theta(t) = P \left( P_0^{-1} \theta_0 + \int_{t_0}^t \frac{y\phi}{m^2} d\tau \right) ,$$

satisfaz a equação diferencial (2),


$$\dot{\theta} = -\frac{P\phi\epsilon}{m^2}, \quad \theta(t_0) = \theta_0.$$

Solução:

## 3.5 PARAMETER CONVERGENCE

Ref.: [Tao:2003], (pag. 108)

(...)



**Figura 45:** Interpretation: Condition for parameter convergence.

### 3.5.1 IMPROVING CONVERGENCE

Ref.: Adaptive observers with exponential rate of convergence,  
G. Kreisselmeier, 1977.

Idea: Use filters to generate multiple parameterizations.

Consider a 1st order plant with 2 unknown parameters

$$P(s) = \frac{N(s)}{D(s)} = \frac{b_0}{s + a_0}$$

and 2nd order filters

$$\frac{1}{\Lambda(s)} = \frac{1}{s^2 + \lambda_1 s + \lambda_0}$$

Filters implementation:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\omega}_1 = A\omega_1 + bu \\ \dot{\omega}_2 = A\omega_2 + by \end{cases}$$

where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\lambda_0 & -\lambda_1 \end{bmatrix} \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Filters variables:

$$\omega_1 = \begin{bmatrix} u_f \\ \dot{u}_f \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_2 = \begin{bmatrix} y_f \\ \dot{y}_f \end{bmatrix}$$

We can write 2 parameterizations

Parameterization 1 :  $\underbrace{\dot{y}_f}_{Y_1} = b_0 u_f - a_0 y_f = [b_0 \ a_0] \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} u_f \\ -y_f \end{bmatrix}}_{\phi_1}$

Regressor 1 ..... :  $\phi_1 = [u_f \ -y_f]^T$

Parameters ..... :  $\theta^* = [b_0 \ a_0]^T$

Parameterization 2 :  $\underbrace{\ddot{y}_f}_{Y_2} = b_0 \dot{u}_f - a_0 \dot{y}_f = [b_0 \ a_0] \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \dot{u}_f \\ -\dot{y}_f \end{bmatrix}}_{\phi_2}$

Regressor 2 ..... :  $\phi_2 = [\dot{u}_f \ -\dot{y}_f]^T$

Parameters ..... :  $\theta^* = [b_0 \ a_0]^T$

★ Notice that the parameters are the same!

Estimates.....:  $\hat{Y}_1 = \theta^T \phi_1$

$$\hat{Y}_2 = \theta^T \phi_2$$

Erros.....:  $\epsilon_1 = \hat{Y}_1 - Y_1 = \tilde{\theta}^T \phi_1$

$$\epsilon_2 = \hat{Y}_2 - Y_2 = \tilde{\theta}^T \phi_2$$

Normalization.....:  $m_1^2 = 1 + \kappa_1 \phi_1^T \phi_1$

$$m_2^2 = 1 + \kappa_2 \phi_2^T \phi_2$$

Gradient update law.: 
$$\dot{\theta} = -\gamma_1 \frac{\phi_1 \epsilon_1}{m_1^2} - \gamma_2 \frac{\phi_2 \epsilon_2}{m_2^2}$$

Using the Lyapunov function

$$2V(\tilde{\theta}) = \tilde{\theta}^T \Gamma^{-1} \tilde{\theta}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{V}(\tilde{\theta}) &= \tilde{\theta}^T \Gamma^{-1} \dot{\theta} \\ &= \tilde{\theta}^T \left( -\gamma_1 \frac{\phi_1 \epsilon_1}{m_1^2} - \gamma_2 \frac{\phi_2 \epsilon_2}{m_2^2} \right) \\ &= -\tilde{\theta}^T \underbrace{\left( \gamma_1 \frac{\phi_1 \phi_1^T}{m_1^2} + \gamma_2 \frac{\phi_2 \phi_2^T}{m_2^2} \right)}_{A(t)} \tilde{\theta} \quad \leq 0\end{aligned}$$

★ Note that now  $\text{rank}(A) \leq 2$  !

### 3.5.2 SIMULATIONS

Case 1 First order plant, 2 unknown parameters.

Plant ..... :  $P(s) = \frac{N(s)}{D(s)} = \frac{b_0}{s + a_0}$

Filter ..... :  $\frac{k}{\Lambda(s)} = \frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}{(s - \lambda_1)(s - \lambda_2)}$

## Summary of the equations

Plant ..... :  $\dot{y} = -a_0 y + b_0 u$

Filter ..... :  $\frac{k}{\Lambda(s)} = \frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}{(s - \lambda_1)(s - \lambda_2)}$

Parameterization 1 :  $\underbrace{\dot{y}_f}_{Y_1} = b_0 u_f - a_0 y_f = [b_0 \ a_0] \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} u_f \\ -y_f \end{bmatrix}}_{\phi_1}$

Regressor 1 ..... :  $\phi_1 = [u_f \ -y_f]^T$

Parameterization 2 :  $\underbrace{\ddot{y}_f}_{Y_2} = b_0 \dot{u}_f - a_0 \dot{y}_f = [b_0 \ a_0] \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \dot{u}_f \\ -\dot{y}_f \end{bmatrix}}_{\phi_2}$

Regressor 2 ..... :  $\phi_2 = [\dot{u}_f \ -\dot{y}_f]^T$

Estimates .....:  $\hat{Y}_i = \theta^T \phi_i$

Errors .....:  $\epsilon_i = \hat{Y}_i - Y_i$

Normalization .....:  $m_i^2 = 1 + \kappa_i \phi_i^T \phi_i$

Update law .....:

$$\dot{\theta} = -\gamma_1 \frac{\phi_1 \epsilon_1}{m_1^2} - \gamma_2 \frac{\phi_2 \epsilon_2}{m_2^2}$$

Simulation 1 Zero initial conditions.

Algorithm : Normalized Gradient

Parameters . . . . . :  $a_0 = 3.3$        $b_0 = 5$   
 $\lambda_1 = -1$        $\lambda_2 = -2$   
 $\gamma_1 = 20$        $\gamma_2 = 20$   
 $\kappa_1 = 0$        $\kappa_2 = 0$

Initial conditions . . . . :  $y(0) = 0$   
 $\theta_i(0) = 0$

Input signal . . . . . :  $r = 1.5 + 1 \operatorname{sign}(\sin(0.2\pi t))$




Figura 46: Normalized Gradient with filters. Plant-12.

Simulation 2 Zero initial conditions. New filter.

Algorithm : Normalized Gradient

Parameters . . . . . :  $a_0 = 3.3$        $b_0 = 5$

$$\lambda_1 = -1 - i \quad \lambda_2 = -1 + i$$

$$\gamma_1 = 20 I \quad \gamma_2 = 20$$

$$\kappa_1 = 0 \quad \kappa_2 = 0$$

Initial conditions . . . . :  $y(0) = 0$

$$\theta_i(0) = 0$$

Input signal . . . . :  $r = 1.5 + 1 \operatorname{sign}(\sin(0.2\pi t))$




Figura 47: Normalized Gradient with filters. Plant-12.

Simulation 3 Zero initial conditions. New reference.


Algorithm : Normalized Gradient

Parameters . . . . . :  $a_0 = 3.3$        $b_0 = 5$   
 $\lambda_1 = -1 - i$        $\lambda_2 = -1 + i$   
 $\gamma_1 = 20$        $\gamma_2 = 20$   
 $\kappa_1 = 0$        $\kappa_2 = 0$

Initial conditions . . . . :  $y(0) = 0$   
 $\theta_i(0) = 0$

Input signal . . . . . :  $r = 1 + 2 \operatorname{sign}(\sin(0.2\pi t))$

★ Better convergence of the conventional algorithm! Why?



**Figura 48:** Normalized Gradient with filters. Plant-12.

Case 2 2nd order plant, 4 unknown parameters.

Plant :  $P(s) = \frac{N(s)}{D(s)} = \frac{k_p(s - z_1)}{(s - p_1)(s - p_2)}$

Filter :  $\frac{k}{\Lambda(s)} = \frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_4 \lambda_5}{(s - \lambda_1)(s - \lambda_2)(s - \lambda_3)(s - \lambda_4)(s - \lambda_5)}$

## Summary of the equations

Regressor 1 .....:  $\phi_1 = [u_f \quad \dot{u}_f \quad -y_f \quad -\dot{y}_f]^T$

Regressor 2 .....:  $\phi_2 = [\dot{u}_f \quad \ddot{u}_f \quad -\dot{y}_f \quad -\ddot{y}_f]^T$

Regressor 3 .....:  $\phi_3 = [\ddot{u}_f \quad u_f^{(3)} \quad -\ddot{y}_f \quad -y_f^{(3)}]^T$

Regressor 4 .....:  $\phi_4 = [u_f^{(3)} \quad u_f^{(4)} \quad -y_f^{(3)} \quad -y_f^{(4)}]^T$

Estimates .....:  $\hat{Y}_i = \theta^T \phi_i$

Errors .....:  $\epsilon_i = \hat{Y}_i - Y_i$

Normalization .....:  $m_i^2 = 1 + \kappa_i \phi_i^T \phi_i$

Update law .....:

$$\dot{\theta} = - \sum_{i=1}^4 \gamma_i \frac{\phi_i \epsilon_i}{m_i^2}$$

Simulation 1 Zero initial conditions.

Algorithm : Normalized Gradient

Parameters . . . . . :  $p_1 = -1.3$      $p_2 = -2.1$      $z_1 = -4$      $k_p = 2$

$$\lambda = \{-0.5 + i, -0.5 - i, -1, -1 + 2i, -1 - 2i\}$$


$$\gamma_i = 100$$

$$\kappa_i = 0$$


Initial conditions . . . . :  $y(0) = 0$

$$\theta_i(0) = 0$$

Input signal . . . . . :  $r = 1.5 + 1 \operatorname{sign}(\sin(0.2\pi t))$



**Figura 49:** Normalized Gradient with filters. Plant-214.



**Figura 50:** Normalized Gradient with filters. Plant-214.


Simulation 2 Zero initial conditions. New plant.

Algorithm : Normalized Gradient


Parameters . . . . . :  $p_1 = -3$      $p_2 = -5$      $z_1 = -4$      $k_p = -5$   
 $\lambda = \{-0.5 + i, -0.5 - i, -1, -1 + 2i, -1 - 2i\}$   
 $\gamma_i = 1e5$   
 $\kappa_i = 0$

Initial conditions . . . . :  $y(0) = 0$   
 $\theta_i(0) = 0$

Input signal . . . . . :  $r = 1.5 + 1 \operatorname{sign}(\sin(0.2\pi t))$



**Figura 51:** Normalized Gradient with filters. Plant-214.



**Figura 52:** Normalized Gradient with filters. Plant-214.

## 3.6 ADAPTAÇÃO ROBUSTA

Ref.: [Tao:2003], (pag. 128)

**Problema:** Incerteza na planta.

- ★ Muitas modificações foram propostas para garantir as propriedades dos algoritmos.

Planta com incerteza :

$$y = \theta^{*T} \phi - \delta(t)$$

Hipótese :

$$|\delta(t)| \leq \delta_1(t) \|\phi(t)\| + \delta_2(t)$$

Lei de adaptação do gradiente modificada:

$$\dot{\theta} = -\Gamma \frac{\phi \epsilon}{m^2} + f(t)$$

Normalização :

$$m^2 = 1 + \kappa \phi^T \phi$$

★  $f(t)$  = modificação.

### 3.6.1 MODIFICAÇÃO ZONA-MORTA

Algoritmo :

$$\dot{\theta} = -\Gamma \frac{\phi \epsilon}{m^2} + f(t)$$

$$f(t) = \Gamma \frac{\phi f_0(\epsilon)}{m^2}$$

$$f_0(\epsilon) = \begin{cases} \epsilon & \text{se } \frac{|\epsilon|}{m} < d_1 + \frac{d_2}{m} \\ 0 & \text{se } \frac{|\epsilon|}{m} \geq d_1 + \frac{d_2}{m} \end{cases}$$

### 3.6.2 MODIFICAÇÃO $\sigma$

Algoritmo :

$$\dot{\theta} = -\Gamma \frac{\phi\epsilon}{m^2} + f(t)$$

$$f(t) = -\sigma\Gamma\theta$$


### 3.6.3 MODIFICAÇÃO $\sigma$ COM SWITCHING

Algoritmo :

$$\dot{\theta} = -\Gamma \frac{\phi \epsilon}{m^2} + f(t)$$

$$f(t) = -\sigma(t)\Gamma\theta$$

$$\sigma(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{se } \|\theta\| < M_\theta \\ \sigma_0 \left( \frac{\|\theta\|}{M_\theta} - 1 \right) & \text{se } M_\theta \leq \|\theta\| < 2M_\theta \\ \sigma_0 & \text{se } \|\theta\| \geq 2M_\theta \end{cases}$$



**Figura 53:**  $\sigma$  function.

### 3.6.4 PROJEÇÃO

Algoritmo :

$$\dot{\theta} = -\Gamma \frac{\phi \epsilon}{m^2} + f(t)$$

$$f(t) = -\sigma(t)\theta$$


$$\sigma(t) = \begin{cases} \sigma_0 & \text{se } \|\theta\| < M_\theta \quad \text{ou} \quad \sigma_{eq} < \sigma_0 \\ \sigma_{eq} & \text{se } \|\theta\| \geq M_\theta \quad \text{e} \quad \sigma_{eq} \geq \sigma_0 \end{cases}$$

$$\sigma_{eq} = -\frac{\theta^T \Gamma \phi \epsilon}{\|\theta\|^2 m^2}$$

## Interpretação

No caso em que  $\sigma_0 = 0$ , se  $\|\theta\| < M_\theta$ , então

$$f(t) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \dot{\theta} = -\Gamma \frac{\phi \epsilon}{m^2}$$



Se  $\|\theta\| = M_\theta$ ,

$$f(t) = -\sigma\theta \quad \Rightarrow \quad \boxed{\dot{\theta} = -\Gamma \frac{\phi\epsilon}{m^2} - \sigma\theta}$$

Podemos determinar o valor de  $\sigma$  para que  $\dot{\theta}$  seja perpendicular ao vetor  $\theta$ ,

$$\theta^T \perp \dot{\theta} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \theta^T \dot{\theta} = 0$$


Portanto,

$$\theta^T \dot{\theta} = -\theta^T \Gamma \frac{\phi\epsilon}{m^2} - \sigma \theta^T \theta = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sigma = -\frac{\theta^T \Gamma \phi\epsilon}{\|\theta\|^2 m^2}$$

Por conveniência, vamos chamar este valor particular de  $\sigma_{eq}$ ,

$$\boxed{\sigma_{eq} = -\frac{\theta^T \Gamma \phi\epsilon}{\|\theta\|^2 m^2}}$$

A figura abaixo mostra a interpretação geométrica dessa expressão.



Note que os vetores  $\theta$  e  $\sigma_{eq}\theta$  são colineares.

Portanto,

$\sigma_{eq} > 0 \Rightarrow -\Gamma \frac{\phi\epsilon}{m^2}$  aponta para fora da bola.

$\sigma_{eq} < 0 \Rightarrow -\Gamma \frac{\phi\epsilon}{m^2}$  aponta para dentro da bola.



# 4 MRAC

## Contents

---

4.1	Solução do controle 2DOF	298
4.1.1	Examples	311
4.1.2	<i>Matching equation</i>	334
4.2	Caso $n^* = 1$	339
4.2.1	Error equation	344
4.2.2	Meyer–Kalman–Yakubovich Lemma	351
4.2.3	Lyapunov design	354
4.2.4	Auxiliary error	358
4.2.5	Lyapunov design with $e_a$	360
4.2.6	Simulações	364
4.3	Caso $n^* = 2$	392
4.3.1	Lyapunov design	397
4.3.2	Simulações	404
4.4	Caso geral $n^* > 1$	420
4.4.1	Método por Lyapunov ( $n^* > 1$ )	421
4.4.2	Reduced order filters	433

4.4.3	Simulações . . . . .	438
4.4.4	Método do Gradiente ( $n^* > 1$ ) . . . . .	448
4.4.5	Simulações . . . . .	458

---

## 4.1 SOLUÇÃO DO CONTROLE 2DOF

★ Revisão...




Figura 54: Estrutura do controlador 2DOF.

Lei de controle linear:

$$Ru = Tr + Sy$$

$$\Rightarrow u = \frac{T}{R}r + \frac{S}{R}y$$

$$\text{Feedforward (para o caso } n^* = 1\text{):} \quad \frac{T}{R} = \frac{N_m}{N} \quad \Rightarrow \quad R = N$$

**Problema:** Como implementar?

- ★  $N(s)$  é desconhecido e será identificado.
- ★  $N(s)$  não pode aparecer no denominador!

**Solução:** Utilizar estrutura com filtros (semelhante ao caso do identificador).




Figura 55: Estrutura do MRAC.

Podemos verificar que esta estrutura é equivalente à 2DOF.

Filtro 1: 
$$\begin{cases} \dot{\omega}_1 = A_f \omega_1 + b_f u \\ v_1 = \theta_1^{*T} \omega_1 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \boxed{| \quad v_1 = \frac{F}{\Lambda} u}$$

- $\boxed{| \quad \text{grau}(F) = n - 2}$

★ Note que **não há ramo direto** no filtro de  $u$  (geraria um loop algébrico).

Filtro 2: 
$$\begin{cases} \dot{\omega}_2 = A_f \omega_2 + b_f y \\ z_2 = \theta_2^{*T} \omega_2 + \theta_n^* y \end{cases} \Rightarrow \boxed{| \quad z_2 = \frac{G}{\Lambda} y}$$

- $\boxed{| \quad \text{grau}(G) = n - 1}$

★ Note que há um ramo direto.

Lei de controle:

$$u = \theta^{*T} \omega$$

Parâmetros:

$$\theta^{*T} = [\theta_1^{*T} \quad \theta_n^{*T} \quad \theta_2^{*T} \quad \theta_{2n}^{*T}]$$

$$\theta^* \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$$


Regressor:

$$\omega^T = [\omega_1^T \quad y \quad \omega_2^T \quad r]$$

$$\omega \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$$

★ A ordem é arbitrária!

Podemos simplificar o diagrama de blocos.




**Figura 56:** Estrutura do MRAC.


Note que:  $u = z_1 + \frac{F}{\Lambda} u \Rightarrow (\Lambda - F)u = \Lambda z_1$

Portanto,

$$u = \frac{\Lambda}{\Lambda - F} z_1$$



**Figura 57:** Estrutura do MRAC.



**Figura 58:** Estrutura do MRAC.

Malha fechada:

$$y = k_p \frac{N}{D} \left( \frac{\theta_{2n}^* \Lambda}{\Lambda - F} r + \frac{G}{\Lambda - F} y \right) \Rightarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow D(\Lambda - F) y = \theta_{2n}^* k_p \Lambda N r + k_p N G y \Rightarrow$$

$$y = \frac{\theta_{2n}^* k_p \Lambda N}{(\Lambda - F)D - k_p N G} r$$

*Matching* antes dos cancelamentos:

$$\boxed{\frac{\theta_{2n}^* k_p \Lambda N}{(\Lambda - F)D - k_p NG} = \frac{k_m N_m \textcolor{blue}{N} A_0}{D_m \textcolor{blue}{N} A_0}}$$

- ★  $A_0$ : **polinômio do observador**.
- ★ Cancelamentos necessários:  $\textcolor{blue}{N}$  e  $\textcolor{blue}{A}_0$ .

Condições necessárias para a solução:

- $\boxed{\theta_{2n}^* = \frac{k_m}{k_p}} \Rightarrow \theta_{2n}^* k_p = k_m$
- $\boxed{\Lambda = N_m A_0}$  (Para o *matching* do numerador.)
- $\boxed{\begin{cases} \text{grau}(\Lambda) = n - 1 \\ \text{grau}(N_m) = m \end{cases} \Rightarrow \boxed{\text{grau}(A_0) = n - m - 1 = n^* - 1}}$

Para o cancelamento de  $N$  é necessário que

- $(\Lambda - F) = NH$  ( $N$  deve ser fator de  $\Lambda - F$ )

- $\begin{cases} \text{grau}(\Lambda) = n - 1 \\ \text{grau}(F) = n - 2 \\ \text{grau}(N) = m \end{cases} \Rightarrow \text{grau}(H) = n - m - 1 = n^* - 1$

Hipótese:  $P(s)$  é controlável e observável.

★  $N(s)$  e  $D(s)$  são primos!

Após o cancelamento de  $N$ , tem-se a equação

$$| HD - k_p G = D_m A_0 | \quad (\text{Equação Diophantina.})$$

- grau( $HD$ ) = grau( $H$ ) + grau( $D$ ) =  $(n - m - 1) + n = 2n - m - 1$
  - grau( $G$ ) =  $n - 1$
  - grau( $D_m A_0$ ) = grau( $D_m$ ) + grau( $A_0$ ) =  $n + (n - m - 1) = 2n - m - 1$
- ★  $H(s)$  é **mônico**.

Hipótese: Grau relativo de  $P(s)$  = Grau relativo de  $M(s)$ .

- $\boxed{\text{grau}(D) - \text{grau}(N) = \text{grau}(D_m) - \text{grau}(N_m) = n^*}$

★ O grau relativo é invariante.

## Resumo do algoritmo

(1) Determinar  $\text{grau}(A_0)$  e  $\text{grau}(G)$ .

(2) Achar a solução  $H(s)$  e  $G(s)$  da equação *Diophantina*

$$H(s)D(s) - k_p G(s) = D_m(s)A_0(s)$$

(3) Obter  $\theta_2^*$  e  $\theta_n^*$  a partir de  $G(s)$ .

(4) Calcular  $F(s)$  usando a relação

$$F = \Lambda(s) - N(s)H(s)$$

(5) Obter  $\theta_1^*$  a partir de  $F(s)$ .

$$(6) \theta_{2n}^* = \frac{k_m}{k_p}.$$

### 4.1.1 EXAMPLES

#### Example 9

Classificação do sistema:  $n = 2$  (ordem)  
 $n^* = 1$  (grau relativo)  
 $n_p = 4$  (# de parâmetros)

Planta.....:  $y = \frac{k_p(s + b)}{s^2 + a_1s + a_0}u$

Modelo.....:  $y_m = \frac{k_m(s + b_m)}{s^2 + a_{1m}s + a_{0m}}r$

- grau( $A_0$ ) =  $n^* - 1 = 0 \Rightarrow A_0(s) = 1$

- $\Lambda(s) = N_m(s)A_0(s) \Rightarrow \Lambda(s) = s + b_m$

- grau( $H$ ) =  $n^* - 1 = 0 \Rightarrow H(s) = 1$

- grau( $G$ ) =  $n - 1 = 1 \Rightarrow G(s) = g_1s + g_0$

- Equação *Diophantina*:  $HD - k_pG = D_mA_0$

$$(1) (s^2 + a_1s + a_0) - k_p(g_1s + g_0) = (s^2 + a_{1m}s + a_{0m})(1)$$

$$s^2 + \underbrace{(a_1 - k_p g_1)}_{a_{1m}} s + \underbrace{(a_0 - k_p g_0)}_{a_{0m}} = s^2 + a_{1m}s + a_{0m}$$

- Forma matricial:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & -k_p & 0 \\ a_0 & 0 & -k_p \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ g_1 \\ g_0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{1m} \\ a_{0m} \end{bmatrix}$$

- Solução da equação *Diophantina*:

$$\begin{cases} a_1 - k_p g_1 = a_{1m} \\ a_0 - k_p g_0 = a_{0m} \end{cases} \Rightarrow \boxed{| \quad g_1 = \frac{a_1 - a_{1m}}{k_p}} \quad \boxed{| \quad g_0 = \frac{a_0 - a_{0m}}{k_p}}$$

- $\frac{G}{\Lambda} = \frac{\theta_2^*}{(s + b_m)} + \theta_n^* = \frac{\theta_2^* + \theta_n^*(s + b_m)}{s + b_m} \Rightarrow G = \underbrace{\theta_n^*}_{g_1} s + \underbrace{(\theta_2^* + \theta_n^* b_m)}_{g_0}$

- $\theta_n^* = g_1 \Rightarrow \boxed{| \quad \theta_n^* = \frac{a_1 - a_{1m}}{k_p}}$

- $g_0 = \theta_2^* + \theta_n^* b_m \Rightarrow \boxed{| \quad \theta_2^* = \frac{(a_0 - a_{0m}) - b_m(a_1 - a_{1m})}{k_p}}$

- $\text{grau}(F) = n - 2 = 0$

- $F = \Lambda - NH \Rightarrow F(s) = (s + b_m) - (s + b) \Rightarrow F(s) = b_m - b$

- $\theta_1^* = b_m - b$

- $\theta_{2n}^* = \frac{k_m}{k_p}$



**Example 10**

Classificação do sistema:  $n = 2$  (ordem)  
 $n^* = 2$  (grau relativo)  
 $n_p = 4$  (# de parâmetros)

Planta.....:  $y = \frac{k_p}{s^2 + a_1 s + a_0} u$

Modelo.....:  $y_m = \frac{k_m}{s^2 + a_{1m} s + a_{0m}} r$

- grau( $A_0$ ) =  $n^* - 1 = 1 \Rightarrow A_0(s) = s + \lambda_0$

★ grau( $\Lambda$ ) =  $n - 1 = 1$

- $\Lambda = N_m A_0 \Rightarrow \Lambda(s) = (s + \lambda_0)$

- grau( $H$ ) =  $n^* - 1 = 1 \Rightarrow H(s) = s + h_0$

- grau( $G$ ) =  $n - 1 = 1 \Rightarrow G(s) = g_1 s + g_0$

- Equação Diophantina:

$$HD - k_p G = D_m A_0$$

$$(s + h_0)(s^2 + a_1 s + a_0) - k_p(g_1 s + g_0) = (s^2 + a_{1m} s + a_{0m})(s + \lambda_0)$$

$$s^3 + (a_1 + h_0)s^2 + (a_0 + h_0 a_1 - k_p g_1)s + (h_0 a_0 - k_p g_0) = s^3 + (\lambda_0 + a_{1m})s^2 + (a_{1m}\lambda_0 + a_{0m})s + a_{0m}\lambda_0$$

- Sistema de equações lineares (3 equações & 3 incógnitas):

$$\begin{cases} a_1 + \textcolor{blue}{h}_0 = \lambda_0 + a_{1m} \\ a_0 + \textcolor{blue}{h}_0 a_1 - k_p \textcolor{blue}{g}_1 = a_{1m} \lambda_0 + a_{0m} \\ \textcolor{blue}{h}_0 a_0 - k_p \textcolor{blue}{g}_0 = a_{0m} \lambda_0 \end{cases}$$

A equação *Diophantina* pode ser escrita na forma:

$$(s + h_0)D - k_p G = D_m A_0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad h_0 D - k_p G = -sD + D_m A_0$$

Resultado:

$$h_0 s^2 + (h_0 a_1 - k_p g_1) s + (h_0 a_0 - k_p g_0) = (\lambda_0 + a_{1m} - a_1) s^2 + (a_{1m} \lambda_0 + a_{0m} - a_0) s + a_{0m} \lambda_0$$

★ Note que o termo  $s^3$  é cancelado.

- Forma matricial:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a_1 & -k_p & 0 \\ a_0 & 0 & -k_p \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} h_0 \\ g_1 \\ g_0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_0 + a_{1m} - a_1 \\ a_{1m} \lambda_0 + a_{0m} - a_0 \\ a_{0m} \lambda_0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} a_{1m} & 1 & a_1 \\ a_{0m} & a_{1m} & a_0 \\ 0 & a_{0m} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \lambda_0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

★ Note que existe uma regra de formação.

- Solução da equação *Diophantina*:

$$\begin{cases} h_0 = \lambda_0 + a_{1m} - a_1 \\ g_1 = [a_0 + a_1 h_0 - a_{1m} \lambda_0 - a_{0m}] / k_p \\ g_0 = [a_0 h_0 - a_{0m} \lambda_0] / k_p \end{cases}$$

ou melhor,

$$\begin{cases} h_0 = \lambda_0 - (a_1 - a_{1m}) \\ g_1 = [(a_0 - a_{0m}) + (\lambda_0 - a_1)(a_1 - a_{1m})] / k_p \\ g_0 = [\lambda_0(a_0 - a_{0m}) - a_0(a_1 - a_{1m})] / k_p \end{cases}$$

$$\bullet \frac{G}{\Lambda} = \frac{\theta_2^*}{(s + \lambda_0)} + \theta_n^* = \frac{\theta_2^* + \theta_n^*(s + \lambda_0)}{s + \lambda_0} \Rightarrow G = \underbrace{\theta_n^*}_{g_1} s + \underbrace{(\theta_2^* + \theta_n^* \lambda_0)}_{g_0}$$

$$\bullet \theta_n^* = g_1 \Rightarrow \boxed{\theta_n^* = \frac{(a_0 - a_{0m}) + (\lambda_0 - a_1)(a_1 - a_{1m})}{k_p}}$$

$$\bullet g_0 = \theta_2^* + \theta_n^* \lambda_0 \Rightarrow \boxed{\theta_2^* = \frac{-(a_0 + \lambda_0(\lambda_0 - a_1))(a_1 - a_{1m})}{k_p}}$$

- $\text{grau}(F) = n - 2 = 0$

- $F = \Lambda - NH \Rightarrow F(s) = (s + \lambda_0) - (s + h_0) \Rightarrow F(s) = \lambda_0 - h_0$

- $\theta_1^* = \lambda_0 - h_0 \Rightarrow \theta_1^* = a_1 - a_{1m}$

- $\theta_{2n}^* = \frac{k_m}{k_p}$



**Example 11**

Classificação do sistema:  $n = 3$  (ordem)  
 $n^* = 2$  (grau relativo)  
 $n_p = 5$  (# de parâmetros)

Planta.....:  $y = \frac{k_p(s + b_0)}{s^3 + a_2s^2 + a_1s + a_0}u$

Modelo.....:  $y_m = \frac{k_m}{s^2 + a_{1m}s + a_{0m}}r$

- grau( $A_0$ ) =  $n^* - 1 = 1 \Rightarrow A_0(s) = s + \lambda_0$

- $\Lambda = N_m A_0 = s + \lambda_0 \Rightarrow \text{grau}(\Lambda) = 1 \quad (?)$

★ Porém, sabemos que  $\text{grau}(\Lambda) = n - 1 = 2$

$\Rightarrow$  Aumentar o modelo para:  $M(s) = \frac{k_m(s + \lambda_1)}{(s^2 + a_{1m}s + a_{0m})(s + \lambda_1)}$  (!)

- $\Lambda = N_m A_0 \Rightarrow \Lambda(s) = (s + \lambda_0)(s + \lambda_1)$

- $\text{grau}(H) = n^* - 1 = 1 \Rightarrow H(s) = s + h_0$

- $\text{grau}(G) = n - 1 = 2 \Rightarrow G(s) = g_2 s^2 + g_1 s + g_0$

- Equação *Diophantina*:

$$HD - k_p G = D_m A_0$$

$$\begin{aligned} (s + h_0)(s^3 + a_2 s^2 + a_1 s + a_0) - k_p(g_2 s^2 + g_1 s + g_0) &= \\ &= (s^2 + a_{1m}s + a_{0m})(s + \lambda_1)(s + \lambda_0) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} s^4 + (h_0 + a_2)s^3 + (a_1 + h_0a_2 - k_p g_2)s^2 + (a_0 + h_0a_1 - k_p g_1)s + (h_0a_0 - k_p g_0) &= \\ &= s^4 + (\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 + a_{1m})s^3 + (\lambda_0\lambda_1 + a_{1m}(\lambda_0 + \lambda_1) + a_{0m})s^2 + \\ &\quad + (a_{1m}\lambda_0\lambda_1 + a_{0m}(\lambda_0 + \lambda_1))s + a_{0m}\lambda_0\lambda_1 \end{aligned}$$

- Sistema de equações lineares:

$$\begin{cases} h_0 + a_2 = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 + a_{1m} \\ a_1 + h_0 a_2 - k_p g_2 = \lambda_0 \lambda_1 + a_{1m}(\lambda_0 + \lambda_1) + a_{0m} \\ a_0 + h_0 a_1 - k_p g_1 = a_{1m} \lambda_0 \lambda_1 + a_{0m}(\lambda_0 + \lambda_1) \\ h_0 a_0 - k_p g_0 = a_{0m} \lambda_0 \lambda_1 \end{cases}$$

A equação *Diophantina* pode ser escrita na forma:

$$(s + h_0)D - k_p G = D_m A_0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad h_0 D - k_p G = -s D + D_m A_0$$

★ Note que o termo  $s^4$  é cancelado.

- Forma matricial:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_2 & -k_p & 0 & 0 \\ a_1 & 0 & -k_p & 0 \\ a_0 & 0 & 0 & -k_p \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} h_0 \\ g_2 \\ g_1 \\ g_0 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 + a_{1m} - a_2 \\ \lambda_0\lambda_1 + a_{1m}(\lambda_0 + \lambda_1) + a_{0m} - a_1 \\ a_{1m}\lambda_0\lambda_1 + a_{0m}(\lambda_0 + \lambda_1) - a_0 \\ a_{0m}\lambda_0\lambda_1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} a_{1m} & 1 & 0 & a_2 \\ a_{0m} & a_{1m} & 1 & a_1 \\ 0 & a_{0m} & a_{1m} & a_0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{0m} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_0\lambda_1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

★ Note que existe uma regra de formação.

- Solução da equação *Diophantina*:

$$\begin{cases} h_0 = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 + a_{1m} - a_2 \\ g_2 = [a_1 + a_2 h_0 - \lambda_0 \lambda_1 - a_{1m}(\lambda_0 + \lambda_1) - a_{0m}] / k_p \\ g_1 = [a_0 + a_1 h_0 - a_{1m} \lambda_0 \lambda_1 - a_{0m}(\lambda_0 + \lambda_1)] / k_p \\ g_0 = [a_0 h_0 - a_{0m} \lambda_0 \lambda_1] / k_p \end{cases}$$



**Example 12**

Classificação do sistema:  $n = 4$  (ordem)  
 $n^* = 1$  (grau relativo)  
 $n_p = 8$  (# de parâmetros)

Plant ..... :  $y = \frac{k_p(s^3 + b_2s^2 + b_1s + b_0)}{s^4 + a_3s^3 + a_2s^2 + a_1s + a_0} u$

Model ..... :  $y_m = \frac{k_m(s^3 + b_{2m}s^2 + b_{1m}s + b_{0m})}{s^4 + a_{3m}s^3 + a_{2m}s^2 + a_{1m}s + a_{0m}} r$

★ The model here is the *augmented model*.

## Solution

$$\theta_1^* = \begin{bmatrix} b_{0m} - b_0 \\ b_{1m} - b_1 \\ b_{2m} - b_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \theta_2^* = \begin{bmatrix} (a_0 - a_{0m})/k_p - \theta_n^* b_{0m} \\ (a_1 - a_{1m})/k_p - \theta_n^* b_{1m} \\ (a_2 - a_{2m})/k_p - \theta_n^* b_{2m} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\theta_n^* = (a_3 - a_{3m})/k_p, \quad \theta_{2n}^* = k_m/k_p.$$

★ Note that the **plant parameter** can be easily retrieved.

$$\begin{bmatrix} b_0 \\ b_1 \\ b_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{0m} \\ b_{1m} \\ b_{2m} \end{bmatrix} - \theta_1^*, \quad k_p = k_m/\theta_{2n}^*,$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix} = k_p \theta_2^* + k_p \theta_n^* \begin{bmatrix} b_{0m} \\ b_{1m} \\ b_{2m} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} a_{0m} \\ a_{1m} \\ a_{2m} \end{bmatrix}, \quad a_3 = k_p \theta_n^* + a_{3m}.$$

Matlab code for  $n^* = 1$  and any  $n$

Vector notation:

$$A_p = [a_{n-1} \ \dots \ a_2 \ a_1 \ a_0]$$

$$B_p = [b_{n-1} \ \dots \ b_2 \ b_1 \ b_0]$$

$$A_m = [a_{m_{n-1}} \ \dots \ a_{m_2} \ a_{m_1} \ a_{m_0}]$$

$$B_m = [b_{m_{n-1}} \ \dots \ b_{m_2} \ b_{m_1} \ b_{m_0}]$$

```
ks = kp/km;  
  
for i=1:n-1  
    k = n + 1 - i;  
    thetas(i) = Bm(k) - Bp(k);  
end  
  
thetas(n) = (Ap(1) - Am(1))/kp;  
  
for i=1:n-1  
    k = n + 1 - i;  
    thetas(n+i) = (Ap(k) - Am(k))/kp - thetas(n)*Bm(k);  
end  
  
thetas(2*n) = km/kp;  
  
thetas
```



## Verificação usando Matlab

### Example 13 4nd order plant.

Classificação do sistema:  $n = 4$  (ordem)  
 $n^* = 2$  (grau relativo)  
 $n_p = 8$  (# de parâmetros)

Planta.....:  $P(s) = \frac{0.3(s+2)^2}{s^4}$

Modelo.....:  $M(s) = \frac{1}{(s+1)^2}$

Filtro.....:  $\frac{1}{\Lambda(s)} = \frac{1}{(s+1)^3}$

Matching.....:  $\theta^{*T} = [-19 -21 -6 -30 27 75 60 3]$   
 $\|\theta^*\| = 119.37$

**Script:**

```
syms s
P = (1/3)*(s+2)^2/(s^4)
S = [1 ; s ; s^2]

theta1 = [-19 -21 -6]
thetan = -30
theta2 = [27 75 60]
theta2n = 3

%Filters
Lambda = (s+1)^3
F = (theta1*S)
G = (theta2*S) + thetan*Lambda

%Feedforward & feedback
Hfb = G/(Lambda - F)
Hff = theta2n*Lambda/(Lambda - F)

%Closed-loop transfer function
M = P*Hff/(1 - P*Hfb)

M = simplify(M)
pretty(M)
```

Result:

```
>> verification
```

```
M =
```

```
1/(s + 1)^2
```

$$\frac{1}{(s + 1)^2}$$



### 4.1.2 MATCHING EQUATION

Referência. [Tao:2003], (pag. 197)

A referência utiliza uma notação diferente:

$$\omega_1 = \frac{a(s)}{\Lambda} u$$

$$\omega_2 = \frac{a(s)}{\Lambda} y$$

$$a(s) = [1 \ s \ s^2 \ \dots \ s^{n-2}]$$

★ Note que com essa notação  $F(s)$  e  $G(s)$  são escritas como

$$F(s) = \frac{\theta_1^T a(s)}{\Lambda(s)} \quad \text{e} \quad G(s) = \frac{\theta_2^T a(s)}{\Lambda(s)} + \theta_n$$

Portanto,

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \theta_1^T \omega_1 + \theta_2^T \omega_2 + \theta_{20} y + \theta_3 r \\ &= \frac{\theta_1^T a}{\Lambda} u + \frac{\theta_2^T a}{\Lambda} y + \theta_{20} y + \theta_3 r \\ \Rightarrow (\Lambda - \theta_1^T a)u &= \theta_2^T a y + \Lambda \theta_{20} y + \Lambda \theta_3 r \end{aligned}$$

Da equação da planta, tem-se

$$\begin{aligned} Py &= k_p Z u \\ \Rightarrow (\Lambda - \theta_1^T a)Py &= k_p Z (\Lambda - \theta_1^T a)u \\ &= k_p Z (\theta_2^T a y + \Lambda \theta_{20} y + \Lambda \theta_3 r) \end{aligned}$$

Ou melhor,

$$[(\Lambda - \theta_1^T a)P - k_p Z(\theta_2^T a + \theta_{20}\Lambda)]y = k_p Z\Lambda\theta_3 r$$

$$\Lambda P \textcolor{blue}{y} + [-\theta_1^T aP - k_p Z(\theta_2^T a + \theta_{20}\Lambda)]y = k_p Z\Lambda\theta_3 r$$

$$[\theta_1^T aP + k_p Z(\theta_2^T a + \theta_{20}\Lambda)]y = \Lambda P \textcolor{blue}{y} - k_p Z\Lambda\theta_3 \textcolor{red}{r}$$

Como

$$P_m y_m = \textcolor{red}{r}$$

$$[\theta_1^T aP + k_p Z(\theta_2^T a + \theta_{20}\Lambda)]y = \Lambda P \textcolor{blue}{y} - k_p Z\Lambda\theta_3 P_m y_m$$

Quando  $\theta = \theta^*$ , temos que  $y = y_m$ , e assim

$$\theta_1^{*T} aP + k_p Z(\theta_2^{*T} a + \theta_{20}^* \Lambda) = \Lambda P - k_p Z\Lambda\theta_3^* P_m$$

Matching equation

Escolhemos:

$$\theta_3^* = \frac{1}{k_p}$$

Dessa forma, somente o lado direito contém  $\theta^*$ :

$$\theta_1^{*T} aP + k_p Z(\theta_2^{*T} a + \theta_{20}^* \Lambda) = \Lambda P - Z \Lambda P_m$$

Se:

$$P_m y_m = k_m Z_m \textcolor{red}{r}$$

$$[\theta_1^T a P + k_p Z (\theta_2^T a + \theta_{20} \Lambda)] k_m Z_m y = k_m Z_m \Lambda P y - k_p Z \Lambda \theta_3 k_m Z_m \textcolor{red}{r}$$

$$\Rightarrow [\theta_1^{*T} a P + k_p Z (\theta_2^{*T} a + \theta_{20}^* \Lambda)] Z_m = \Lambda P Z_m - \frac{k_p}{k_m} \theta_3^* Z \Lambda P_m$$

Podemos simplificar fazendo:

$$\Lambda = Z_m A_0$$

Resultado:

$$\theta_1^{*T} a P + k_p Z (\theta_2^{*T} a + \theta_{20}^* \Lambda) = \Lambda P - \frac{k_p}{k_m} \theta_3^* Z A_0 P_m$$

★ Note que  $Z(s)$  não foi cancelado.

## 4.2 CASO $n^* = 1$

Referência. [Tao:2003], (pag. 195)

Plant:

$$y = P(s)u$$

$$P(s) = k_p \frac{N_p(s)}{D_p(s)}$$

Reference model:

$$y_m = M(s)r$$

$$M(s) = k_m \frac{N_m(s)}{D_m(s)}$$

Output error:

$$e_0 = y - y_m$$

★ Without loss of generality,  $k_m = 1$ , thus

$$M(s) = \frac{N_m(s)}{D_m(s)}$$

## Basic assumptions

Prior available information regarding  $P(s)$ :

- (1) The order of the plant  $n$  is known <sup>(1)</sup>.
- (2) The relative degree  $n^*$  is known.
- (3)  $N_p(s)$  is Hurwitz, i.e.,  $P(s)$  is **minimum phase**.
- (4)  $\text{sign}(k_p)$  is known.

★ <sup>(1)</sup> Otherwise unicity of the solution cannot be assured.

★ Unicity is not mandatory for stability !

**Objective:** Find a control law  $u(t)$  such that

- The closed-loop system is stable and
- $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e_0 = 0$  for arbitrary initial conditions.

★ The reference signal  $r(t)$  is piece-wise continuous and uniformly bounded.

## Structure of the controller

State variable filters (SVF's):

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned}\dot{\omega}_1 &= A_f \omega_1 + b_f u & \omega_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \\ \dot{\omega}_2 &= A_f \omega_2 + b_f y & \omega_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}\end{aligned}}$$

★  $\Lambda$  is chosen such that  $N_m(s)$  is a factor of  $\det(sI - \Lambda)$ .

Regressor vector:

$$\boxed{\omega^T = [\omega_1^T \ y \ \omega_2^T \ r]} \quad \omega \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$$

Control law:

$$\boxed{u = \theta^T \omega} \quad \theta^T = [\theta_1^T \ \theta_n \ \theta_n^T \ \theta_{2n}] \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$$

Fact: There exists a unique  $\theta^{*T} = [\theta_1^{*T} \ \theta_n^* \ \theta_n^{*T} \ \theta_{2n}^*]$  such that

$$y = P(s)u^* = P(s)\theta^{*T}\omega = M(s)r$$

(Matching!)

Parameter error:

$$\tilde{\theta} = \theta - \theta^*$$

Control mismatch:




$$\tilde{u} = \tilde{\theta}^T\omega$$

## 4.2.1 ERROR EQUATION

Ref.: [Slotine & Li:1991], (pag. 345)

Simple derivation of the error equation:

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \theta^T \omega - \theta^{*T} \omega + \theta^{*T} \omega \\ &= \tilde{\theta}^T \omega + \theta_1^{*T} \omega_1 + \theta_n^* y + \theta_2^{*T} \omega_2 + \theta_{2n}^* r \\ &= \underbrace{\theta_{2n}^* \left[ r + \frac{1}{\theta_{2n}^*} \tilde{\theta}^T \omega \right]}_{\text{external signal } X} + \theta_1^{*T} \omega_1 + \theta_n^* y + \theta_2^{*T} \omega_2 \end{aligned}$$



From the figure, one has

$$y = P(s)[u] = M(s)[X] \Rightarrow \boxed{y = M(s)\left[r + \frac{1}{\theta_{2n}^*} \tilde{\theta}^T \omega\right]}$$

★ Recall that

$$\boxed{\frac{1}{\theta_{2n}^*} = k_p}$$

Error equation:

$$e_0 = y - y_m$$

$$= M(s)\left[r + k_p \tilde{\theta}^T \omega\right] - M(s)[r] \Rightarrow \boxed{e_0 = k_p M(s)[\tilde{\theta}^T \omega]}$$

The same error equation is obtained in the case of a more general control law

$$| \quad u = \theta^T \omega + v$$

- ★ This is the case, for example, when  $n^* = 2$ .

The derivation is similar:

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \theta^T \omega - \theta^{*T} \omega + \theta^{*T} \omega + v \\ &= \tilde{\theta}^T \omega + \theta_1^{*T} \omega_1 + \theta_n^* y + \theta_2^{*T} \omega_2 + \theta_{2n}^* r + v \\ &= \theta_{2n}^* \underbrace{[r + k_p \tilde{\theta}^T \omega + k_p v]}_{\text{external signal } X} + \theta_1^{*T} \omega_1 + \theta_n^* y + \theta_2^{*T} \omega_2 \end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$y = P(s)[u] = M(s)[X] = M(s)[r + k_p \tilde{\theta}^T \omega + k_p v]$$

Error equation:

$$\begin{aligned} e_0 &= y - y_m = M(s)[r + k_p \tilde{\theta}^T \omega + k_p v] - M(s)[r] \\ &= k_p M(s)[(\theta - \theta^*)^T \omega + v] \\ &= k_p M(s)[u - \theta^{*T} \omega] \end{aligned}$$

Error equation:

$$e_0 = k_p M(s) [u - \theta^{*T} \omega] + \varepsilon$$

- ★  $\varepsilon$  is an exponentially decaying term due to the initial conditions.

State space representation:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{e} = Ae + k_p B[u - \theta^{*T} \omega] \\ e_0 = Ce \end{cases}$$

- ★ The error vector  $e \in \mathbb{R}^{3n-2}$ .
- ★  $\{A, B, C\}$  is a non-minimal realization of  $M(s)$ .
- ★ The initial condition  $e(0)$  is responsible for the term  $\varepsilon$ !

Question: Non-minimal realization?  $e \in \mathbb{R}^{3n-2}$ ?




Figura 59: Non-minimal realization.

## 4.2.2 MEYER–KALMAN–YAKUBOVICH LEMMA

Hypothesis:  $M(s)$  is strictly positive real (SPR).

★ This is **fundamental** for the stability analysis (and implementation).

Lemma (Meyer–Kalman–Yakubovich)

If the system  $\{A, B, C\}$  is SPR then

$$\exists \begin{cases} P = P^T > 0 \\ Q = Q^T > 0 \end{cases} \text{ such that}$$

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} A^T P + PA &= -2Q \\ PB &= C^T \end{aligned}}$$

Ref.: [Tao:2003], (pag. 77)

Ref.: [Ioannou & Sun:1996], (pag. 126)

Sufficient condition for an SPR transfer function: alternate poles and zeros !


**Example 14** 3rd order model

$$M(s) = \left(\frac{15}{8}\right) \frac{(s+2)(s+4)}{(s+1)(s+3)(s+5)}$$

**Example 15**

## Step response of an SPR transfer function

Model:  $M(s) = \frac{k_m(s+z)}{(s+1)(s+2)}$ ,  $k_m = \frac{2}{z}$



**Figura 60:** Step responses for  $z = \{1, 1.2, 1.4, 1.6, 1.8, 2\}$ .

### 4.2.3 LYAPUNOV DESIGN

Set of equations:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{e} = Ae + \textcolor{violet}{k}_p B[\tilde{\theta}^T \omega], & e_0 = Ce \\ \dot{\tilde{\theta}} = ? \end{cases}$$

Lyapunov function:

$$2V(e, \tilde{\theta}) = e^T \textcolor{blue}{P} e + |\textcolor{violet}{k}_p| \tilde{\theta}^T \Gamma^{-1} \tilde{\theta} \quad \Gamma = \Gamma^T > 0$$

Time derivative:

$$\begin{aligned}
 2\dot{V} &= \dot{e}^T Pe + e^T P\dot{e} + |\mathbf{k}_p| (\dot{\theta}^T \Gamma^{-1} \tilde{\theta} + \tilde{\theta}^T \Gamma^{-1} \dot{\theta}) \\
 &= (Ae + \mathbf{k}_p B \tilde{\theta}^T \omega)^T Pe + e^T P(Ae + \mathbf{k}_p B \tilde{\theta}^T \omega) + 2|\mathbf{k}_p| \tilde{\theta}^T \Gamma^{-1} \dot{\theta} \\
 &= e^T A^T Pe + \mathbf{k}_p \tilde{\theta}^T \omega B^T Pe + e^T PAe + \mathbf{k}_p e^T PB \tilde{\theta}^T \omega + 2|\mathbf{k}_p| \tilde{\theta}^T \Gamma^{-1} \dot{\theta} \\
 &= e^T \left( \underbrace{A^T P + PA}_{-2Q} \right) e + 2\mathbf{k}_p \tilde{\theta}^T \omega B^T Pe + 2|\mathbf{k}_p| \tilde{\theta}^T \Gamma^{-1} \dot{\theta} \\
 &= -2e^T Qe + 2\mathbf{k}_p \tilde{\theta}^T \omega B^T Pe + 2|\mathbf{k}_p| \tilde{\theta}^T \Gamma^{-1} \dot{\theta}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dot{V} &= -e^T Qe + \mathbf{k}_p \tilde{\theta}^T \omega B^T Pe + |\mathbf{k}_p| \tilde{\theta}^T \Gamma^{-1} \dot{\theta} \\
 &= -e^T Qe + |\mathbf{k}_p| \tilde{\theta}^T \left[ \text{sign}(\mathbf{k}_p) \omega \underbrace{B^T Pe}_{Ce=e_0} + \Gamma^{-1} \dot{\theta} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

We choose the update law:

$$\dot{\theta} = -\text{sign}(\textcolor{violet}{k}_p) \Gamma \omega e_0$$

**Result.**

$$\dot{V} = -e^T Q e \leq 0$$

- $V(t)$  is monotone non-increasing, bounded above by  $V(0)$  and below by 0.
- $e, \tilde{\theta} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$
- Integrating  $\dot{V} \Rightarrow e \in \mathcal{L}_2$
- $r(t) \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \Rightarrow \dot{e} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$
- $\begin{cases} e \in \mathcal{L}_2 \\ \dot{e} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \end{cases} \Rightarrow e \rightarrow 0$

- Convergence of  $\tilde{\theta} \rightarrow 0$  requires the *persistent excitation* condition

$$\boxed{\int_t^{t+T} \omega(\tau) \omega^T(\tau) d\tau \geq \alpha I} \quad T, \alpha > 0, \quad \forall t \geq t_0$$

★ Note that  $\omega(\cdot)$  is an internal signal of the system.

#### 4.2.4 AUXILIARY ERROR

For convenience, define the auxiliary error

$$e_a = \text{sign}(\mathbf{k}_p) e_0$$

Then, the error equation can be rewritten as

$$\underbrace{\text{sign}(\mathbf{k}_p) e_0}_{e_a} = \underbrace{|\mathbf{k}_p| M(s)}_{\text{SPR}} [u - \theta^{*T} \omega]$$



$M(s)$  is SPR

$\Rightarrow$

$|\mathbf{k}_p| M(s)$  is SPR !

State space representation:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{e} = A_m e + B_m [u - \theta^{*T} \omega] \\ e_a = C_m e \end{cases}$$

where

$|k_p| M(s) = C_m (sI - A_m)^{-1} B_m$

- ★ The unknown term  $|k_p|$  is absorbed by the matrices  $\{A_m, B_m, C_m\}$ .

Since the system  $\{A_m, B_m, C_m\}$  is SPR then

$$\exists \begin{cases} P = P^T > 0 \\ Q = Q^T > 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \begin{cases} A_m^T P + P A_m = -2Q \\ P B_m = C_m^T \end{cases}$$

#### 4.2.5 LYAPUNOV DESIGN WITH $e_a$

Set of equations:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{e} = A_m e + B_m [\tilde{\theta}^T \omega], & e_a = C_m e \\ \dot{\tilde{\theta}} = ? \end{cases}$$

Lyapunov function:

$$2V(e, \tilde{\theta}) = e^T \textcolor{blue}{P} e + \tilde{\theta}^T \textcolor{blue}{\Gamma^{-1}} \tilde{\theta} \quad \Gamma = \Gamma^T > 0$$

★  $k_p$  disappears from the analysis!

Time derivative:

$$\begin{aligned}
 2\dot{V} &= \dot{e}^T Pe + e^T P\dot{e} + (\dot{\theta}^T \Gamma^{-1} \tilde{\theta} + \tilde{\theta}^T \Gamma^{-1} \dot{\theta}) \\
 &= (A_m e + B_m \tilde{\theta}^T \omega)^T Pe + e^T P(A_m e + B_m \tilde{\theta}^T \omega) + 2\tilde{\theta}^T \Gamma^{-1} \dot{\theta} \\
 &= e^T A_m^T Pe + \tilde{\theta}^T \omega B_m^T Pe + e^T P A_m e + e^T P B_m \tilde{\theta}^T \omega + 2\tilde{\theta}^T \Gamma^{-1} \dot{\theta} \\
 &= e^T \underbrace{(A_m^T P + P A_m)}_{-2Q} e + 2\tilde{\theta}^T \omega B_m^T Pe + 2\tilde{\theta}^T \Gamma^{-1} \dot{\theta} \\
 &= -2e^T Q e + 2\tilde{\theta}^T \omega B_m^T Pe + 2\tilde{\theta}^T \Gamma^{-1} \dot{\theta}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dot{V} &= -e^T Q e + \tilde{\theta}^T \omega B_m^T Pe + \tilde{\theta}^T \Gamma^{-1} \dot{\theta} \\
 &= -e^T Q e + \tilde{\theta}^T \left[ \omega \underbrace{B_m^T Pe}_{C_m^T e = e_a} + \Gamma^{-1} \dot{\theta} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

We choose the update law:

$$\dot{\theta} = -\Gamma \omega e_a$$

Result.

$$\dot{V} = -e^T Q e \leq 0$$

★ In fact, same result!

## Resumo do algoritmo

Subsistema	Equação	Ordem
Planta	$y = P(s) u$	$n$
Modelo	$y_m = M(s) r$	$n$
Erro	$e_a = \text{sign}(\textcolor{violet}{k}_p) (y - y_m)$	
Controle	$u = \theta^T \omega$	
$\Lambda$ -Filters	$\dot{\omega}_1 = A_f \omega_1 + b_f u$ $\dot{\omega}_2 = A_f \omega_2 + b_f y$	$n - 1$ $n - 1$
Regressor	$\omega^T = [\omega_1^T \ y \ \omega_2^T \ r]$	
Adaptação	$\dot{\theta} = -\Gamma \omega e_a$	$2n$

Ordem total do sistema:

$$N = 6n - 2$$

## 4.2.6 SIMULAÇÕES

**Example 16** 2nd order plant.

Classificação do sistema:  $n = 2$  (ordem)  
 $n^* = 1$  (grau relativo)  
 $n_p = 4$  (# de parâmetros)

Planta.....:  $P(s) = \frac{s+1}{s^2 - 2s}$

Modelo.....:  $M(s) = \frac{1.5(s+2)}{(s+1)(s+3)}$

Filtro.....:  $\frac{1}{\Lambda(s)} = \frac{1}{s+2}$

Matching.....:  $\theta^{*T} = [1 \ -6 \ 9 \ 1.5]$   
 $\|\theta^*\| = 10.9659$

Simulação #1 Condição inicial pequena &  $\theta(0) \approx \theta^*$ .

Condições iniciais.....:  $y(0) = 1$


$$y_m(0) = 0$$

$$\theta(0) = 0.95\theta^*$$

Parâmetros.....:  $\Gamma = 10 I$

Sinal de referência....:  $r = 2 + 5 \sin(t)$

★ Esta simulação mostra que a parte linear do sistema está correta !



**Figura 61:** Resultado da simulação com algoritmo MRAC direto.

(Script: `fig01.m`)

## Simulação #2 Condição inicial pequena.


Condições iniciais.....:  $y(0) = 1$

$$y_m(0) = 0$$

$$\theta^T(0) = [0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]$$

Parâmetros.....:  $\Gamma = 10 I$

Sinal de referência....:  $r = 2 + 5 \sin(t)$



**Figura 62:** Resultado da simulação com algoritmo MRAC direto.

(Script: `fig02.m`)

**Simulação #3** Condição inicial grande.


Condições iniciais.....:  $y(0) = 5$

$$y_m(0) = 0$$

$$\theta^T(0) = [0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]$$

Parâmetros.....:  $\Gamma = 10 I$

Sinal de referência....:  $r = 2 + 5 \sin(t)$



**Figura 63:** Resultado da simulação com algoritmo MRAC direto.

(Script: `fig03.m`)

Simulação #4   Idem com excitação por onda quadrada.


Condições iniciais.....:  $y(0) = 5$

$$y_m(0) = 0$$

$$\theta^T(0) = [0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]$$

Parâmetros.....:  $\Gamma = 10 I$

Sinal de referência....:  $r = 2 + 5\text{sqw}(\pi t/10)$



**Figura 64:** Resultado da simulação com algoritmo MRAC direto.

(Script: `fig04.m`)

**Example 17** 4th order plant.

Classificação do sistema:  $n = 4$  (ordem)  
 $n^* = 1$  (grau relativo)  
 $n_p = 8$  (# de parâmetros)

Planta.....:  $P(s) = \frac{0.1(s+2)^3}{s^4}$

Modelo.....:  $M(s) = \frac{1}{s+1}$

Filtro.....:  $\frac{1}{\Lambda(s)} = \frac{1}{(s+0.5)(s+1.5)(s+2)}$

Matching.....:  $\theta^{*T} = [-6.5 \ -7.25 \ -2 \ -50 \ 60 \ 175 \ 112.5 \ 10]$

$$\|\theta^*\| = 222.6658$$

$$\|\theta_{ff}^*\| = 14.1, \quad \|\theta_{fb}^*\| = 222.22$$

Simulação #1 Condição inicial nula &  $\theta(0) \approx \theta^*$ .


Condições iniciais.....:  $y(0) = 0$

$$y_m(0) = 0$$

$$\theta(0) = 0.99\theta^*$$


Parâmetros.....:  $\Gamma = 1 I$

Sinal de referência....:  $r = 3 + 10\text{sqw}(\pi t/10)$



**Figura 65:** Resultado da simulação com algoritmo MRAC direto.

(Script: **fig01.m**)



**Figura 66:** Resultado da simulação com algoritmo MRAC direto.

(Script: `fig01.m`)

**Simulação #2** Condições iniciais nulas. Ganho pequeno.


Condições iniciais.....:  $y(0) = 0$

$$y_m(0) = 0$$

$$\theta^T(0) = [0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0]$$


Parâmetros.....:  $\Gamma = 1 I$

Sinal de referência....:  $r = 3 + 10\text{sqw}(\pi t/10)$



**Figura 67:** Resultado da simulação com algoritmo MRAC direto.

(Script: `fig02.m`)



**Figura 68:** Resultado da simulação com algoritmo MRAC direto.

(Script: `fig02.m`)

### Simulação #3 Condições iniciais nulas. Ganho grande.


Condições iniciais.....:  $y(0) = 0$

$$y_m(0) = 0$$

$$\theta^T(0) = [0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0]$$


Parâmetros.....:  $\Gamma = 10 I$

Sinal de referência....:  $r = 3 + 10\text{sqw}(\pi t/10)$



**Figura 69:** Resultado da simulação com algoritmo MRAC direto.

(Script: `fig03.m`)



**Figura 70:** Resultado da simulação com algoritmo MRAC direto.

(Script: `fig03.m`)

## Simulação #4 Efeito da excitação contendo senóides.

Condições iniciais.....:  $y(0) = 0$


$$y_m(0) = 0$$

$$\theta^T(0) = [0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0]$$

Parâmetros.....:  $\Gamma = 10 I$


Sinal de referência....:  $r = 3 + 10\text{sqw}(\pi t/10) + S$

$$S = 2 \sin(0.5t) + 2 \sin(t) + 2 \sin(2t) + 2 \sin(3t)$$



**Figura 71:** Resultado da simulação com algoritmo MRAC direto.

(Script: `fig04.m`)



**Figura 72:** Resultado da simulação com algoritmo MRAC direto.

(Script: `fig04.m`)

Simulação #5 Idem com condição inicial grande.

Condições iniciais.....:  $y(0) = 10$


$$y_m(0) = 0$$

$$\theta^T(0) = [0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0]$$

Parâmetros.....:  $\Gamma = 10 I$

Sinal de referência....:  $r = 3 + 10\text{sqw}(\pi t/10) + S$

$$S = \sum \sin(.)$$



**Figura 73:** Resultado da simulação com algoritmo MRAC direto.

(Script: `fig05.m`)




Figura 74: Resultado da simulação com algoritmo MRAC direto.

(Script: `fig05.m`)

- ★ Note que  $\|\theta\|$  é praticamente constante para  $t > 50$ .  
No entanto,  $\|\theta_{ff}\|$  e  $\|\theta_{fb}\|$  variam.

Simulação #6 Idem com excitação por uma única senóide.


Condições iniciais.....:  $y(0) = 10$

$$y_m(0) = 0$$

$$\theta^T(0) = [0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0]$$


Parâmetros.....:  $\Gamma = 10 I$

Sinal de referência....:  $r = 3 + 5 \sin(t)$



**Figura 75:** Resultado da simulação com algoritmo MRAC direto.

(Script: `fig06.m`)



**Figura 76:** Resultado da simulação com algoritmo MRAC direto.

(Script: `fig06.m`)

## 4.3 CASO $n^* = 2$

**Problem:**  $M(s)$  cannot be chosen SPR.

**Solution:** (Monopoli multiplier)

Select a polynomial  $L(s)$  such that  $M(s)L(s)$  is SPR.

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{L(s) = (s + \ell_0)} \quad [\text{degree}(L) = 1]$$

**Example 18**

2nd order model.

$$M(s) = \frac{k_m}{(s+a)(s+b)}$$

$$L(s) = (s + \ell_0)$$

$$M(s)L(s) = \frac{k_m(s + \ell_0)}{(s+a)(s+b)}$$

Sufficient condition for SPR:

$$a \leq \ell_0 \leq b$$

★ Poles and zeros are alternated.

The idea.

The equation error can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} e_a &= \text{sign}(k_p)e_0 = |k_p| M \underbrace{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}^{-1}}_1 [u - \theta^{*T} \omega] \\ &= \underbrace{|k_p| M \mathcal{L}}_{\text{SPR}} [\mathcal{L}^{-1} u - \theta^{*T} \underbrace{\mathcal{L}^{-1} \omega}_{\xi}] \\ &= \underbrace{|k_p| M \mathcal{L}}_{\text{SPR}} [\underbrace{\mathcal{L}^{-1} u}_{\zeta} - \theta^{*T} \xi] \end{aligned}$$

Then, we select the control

$$\boxed{\zeta = \theta^T \xi} \Rightarrow \boxed{u = L(s)[\theta^T \xi]}$$


Filtered signal:

$$\boxed{\xi = L(s)^{-1}[\omega]} \quad (\text{Vector})$$

The equation error renders

$$e_a = \underbrace{|k_p| M L}_{\text{SPR}} [\theta^T \xi - \theta^{*T} \xi]$$

$$\Rightarrow e_a = |k_p| M L [\tilde{\theta}^T \xi]$$



**Figura 77:** Interpretation.

**Result:** The update law for  $\theta$  can be designed as in the case  $n^* = 1$  !

**Important:** The control  $u$  is easily implemented! (By *backstepping!*)

Recall that

$$\xi = \frac{1}{s + \ell_0} \omega \quad \Rightarrow \quad \dot{\xi} + \ell_0 \xi = \omega$$

The control  $u$  is

$$\begin{aligned} u &= (s + \ell_0) \theta^T \xi = (\dot{\theta}^T \xi + \theta^T \dot{\xi}) + \ell_0 (\theta^T \xi) \\ &= \dot{\theta}^T \xi + \theta^T (\underbrace{\dot{\xi} + \ell_0 \xi}_{\omega}) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \boxed{u = \theta^T \omega + \dot{\theta}^T \xi} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\dot{\theta}$  is available!

★ Note that no differentiator is used to obtain the control  $u$  !

### 4.3.1 LYAPUNOV DESIGN

The derivation of the update law is similar to the previous case.

Error equation:  $\Rightarrow$


$$e_a = \underbrace{|k_p| M L}_{\text{SPR}} [\tilde{\theta}^T \xi]$$

State space representation:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{e} = A_m e + B_m [\tilde{\theta}^T \xi] \\ e_a = C_m e \end{cases}$$

★  $\{A_m, B_m, C_m\}$  is a **non-minimal realization** of  $|k_p|M(s)L(s)$ .

★ The error vector  $e \in \mathbb{R}^{5n-2}$ .



**Figura 78:** Non-minimal realization with  $e \in \mathbb{R}^{5n-2}$ .

Since the system  $\{A_m, B_m, C_m\}$  is SPR then

$$\exists \begin{cases} P = P^T > 0 \\ Q = Q^T > 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \begin{cases} A_m^T P + PA_m = -2Q \\ PB_m = C_m^T \end{cases}$$

Set of equations:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{e} = A_m e + B_m [\tilde{\theta}^T \xi], & e_a = C_m e \\ \dot{\tilde{\theta}} = ? \end{cases}$$

Now, consider the Lyapunov function

$2V(e, \tilde{\theta}) = e^T P e + \tilde{\theta}^T \Gamma^{-1} \tilde{\theta}$

 $\Gamma = \Gamma^T > 0$

Time derivative:

$$\begin{aligned}
 2\dot{V} &= \dot{e}^T P e + e^T P \dot{e} + (\dot{\theta}^T \Gamma^{-1} \tilde{\theta} + \tilde{\theta}^T \Gamma^{-1} \dot{\theta}) \\
 &= (A_m e + B_m \tilde{\theta}^T \xi)^T P e + e^T P (A_m e + B_m \tilde{\theta}^T \xi) + 2\tilde{\theta}^T \Gamma^{-1} \dot{\theta} \\
 &= e^T A_m^T P e + \tilde{\theta}^T \xi B_m^T P e + e^T P A_m e + e^T P B_m \tilde{\theta}^T \xi + 2\tilde{\theta}^T \Gamma^{-1} \dot{\theta} \\
 &= e^T \left( \underbrace{A_m^T P + P A_m}_{-2Q} \right) e + 2\tilde{\theta}^T \xi B_m^T P e + 2\tilde{\theta}^T \Gamma^{-1} \dot{\theta} \\
 &= -2e^T Q e + 2\tilde{\theta}^T \xi B_m^T P e + 2\tilde{\theta}^T \Gamma^{-1} \dot{\theta}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dot{V} &= -e^T Q e + \tilde{\theta}^T \xi B_m^T P e + \tilde{\theta}^T \Gamma^{-1} \dot{\theta} \\
 &= -e^T Q e + \tilde{\theta}^T \Gamma^{-1} \left[ \Gamma \xi \underbrace{B_m^T P e}_{C_m e = e_a} + \dot{\theta} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

We choose the update law:

$$\dot{\theta} = -\Gamma \xi e_a$$

**Result.**

$$\dot{V} = -e^T Q e \leq 0$$

- ★ The previous regressor  $\omega$  has been replaced by  $\xi$ .
- ★ The dynamics of the filter  $L^{-1}(s)$  has been included.

From the above analysis, we have that

$$\omega \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$$

Since  $L^{-1}(s)$  is BIBO, then

$$\xi = \underbrace{L^{-1}(s)}_{\text{BIBO}} \underbrace{\omega}_{\mathcal{L}_\infty} \Rightarrow \xi \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$$

## Comments

- The control signal is modified! It is not only  $\theta^T \omega$  !
- Note that the extra term  $\dot{\theta}^T \xi \rightarrow 0$  as  $\theta \rightarrow \theta^*$ .
- The extra term acts only during the identification transient.

## Summary

Subsystem	Equation	Dimension
Plant	$y = P(s) u$	$n$
Model	$y_m = M(s) r$	$n$
Tracking error	$e_a = \text{sign}(k_p)(y - y_m)$	
Control law	$u = \theta^T \omega + \dot{\theta}^T \xi$	
SV filters	$\dot{\omega}_1 = A_f \omega_1 + b_f u$	$n - 1$
	$\dot{\omega}_2 = A_f \omega_2 + b_f y$	$n - 1$
Regressor	$\omega^T = [\omega_1^T \ y \ \omega_2^T \ r]$	
$\xi$ -Filter	$\dot{\xi} = -\ell_0 \xi + \omega, \quad \ell_0 > 0$	$2n$
Update law	$\dot{\theta} = -\Gamma \xi e_a$	$2n$

System total dimension:

$$N = 8n - 2$$

### 4.3.2 SIMULAÇÕES

**Example 19** 2nd order plant.

Classificação do sistema:  
 $n = 2$  (ordem)  
 $n^* = 2$  (grau relativo)  
 $n_p = 4$  (# de parâmetros)

Planta.....:  $P(s) = \frac{k_p}{s^2 - 2s}$

Modelo.....:  $M(s) = \frac{3}{(s + 1)(s + 3)}$

Filtros.....:  $\frac{1}{\Lambda(s)} = \frac{1}{s + 1}$

Polinômio.....:  $L(s) = s + \ell_0$

Matching ..... :  $\theta^{*T} = [-6 \ -21 \ 18 \ 3]$   
 $\|\theta^*\| = 28.4605$

Simulação #1 Condição inicial nula &  $\theta(0) \approx \theta^*$ .

Condições iniciais.....:  $y(0) = 0$

$$y_m(0) = 0$$

$$\theta(0) = 0.9\theta^*$$

Parâmetros.....:  $\Gamma = 1 I$

$$\ell_0 = 1$$

$$k_p = 1$$

Sinal de referência....:  $r = 2 + 5 \sin(t)$

★ Esta simulação mostra que a parte linear está correta.




Figura 79: Resultado da simulação com algoritmo MRAC direto.

(Script: `fig01.m`)

## Simulação #2 Condições iniciais nulas & ganho pequeno.

Condições iniciais.....:  $y(0) = 0$

$$y_m(0) = 0$$


$$\theta^T(0) = [0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0]$$

Parâmetros.....:  $\Gamma = 1I$

$$\ell_0 = 1$$

$$k_p = 1$$

Sinal de referência....:  $r = 2 + 5 \sin(t)$



**Figura 80:** Resultado da simulação com algoritmo MRAC direto.

(Script: `fig02.m`)

**Simulação #3** Condições iniciais pequenas & ganho pequeno.

Condições iniciais.....:  $y(0) = 2$

$$y_m(0) = 0$$

$$\theta^T(0) = [0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0]$$

Parâmetros.....:  $\Gamma = 1I$

$$\ell_0 = 1$$

$$k_p = 1$$

Sinal de referência....:  $r = 2 + 5 \sin(t)$




Figura 81: Resultado da simulação com algoritmo MRAC direto.

(Script: `fig03.m`)

## Simulação #4 Condições iniciais pequenas & ganho grande.

Condições iniciais.....:  $y(0) = 2$

$$y_m(0) = 0$$

$$\theta^T(0) = [0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0]$$

Parâmetros.....:  $\Gamma = 10 I$

$$\ell_0 = 1$$

$$k_p = 1$$

Sinal de referência....:  $r = 2 + 5 \sin(t)$




Figura 82: Resultado da simulação com algoritmo MRAC direto.

(Script: `fig04.m`)

## Simulação #5 Condição inicial grande & ganho grande.

Condições iniciais.....:  $y(0) = 10$

$$y_m(0) = 0$$

$$\theta^T(0) = [0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0]$$

Parâmetros.....:  $\Gamma = 10 I$

$$\ell_0 = 1$$

$$k_p = 1$$

Sinal de referência....:  $r = 2 + 5 \sin(t)$




Figura 83: Resultado da simulação com algoritmo MRAC direto.

(Script: `fig05.m`)

Simulação #6 Idem com excitação por onda quadrada.

Condições iniciais.....:  $y(0) = 10$

$$y_m(0) = 0$$


$$\theta^T(0) = [0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0]$$

Parâmetros.....:  $\Gamma = 10 I$

$$\ell_0 = 1$$

$$k_p = 1$$

Sinal de referência....:  $r = 2 + 5\text{sqw}(\pi t/10)$



**Figura 84:** Resultado da simulação com algoritmo MRAC direto.

(Script: `fig06.m`)

**Simulação #7** Efeito da escolha **inadequada** do ganho de adaptação.

Condições iniciais.....:  $y(0) = 2$

$$y_m(0) = 0$$

$$\theta^T(0) = [0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0]$$

Parâmetros.....:  $\Gamma = \text{diag}\{10, 0.01, 10, 10\}$

$$\ell_0 = 3$$

$$k_p = 1$$

Sinal de referência....:  $r = 2 + 5\text{sqw}(\pi t/10) + 5 \sin(t)$

★ A teoria garante estabilidade do sistema  $\forall \Gamma > 0$  !




Figura 85: Resultado da simulação com algoritmo MRAC direto.

(Script: `fig07.m`)

## 4.4 CASO GERAL $n^* > 1$

Problem:

- ★ The previous algorithm cannot be generalized to the case  $n^* > 2$ .
- ★ Monopoli multiplier trick fails.
- ★ The control  $u$  could not be implemented since  $\ddot{\theta}, \ddot{\dot{\theta}}, \dots$  are not available.
- ★ The update law is driven by a prediction error.
- The solution discussed in this section was developed in the 80's.

### 4.4.1 MÉTODO POR LYAPUNOV ( $n^* > 1$ )

Ref.: [Tao:2003], (pag. 204)

Plant:

$$y = P(s) u$$

Model:

$$y_m = M(s) r$$

Solution: Select a polynomial  $L(s)$  (Monopoli multiplier) such that

$M(s)L(s)$  is SPR

$$\text{degree}(L) = n^* - 1$$

Error equation:

$$e_0 = \textcolor{violet}{k_p} M [u - \theta^{*T} \omega]$$

★ The unknown  $\textcolor{violet}{k_p}$  will be estimated.  $\Rightarrow$  It cannot be absorbed by the model.

Then, we can rewrite the equation as:

$$e_0 = M \textcolor{blue}{L} \left[ \underbrace{\textcolor{violet}{k_p} (\underbrace{\textcolor{blue}{L}^{-1} u}_{\zeta} - \theta^{*T} \underbrace{\textcolor{blue}{L}^{-1} \omega}_{\xi})}_{\zeta} \right]$$

Filters:

$$\zeta = L^{-1} u \quad (\text{Scalar})$$

$$\xi = L^{-1} \omega \quad (\text{Vector})$$

Error equation:  $e_0 = ML \left[ \textcolor{violet}{k_p} (\zeta - \theta^{*T} \xi) \right]$

- ★ A prediction can be obtained from the estimates  $\rho$  and  $\theta$ .
- ★ An estimate  $\rho$  of  $\textcolor{violet}{k_p}$  is essential for the prediction.

Prediction:  $\hat{e}_0 = ML \left[ \rho (\zeta - \theta^T \xi) + \kappa \epsilon m_0^2 \right]$

$$\boxed{\kappa > 0}$$

- ★  $\kappa \epsilon m_0^2$  is a stabilizing term required to prove convergence of  $e_0$ .

★ Normalizing signal:  $m_0^2 = \xi^T \xi + \chi^2$

Definition:  $\boxed{\chi = \zeta - \theta^T \xi} = L^{-1}u - \theta^T L^{-1}\omega$

Tracking error:  $e_0 = ML \left[ \textcolor{violet}{k}_p (\zeta - \theta^{*T} \xi) \right]$

Prediction:  $\hat{e}_0 = ML \left[ \rho \chi + \textcolor{red}{\kappa \epsilon m_0^2} \right]$

Prediction error:

$$\epsilon = e_0 - \hat{e}_0$$

Dynamics of the prediction error:


$$\epsilon = e_0 - \hat{e}_0$$

$$= ML \left[ \textcolor{violet}{k}_p \left( \zeta - \underbrace{\theta^{*T} \xi}_{(\theta - \tilde{\theta})^T \xi} \right) - \rho \chi - \textcolor{red}{\kappa \epsilon m_0^2} \right]$$

$$= ML \left[ \textcolor{violet}{k}_p \tilde{\theta}^T \xi + \textcolor{violet}{k}_p \left( \underbrace{\zeta - \theta^T \xi}_{\chi} \right) - \rho \chi - \textcolor{red}{\kappa \epsilon m_0^2} \right]$$

$$= ML \left[ \textcolor{violet}{k}_p \tilde{\theta}^T \xi - \tilde{\rho} \chi - \textcolor{red}{\kappa \epsilon m_0^2} \right]$$

- ★ The block diagram shows the stabilizing term.



**Figura 86:** Stabilizing term.

State space representation:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{e} = Ae + B[k_p \tilde{\theta}^T \xi - \tilde{\rho} \chi - \kappa \epsilon m_0^2] \\ \epsilon = Ce \end{cases}$$

- ★  $\{A, B, C\}$  is a **non-minimal realization** of  $M(s)L(s)$ .

Since the system  $\{A, B, C\}$  is SPR then

$$\exists \begin{cases} P = P^T > 0 \\ Q = Q^T > 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \begin{cases} A^T P + PA = -2Q \\ PB = C^T \end{cases}$$

Lyapunov function:

$$2V(e, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\rho}) = e^T P e + |\textcolor{violet}{k}_p| \tilde{\theta}^T \Gamma^{-1} \tilde{\theta} + \gamma^{-1} \tilde{\rho}^2$$

Time derivative:

$$\begin{aligned} 2\dot{V} &= \dot{e}^T P e + e^T P \dot{e} + |\textcolor{violet}{k}_p| (\dot{\tilde{\theta}}^T \Gamma^{-1} \tilde{\theta} + \tilde{\theta}^T \Gamma^{-1} \dot{\tilde{\theta}}) + 2\gamma^{-1} \tilde{\rho} \dot{\tilde{\rho}} \\ &= (Ae + \textcolor{blue}{B} [\textcolor{violet}{k}_p \tilde{\theta}^T \xi - \tilde{\rho} \chi - \kappa \epsilon m_0^2])^T P e + e^T P (Ae + \textcolor{blue}{B} [\textcolor{violet}{k}_p \tilde{\theta}^T \xi - \tilde{\rho} \chi - \kappa \epsilon m_0^2]) + \\ &\quad + 2|\textcolor{violet}{k}_p| \tilde{\theta}^T \Gamma^{-1} \dot{\tilde{\theta}} + 2\gamma^{-1} \tilde{\rho} \dot{\tilde{\rho}} \end{aligned}$$

After rearranging the terms,

$$2\dot{V} = e^T \underbrace{(A^T P + PA)}_{-2Q} e + 2e^T P B \left[ k_p \tilde{\theta}^T \xi - \tilde{\rho} \chi - \kappa \epsilon m_0^2 \right] + 2|k_p| \tilde{\theta}^T \Gamma^{-1} \dot{\theta} + 2\gamma^{-1} \tilde{\rho} \dot{\rho}$$

Using the relation  $B^T Pe = Ce = \epsilon$ ,

$$\dot{V} = -e^T Q e - \kappa \epsilon^2 m_0^2 + |k_p| \tilde{\theta}^T \left[ \underbrace{\text{sign}(k_p) \xi \epsilon + \Gamma^{-1} \dot{\theta}}_{\text{sign}(k_p) \Gamma \xi \epsilon + \Gamma^{-1} \dot{\theta}} \right] + \tilde{\rho} \left[ \underbrace{-\chi \epsilon}_{-\chi \epsilon} + \underbrace{\gamma^{-1} \dot{\rho}}_{\gamma^{-1} \dot{\rho}} \right]$$

We choose the update laws

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dot{\theta} = -\text{sign}(k_p) \Gamma \xi \epsilon \\ \dot{\rho} = \gamma \chi \epsilon \end{array} \right.$$

which gives

$$\dot{V} = -e^T Q e - \kappa \epsilon^2 m_0^2 \leq 0$$

Result:

- $V(t)$  is monotone non-increasing, bounded above by  $V(0)$  and below by 0.
- $e, \theta, \rho \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$
- $e \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \Rightarrow \epsilon \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \quad (\text{since } \epsilon = Ce)$
- Integrating  $\dot{V} \Rightarrow e, \epsilon m_0 \in \mathcal{L}_2$
- $e \in \mathcal{L}_2 \Rightarrow \epsilon \in \mathcal{L}_2$
- $\dot{\theta} = -\text{sign}(\textcolor{violet}{k_p}) \Gamma \underbrace{\frac{\xi}{m_0}}_{\mathcal{L}_\infty} \underbrace{\epsilon m_0}_{\mathcal{L}_2} \Rightarrow \dot{\theta} \in \mathcal{L}_2$
- $\dot{\rho} = \gamma \underbrace{\frac{\chi}{m_0}}_{\mathcal{L}_\infty} \underbrace{\epsilon m_0}_{\mathcal{L}_2} \Rightarrow \dot{\rho} \in \mathcal{L}_2$

★ We cannot conclude that  $\dot{\theta}, \dot{\rho} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$ .

Technical difficulty : How to show convergence of  $e_0$ .

Problem:  $\boxed{\epsilon \in \mathcal{L}_\infty} \not\Rightarrow \boxed{e_0, \hat{e}_0 \in \mathcal{L}_\infty} !!$

Required steps for a demonstration:

- $y \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$  (hard part)
- $u \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$
- $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$
- $\chi \rightarrow 0$
- $e_0 \rightarrow 0$

## Problems of this algorithm:

- (1) It is not possible to assure that  $\tilde{\rho} \rightarrow 0$  even under PE!

Note that if  $\theta \equiv \theta^*$  then,

$$\begin{aligned}\chi &= \zeta - \theta^T \xi = L^{-1} \theta^T \omega - \theta^T L^{-1} \omega \\ &= L^{-1} \theta^{*T} \omega - \theta^{*T} L^{-1} \omega = 0\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\theta \equiv \theta^* \Rightarrow \chi \equiv 0 \Rightarrow$

$$\boxed{\dot{\rho} = 0}$$

- (2) The control law  $u(t)$  seems to play no role in the analysis !

It is selected as  $u = \theta^T \omega$ .

However, for any other selection, the analysis holds the same.

Ideally, the control should be  $u = L \theta^T \xi$ , but this cannot be implemented.

## Summary

Subsystem	Equation	Dimension
Plant	$y = P(s) u$	$n$
Model	$y_m = M(s) r$	$n$
Tracking error	$e_0 = y - y_m$	
Control law	$u = \theta^T \omega$	
SV filters	$\dot{\omega}_1 = A_f \omega_1 + b_f u$	$n - 1$
	$\dot{\omega}_2 = A_f \omega_2 + b_f y$	$n - 1$
Regressor	$\omega^T = [\omega_1^T \ y \ \omega_2^T \ r]$	
$\xi$ -Filter	$\xi = L^{-1}(s)[\omega]$	$2n(n^* - 1)$
$\zeta$ -Filter	$\zeta = L^{-1}(s)[u]$	$n^* - 1$

Subsystem	Equation	Dimension
Prediction	$\hat{e}_0 = M(s)L(s)[\rho\chi + \kappa\epsilon m_0^2]$ $\chi = \zeta - \theta^T\xi$ $m_0^2 = \xi^T\xi + \chi^2$	$n$
Prediction error	$\epsilon = e_0 - \hat{e}_0$	
Update law	$\dot{\theta} = -\text{sign}(k_p)\Gamma\epsilon\xi$ $\dot{\rho} = \gamma\epsilon\chi$	$2n$ $1$

System total dimension:

$$N = (2n + 1)(n^* - 1) + 7n - 1$$

**Warning:** The signal of  $\chi$  is swapped in [Tao:2003], (pag. 204) .

As a result, the sign of  $\dot{\rho}$  is also swapped.

★  $\xi$  may be obtained from much lower order filters.

## 4.4.2 REDUCED ORDER FILTERS

The order of the filters in the above table are:

SV filters	$\dot{\omega}_1 = A_f\omega_1 + b_f u$ $\dot{\omega}_2 = A_f\omega_2 + b_f y$	$n - 1$ $n - 1$
$\xi$ -filter	$\xi = L^{-1}(s)[\omega]$	$2n(n^* - 1)$
$\zeta$ -filter	$\zeta = L^{-1}(s)[u]$	$n^* - 1$

Total order:

$$N = 2(n - 1) + (2n + 1)(n^* - 1)$$

However, they can be implemented more efficiently.

**Example 20** Plant with  $n = n^* = 3$ .

In this case

$$\begin{cases} \Lambda(s) = s^2 + \lambda_1 s + \lambda_0 \\ L(s) = s^2 + \ell_1 s + \ell_0 \end{cases}$$

Recall that

$$\omega = \begin{bmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \omega_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_f \\ \dot{u}_f \\ y_f \\ \dot{y}_f \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\Lambda(s)} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ s u \\ y \\ s y \end{bmatrix}, \quad \omega_1 = \frac{1}{\Lambda(s)} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ s u \end{bmatrix}, \quad \omega_2 = \frac{1}{\Lambda(s)} \begin{bmatrix} y \\ s y \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\xi = \frac{1}{L(s)} \omega = \frac{1}{L(s)\Lambda(s)} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ s u \\ y \\ s y \end{bmatrix}, \quad \xi_1 = \frac{1}{L(s)\Lambda(s)} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ s u \end{bmatrix}, \quad \xi_2 = \frac{1}{L(s)\Lambda(s)} \begin{bmatrix} y \\ s y \end{bmatrix}$$

Define a 4th order filter

$$\frac{1}{H(s)} = \frac{1}{L(s)\Lambda(s)} = \frac{1}{s^4 + h_3s^3 + h_2s^2 + h_1s + h_0}$$

State-space realization:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\Omega}_1 = A\Omega_1 + Bu \\ \dot{\Omega}_2 = A\Omega_2 + By \end{cases}$$

where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -h_0 & -h_1 & -h_2 & -h_3 \end{bmatrix} \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Omega_1 = \frac{1}{L(s)\Lambda(s)} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ su \\ s^2 u \\ s^3 u \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Omega_2 = \frac{1}{L(s)\Lambda(s)} \begin{bmatrix} y \\ sy \\ s^2 y \\ s^3 y \end{bmatrix}$$

Then,

$$\omega_1 = \frac{L(s)}{H(s)} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ su \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \ell_0 & \ell_1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \ell_0 & \ell_1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \Omega_1, \quad \omega_2 = \frac{L(s)}{H(s)} \begin{bmatrix} y \\ sy \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \ell_0 & \ell_1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \ell_0 & \ell_1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \Omega_2$$

$$\xi_1 = \frac{1}{H(s)} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ su \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Omega_1, \quad \xi_2 = \frac{1}{H(s)} \begin{bmatrix} y \\ sy \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Omega_2$$

$$\dot{\xi}_1 = \frac{1}{H(s)} \begin{bmatrix} su \\ s^2 u \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Omega_1, \quad \dot{\xi}_2 = \frac{1}{H(s)} \begin{bmatrix} sy \\ s^2 y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Omega_2$$

$$\ddot{\xi}_1 = \frac{1}{H(s)} \begin{bmatrix} s^2 u \\ s^3 u \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \Omega_1, \quad \ddot{\xi}_2 = \frac{1}{H(s)} \begin{bmatrix} s^2 y \\ s^3 y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \Omega_2$$

The total order of the filters according to the table is

$$N = 2(n - 1) + (2n + 1)(n^* - 1) = 4 + 14 = \boxed{18}$$

The efficient implementation requires only

$\Omega$ -filters	$\dot{\Omega}_1 = A\Omega_1 + Bu$	$(n - 1) + (n^* - 1)$
	$\dot{\Omega}_2 = A\Omega_2 + By$	$(n - 1) + (n^* - 1)$

Total order:  $N = 2(n - 1) + 2(n^* - 1) = 4 + 4 = \boxed{8}$

### 4.4.3 SIMULAÇÕES

**Example 21** 3rd order plant.

Classificação do sistema:  $n = 3$  (ordem)

$n^* = 3$  (grau relativo)

$n_p = 6$  (# de parâmetros)

Planta.....:  $P(s) = \frac{k_p}{s^2(s + a)}$

Modelo.....:  $M(s) = \frac{k_m}{(s + 1)(s + 2)(s + 3)}$

Filtro de estado .....:  $\frac{1}{\Lambda(s)} = \frac{1}{(s + 1)^2}$

Polinômio.....:  $L(s) = (s + 1.5)(s + 2.5)$   $\left(\Rightarrow M(s)L(s) = \frac{k_m(s + 1.5)(s + 2.5)}{(s + 1)(s + 2)(s + 3)}\right)$

Simulação #1 Condições iniciais nulas &  $\theta(0) \approx \theta^*$ .

Condições iniciais.....:  $y_p(0) = 0$        $\theta(0) = 0.95 \theta^*$

$y_m(0) = 0$        $\rho(0) = 0.95 k_p$

$\hat{e}_0(0) = 0$

Parâmetros.....:  $k_p = 1$        $\Gamma = 10 I$

$a = 0.5$        $\gamma = 10$

$k_m = 6$        $\kappa = 1$

Sinal de referência....:  $r = dc + 5\text{sqw}(\pi t/11) + 2\text{sqw}(\pi t/3) + 2 \sin(t)$

Matching .....:  $\theta^{*T} = [-19.25 \ -5.5 \ -23.875 \ 17.875 \ 24.75 \ 6]$

$\|\theta^*\| = 44.033$

★ O transitório piora com  $a \rightarrow 0$ .




Figura 87: Resultado da simulação com algoritmo MRAC direto (caso  $n^* = 3$ ).

(`fig01.m`)




Figura 88: Resultado da simulação com algoritmo MRAC direto (caso  $n^* = 3$ ).


([fig01.m](#))

## Simulação #2 Condições iniciais pequenas.

Condições iniciais.....:  $y_p(0) = 2$        $\theta(0) = [0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]^T$   
 $y_m(0) = 0$        $\rho(0) = 0$   
 $\hat{e}_0(0) = 0$

Parâmetros.....:  $k_p = 1$        $\Gamma = 10 I$   
 $a = 0.5$        $\gamma = 10$   
 $k_m = 6$        $\kappa = 1$

Sinal de referência....:  $r = dc + 5\text{sqw}(\pi t/11) + 2\text{sqw}(\pi t/3) + 2\sin(t)$



**Figura 89:** Resultado da simulação com algoritmo MRAC direto (caso  $n^* = 3$ ).

(`fig02.m`)




Figura 90: Resultado da simulação com algoritmo MRAC direto (caso  $n^* = 3$ ).

(fig02.m)

### Simulação #3 Condições iniciais grandes.

Condições iniciais.....:  $y_p(0) = 10$        $\theta(0) = [0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]^T$   
 $y_m(0) = 0$        $\rho(0) = 0$   
 $\hat{e}_0(0) = 0$

Parâmetros.....:  $k_p = 1$        $\Gamma = 10 I$   
 $a = 0.5$        $\gamma = 10$   
 $k_m = 6$        $\kappa = 1$

Sinal de referência....:  $r = dc + 5\text{sqw}(\pi t/11) + 2\text{sqw}(\pi t/3) + 2\sin(t)$




Figura 91: Resultado da simulação com algoritmo MRAC direto (caso  $n^* = 3$ ).

(fig03.m)




Figura 92: Resultado da simulação com algoritmo MRAC direto (caso  $n^* = 3$ ).

([fig03.m](#))

#### 4.4.4 MÉTODO DO GRADIENTE ( $n^* > 1$ )

Ref.: [Tao:2003], (pag. 211)

Planta:

$$y = P(s) u$$

Modelo:

$$y_m = M(s) r$$

Controle:

$$u = \theta^T \omega$$

A equação do erro pode ser escrita como:

$$e_0 = k_p M(s) [u - \theta^{*T} \omega]$$

$$= k_p \left[ \underbrace{M u}_{\zeta} - \theta^{*T} \underbrace{M \omega}_{\xi} \right]$$

Filtros:

$$\boxed{\zeta = Mu}$$

$$\boxed{\xi = M\omega}$$

Portanto:

$$\boxed{e_0 = k_p [\zeta - \theta^{*T} \xi]}$$

★ Neste esquema  $k_p$  também será adaptado.

Utilizando estimas  $\rho$  e  $\theta$ , calculamos a predição:  $\hat{e}_0 = \rho \left[ \underbrace{\zeta - \theta^T \xi}_{-\chi} \right]$

Definindo o sinal:

$$\boxed{\chi = -\zeta + \theta^T \xi}$$

A predição é escrita como:

$$\boxed{\hat{e}_0 = -\rho \chi}$$

Erro de predição:

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon &= e_0 - \hat{e}_0 \\&= k_p [\zeta - \theta^{*T} \xi] + \rho \chi \\&= k_p [\zeta - (\theta - \tilde{\theta})^T \xi] + \rho \chi \\&= k_p \tilde{\theta}^T \xi + k_p [\underbrace{\zeta - \theta^T \xi}_{-\chi}] + \rho \chi \\&= k_p \tilde{\theta}^T \xi + \tilde{\rho} \chi\end{aligned}$$

★ Note que não é uma equação dinâmica.

As leis de adaptação são dadas por:

$$\dot{\theta} = -\frac{\text{sign}(k_p)\Gamma\xi\epsilon}{m^2}$$

$$\dot{\rho} = -\frac{\gamma\chi\epsilon}{m^2}$$

Onde:

$$m^2 = 1 + \xi^T\xi + \chi^2 \quad (\text{Sinal normalizante.})$$

## Análise de estabilidade

Considere a função de Lyapunov (parcial):

$$2V(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\rho}) = |\textcolor{violet}{k}_p| \tilde{\theta}^T \Gamma^{-1} \tilde{\theta} + \gamma^{-1} \tilde{\rho}^2$$

Derivando,

$$\dot{V} = -\frac{\epsilon^2}{m^2} \leq 0$$

**Teorema.** O algoritmo do Gradiente assegura que:

- $\theta, \rho \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$
- $\frac{\epsilon}{m} \in \mathcal{L}_2 \cap \mathcal{L}_\infty$
- $\dot{\theta} \in \mathcal{L}_2 \cap \mathcal{L}_\infty$
- $\dot{\rho} \in \mathcal{L}_2 \cap \mathcal{L}_\infty$

★ Lembrar que  $\mathcal{L}_2$  significa “quase convergência”.

Dificuldade técnica: Mostrar convergência de  $e$ .

Problema:

$$\epsilon \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$$



$$e, \hat{e} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$$

!!

Passos necessários para a demonstração:

- $e \in \mathcal{L}_2 \cap \mathcal{L}_\infty$
- $\xi \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$  (parte difícil)
- $\dot{e} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$

- Usando Barbalat,

$$e \rightarrow 0$$

## Problems of this algorithm:

- (1) It is not possible to assure that  $\tilde{\rho} \rightarrow 0$  even under PE!
- (2) The control law  $u(t)$  seems to play no role in the analysis !

## Resumo do algoritmo

Subsistema	Equação	Ordem
Planta	$y = P(s) u$	$n$
Modelo	$y_m = M(s) r$	$n$
Erro	$e = y - y_m$	
Controle	$u = \theta^T \omega$	
$\Lambda$ -Filters	$\dot{\omega}_1 = A_f \omega_1 + b_f u$	$n - 1$
	$\dot{\omega}_2 = A_f \omega_2 + b_f y$	$n - 1$
Regressor	$\omega^T = [\omega_1^T \ y \ \omega_2^T \ r]$	
$\xi$ -Filter	$\xi = M(s)[\omega]$	$2n^2$
$\zeta$ -Filter	$\zeta = M(s)[u]$	$n$

Subsistema	Equação	Ordem
Predição	$\hat{e} = \rho\chi$ $\chi = \theta^T\xi - \zeta$	
Erro de predição	$\epsilon = e_0 - \hat{e}_0$	
Adaptação	$\dot{\theta} = -\frac{\text{sign}(k_p)\Gamma\epsilon\xi}{m^2}$ $\dot{\rho} = -\frac{\gamma\epsilon\chi}{m^2}$ $m^2 = 1 + \xi^T\xi + \chi^2$	2n 1

Ordem total do sistema:

$$N = 2n^2 + 7n - 1$$

## 4.4.5 SIMULAÇÕES

(...)

# 5 LEAST-SQUARES MRAC

## Contents

---

5.1	Introduction	460
5.1.1	Motivation	461
5.2	Review of conventional MRAC	466
5.3	Modified MRAC design (M-MRAC)	467
5.3.1	Stability analysis	471
5.3.2	Summary of the M-MRAC	474
5.4	Least-squares MRAC design (LS-MRAC)	475
5.4.1	Stability analysis	478
5.4.2	Summary of the LS-MRAC	481
5.5	Simulation results	482
5.6	Conclusions	491

---

## 5.1 INTRODUCTION

Reference:

### 1. RAMON R. COSTA

*Lyapunov design of least-squares model-reference adaptive control.*  
IFAC 2020, Berlin, Germany, July 11-17, 2020.

### 5.1.1 MOTIVATION

This chapter is about the design of a model-reference adaptive control algorithm (MRAC) where a least-squares update law replaces the usual gradient law.

- The idea is not new.
  - It was introduced by [Goodwin & Mayne:1987]
- .
- ★ The least-squares algorithm has a vastly superior convergence rate.

- Designs of MRAC with least-squares.

For example:

- ★ [Sastry & Bodson:1989]
- ★ [Ioannou & Sun:1996]

The algorithms in these references do not require the state of the plant.

- There are many other results that do require the state of the plant .

What is new here?

- Here the update law is driven by the tracking error.  
Usually it is driven by some prediction error.
- The stability analysis is simple and elegant.  
It is very similar to the analysis of the conventional MRAC.
- There is no need to introduce normalization or projection.
- Remarkable improvement of the tracking error and parameter convergence.

The proposed solution is simple:

- (1) Apply the Monopoli's multiplier

This reduces the relative degree of  $M(s)L(s)$  to zero.

- (2) Decompose  $M(s)L(s)$  as a sum of an SPR transfer function and a direct term.

This direct term is the *trick* to assure an  $\mathcal{L}_2$  property for the parameter error.

- (3) Introduce a least-squares update law.

Possible thanks to the  $\mathcal{L}_2$  property.

## Assumptions

The prior available information regarding the plant  $P(s)$  is:

- (1) the order  $n$  is known
- (2) relative degree  $n^* = 1$
- (3)  $P(s)$  is minimum phase
- (4) the sign of the high frequency gain  $k_p$  is known

For the proposed least-squares MRAC,

- (5) a lower bound for  $k_p$  is known

## 5.2 REVIEW OF CONVENTIONAL MRAC

Control law :

$$u = \theta^T \omega$$

Update law :

$$\dot{\theta} = -\Gamma \omega e_a$$

### Parameter convergence

- If  $\tilde{u} = \tilde{\theta}^T \omega \approx 0$  then  $e_0 \approx 0$ .
- This is achieved when
  - $\tilde{\theta} \approx 0$  (good identification) OR
  - $\tilde{\theta} \approx \perp \omega$  (close to orthogonal).
- $\tilde{\theta}(t) \rightarrow 0$  requires *persistent excitation* condition.

## 5.3 MODIFIED MRAC DESIGN (M-MRAC)

1st step : Apply the Monopoli's multiplier.

The control law is now :

$$u = L(s)\theta^T \xi$$

$$u = \theta^T \omega + \dot{\theta}^T \xi$$

Polynomial :

$$L(s) = s + \ell_0 \quad , \quad \ell_0 > 0$$

Filtered signal:

$$\xi = L^{-1}(s)\omega$$

The error equation becomes

$$e_a = |\mathbf{k}_p| M(s) [\mathbf{L}(s) \theta^T \xi - \theta^{*T} \omega] = |\mathbf{k}_p| \underbrace{M(s) \mathbf{L}(s)}_{n^*=0} [\tilde{\theta}^T \xi]$$

★  $M(s)\mathbf{L}(s)$  can be decomposed as

$$M(s)\mathbf{L}(s) = \frac{s + \ell_0}{s + a_m} = \underbrace{\frac{\ell_0 - a_m}{s + a_m}}_{SPR \text{ if } \ell_0 > a_m} + 1 = \alpha M(s) + 1$$

Result,

$$e_a = \underbrace{\alpha |\mathbf{k}_p| M(s)}_{SPR} [\tilde{\theta}^T \xi] + |\mathbf{k}_p| [\tilde{\theta}^T \xi],$$

State space realization :

$$\begin{cases} \dot{e} = A_m e + B_m [\tilde{\theta}^T \xi] \\ e_a = C_m e + |\mathbf{k}_p| [\tilde{\theta}^T \xi] \end{cases} \quad (e \in \mathbb{R}^{5n-2})$$

Lyapunov function:  $2V = e^T e + \tilde{\theta}^T \Gamma^{-1} \tilde{\theta}$

Deriving,

$$\dot{V} = -e^T Q e + \underbrace{e^T P B_m}_{e_a - |k_p| [\tilde{\theta}^T \xi]} [\tilde{\theta}^T \xi] + \tilde{\theta}^T \Gamma^{-1} \dot{\theta}$$

After rearranging,

$$\dot{V} = -e^T Q e - |k_p| (\tilde{\theta}^T \xi)^2 + \tilde{\theta}^T [\xi e_a + \Gamma^{-1} \dot{\theta}]$$

Update law :

$$\dot{\theta} = -\Gamma \xi e_a$$

Result :

$$\dot{V} = -e^T Q e - |k_p| (\tilde{\theta}^T \xi)^2 \leq 0$$

Alternative analysis: Including  $|k_p|$  and recalling that  $B_m = B'_m k_p$ .

Lyapunov function:  $2V = e^T e + |k_p| \tilde{\theta}^T \Gamma^{-1} \tilde{\theta}$

Deriving,

$$\dot{V} = -e^T Q' e + \underbrace{e^T P' B'_m}_{e_a - |k_p| [\tilde{\theta}^T \xi]} |k_p| [\tilde{\theta}^T \xi] + |k_p| \tilde{\theta}^T \Gamma^{-1} \dot{\theta}$$

After rearranging,

$$\dot{V} = -e^T Q' e - |k_p|^2 (\tilde{\theta}^T \xi)^2 + |k_p| \tilde{\theta}^T [\xi e_a + \Gamma^{-1} \dot{\theta}]$$

Update law :

$$\dot{\theta} = -\Gamma \xi e_a$$

Result :

$$\dot{V} = -e^T Q' e - |k_p|^2 (\tilde{\theta}^T \xi)^2 \leq 0$$

### 5.3.1 STABILITY ANALYSIS

We can conclude that

- $e \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$  ,  $\tilde{\theta} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$
- $e \in \mathcal{L}_2$  ,  $\tilde{\theta}^T \xi \in \mathcal{L}_2$
- $\omega, \xi, \dot{\xi} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \Rightarrow \dot{e}, \dot{\theta} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$
- $u \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \Rightarrow$  Global uniform stability

Moreover,

- $e \in \mathcal{L}_2$  and  $\dot{e} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \Rightarrow \boxed{\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e(t) = 0}$

- $\tilde{\theta}^T \xi \in \mathcal{L}_2$  and  $\frac{d}{dt} \tilde{\theta}^T \xi \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \Rightarrow \boxed{\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\theta}^T \xi = 0}$

From the control law,

$$u = \theta^T \omega + \dot{\theta}^T \xi$$

- There is an extra degree of freedom for the control action.
- Now  $\tilde{u} \approx 0$  and  $e_0 \approx 0$  even with large  $\tilde{\theta}^T \omega$ .
- That is,  $\tilde{u} = \tilde{\theta}^T \omega + \dot{\theta}^T \xi \approx 0$  when  $\tilde{\theta}^T \omega \approx -\dot{\theta}^T \xi$
- $\tilde{\theta} \rightarrow 0$  requires persistent excitation.

## Rate of convergence

The error equation

$$e_a = M(s) \mathbf{L}(s) |\mathbf{k}_p| [\tilde{\theta}^T \xi] = \frac{s + \ell_0}{s + a_m} |\mathbf{k}_p| [\tilde{\theta}^T \xi]$$

can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} (s + a_m)e_a &= |\mathbf{k}_p|(s + \ell_0)[\tilde{\theta}^T \xi] \\ \Rightarrow \dot{e}_0 &= -a_m e_0 + \frac{d}{dt}(\mathbf{k}_p \tilde{\theta}^T \xi) + \ell_0 \mathbf{k}_p \tilde{\theta}^T \xi \\ &= -a_m e_0 + \mathbf{k}_p \dot{\theta}^T \xi + \mathbf{k}_p \tilde{\theta}^T \dot{\xi} + \ell_0 \mathbf{k}_p \tilde{\theta}^T \xi \\ &= -a_m e_0 - \mathbf{k}_p [\text{sign}(\mathbf{k}_p) \Gamma \xi e_0]^T \xi + \mathbf{k}_p \tilde{\theta}^T (\dot{\xi} + \ell_0 \xi) \\ &= -(a_m + |\mathbf{k}_p| \xi^T \Gamma \xi) e_0 + \mathbf{k}_p \tilde{\theta}^T \omega \end{aligned}$$

★ The rate of convergence of  $e_0 \rightarrow 0$  now depends explicitly on  $\Gamma$ .

### 5.3.2 SUMMARY OF THE M-MRAC

Subsystem	Equation	Order
Plant	$y = P(s) u$	$n$
Model	$y_m = M(s) r$	$n$
Track. error	$e_a = \text{sign}(k_p)(y - y_m)$	
$\Lambda$ -Filters	$\dot{\omega}_1 = A_f \omega_1 + b_f u$	$n - 1$
	$\dot{\omega}_2 = A_f \omega_2 + b_f y$	$n - 1$
Regressor	$\omega^T = [\omega_1^T \ y \ \omega_2^T \ r]$	
$\xi$ -Filter	$\xi = L^{-1}(s)[\omega], \quad L(s) = s + \ell_0$	$2n$
Control law	$u = \theta^T \omega + \dot{\theta}^T \xi$	
Update law	$\dot{\theta} = -\Gamma \xi e_a$	$2n$

System total dimension:

$$N = 8n - 2$$

## 5.4 LEAST-SQUARES MRAC DESIGN (LS-MRAC)

3rd step : Introduce least-squares update law.

Lyapunov function :

$$2V(e, \tilde{\theta}) = \gamma e^T P e + \tilde{\theta}^T R^{-1}(t) \tilde{\theta} \quad R(0) = R^T(0) > 0$$

The derivative is

$$\dot{V} = -\gamma e^T Q e - \gamma |k_p| (\tilde{\theta}^T \xi)^2 + \gamma \tilde{\theta}^T \xi e_a + \tilde{\theta}^T R^{-1} \dot{\theta} + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\theta}^T \dot{R}^{-1} \tilde{\theta}$$

Recall that

$$\frac{d}{dt} [RR^{-1}] = \dot{R}R^{-1} + R\dot{R}^{-1} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \dot{R}^{-1} = -R^{-1}\dot{R}R^{-1}$$

Thus,

$$\dot{V} = -\gamma e^T Q e - \gamma |k_p| (\tilde{\theta}^T \xi)^2 + \tilde{\theta}^T [\gamma \xi e_a + R^{-1} \dot{\theta}] - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\theta}^T \underbrace{R^{-1} \dot{R} R^{-1}}_{-\xi \xi^T} \tilde{\theta}$$

Update laws

$$\dot{\theta} = -\gamma R \xi e_a$$

$$R^{-1} \dot{R} R^{-1} = -\xi \xi^T$$

$$\Rightarrow \dot{R} = -R \xi \xi^T R$$

Result :

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V} &= -\gamma e^T Q e - \gamma |k_p| (\tilde{\theta}^T \xi)^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{\theta}^T \xi)^2 \\ &= -\gamma e^T Q e - \left( \underbrace{\gamma |k_p| - \frac{1}{2}}_{>0 \text{ if } \gamma > \frac{1}{2|k_p|}} \right) (\tilde{\theta}^T \xi)^2 \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Alternative analysis: Including  $|k_p|$  and recalling that  $B_m = B'_m k_p$ .

Lyapunov function:  $2V(e, \tilde{\theta}) = \gamma e^T P' e + |k_p| \tilde{\theta}^T R^{-1}(t) \tilde{\theta}$

The derivative is

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{V} &= -\gamma e^T Q' e - \gamma |k_p|^2 (\tilde{\theta}^T \xi)^2 + \gamma |k_p| \tilde{\theta}^T \xi e_a + |k_p| \tilde{\theta}^T R^{-1} \dot{\theta} + \frac{|k_p|}{2} \tilde{\theta}^T \dot{R}^{-1} \tilde{\theta} \\ &= -\gamma e^T Q' e - \gamma |k_p|^2 (\tilde{\theta}^T \xi)^2 + |k_p| \tilde{\theta}^T [\gamma \xi e_a + R^{-1} \dot{\theta}] - \frac{|k_p|}{2} \tilde{\theta}^T \underbrace{R^{-1} \dot{R} R^{-1}}_{-\xi \xi^T} \tilde{\theta}\end{aligned}$$

Update laws:

$$\boxed{\dot{\theta} = -\gamma R \xi e_a}$$

$$\boxed{\dot{R} = -R \xi \xi^T R}$$

Result :

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{V} &= -\gamma e^T Q e - \gamma |k_p|^2 (\tilde{\theta}^T \xi)^2 + \frac{|k_p|}{2} (\tilde{\theta}^T \xi)^2 \\ &= -\gamma e^T Q e - |k_p|^2 \left( \underbrace{\gamma - \frac{1}{2|k_p|}}_{>0 \text{ if } \gamma > \frac{1}{2|k_p|}} \right) (\tilde{\theta}^T \xi)^2 \leq 0\end{aligned}$$

### 5.4.1 STABILITY ANALYSIS

★ Stability condition:  $\boxed{\gamma > \frac{1}{2|k_p|}} \Rightarrow \dot{V}(e, \tilde{\theta}) \leq 0$

Therefore,

- $e \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$  ,  $\tilde{\theta}^T R^{-1} \tilde{\theta} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$
- $e \in \mathcal{L}_2$  ,  $\tilde{\theta}^T \xi \in \mathcal{L}_2$
- $\omega, \xi, \dot{\xi} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$

Boundedness of  $R$  and  $\theta$  are obtained as follows:

Since  $R(0) = R^T(0) > 0$ , then

$$\dot{R}^{-1}(t) = \xi \xi^T$$

By integrating,

$$R^{-1}(t) = \textcolor{red}{R^{-1}(0)} + \textcolor{blue}{J(t)} > 0, \quad t \geq 0$$

where

$$\textcolor{blue}{J(t)} = \int_0^t \xi(\tau) \xi^T(\tau) d\tau$$

Therefore,  $R^{-1}(t) > R^{-1}(0)$ , and so  $R(t) > 0$ ,  $\forall t \geq 0$ , and  $R \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$ .

This means that  $\dot{\theta}, \dot{R} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$ .

From the Lyapunov function,

$$2V = \underbrace{\gamma e^T P e}_{\in \mathcal{L}_\infty} + \underbrace{\tilde{\theta}^T R^{-1}(0) \tilde{\theta}}_{\in \mathcal{L}_\infty} + \underbrace{\tilde{\theta}^T J(t) \tilde{\theta}}_{\in \mathcal{L}_\infty}$$

- $V \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \Rightarrow \tilde{\theta}^T R^{-1}(0) \tilde{\theta} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \Rightarrow \tilde{\theta} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$

### Convergence

- $\dot{e} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \Rightarrow \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e(t) = 0$
- $\frac{d}{dt} \tilde{\theta}^T \xi \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \Rightarrow \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\theta}^T \xi = 0$
- Therefore, all signals are bounded  $\Rightarrow$  Global uniform stability

## 5.4.2 SUMMARY OF THE LS-MRAC

Subsystem	Equation	Order
Plant	$y = P(s) u$	$n$
Model	$y_m = M(s) r$	$n$
Track. error	$e_a = \text{sign}(\mathbf{k}_p)(y - y_m)$	
SV Filters	$\dot{\omega}_1 = A_f \omega_1 + b_f u$ $\dot{\omega}_2 = A_f \omega_2 + b_f y$	$n - 1$ $n - 1$
Regressor	$\omega^T = [\omega_1^T \ y \ \omega_2^T \ r]$	
$\xi$ -Filter	$\xi = L^{-1}(s)[\omega]$	$2n$
Control law	$u = \theta^T \omega + \dot{\theta}^T \xi$	
Update law	$\dot{\theta} = -\gamma R \xi e_a, \quad \gamma > \frac{1}{2 \mathbf{k}_p }$ $\dot{R} = -R \xi \xi^T R, \quad R(0) = R^T(0) > 0$	$2n$ $4n^2$

System total dimension:

$$N = 8n + 4n^2 - 2$$

## 5.5 SIMULATION RESULTS

Example 22 4th order plant.

System class:  $n = 4$  (order)

$n^* = 1$  (relative degree )

$n_p = 8$  (# of parameters)

Plant ..... :  $P(s) = \frac{(s + 2)^3}{3s^4}$

Model ..... :  $M(s) = \frac{1}{s + 1}$

SV filter ..... :  $\frac{1}{\Lambda(s)} = \frac{1}{(s + 0.5)(s + 1)(s + 1.5)}$

Matching ..... :  $\theta^{*T} = [-7.25 \ -9.25 \ -3 \ -12 \ 6.75 \ 22.5 \ 18.75 \ 3]$   
 $\|\theta^*\| = 34.6915$

Simulation #1 Result using the conventional MRAC.

Initial condition.....:  $y(0) = 10$


$$y_m(0) = 0$$

$$\theta(0) = 0$$

Parameters.....:  $\Gamma = 20 I$

Reference signal.....:  $r_{sin}(t) = 3 + \sin(t) + \sin(3t) + \sin(5t) + \sin(7t)$

★ ...



**Figura 93:** Conventional MRAC.  $r = r_{\sin}(t)$ .

**Simulation #2** Result using the M-MRAC.

Initial condition.....:  $y(0) = 10$

$$y_m(0) = 0$$


$$\theta(0) = 0$$

Filter.....:  $L(s) = s + 2$

Parameters.....:  $\Gamma = 20 I$

Reference signal.....:  $r_{sin}(t) = 3 + \sin(t) + \sin(3t) + \sin(5t) + \sin(7t)$

★ Note the fast convergence of the  $\tilde{u}$  signal.



**Figura 94:** M-MRAC.  $r = r_{\sin}(t)$ .

Simulation #3 Result using the LS-MRAC and  $r = r_{\sin}(t)$ .

Initial condition.....:  $y(0) = 10$

$$y_m(0) = 0$$

$$\theta(0) = 0$$

Filter.....:  $L(s) = s + 2$

Parameters.....:  $\gamma = 20$

$$R(0) = 20 I$$

Reference signal.....:  $r_{\sin}(t) = 3 + \sin(t) + \sin(3t) + \sin(5t) + \sin(7t)$

★ Note the fast convergence of the  $\tilde{\theta}^T \omega$ .




Figura 95: LS-MRAC.  $r = r_{\sin}(t)$ .

Simulation #4 Result using the LS-MRAC and  $r = r_{sqw}(t)$ .

Initial condition.....:  $y(0) = 10$

$$y_m(0) = 0$$

$$\theta(0) = 0$$

Filter.....:  $L(s) = s + 2$

Parameters.....:  $\gamma = 20$

$$R(0) = 50 I$$

Reference signal.....:  $r_{sqw}(t) = 3 + 10 \operatorname{sign}(\sin(0.1\pi t))$

★ In this case,  $\tilde{\theta} \rightarrow 0$ .

★ Note that the high adaptation gain assures a high sensitivity.




Figura 96: LS-MRAC.  $r = r_{sqw}(t)$ .

## 5.6 CONCLUSIONS

- Two algorithms are proposed and analysed:
  - Monopoli Modified MRAC (M-MRAC)
  - Least-squares MRAC (LS-MRAC)
- Both show remarkable improvement on the tracking error behavior.
- As expected, the LS-MRAC shows a superior convergence rate of the parameters.

# 6 COMBINED/COMPOSITE M-MRAC+LS ESTIMATOR

## Contents

---

6.1	Introduction	493
6.2	Review of the combined MRAC	498
6.2.1	First order case	498
6.2.2	Simulation	502
6.3	Review of the composite MRAC	503
6.3.1	First order case	504
6.3.2	Simulation	510
6.4	Review of the M-MRAC	511
6.4.1	Simulation	517
6.5	M-MRAC + LS estimator	520
6.5.1	Modified update law	523
6.5.2	Linear parametrization	524
6.5.3	Proposed LS algorithm	526
6.5.4	Design procedure	527
6.5.5	Simulation	536
6.6	Conclusion	539

---

## 6.1 INTRODUCTION

### References:

1. [Duarte and Narendra (1989)]
2. [Slotine and Li (1989)]
3. [Costa (2023)]

★ Combined OR composite?

- One combines 2 parameter estimates.
- The other uses 2 errors for the estimate.

★ The idea is not new.

Objective:

- Preserve the nice tracking property of the M-MRAC.
- Improve parameter convergence with an LS estimator.
- Remove the need to know an upper bound of  $|k_p|$  !

## Combined MRAC

- Proposed by [Duarte and Narendra (1989)]
- The algorithm employs 2 parameter estimators:
  - one for the control parameter  $\theta$  AND
  - one for the plant parameter  $\psi$
- The estimates  $\theta$  and  $\psi$  are combined to form a mismatch signal  $\Delta$ .
  - $\Delta$  is a nonlinear function of  $\theta$  and  $\psi$ .
- 2 gradient update laws are introduced:
  - one for  $\theta$  driven by the tracking error  $e_0$  and  $\Delta$ .
  - one for  $\psi$  driven by the prediction error  $\epsilon$  and  $\Delta$ .

## Composite MRAC

- Proposed by [Slotine and Li (1989)]
- The algorithm employs only 1 parameter estimator:
  - for the control parameter  $\theta$  OR
  - for the plant parameter  $\psi$
- The least-squares update law is driven by both errors:
  - tracking error  $e_0$  AND prediction error  $\epsilon$ .

## What is new here?

- We employ the M-MRAC algorithm which has better tracking properties.
- The proposed algorithm is a mixing of the previous approaches.
- Employs 2 estimators for the same control parameter:  $\theta$  AND  $\psi$
- The mismatch signal is linear in the parameters:  $\Delta = \theta - \psi$ .
- 2 update laws are introduced:
  - one gradient law for  $\theta$  driven by the tracking error  $e_0$  and  $\Delta$  ( $\rightarrow$  M-MRAC)
  - one least-squares law for  $\psi$  driven by the prediction error  $\epsilon$  and  $\Delta$
- The parameter  $\theta$  is forced to track the much better LS estimates  $\psi$ .

## 6.2 REVIEW OF THE COMBINED MRAC

### 6.2.1 FIRST ORDER CASE

Plant :  $\dot{y} = -a_p y + k_p u$

Model :  $\dot{y}_m = -a_m y_m + k_m r, \quad a_m > 0$

Error :  $e = y - y_m$

Regressor :  $\omega = [y \quad r]^T$

Control parameters estimate :  $\theta = [\theta_1 \quad \theta_2]^T$

Control law :  $u = \theta^T \omega$

Matching parameters :  $\theta_1^* = \frac{a_p - a_m}{k_p}, \quad \theta_2^* = \frac{k_m}{k_p}$

Plant parameters estimate :  $\psi = [\psi_1 \ \psi_2]^T$

Matching parameters :  $\psi^* = [a_p \ \textcolor{violet}{k}_p]^T$

Identification model :  $\dot{\hat{y}} = -a_i\epsilon + \psi_1 y + \psi_2 u, \quad a_i > 0$

Identification error :  $\epsilon = y - \hat{y}$

Algebraic relations :  $\psi_2^* \theta_1^* - \psi_1^* + a_m = 0$

$$\psi_2^* \theta_2^* - k_m = 0$$

Estimate errors (nonlinear) :  $\Delta_1 = \psi_2 \theta_1 - \psi_1 + a_m$

$$\Delta_2 = \psi_2 \theta_2 - k_m$$

Error equation :  $\dot{e} = -a_m e + \textcolor{violet}{k}_p \tilde{\theta}^T \omega$

Identification equation :  $\dot{\epsilon} = -a_i \epsilon + \tilde{\psi}_1 y + \tilde{\psi}_2 u$

Control update laws :  $\dot{\theta} = -\text{sign}(k_p)[\gamma_1 e\omega + \gamma_2 \Delta]$

Identification update laws :  $\dot{\psi}_1 = \kappa_1 \epsilon y + \kappa_2 \Delta_1$   
 $\dot{\psi}_2 = \kappa_1 \epsilon u - \kappa_2 \theta^T \Delta$

## Stability

The  $\Delta$  functions can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_1 &= \psi_2 \theta_1 - \psi_1 + a_m - (\psi_2^* \theta_1^* - \psi_1^* + a_m) \\ &= \psi_2 \theta_1 - (\psi_1 - \psi_1^*) - k_p (\theta_1 - \tilde{\theta}_1) \\ &= \theta_1 \tilde{\psi}_2 - \tilde{\psi}_1 + k_p \tilde{\theta}_1\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_2 &= \psi_2 \theta_2 - k_m - (\psi_2^* \theta_2^* - k_m) \\ &= \psi_2 \theta_2 - k_p (\theta_2 - \tilde{\theta}_2) \\ &= \theta_2 \tilde{\psi}_2 + k_p \tilde{\theta}_2\end{aligned}$$

Using the Lyapunov function

$$V = \frac{\gamma_1}{2\gamma_2} e^2 + \frac{|\kappa_p|}{\gamma_2} \tilde{\theta}^T \tilde{\theta} + \frac{\kappa_1}{2\kappa_2} \epsilon^2 + \frac{1}{\kappa_2} \tilde{\psi}^T \tilde{\psi}$$

we obtain

$$\dot{V} = -\frac{\gamma_1}{2\gamma_2} a_m e^2 - \frac{\kappa_1}{2\kappa_2} a_i \epsilon^2 - \Delta^T \Delta \leq 0$$

**Note:** Next assignment: Verify this proof!



**Note:** The notation adopted in [Duarte and Narendra (1989)] is:

$$\eta_a = \tilde{\psi}_1, \quad \eta_b = \tilde{\psi}_2, \quad \phi_\theta = \tilde{\theta}_1, \quad \phi_k = \tilde{\theta}_2.$$

## 6.2.2 SIMULATION

(...)

## 6.3 REVIEW OF THE COMPOSITE MRAC

(...)

Control update laws :  $\dot{\theta} = -P(t) \left[ \text{sign}(k_p) e \omega + \phi \epsilon \right]$

(...)

### 6.3.1 FIRST ORDER CASE

Plant :  $\dot{y} = -a_p y + k_p u$

Model :  $\dot{y}_m = -a_m y_m + k_m r, \quad a_m > 0$

Error :  $e = y - y_m$

Control parameter :  $\theta = [\theta_1 \ \theta_2]^T$

Control law :  $u = \theta^T \omega$

Error equation:  $e = k_p M(s) [\tilde{\theta}^T \omega]$

State-space realization:  $\dot{e} = -a_m e + k_p \tilde{\theta}^T \omega$

Linear parameterization:

$$\begin{aligned} e &= \cancel{k_p} M(s)[u - \theta^{*T} \omega] \\ &= \cancel{k_p} \underbrace{M(s)[u]}_{\zeta} - \cancel{k_p} \theta^{*T} \underbrace{M(s)[\omega]}_{\xi} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \underbrace{\frac{1}{\cancel{k_p}}}_{\theta_2^*} e = \zeta - \theta^{*T} \xi \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\zeta = \theta^{*T} \xi + \theta_2^* e = \theta^{*T} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 + e \end{bmatrix}}_{\phi} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \boxed{\zeta = \theta^{*T} \phi}$$

Prediction:

$$\hat{\zeta} = \theta^T \phi$$

Prediction error:  $\epsilon = \hat{\zeta} - \zeta = (\theta - \theta^*)^T \phi \quad \Rightarrow$

$$\epsilon = \tilde{\theta}^T \phi$$

Lyapunov function:  $2V = e^2 + |\mathbf{k}_p| \tilde{\theta}^T R^{-1} \tilde{\theta}$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{V} &= e\dot{e} + |\mathbf{k}_p| \tilde{\theta}^T R^{-1} \dot{\theta} + \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{k}_p| \tilde{\theta}^T \dot{R}^{-1} \tilde{\theta} \\ &= -a_m e^2 + \mathbf{k}_p \tilde{\theta}^T \omega e + |\mathbf{k}_p| \tilde{\theta}^T R^{-1} \dot{\theta} - \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{k}_p| \tilde{\theta}^T R^{-1} \dot{R} R^{-1} \tilde{\theta} \\ &= -a_m e^2 + |\mathbf{k}_p| \tilde{\theta}^T [\text{sign}(k_p) \omega e + R^{-1} \dot{\theta}] - \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{k}_p| \tilde{\theta}^T R^{-1} \dot{R} R^{-1} \tilde{\theta}\end{aligned}$$

We select

$$\boxed{\dot{\theta} = -R[\text{sign}(k_p) \omega e + \gamma \phi \epsilon]}$$

$$\boxed{\dot{R} = -R \phi \phi^T R}$$

Result,

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{V} &= -a_m e^2 - |\mathbf{k}_p| \tilde{\theta}^T [\gamma \phi \epsilon] + \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{k}_p| \tilde{\theta}^T \phi \phi^T \tilde{\theta} \\ &= -a_m e^2 - |\mathbf{k}_p| (\gamma \tilde{\theta}^T \phi \epsilon - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\theta}^T \phi \phi^T \tilde{\theta}) \\ &= -a_m e^2 - |\mathbf{k}_p| \left(\gamma - \frac{1}{2}\right) \epsilon^2\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if  $\gamma > \frac{1}{2}$ ,

$$\dot{V} = -a_m e^2 - |k_p| \left( \gamma - \frac{1}{2} \right) \epsilon^2 \leq 0$$

- ★ Note that the algorithm does not require any a priori knowledge regarding  $|k_p|$ .



## Summary of the algorithm

Subsystem	Equation	Order
Plant	$y = P(s) u$	$n$
Model	$y_m = M(s) r$	$n$
Error	$e = y - y_m$	
Control	$u = \theta^T \omega$	
$\Lambda$ -Filters	$\dot{\omega}_1 = A_f \omega_1 + b_f u$	$n - 1$
	$\dot{\omega}_2 = A_f \omega_2 + b_f y$	$n - 1$
Regressor	$\omega^T = [\omega_1^T \ y \ \omega_2^T \ r]$	
$\xi$ -Filter	$\xi = M(s)[\omega]$	$2n^2$
$\zeta$ -Filter	$\zeta = M(s)[u]$	$n$

Subsystem	Equation	Order
Prediction	$\hat{\zeta} = \theta^T \phi$ $\phi = \xi + [\mathbf{0} \ 1]^T e$	
Prediction error	$\epsilon = \hat{\zeta} - \zeta$	
Update laws	$\dot{\theta} = -R[\text{sign}(k_p)\omega e + \gamma \phi \epsilon]$ $\dot{R} = -R\phi\phi^T R$	$2n$ $n^2$

Order of the system:

$$N = 6n - 2$$

### 6.3.2 SIMULATION

(...)

## 6.4 REVIEW OF THE M-MRAC


The M-MRAC algorithm was recently introduced in [Costa 2020]

- ★ This is the same algorithm used for the plant with  $n^* = 2$ .
- ★ Main feature: Remarkable tracking properties.

Ref.: *Lyapunov design of least-squares model-reference adaptive control*

Ramon R. Costa, IFAC 2020, Berlin, Germany

## Block diagram: The M-MRAC algorithm



Required knowledge regarding the plant:

- (1) the order  $n$  is known,
- (2) the relative degree  $n^* = 1$ ,
- (3) the zeros are stable, and
- (4)  $\text{sign}(k_p)$  is known.

★ There is no need to know an upper bound of  $|k_p|$  !

The control law is defined as

$$u = \theta^T \omega + \dot{\theta}^T \xi = L(s) [\theta^T \xi]$$

where

$$L(s) = s + \ell_0$$

(Monopoli multiplier)

$$\xi = L^{-1}(s)[\omega]$$

(filtered signal)

The error equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} e_0 = k_p M(s)[u - \theta^{*T} \omega] \quad \Rightarrow \quad e_a &= |k_p| \underbrace{M(s)L(s)}_{(\ell_0 - a_m)M(s)+1} \underbrace{[(\theta - \theta^*)^T \tilde{\theta}]}_{\tilde{\theta}} \underbrace{L(s)\xi}_{\xi} \\ &= \underbrace{(\ell_0 - a_m)}_{\text{SPR if } \alpha > 0} |k_p| M(s) [\tilde{\theta}^T \xi] + |k_p| [\tilde{\theta}^T \xi] \end{aligned}$$

## Features

(1) The control law has a high-gain feedback

$$u = \theta^T \omega + \dot{\theta}^T \xi = \theta^T \omega - \underbrace{\xi^T \Gamma \xi}_{\text{high-gain}} e_a$$

(2) As a result, the error dynamics become

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{e}_a &= -a_m e_a + \frac{d}{dt}(|k_p| \tilde{\theta}^T \xi) + \ell_0 |k_p| \tilde{\theta}^T \xi \\ &= -a_m e_a + |k_p| \dot{\theta}^T \xi + |k_p| \tilde{\theta}^T \dot{\xi} + \ell_0 |k_p| \tilde{\theta}^T \xi \\ &= -a_m e_a - |k_p| (\Gamma \xi e_a)^T \xi + |k_p| \tilde{\theta}^T (\dot{\xi} + \ell_0 \xi) \\ &= \underbrace{-(a_m + |k_p| \xi^T \Gamma \xi)}_{\text{fast dynamics}} e_a + |k_p| \tilde{\theta}^T \omega\end{aligned}$$

(3) For the conventional MRAC

$$\tilde{u} = \underbrace{u - \theta^{*T} \omega}_{\tilde{\theta}^T \omega} \approx 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{cases} \tilde{\theta} \approx 0 \\ \tilde{\theta} \text{ and } \omega \text{ are } \approx \perp \end{cases}$$

However, for the M-MRAC

$$\tilde{u} = \tilde{\theta}^T \omega + \dot{\theta}^T \xi \approx 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \boxed{\tilde{\theta}^T \omega \approx -\dot{\theta}^T \xi}$$

★  $\tilde{u} \approx 0$  and  $e_a \approx 0$  even with large  $\tilde{\theta}$  or large  $\tilde{\theta}^T \omega$ .

## 6.4.1 SIMULATION

Data used in this simulation:

$$\boxed{P(s) = \frac{(s + 2)^3}{3s^4}, \quad y(0) = 2}$$


$$\Gamma = 50 I$$

$$r(t) = 3 + \sin(1t) + \sin(1.3t) + \sin(2.5t) + \sin(3.2t)$$

Matching parameter:

$$\theta^{*T} = [-7.25 \ -9.25 \ -3 \ -12 \ 6.75 \ 22.5 \ 18.75 \ 3]$$

$$\|\theta^*\| = 34.7$$




For  $t \geq 25 \rightarrow \theta_4(t) \equiv 0$

For  $t \geq 50 \rightarrow \Gamma = 200 I$

★ This simulation illustrates the properties of the M-MRAC algorithm.

## Reference signals



**Figura 97:** Reference signals: Square, triangular, and parabolic waves.


## 6.5 M-MRAC + LS ESTIMATOR

Idea: Since  $\tilde{u} \approx 0$ , then

$$u = \theta^{*T} \omega + \tilde{u} \quad \Rightarrow \quad u \approx \theta^{*T} \omega$$

Then, we can apply a LS algorithm to estimate  $\theta^*$  from  $u$ .

## Block diagram of the M-MRAC with LS



★  $\psi$  is a 2nd estimate of  $\theta^*$ .

**Problem:** Design a stable algorithm.

Recall the error equation

$$e_a = \alpha |\mathbf{k}_p| M(s) [\tilde{\theta}^T \xi] + |\mathbf{k}_p| [\tilde{\theta}^T \xi]$$

The total order of the system composed by the plant and filters is  $5n - 2$ .

Non-minimal state space realization:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{e} = A_m e + B_m [\tilde{\theta}^T \xi] \\ e_a = C_m e + |\mathbf{k}_p| [\tilde{\theta}^T \xi], \quad e \in \mathbb{R}^{5n-2} \end{cases}$$

**MKY lemma:** The system  $\{A_m, B_m, C_m\}$  is SPR then

$$\exists \begin{cases} P = P^T > 0 \\ Q = Q^T > 0 \end{cases} \text{ such that}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_m^T P + P A_m &= -2Q \\ P B_m &= C_m^T \end{aligned}$$

### 6.5.1 MODIFIED UPDATE LAW

The LS estimate  $\psi$  is included in the update law of  $\theta$  as

$$\dot{\theta} = -\Gamma \xi e_a - \sigma \Delta \quad \Gamma = \Gamma^T > 0, \quad \sigma > 0$$

where

$$\Delta = \theta - \psi \quad (\text{Parameters mismatch})$$

For  $e_a \equiv 0$ ,

$$\dot{\theta} = -\sigma(\theta - \psi) \Rightarrow \theta = \frac{1}{(1/\sigma)s + 1}\psi$$

- ★ That is,  $\theta$  tracks  $\psi$  with a time constant  $1/\sigma$ .

## 6.5.2 LINEAR PARAMETRIZATION

★ Introduced in [Sastry & Bodson 1989].

The error equation is rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{k_p} e_0 = M(s)[u] - \theta^{*T} M(s)[\omega]$$

Applying  $M^{-1}(s)L^{-1}(s)$  to both sides, yields

$$\underbrace{\frac{1}{k_p} M^{-1} L^{-1}[e_0]}_{\theta_{2n}} = \underbrace{L^{-1}[u]}_{\zeta} - \theta^{*T} \underbrace{L^{-1}[\omega]}_{\xi}$$

that is

$$\zeta = \theta^{*T} \xi + \theta_{2n}^* \underbrace{M^{-1} L^{-1}[e_0]}_{\text{ }}$$

Using the decomposition

$$M^{-1}(s)L^{-1}(s) = -\underbrace{(\ell_0 - a_m)}_{\alpha} L^{-1}(s) + 1$$

leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta &= \theta^{*T} \xi + \theta_{2n}^* \underbrace{M^{-1}L^{-1}}_{\alpha}[e_0] \\ &= \theta^{*T} \xi + \theta_{2n}^* (1 - \alpha L^{-1})[e_0] \\ &= \theta^{*T} \xi + \theta_{2n}^* (e_0 - \alpha \varphi) \\ &= \theta^{*T} \left( \xi + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ e_0 - \alpha \varphi \end{bmatrix} \right) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \boxed{\zeta = \theta^{*T} \phi}\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\boxed{\varphi = L^{-1}(s)[e_0]} \quad (\text{Filtered signal})$$

$$\boxed{\phi = \xi + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ e_0 - \alpha \varphi \end{bmatrix}} \quad (\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n-1})$$

### 6.5.3 PROPOSED LS ALGORITHM

New estimate :  $\psi$

Prediction :  $\hat{\zeta} = \psi^T \phi$

Prediction error :  $\varepsilon = \zeta - \hat{\zeta} = (\psi - \theta^*)^T \phi = \underbrace{\tilde{\psi}^T}_{\text{underbrace}} \phi$

$$\dot{\psi} = -R \left( \frac{\tau \phi \varepsilon}{m^2} - \frac{\sigma}{\beta} \Gamma^{-1} \Delta \right) \quad \tau \geq \frac{1}{2}, \quad \beta > 0$$

$$\dot{R} = -\frac{R \phi \phi^T R}{m^2} \quad R(0) = R^T(0) > 0$$

$$m^2 = 1 + \kappa \phi^T R \phi \quad \kappa > 0$$

## 6.5.4 DESIGN PROCEDURE

Lyapunov function

$$2V(e, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\psi}) = e^T Pe + \tilde{\theta}^T \Gamma^{-1} \tilde{\theta} + \beta \tilde{\psi}^T R^{-1}(t) \tilde{\psi}$$

The derivative of  $V$  is given by

$$\dot{V} = -e^T Q e + \underbrace{e^T P B_m}_{\text{blue}} \tilde{\theta}^T \xi + \tilde{\theta}^T \Gamma^{-1} \dot{\theta} + \beta \tilde{\psi}^T R^{-1} \dot{\psi} - \frac{1}{2} \beta \tilde{\psi}^T \underbrace{R^{-1} \dot{R} R^{-1}}_{\dot{R}^{-1}} \tilde{\psi}$$

However,

$$e^T P B_m = e^T C_m^T = e_a - |k_p| [\tilde{\theta}^T \xi]$$

Therefore,

$$\dot{V} = -e^T Q e - |k_p| (\tilde{\theta}^T \xi)^2 + \tilde{\theta}^T [\xi e_a + \Gamma^{-1} \dot{\theta}] + \beta \tilde{\psi}^T R^{-1} \dot{\psi} - \frac{1}{2} \beta \tilde{\psi}^T \dot{R} R^{-1} \tilde{\psi}$$

Using the update laws  $\dot{\theta}$ ,  $\dot{\psi}$ , and  $\dot{R}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{V} &= -e^T Q e - |k_p| (\tilde{\theta}^T \xi)^2 - \sigma \tilde{\theta}^T \Gamma^{-1} \Delta - \beta \tilde{\psi}^T \left( \frac{\tau \phi \varepsilon}{m^2} - \frac{\sigma}{\beta} \Gamma^{-1} \Delta \right) + \frac{1}{2} \beta \tilde{\psi}^T \frac{\phi \phi^T}{m^2} \tilde{\psi} \\ &= -e^T Q e - |k_p| (\tilde{\theta}^T \xi)^2 - \underbrace{\sigma \tilde{\theta}^T \Gamma^{-1} \Delta + \sigma \tilde{\psi}^T \Gamma^{-1} \Delta}_{-\frac{\beta \tau}{m^2} \tilde{\psi}^T \phi \underbrace{\phi^T \tilde{\psi}}_{\varepsilon}} + \frac{\beta}{2m^2} \tilde{\psi}^T \phi \phi^T \tilde{\psi} \\ &= -e^T Q e - |k_p| (\tilde{\theta}^T \xi)^2 - \sigma (\tilde{\theta} - \tilde{\psi})^T \Gamma^{-1} \Delta - \frac{\beta}{2m^2} (2\tau - 1) (\tilde{\psi}^T \phi)^2\end{aligned}$$

However,

$$\Delta = \theta - \psi = (\tilde{\theta} + \theta^*) - (\tilde{\psi} + \theta^*) \Rightarrow \boxed{\Delta = \tilde{\theta} - \tilde{\psi}}$$

Thus,

$$\dot{V} = -e^T Q e - |k_p| (\tilde{\theta}^T \xi)^2 - \sigma \Delta^T \Gamma^{-1} \Delta - \frac{\beta}{2m^2} (2\tau - 1) \varepsilon^2$$

## Stability analysis

★ Stability condition:  $\boxed{\tau > \frac{1}{2}} \Rightarrow \dot{V}(e, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\psi}) \leq 0$

Therefore,

- $\dot{V}(e, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\psi}) \leq 0 \Rightarrow e, \theta \in \mathcal{L}_\infty, \tilde{\psi}^T R^{-1} \tilde{\psi} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$
- $e, \tilde{\theta}^T \xi, \Delta, \varepsilon \in \mathcal{L}_2$
- $e \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \Rightarrow \omega, \xi, \dot{\xi}, \varphi, \dot{\varphi} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$
- $\theta, \xi \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \Rightarrow \dot{e} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$
- $e, \dot{e}, \xi, \dot{\xi} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \Rightarrow \phi, \dot{\phi} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$

Since  $R(0) = R^T(0) > 0$ , then

$$\dot{R}^{-1}(t) = \phi\phi^T \geq 0$$

By integrating,

$$R^{-1}(t) = R^{-1}(0) + \underbrace{\int_0^t \phi(\tau)\phi^T(\tau)d\tau}_{J(t)} > 0, \quad t \geq 0$$

Therefore,

- $R^{-1}(t) > R^{-1}(0)$  (Monotonically increasing)
- $R(t) > 0, \forall t \geq 0$  (Monotonically decreasing)
- $R \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$
- $R, \phi \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \Rightarrow \dot{R} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$

From the Lyapunov function,

$$2V = \underbrace{e^T P e}_{\in \mathcal{L}_\infty} + \underbrace{\tilde{\theta}^T \Gamma^{-1} \tilde{\theta}}_{\in \mathcal{L}_\infty} + \underbrace{\beta \tilde{\psi}^T R^{-1}(0) \tilde{\psi}}_{\in \mathcal{L}_\infty} + \underbrace{\beta \tilde{\psi}^T J(t) \tilde{\psi}}_{\in \mathcal{L}_\infty}$$

Conclusion:

- $V \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \Rightarrow \tilde{\psi}^T R^{-1}(0) \tilde{\psi} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \Rightarrow \boxed{\tilde{\psi} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty}$

- $\tilde{\psi} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \Rightarrow \hat{\zeta}, \Delta, \dot{\theta} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$

- $\dot{\theta} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \Rightarrow \boxed{u \in \mathcal{L}_\infty}$

- $u \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \Rightarrow \zeta, \dot{\zeta} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$

- $\zeta, \hat{\zeta} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \Rightarrow \varepsilon \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$

- $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \Rightarrow \dot{\psi}, \dot{\Delta} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$

## Convergence

- $\dot{e} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \Rightarrow \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e(t) = 0$
- $\frac{d}{dt} \tilde{\theta}^T \xi \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \Rightarrow \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\theta}^T \xi = 0$
- $\dot{\Delta} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \Rightarrow \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Delta(t) = 0$
- $\dot{\varepsilon} = \frac{d}{dt} \tilde{\psi}^T \phi \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \Rightarrow \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon = 0$
- Therefore, all signals are bounded  $\Rightarrow$  Global uniform stability

Summarizing,

$$e, \theta, \psi \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$$

and

$$e, \tilde{\theta}^T \xi, \Delta, \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$$

- ★ Note the effect of the parameter  $\beta$ .
- ★ For large  $\beta$  the term  $\frac{\sigma}{\beta} \Gamma^{-1} \Delta$  becomes **negligible!**
- ★ This means that the update law  $\dot{\psi}$  becomes **decoupled** from  $\theta$ .

## Summary of the M-MRAC + LS estimator

Subsystem	Equation	Order
Plant	$y = P(s) u$	$n$
Model	$y_m = M(s) r$	$n$
Track. error	$e_a = \text{sign}(k_p)(y - y_m)$	
SV-filters	$\dot{\omega}_1 = A_f \omega_1 + b_f u$	$n - 1$
	$\dot{\omega}_2 = A_f \omega_2 + b_f y$	$n - 1$
Regressor	$\omega^T = [\omega_1^T \ y \ \omega_2^T \ r]$	
$\xi$ -filter	$\dot{\xi} = -\ell_0 \xi + \omega, \quad \ell_0 > a_m$	$2n$
Control	$u = \theta^T \omega + \dot{\theta}^T \xi$	
Update law	$\dot{\theta} = -\Gamma \xi e_a - \sigma \Delta, \quad \Gamma = \Gamma^T > 0, \quad \sigma > 0$ $\Delta = \theta - \psi$	$2n$

Subsystem	Equation	Order
Filters	$\dot{\zeta} = -\ell_0 \zeta + u$ $\dot{\varphi} = -\ell_0 \varphi + e_0$	1 1
Prediction	$\hat{\zeta} = \psi^T \phi$ $\phi = \xi + [\mathbf{0}^T \ (e_0 - \alpha \varphi)]^T, \quad \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n-1}$	
Prediction error	$\varepsilon = \hat{\zeta} - \zeta$	
LS estimator	$\dot{\psi} = -R \left( \frac{\tau \phi \varepsilon}{m^2} - \frac{\sigma}{\beta} \Gamma^{-1} \Delta \right), \quad \tau > \frac{1}{2}, \quad \beta > 0$ $\dot{R} = -\frac{R \phi \phi^T R}{m^2}, \quad R(0) = R^T(0) > 0$ $m^2 = 1 + \kappa \phi^T R \phi, \quad \kappa \geq 0$	2n 4n <sup>2</sup>

System total dimension:

$$N = 10n + 4n^2$$

## 6.5.5 SIMULATION

Example 23 4th order plant.

System class:  $n = 4$  (order)

$n^* = 1$  (relative degree )

$n_p = 8$  (# of parameters)

Plant ..... :  $P(s) = \frac{(s+2)^3}{3s^4}$

Model ..... :  $M(s) = \frac{1}{s+1}$

SV filter ..... :  $\frac{1}{\Lambda(s)} = \frac{1}{(s+0.5)(s+1)(s+1.5)}$

Matching ..... :  $\theta^{*T} = [-7.25 \ -9.25 \ -3 \ -12 \ 6.75 \ 22.5 \ 18.75 \ 3]$   
 $\|\theta^*\| = 34.7$

Initial condition.....:  $y(0) = 5$

$$y_m(0) = 0$$

$$\theta(0) = 0$$


$$R(0) = 200 I$$

Parameters.....:  $\Gamma = 5 I$

$$\tau = 5, \quad \beta = 1000, \quad \kappa = 0.1, \quad \sigma = 0.5$$

Reference signal.....:  $r_{sqw}(t) = 3 + 10 \operatorname{sqw}(0.1\pi t)$

★ ...



**Figura 98:** Composite M-MRAC with a LS estimator.  $r = r_{sqw}(t)$ .

## 6.6 CONCLUSION

- ★ The nice tracking performance of the M-MRAC algorithm is preserved.
- ★ The convergence of the parameters is remarkably improved .
- ★ A lower bound of  $|k_p|$  is not required !

The price:

- The implementation of the LS algorithm requires a large number of state variables.

# 10 MIMO MRAC

## Contents

---

10.1	Introduction . . . . .	912
10.1.1	Motivation . . . . .	916
10.2	Review and Nomenclature . . . . .	932
10.3	Problem formulation . . . . .	939
10.3.1	Equation of <i>matching</i> . . . . .	943
10.4	Error equation . . . . .	944
10.4.1	MRAC SISO Project Recap . . . . .	945
10.4.2	SDU factorization of $K_p$ . . . . .	948
10.4.3	New parameterization . . . . .	951
10.5	Design of the update law . . . . .	961
10.6	Simulations . . . . .	971
10.7	Conclusions . . . . .	983
10.8	Extensions . . . . .	984

---

## 10.1 INTRODUCTION

Reference : [Tao:2003], (pag. 371)

- ★ Adaptive control of multivariable linear systems is a relatively old problem.
- ★ The first attempts were made around 1975.  
The solution, however, soon proved to be surprisingly difficult .

- ★ One of the main obstacles is the high-frequency gain matrix ( $K_p$ ).
- ★ The generalization of the SISO case hypothesis, that  $\text{sign}(k_p)$  is known, is not obvious.
- ★ Several restrictive, if not impractical, conditions have been proposed to derive a solution.

★ Solution in SISO case:

$$e_0 = M(s) \underbrace{k_p [\tilde{\theta}^{*T} \omega]}_{e_a} \Rightarrow \underbrace{\text{sign}(k_p) e_0}_{e_a} = \underbrace{M(s) |k_p|}_{SPR} [\tilde{\theta}^T \omega]$$

★  $|k_p|$  is absorbed by the model :  $\underbrace{M(s)}_{SPR} \Rightarrow \underbrace{M(s) |k_p|}_{SPR}$

★ State realization:

$$\underbrace{M(s) |k_p|}_{SPR} = C_m (sI - A_m)^{-1} B_m \Rightarrow \begin{cases} e = A_m e + B_m [\tilde{\theta}^T \omega] \\ e_a = C_m e \end{cases}$$

★ Problem in MIMO case: SPR only if  $K_p = K_p^T > 0$ .

★ This section presents a solution based on the factorization of  $K_p$ .

★ In the case  $n^* = 1$ , the factorization used is  $SDU$ ,


$$K_p = SDU$$

- $S$  = Symmetric
- $D$  = Diagonal
- $U$  = Unitary upper triangular

★ In the case  $n^* > 1$  other factorizations are possible (LDU and LDS).


★ To solve the problem, it is enough to know the signs of the leading principal minors (leading principal minors) of the gain matrix.

### 10.1.1 MOTIVATION




$\phi$  = camera misalignment angle ( unknown ).


**Figura 114:** Visual servoing of a planar robot using a fixed camera.




**Figura 115:** Experiment setup.




**Figura 116:** Step 1. Move 1/2 of the distance.



**Figura 117:** Step 2. Move 1/2 of the distance.



**Figura 118:** Step 3. Move 1/2 of the distance.



**Figura 119:** Step 4. Move 1/2 of the distance.




Figura 120: Easy !!

**Equivalent problem** : Model reference adaptive control (MRAC) of a  $2 \times 2$  plant.

**Plant** : 
$$y = M(s)K_p u, \quad K_p = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \phi & -\sin \phi \\ \sin \phi & \cos \phi \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}$$

$K_p$  = high frequency gain matrix = rotation matrix.

$M(s)$  is known !

**Model** : 
$$y_M = M(s)r, \quad M(s) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{s+\lambda} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{s+\lambda} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$K_m = I \quad (\text{For simplicity.})$$

★ The reference model is the plant with  $\phi = 0$ .

Solutions found in textbooks :

- [Sastry & Bodson:1989], (pag. 277)
- ★ Not applicable because  $K_p$  is assumed to be known !

Textbook Solutions :

- [Narendra & Annaswamy:1989]

**Condition** : Knowledge of a matrix  $\Gamma$  such that

$$\Gamma K_p + (\Gamma K_p)^T > 0$$

★ Incorrect stability proof!

★ Instability confirmed by simulation.

## Textbook Solutions :

- [Ioannou & Sun:1996], (pag. 740)

**Condition:** Knowledge of a matrix  $S_p$  such that

$$K_p S_p = (K_p S_p)^T > 0$$

- ★ Too restrictive! Impractical for the servo-vision problem.
- ★ Non-generic condition!

**Example 34**

Servo-vision problem:  $K_p = \begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}$

So that  $K_p S_p = (K_p S_p)^T$ , independent of  $a$  and  $b$ , the matrix  $S_p$  must have the form:

$$S_p = \begin{bmatrix} s_1 & s_2 \\ s_2 & -s_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Symmetry :  $K_p S_p = (K_p S_p)^T = \begin{bmatrix} as_1 - bs_2 & as_2 + bs_1 \\ as_2 + bs_1 & bs_2 - as_1 \end{bmatrix}$

However,  $\boxed{\det(K_p S_p) = -(s_1^2 + s_2^2)(a^2 + b^2) < 0} \quad \forall s_1, s_2$

★  $\det(K_p S_p) = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 < 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_1 > 0$  and  $\lambda_2 < 0$ .

- ★ Bibliographic survey revealed that the problem is old .
- ★ First attempt at solution was made by [MONOPOLI & HSING:1975]
- ★ ... lack of theory !!

Recent solutions to the servo-vision problem :

- Use of a SU factorization of  $K_p$  (non-explicit)

[ZERGEROGLU, DAWSON, QUEIROZ & BEHAL:1999]

- Use of a LDU factorization of  $K_p$  (non-explicit)

[HSU & AQUINO:1999]

Common idea : Use of some kind of factorization of  $K_p$ .

## Use of factorizations

**LU factorization** : Idea introduced by [WELLER & GOODWIN:1994] for the case of indirect adaptation.

**Problem** : Obtain a non-singular estimate of  $\hat{K}_p$ .

$$\boxed{K_p = LU} \Rightarrow \boxed{\hat{K}_p = \hat{L}\hat{U}} \Rightarrow \hat{K}_p \text{ is non-singular}$$

$L$  is a unitary lower  $\triangle$

$U$  is an upper  $\triangle$

★ Just make sure that the diagonal elements of  $\hat{U}$  are not close to 0.

SDU Factorization : Introduced by [MORSE:1993]

$S$  = symmetric positive definite

$D$  = diagonal

$U$  =  $\triangle$  upper unitary

★ Just recorded the idea. Didn't apply to MIMO MRAC.

## 10.2 REVIEW AND NOMENCLATURE

Fato. Every transfer function matrix  $G(s)$  can be factored as

$$G(s) = N_R(s)D_R^{-1}(s)$$

: right fraction

$$G(s) = D_L^{-1}(s)N_L(s)$$

: left fraction

- $N_R$ ,  $D_R$ ,  $D_L$ , and  $N_L$  are polynomial matrices
- $D_R$  and  $D_L$  are nonsingular

**Example 35**

$$\begin{aligned} G(s) &= \begin{bmatrix} s+a & 0 \\ 0 & s+b \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} && \text{(Left fraction)} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s-2a+3b & 4(-a+b) \\ 3(a-b)/2 & s+3a-2b \end{bmatrix}^{-1} && \text{(Right fraction)} \end{aligned}$$

Definição.

The poles of  $G(s)$  are the roots of

$$\det(D_R) = 0$$

or

$$\det(D_L) = 0$$

Definição.

The zeros of  $G(s)$  are the roots of

$$\det(N_R) = 0$$

or

$$\det(N_L) = 0$$

Definição.

Row degrees of  $D_L(s)$

$$\partial_{ri}(D_L) = \max_j \partial(D_L)_{ij}$$

Definição.

For a proper left factorization of  $G(s)$

$$\nu_i = \partial_{ri}(D_L) = \text{observability index}$$

$$n = \text{system order} = \sum \nu_i$$

$$\nu = \max_i(\nu_i) = \text{observability index}$$

★  $\nu_i$  corresponds to the minimum order of an observer from output  $i$ .

**Example 36**

$$G(s) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{(s+a)^3} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{(s+b)^2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (s+a)^3 & 0 \\ 0 & (s+b)^2 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

In this case :

$$\boxed{\nu_1 = 3}$$

$$\boxed{\nu_2 = 2}$$

$\Rightarrow$

$$\boxed{\nu = 3}$$

**Interpretation :**

★ Reduced-order observers : order  $\nu_1 - 1$  and  $\nu_2 - 1$

★ Input/output filters : order  $\nu - 1$

Definição. The polynomial matrix  $\xi(s)$  is a *interactor* matrix of  $G(s)$  if

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \xi(s)G(s) = K_p$$

is non-singular.

- ★ The *interactor* matrix defines the multivariable relative degree.

**Example 37**

$$G(s) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{s+1} & \frac{2}{s+2} \\ \frac{1}{s^2+5s+4} & \frac{1}{s+3} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\xi(s) = \begin{bmatrix} s & 0 \\ 0 & s \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \xi(s) G(s) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{s}{s+1} & \frac{2s}{s+2} \\ \frac{s}{s^2+5s+4} & \frac{s}{s+3} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

## 10.3 PROBLEM FORMULATION

Plant:

$$y = P(s)u$$

Hypotheses : **(H1)**  $P(s)$  has full rank and **relative degree 1**

**(H2)** Zeros have negative real part

**(H3)** Observability index  $\nu$  of  $P(s)$  is known

Fato. Relative degree 1  $\Leftrightarrow$   $\xi(s) = sI$ .

Fato.  $\xi(s)$  diagonal  $\Leftrightarrow$   $P(s)$  can be decoupled by dynamic feedback.

Reference model :


$$y_M = M(s)r$$

$$M(s) = \text{diag} \left\{ \frac{1}{s + a_i} \right\}$$

Control objective :

$$e(t) = y(t) - y_M(t) \rightarrow 0$$

If  $P(s)$  were perfectly known,



**Figure.** Controller structure.

Filters : 
$$\left| \begin{array}{l} \omega_1 = \frac{A(s)}{\Lambda(s)} u^* \\ \omega_2 = \frac{A(s)}{\Lambda(s)} y \end{array} \right. , \quad \partial[\Lambda(s)] = \nu - 1$$

Regressor vector :  $\omega = [\omega_1^T \quad \omega_2^T \quad y^T \quad r^T]^T$  (Vector!!)

Ideal parameter matrix :  $\theta^* = [\theta_1^{*T} \quad \theta_2^{*T} \quad \theta_3^* \quad \theta_4^*]^T$  (Matrix!!)

Optimal control law : 
$$\left| \begin{array}{l} u^* = \theta_1^{*T} \omega_1 + \theta_2^{*T} \omega_2 + \theta_3^* y + \theta_4^* r = \theta^{*T} \omega \end{array} \right.$$

★  $u^*$  guarantees matching :  $y = P(s)u^* = M(s)r = y_M$

### 10.3.1 EQUATION OF *matching*

$$u^* = \theta_1^{*T} \omega_1 + \theta_2^{*T} \omega_2 + \theta_3^* y + \theta_4^* r$$

Putting  $\omega_1$ ,  $\omega_2$ ,  $y$ , and  $r$  in terms of  $u^*$ ,

$$u^* = \theta_1^{*T} \frac{A}{\Lambda} u^* + \theta_2^{*T} \frac{A}{\Lambda} P u^* + \theta_3^* P u^* + \theta_4^* M^{-1} P u^*$$

we obtain the following *matching* equation:

$$\boxed{\left| I - \theta_1^{*T} \frac{A(s)}{\Lambda(s)} - \theta_2^{*T} \frac{A(s)}{\Lambda(s)} P(s) - \theta_3^{*T} P(s) = \theta_4^* M^{-1}(s) P(s) \right|}$$

$$\boxed{\left| \theta_4^* = K_p^{-1} \right|}$$

## 10.4 ERROR EQUATION

After replacing  $u^*$  with  $u$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \theta_1^{*T} \frac{A}{\Lambda} u + \theta_2^{*T} \frac{A}{\Lambda} P u + \theta_3^* P u + K_p^{-1} M^{-1} P u \\ M K_p u &= M K_p \left[ \theta_1^{*T} \omega_1 + \theta_2^{*T} \omega_2 + \theta_3^* y \right] + P u \end{aligned}$$

Using  $e = y - y_M = P u - M r$ ,

Error equation : |  $e = M(s) K_p [u - \theta^{*T} \omega]$  |

## 10.4.1 MRAC SISO PROJECT RECAP

Error equation :

$$e = M(s) \mathcal{K}_p [u - \theta^{*T} \omega]$$

1.  $M(s)$  is SPR

2. Linear parameterization :

$$u = \theta^T \omega$$

$\Rightarrow$

$$e = M(s) \mathcal{K}_p [\tilde{\theta}^T \omega]$$

Parametric error :  $\tilde{\theta} = \theta - \theta^*$

3.  $\text{sign}(\mathcal{K}_p)$  is known

4. Adaptation law :

$$\dot{\theta} = -\gamma \text{ sign}(\mathcal{K}_p) \omega e$$

stability and convergence  
 $e(t) \rightarrow 0$

**Problem:** Generalize  $\text{sign}(K_p)$  for the MIMO case.

If  $K_p$  is diagonal,

$$\boxed{\text{sign}(K_p) = \text{diag} \{ \text{sign}(k_{ii}) \}}$$

**Solution:** Use SDU factorization of  $K_p$ .

★ Why use

$$K_p = SDU$$

?

$S =$  symmetric positive definite

is absorbed by the analysis

$D =$  diagonal

$$\Rightarrow \text{sign}(D) = \text{diag}\{\text{sign}(d_{ii})\}$$

$U =$  △ upper unitary

is absorbed by the parameterization of  $u(t)$

## 10.4.2 SDU FACTORIZATION OF $K_p$

Ref.: [MORSE:1993]

**Lemma.** If the *leading principal minors*  $\Delta_i(K_p) \neq 0$ , then

$$K_p = SDU$$

$S$  = symmetric positive definite

$D$  = diagonal

$U$  =  $\triangle$  upper unitary

★ This factorization is not unique!

★ In this paper,  $D$  was defined as  $\text{diag}\{+1's \text{ or } -1's\}$  ( $\Rightarrow$  Uniqueness).

Prova.

$$K_p = L_p D_p U_p \quad : \text{LDU factorization}$$

$$L_p = \triangle \text{ lower unit}$$

$$U_p = \triangle \text{ upper unit}$$

$$D_p = \text{diag} \left\{ \Delta_1, \frac{\Delta_2}{\Delta_1}, \dots, \frac{\Delta_m}{\Delta_{m-1}} \right\}$$

$$\Rightarrow K_p = L_p \underbrace{D_+ D}_{D_p} U_p = \underbrace{L_p D_+ L_p^T}_{S} \underbrace{D \underbrace{D^{-1} L_p^{-T} D}_{U} U_p}_{U}$$

Then

$$S = L_p D_+ L_p^T$$

$$U = D^{-1} L_p^{-T} D U_p$$

★  $D_+$  diagonal  $> 0$  is arbitrary !!      (scaling factor)

★ This factorization is not unique .

**Example 38**

To illustrate the format of the factors  $S$ ,  $D$ ,  $U$ , consider the matrix

$$K_p = \begin{bmatrix} k_{11} & k_{12} \\ k_{21} & k_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

LDU factorization:  $L_p = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ l_1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $D_p = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta_2/\Delta_1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $U_p = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & u_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

where:  $l_1 = k_{21}/\Delta_1$ ,  $u_1 = k_{12}/\Delta_1$ ,  $\Delta_1 = k_{11}$ ,  $\Delta_2 = k_{11}k_{22} - k_{12}k_{21}$

SDU Factorization:

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} d_1^+ & d_1^+ l_1 \\ d_1^+ l_1 & d_2^+ + d_1^+ l_1^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad D = D_+^{-1} D_p = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\Delta_1}{d_1^+} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\Delta_2}{d_2^+ \Delta_1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad U = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & u_1 - \frac{d_1^+ l_1 \Delta_2}{d_2^+ \Delta_1^2} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

where:  $D_+ = \begin{bmatrix} d_1^+ & 0 \\ 0 & d_2^+ \end{bmatrix}$

### 10.4.3 NEW PARAMETERIZATION

★ The parameterization will absorb the factor  $U$ .

Factorization :

$$K_p = SDU$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and } &= M(s) \underbrace{K_p}_{SDU} [u - \theta^{*T} \omega] \\ &= M(s) SD \left[ U u - \underbrace{U\theta_1^{*T}}_{K_1} \omega_1 - \underbrace{U\theta_2^{*T}}_{K_2} \omega_2 - \underbrace{U\theta_3^*}_{K_3} y - \underbrace{U\theta_4^*}_{K_4} r \right] \end{aligned}$$

Key point:

$$U u = u - K_5 u \quad K_5 \text{ is strictly } \triangle \text{ superior}$$

Therefore,

$$e = M(s)SD \left[ u - \underbrace{K_1\omega_1 - K_2\omega_2 - K_3y - K_4r - K_5u}_{u^*} \right]$$

★  $K_5$  has lots of zeros.

Defining yourself:

$$u^* = K_1\omega_1 + K_2\omega_2 + K_3y + K_4r + K_5u \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \Theta_1^{*T}\Omega_1 \\ \Theta_2^{*T}\Omega_2 \\ \vdots \\ \Theta_m^{*T}\Omega_m \end{bmatrix}$$

New regressors:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Omega_1^T = [\omega^T \ u_2 \ u_3 \ \cdots \ u_m] \\ \Omega_2^T = [\omega^T \ u_3 \ \cdots \ u_m] \\ \vdots \\ \Omega_m^T = [\omega^T] \end{array} \right.$$

To make the notation more compact, we define

Regression Matrix :  $\Omega^T = \begin{bmatrix} \Omega_1^T & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \Omega_2^T & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \Omega_m^T \end{bmatrix}$


Parameter Vector :  $\Theta^* = \begin{bmatrix} \Theta_1^* \\ \Theta_2^* \\ \vdots \\ \Theta_m^* \end{bmatrix}$

Optimal control:

$$u^* = \Omega^T \Theta^*$$

New error equation:

$$e = (M(s)S)D(u - \Omega^T \Theta^*)$$



**Figure.** Controller structure with new parameterization.

★  $K_5$  is strictly  $\triangle$  upper  $\Rightarrow$  no algebraic loop!

Error equation :

$$e = \left( \textcolor{red}{M(s)S} \right) \textcolor{blue}{D} (u - \Omega^T \Theta^*)$$

Returning to the analogy with the SISO case :

1. Linear parameterization

New control law :

$$u = \Omega^T \Theta$$

2.  $\text{sign}(\textcolor{blue}{D})$  replaces  $\text{sign}(\textcolor{violet}{K}_p)$

3. ... a problem appears with the SPR condition of the term  $\textcolor{red}{M(s)S}$  !!

Error equation :

$$e = \underbrace{(M(s)S)}_{\text{SPR?}} D(u - \Omega^T \Theta^*)$$

### SPR Condition

Fato.

If

$M(s)S$  is SPR

$\Rightarrow$

$S = S^T > 0$

Although :

$M(s)$  SPR e  $S = S^T > 0$

$\not\Rightarrow$   $M(s)S$  is SPR

Fato.

$$\{A, B, C\} \text{ com } (n^* = 1) \Rightarrow$$

$$K_p = CB$$

Verification:

$$\begin{aligned} K_p &= \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} sP(s) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} sC(sI - A)^{-1}B \\ &= \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} C(I - s^{-1}A)^{-1}B = CB \end{aligned}$$

Fato.

$$\{A, B, C\} \text{ SPR} \Rightarrow$$

$$K_p = K_p^T > 0$$

Verification:

$$\{A, B, C\} \text{ SPR} \Rightarrow \exists P = P^T > 0 \text{ such that } PB = C^T$$

$$K_p = CB = (PB)^T B = B^T PB = K_p^T > 0$$

**Example 39**  $M(s) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{s+a_1} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{s+a_2} \end{bmatrix}$

Let  $\{A, B, C\}$  be a realization of  $M(s)S$ :

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -a_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = S = S^T > 0, \quad C = I$$

**Lemma SPR :**  $M(s)S$  é SPR  $\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} AP + AP^T = -2Q < 0 \\ PS = I \Rightarrow P = S^{-1} \end{cases}$

- For  $a_1 = a_2 = a$ ,  $M(s)S = \frac{1}{s+a}S$  is SPR for all  $S = S^T > 0$ .

- However, for  $a_1 \neq a_2$ , the SPR condition constrains  $S$ .

$$P = S^{-1} = \left( L_p D_+ L_p^T \right)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} d_1^+ & d_1^+ l_1 \\ d_1^+ l_1 & d_2^+ + d_1^+ l_1^2 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{d_1^+} + \frac{l_1^2}{d_2^+} & -\frac{l_1}{d_2^+} \\ -\frac{l_1}{d_2^+} & \frac{1}{d_2^+} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Q = -\frac{PA + AP}{2} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{a_1}{d_1^+} + \frac{a_1 l_1^2}{d_2^+} & -\frac{l_1(a_1+a_2)}{2d_2^+} \\ -\frac{l_1(a_1+a_2)}{2d_2^+} & \frac{a_2}{d_2^+} \end{bmatrix}$$

Then  $Q > 0$  if  $d_2^+ > 0$  and  $0 < d_1^+ < \frac{4a_1 a_2 d_2^+}{l_1^2 (a_1 - a_2)^2}$

★ The matrix  $D_+ = \text{diag}\{d_1, d_2\}$  is a *scale factor*.

**Conclusion :** There exists  $D_+$  such that  $S = L_p D_+ L_p^T$  and  $M(s)S$  is SPR.

**Lemma.** Given  $A$  and  $L_p$ , there exists  $D_+$  such that

$$\boxed{M(s)S = (sI - A)^{-1}L_p D_+ L_p^T} \quad \text{is SPR}$$

★ Reminder:

$$\boxed{S = L_p D_+ L_p^T}$$

## 10.5 DESIGN OF THE UPDATE LAW

As in the SISO case:  $\{ X := [X_M = \text{state of a non-minimal realization of } M(s)S]$

State error :

$$z = X - X_M$$

Error equation :

$$e = M(s)SD(u - \Omega^T \Theta^*)$$

Non-minimal realization :

$$\begin{cases} \dot{z} = A_M z + B_M D(u - \Omega^T \Theta^*) \\ e = C_M z \end{cases}$$

SPR Lemma :

$$\begin{cases} A_M^T P + PA_M = -2Q \\ PB_M = C_M^T \end{cases}$$

Lyapunov function :

$$2V(z, \tilde{\Theta}) = z^T P z + \tilde{\Theta}^T |\mathbb{D}| \Gamma^{-1} \tilde{\Theta}$$

where:

$$\mathbb{D} = \text{diag}\{\mathbf{d}_1 I_1, \mathbf{d}_2 I_2, \dots, \mathbf{d}_m I_m\}$$

$$\Gamma = \text{diag}\{\mathbf{\Gamma}_1 I_1, \mathbf{\Gamma}_2 I_2, \dots, \mathbf{\Gamma}_m I_m\}$$

★  $|\mathbb{D}| \Gamma^{-1} = (|\mathbb{D}| \Gamma^{-1})^T > 0.$

Differentiating,

$$\begin{aligned} 2\dot{V} &= \dot{z}^T P z + z^T P \dot{z} + \tilde{\Theta}^T |\mathbb{D}| \Gamma^{-1} \tilde{\Theta} + \tilde{\Theta}^T |\mathbb{D}| \Gamma^{-1} \dot{\tilde{\Theta}} \\ &= [A_M z + B_M \textcolor{blue}{D} (\Omega^T \tilde{\Theta})]^T P z + z^T P [A_M z + B_M \textcolor{blue}{D} (\Omega^T \tilde{\Theta})] + 2\tilde{\Theta}^T |\mathbb{D}| \Gamma^{-1} \dot{\tilde{\Theta}} \\ &= z^T \left( \underbrace{A_M^T P + P A_M}_{-2Q} \right) z + 2\tilde{\Theta}^T \Omega \textcolor{blue}{D} \underbrace{B_M^T P z}_e + 2\tilde{\Theta}^T |\mathbb{D}| \Gamma^{-1} \dot{\tilde{\Theta}} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\dot{V} = -z^T Q z + \tilde{\Theta}^T \Omega D e + \tilde{\Theta}^T |\mathbb{D}| \Gamma^{-1} \dot{\tilde{\Theta}}$

Using the relation

$$\Omega D = \mathbb{D} \Omega$$

we have that  $\dot{V} = -z^T Q z + \tilde{\Theta}^T |\mathbb{D}| \Gamma^{-1} [\text{sign}(\mathbb{D}) \Gamma \Omega e + \dot{\tilde{\Theta}}]$

Adaptation :

$$\dot{\tilde{\Theta}} = -\text{sign}(\mathbb{D}) \Gamma \Omega e$$

Result:

$$V(z, \tilde{\Theta}) = -z^T Q z \leq 0$$

**Boundedness :**

$$z \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \cap \mathcal{L}_2 ,$$

$$\Theta_i \in \mathcal{L}_\infty ,$$

$$\omega \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$$

By the definition of  $\Omega$ :

$$\Omega_i \in \mathcal{L}_\infty ,$$

$$u_i \in \mathcal{L}_\infty ,$$

$$\dot{e} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$$

**Convergence :**

$$e \in \mathcal{L}_2$$

e

$$\dot{e} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$$

$\Rightarrow$

$$e \rightarrow 0$$

**Reminder :**

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Omega_1^T = [\omega^T \ u_2 \ u_3 \ \cdots \ u_m] \\ \vdots \\ \Omega_{m-2}^T = [\omega^T \ u_{m-1} \ u_m] \\ \Omega_{m-1}^T = [\omega^T \ u_m] \\ \Omega_m^T = [\omega^T] \end{array} \right.$$

Result:

$$\boxed{\dot{V} = -e^T Q e \leq 0}$$

- $V(t) > 0$  and  $\dot{V} \leq 0 \Rightarrow z \in \mathcal{L}_\infty, \tilde{\Theta} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$
- Integrating  $\dot{V} \Rightarrow z \in \mathcal{L}_2$
- $\begin{cases} z = X - X_M \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \\ X_M \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} X = [x^T \ \omega_1^T \ \omega_2^T] \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \\ y, \omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \end{cases}$
- $r(t) \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \Rightarrow \omega^T = [\omega_1^T \ \omega_2^T \ y^T \ r^T] \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$
- Sequentially, we show that  $u_m, u_{m-1}, \dots, u_2, u_1 \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$
- $\Omega_m = \omega \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \Rightarrow u_m = \Theta_m^T \Omega_m \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$
- $\Omega_{m-1}^T = [\omega^T \ u_m] \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \Rightarrow u_{m-1} = \Theta_{m-1}^T \Omega_{m-1} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$
- $\Omega_{m-2}^T = [\omega^T \ u_m \ u_{m-1}] \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \Rightarrow u_{m-2} = \Theta_{m-2}^T \Omega_{m-2} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$

• ...

- Result: all signals in the closed-loop system are bounded.

- $\begin{cases} z \in \mathcal{L}_2 \\ \dot{z} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \end{cases} \Rightarrow \boxed{| z, e \rightarrow 0}$

## Summary

Subsystem	Equation	Order
Plant	$y = P(s) u$	$n$
Model	$y_M = M(s) r$	$n$
Error	$e = y - y_M$	
Control	$u = \Omega^T \Theta$	
Filtros	$\dot{\omega}_1 = A_f \omega_1 + B_f u$ $\dot{\omega}_2 = A_f \omega_2 + B_f y$	$m(\nu - 1)$ $m(\nu - 1)$
Regressor	$\omega^T = [\omega_1^T \quad \omega_2^T \quad y^T \quad r^T]$ $\Omega_1^T = [\omega^T \quad u_2 \quad u_3 \quad \dots \quad u_m]$ $\Omega_2^T = [\omega^T \quad u_3 \quad \dots \quad u_m]$ $\vdots$ $\Omega_m^T = [\omega^T]$	
Update law	$\dot{\Theta}_i = -\gamma_i \operatorname{sign}(d_i) e_i \Omega_i$	$m(4\nu + m - 1)/2$

Total order of the system:

$$N = 2n + m(8\nu + m - 5)/2$$

**Reminder:**  $m$  = number of inputs/outputs

$\nu$  = *observability index*

Teorema. If :

- hypotheses (H1), (H2), (H3) are verified,
- $\text{sign}(d_i)$  are known and
- $r(t)$  is bounded,

then the adaptive control algorithm shown in the table ensures that all signals of the closed-loop system are uniformly bounded and the tracking error  $e(t)$  converges to 0.

## 10.6 SIMULATIONS

Case 1 : servo-vision problem. Only  $K_p$  is unknown.

Plant:  $P(s) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{s+2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{s+2} \end{bmatrix} K_p$

$$K_p = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\phi) & \sin(\phi) \\ -h \sin(\phi) & h \cos(\phi) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} k_{11} & k_{12} \\ k_{21} & k_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

Model:  $M(s) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{s+2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2}{s+2} \end{bmatrix}$

★ Only  $K_p$  is unknown  $\Rightarrow$  5 parameters are adapted !

If : 
$$\boxed{-90^\circ < \phi < 90^\circ} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} d_1 > 0 \\ d_2 > 0 \end{cases}$$

Adaptive Control Algorithm:

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= \Theta_1^T \Omega_1, & \Omega_1^T &= [2r_1 \quad 2r_2 \quad \textcolor{red}{u_2}], & \dot{\Theta}_1 &= -\gamma_1 \Omega_1 e_1 \\ u_2 &= \Theta_2^T \Omega_2, & \Omega_2^T &= [2r_1 \quad 2r_2], & \dot{\Theta}_2 &= -\gamma_2 \Omega_2 e_2 \end{aligned}$$

**Simulation #1** Zero initial conditions.

Initial conditions . . . . :  $y(0) = [0 \ 0]^T$

$$y_m(0) = [0 \ 0]^T$$

$$\Theta_1(0) = [0 \ 0 \ 0]^T$$


$$\Theta_2(0) = [0 \ 0]^T$$

Parameters . . . . . :  $\gamma_1 = 1 \ I$

$$\gamma_2 = 1 \ I$$

Reference signal . . . . :  $r1 = 1 + 10 \ \sin(5t)$

$$r2 = -1 + 5 \ \sin(3t)$$



**Figura 121:** MIMO MRAC simulation. Case  $n^* = 1$  and 5 parameters.

(Script: `fig1.m`)




Figura 122: MIMO MRAC simulation. Case  $n^* = 1$  and 5 parameters.

(Script: `fig1.m`)

**Simulation #2.** Small initial conditions.

Initial conditions . . . . :  $y(0) = [2 \ 2]^T$

$$y_m(0) = [0 \ 0]^T$$

$$\Theta_1(0) = [0 \ 0 \ 0]^T$$

$$\Theta_2(0) = [0 \ 0]^T$$

Parameters . . . . . :  $\gamma_1 = 10 I$

$$\gamma_2 = 10 I$$

Reference signal . . . . :  $r1 = 1 + 10 \sin(5t)$

$$r2 = -1 + 5 \sin(3t)$$




Figura 123: MIMO MRAC simulation. Case  $n^* = 1$  and 5 parameters.

(Script: `fig2.m`)




Figura 124: MIMO MRAC simulation. Case  $n^* = 1$  and 5 parameters.

(Script: `fig2.m`)

Case 2 : All plant parameters are unknown.

Plant :  $P(s) = \frac{1}{s^2 - 1} \begin{bmatrix} s + 3 & 2s \\ -2s - 4 & s + 3 \end{bmatrix}$

$$K_p = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} d_1 > 0 \\ d_2 > 0 \end{cases}$$

Model:  $M(s) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{s+2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{s+1} \end{bmatrix}$

★ In this case, 17 parameters are adapted

$$\begin{cases} \omega_1, \omega_2, y, r, u \in \mathbb{R}^2 \\ \Omega_1 \in \mathbb{R}^9 \\ \Omega_2 \in \mathbb{R}^8 \end{cases}$$

Simulation #1. Initial conditions null.

Initial conditions . . . . :  $y(0) = [0 \ 0]^T$

$$y_m(0) = [0 \ 0]^T$$

$$\Theta_1(0) = [0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]^T \in \mathbb{R}^9$$

$$\Theta_2(0) = [0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]^T \in \mathbb{R}^8$$

Parameters . . . . . :  $\gamma_1 = 1 I$

$$\gamma_2 = 1 I$$

Reference signal . . . . :  $r1 = 1 + 10 \sin(5t)$

$$r2 = -1 + 5 \sin(3t)$$




Figura 125: MIMO MRAC simulation. Case  $n^* = 1$  and 17 parameters.

(Script: `fig1.m`)




Figura 126: MIMO MRAC simulation. Case  $n^* = 1$  and 17 parameters.

(Script: [fig1.m](#))

## 10.7 CONCLUSIONS

- The MIMO MRAC algorithm is analogous to the SISO MRAC.
- In the SISO case the sign of the high frequency gain is known.

The MIMO analog is the knowledge of the signs of the leading principal minors (*leading principal minors*) of  $K_p$ .

- Key points :
  - SDU factorization
  - Decomposition  $Uu = u - (I - U)u$
  - Non-uniqueness of SDU factorization
- Price of the solution found : over-parameterization

## 10.8 EXTENSIONS

- Case with relative degree  $> 1$  : Hsu, Costa, Imai, Tao & Kokotović [CDC'01]  
3 possible factorizations of  $K_p$  : LDU, SDU, or LDS
- Multivariate Nussbaum Gain : Imai, Costa & Hsu [Symposium'01]
- Multivariate Backstepping : Costa, Hsu, Imai & Tao [ACC'02]

## Other solutions

- (Ortega, Hsu & Astolfi [CDC'01])

Using the approach called *Immersion & Invariance* (I&I)

# 11 MIMO MRAC

## Contents

---

11.1	Introduction	987
11.1.1	Motivation	991
11.2	Revisão e nomenclatura	1007
11.3	Formulação do problema	1014
11.3.1	Equação de <i>matching</i>	1018
11.4	Equação do erro	1019
11.4.1	Recapitulação do projeto do MRAC SISO	1020
11.4.2	Fatoração SDU de $K_p$	1023
11.4.3	Nova parametrização	1026
11.5	Projeto da lei de adaptação	1036
11.6	Simulações	1046
11.7	Conclusões	1058
11.8	Extensões	1059
11.9	Case $n^* = 2$	1060
11.9.1	Problem formulation	1063
11.9.2	MRAC structure	1065
11.10	Cascaded passive systems	1077

11.10.1	Application	1080
11.10.2	Simulation	1084

---

## 11.1 INTRODUCTION

Reference : [Tao:2003], (pag. 371)

- ★ O controle adaptativos de sistemas lineares multivariáveis é um problema relativamente antigo.
  
- ★ As primeiras tentativas foram feitas em torno de 1975.  
A solução, no entanto, logo mostrou-se **surpreendentemente difícil**.

- ★ Um dos principais obstáculos está na matriz de ganhos de alta frequência ( $K_p$ ).
- ★ A generalização da hipótese do caso SISO, de que  $\text{sign}(k_p)$  é conhecido, não é óbvia.
- ★ Foram propostas várias condições restritivas, quando não impraticáveis, para derivar uma solução.

★ Solução no caso SISO:

$$e_0 = M(s) \underbrace{k_p [\tilde{\theta}^{*T} \omega]}_{e_a} \Rightarrow \underbrace{\text{sign}(k_p) e_0}_{e_a} = \underbrace{M(s) |k_p|}_{SPR} [\tilde{\theta}^T \omega]$$

★  $|k_p|$  é absorvido pelo modelo:  $\underbrace{M(s)}_{SPR} \Rightarrow \underbrace{M(s) |k_p|}_{SPR}$

★ Realização de estado:

$$\underbrace{M(s) |k_p|}_{SPR} = C_m (sI - A_m)^{-1} B_m \Rightarrow \begin{cases} e = A_m e + B_m [\tilde{\theta}^T \omega] \\ e_a = C_m e \end{cases}$$

★ Problema no caso MIMO: SPR somente se  $K_p = K_p^T > 0$ .

★ Este seção apresenta uma solução baseada na fatoração de  $K_p$ .

★ No caso  $n^* = 1$ , a fatoração utilizada é a SDU,


$$K_p = SDU$$

- $S$  = Simétrica
- $D$  = Diagonal
- $U$  = Triangular superior unitária

★ No caso  $n^* > 1$  outras fatorações são possíveis (LDU e LDS).


★ Para a solução do problema basta o conhecimento dos sinais dos menores principais (leading principal minors) da matriz de ganhos.

### 11.1.1 MOTIVATION




$\phi$  = camera misalignment angle ( unknown ).


**Figura 127:** Visual servoing of a planar robot using a fixed camera.




**Figura 128:** Experiment setup.




**Figura 129:** Step 1. Move 1/2 of the distance.



**Figura 130:** Step 2. Move 1/2 of the distance.



**Figura 131:** Step 3. Move 1/2 of the distance.



**Figura 132:** Step 4. Move 1/2 of the distance.




Figura 133: Easy !!

**Problema equivalente** : Controle adaptativo por modelo de referência (MRAC) de uma planta  $2 \times 2$ .

**Planta** : 
$$y = M(s)K_p u, \quad K_p = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \phi & -\sin \phi \\ \sin \phi & \cos \phi \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}$$

$K_p$  = matriz de ganhos de alta frequência = matriz de rotação.

$M(s)$  é conhecida !

**Modelo** : 
$$y_M = M(s)r, \quad M(s) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{s+\lambda} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{s+\lambda} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$K_m = I \quad (\text{Por simplicidade.})$$

★ O modelo de referência é a planta com  $\phi = 0$ .

Soluções encontradas em livros textos :

- [Sastry & Bodson:1989], (pag. 277)
- ★ Não se aplica pois  $K_p$  é suposto conhecido !

Soluções encontradas em livros textos :

- [Narendra & Annaswamy:1989]

**Condição** : conhecimento de uma matriz  $\Gamma$  tal que

$$\Gamma K_p + (\Gamma K_p)^T > 0$$

★ Prova de estabilidade incorreta!

★ Instabilidade confirmada por simulação.

Soluções encontradas em livros textos :

- [Ioannou & Sun:1996], (pag. 740)

**Condição:** Conhecimento de uma matriz  $S_p$  tal que

$$K_p S_p = (K_p S_p)^T > 0$$

- ★ Muito restritiva ! Impraticável para o problema de servo-visão.
- ★ Condição não genérica !

**Exemplo 40**

Problema de servo-visão :  $K_p = \begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}$

Para que  $K_p S_p = (K_p S_p)^T$ , independente de  $a$  e  $b$ , a matriz  $S_p$  deve ter a forma :

$$S_p = \begin{bmatrix} s_1 & s_2 \\ s_2 & -s_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Simetria :  $K_p S_p = (K_p S_p)^T = \begin{bmatrix} as_1 - bs_2 & as_2 + bs_1 \\ as_2 + bs_1 & bs_2 - as_1 \end{bmatrix}$

Porém,  $\det(K_p S_p) = -(s_1^2 + s_2^2)(a^2 + b^2) < 0 \quad \forall s_1, s_2$

★  $\det(K_p S_p) = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 < 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_1 > 0$  e  $\lambda_2 < 0$ .

- ★ Levantamento bibliográfico revelou que o problema é antigo .
- ★ Primeira tentativa para solução foi feita por [MONOPOLI & HSING:1975]
- ★ ... faltou teoria !!

Soluções recentes para o problema de servo-visão :

- Uso de uma fatoração SU de  $K_p$  (não explícita)  
[ZERGEROGLU, DAWSON, QUEIROZ & BEHAL:1999]
- Uso de uma fatoração LDU de  $K_p$  (não explícita)  
[HSU & AQUINO:1999]

Ideia em comum : Uso de algum tipo de fatoração de  $K_p$ .

## Utilização de fatorações

**Fatoração LU** : Ideia introduzida por [WELLER & GOODWIN:1994] para o caso de adaptação indireta.

**Problema** : Obter uma estimativa  $\hat{K}_p$  não-singular.

$$\boxed{K_p = LU} \Rightarrow \boxed{\hat{K}_p = \hat{L}\hat{U}} \Rightarrow \hat{K}_p \text{ é não-singular}$$

$L$  é  $\triangle$  inferior unitária

$U$  é  $\triangle$  superior

★ Basta garantir que os elementos da diagonal de  $\hat{U}$  não estejam próximos de 0.

Fatoração SDU : Introduzida por [MORSE:1993]

$S =$  simétrica positiva definida

$D =$  diagonal

$U = \triangle$  superior unitária

★ Só registrou a ideia. Não aplicou para MIMO MRAC.

## 11.2 REVISÃO E NOMENCLATURA

Fato. Toda matriz de funções de transferência  $G(s)$  pode ser fatorada como

$$G(s) = N_R(s)D_R^{-1}(s) \quad : \text{right fraction}$$

$$G(s) = D_L^{-1}(s)N_L(s) \quad : \text{left fraction}$$

- $N_R, D_R, D_L$  e  $N_L$  são matrizes polinomiais
- $D_R$  e  $D_L$  são não-singulares

**Exemplo 41**

$$\begin{aligned} G(s) &= \begin{bmatrix} s+a & 0 \\ 0 & s+b \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} && \text{(Left fraction)} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s-2a+3b & 4(-a+b) \\ 3(a-b)/2 & s+3a-2b \end{bmatrix}^{-1} && \text{(Right fraction)} \end{aligned}$$

Definição. Os polos de  $G(s)$  são as raízes de

$$\det(D_R) = 0$$

ou

$$\det(D_L) = 0$$

Definição. Os zeros de  $G(s)$  são as raízes de

$$\det(N_R) = 0$$

ou

$$\det(N_L) = 0$$

Definição.

Row degrees de  $D_L(s)$

$$\partial_{ri}(D_L) = \max_j \partial(D_L)_{ij}$$

Definição.

Para uma fatoração à esquerda apropriada de  $G(s)$

$$\nu_i = \partial_{ri}(D_L) = \text{índice de observabilidade}$$

$$n = \text{ordem do sistema} = \sum \nu_i$$

$$\nu = \max_i(\nu_i) = \text{observability index}$$

★  $\nu_i$  corresponde à ordem mínima de um observador a partir da saída  $i$ .

**Exemplo 42**

$$G(s) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{(s+a)^3} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{(s+b)^2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (s+a)^3 & 0 \\ 0 & (s+b)^2 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Neste caso :

$$\nu_1 = 3$$

$$\nu_2 = 2$$

$\Rightarrow$

$$\nu = 3$$

**Interpretação :**

★ Observadores de ordem reduzida : ordem  $\nu_1 - 1$  e  $\nu_2 - 1$

★ Filtros de entrada/saída : ordem  $\nu - 1$

Definição. A matriz polinomial  $\xi(s)$  é uma matriz *interactor* de  $G(s)$  se

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \xi(s)G(s) = K_p$$

for não-singular.

- ★ A matriz *interactor* define o grau relativo multivariável.

**Exemplo 43**

$$G(s) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{s+1} & \frac{2}{s+2} \\ \frac{1}{s^2+5s+4} & \frac{1}{s+3} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\xi(s) = \begin{bmatrix} s & 0 \\ 0 & s \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \xi(s) G(s) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{s}{s+1} & \frac{2s}{s+2} \\ \frac{s}{s^2+5s+4} & \frac{s}{s+3} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

## 11.3 FORMULAÇÃO DO PROBLEMA

Planta :

$$y = P(s)u$$

Hipóteses : **(H1)**  $P(s)$  tem posto completo e **grau relativo 1**

**(H2)** Os zeros têm parte real negativa

**(H3)** *Observability index*  $\nu$  de  $P(s)$  é conhecido

Fato. Grau relativo 1  $\Leftrightarrow$

$$\xi(s) = sI$$

Fato.  $\xi(s)$  diagonal  $\Leftrightarrow P(s)$  pode ser desacoplada por realimentação dinâmica.

Modelo de referência :


$$y_M = M(s)r$$

$$M(s) = \text{diag} \left\{ \frac{1}{s + a_i} \right\}$$

Objetivo do controle :

$$e(t) = y(t) - y_M(t) \rightarrow 0$$

Se  $P(s)$  fosse perfeitamente conhecida,



**Figura.** Estrutura do controlador.

Filtros : 
$$\omega_1 = \frac{A(s)}{\Lambda(s)} u^*, \quad \omega_2 = \frac{A(s)}{\Lambda(s)} y, \quad \partial[\Lambda(s)] = \nu - 1$$

Vetor regressor :  $\omega = [\omega_1^T \quad \omega_2^T \quad y^T \quad r^T]^T \quad (\text{Vetor !!})$

Matriz de parâmetros ideais :  $\theta^* = [\theta_1^{*T} \quad \theta_2^{*T} \quad \theta_3^* \quad \theta_4^*]^T \quad (\text{Matriz !!})$

Lei de controle ideal : 
$$u^* = \theta_1^{*T} \omega_1 + \theta_2^{*T} \omega_2 + \theta_3^* y + \theta_4^* r = \theta^{*T} \omega$$

★  $u^*$  garante matching :  $y = P(s)u^* = M(s)r = y_M$

### 11.3.1 EQUAÇÃO DE *matching*

$$u^* = \theta_1^{*T} \omega_1 + \theta_2^{*T} \omega_2 + \theta_3^* y + \theta_4^* r$$

Colocando  $\omega_1$ ,  $\omega_2$ ,  $y$ , e  $r$  em função de  $u^*$ ,

$$u^* = \theta_1^{*T} \frac{A}{\Lambda} u^* + \theta_2^{*T} \frac{A}{\Lambda} P u^* + \theta_3^* P u^* + \theta_4^* M^{-1} P u^*$$

obtemos a seguinte equação de *matching* :

$$\boxed{\left| I - \theta_1^{*T} \frac{A(s)}{\Lambda(s)} - \theta_2^{*T} \frac{A(s)}{\Lambda(s)} P(s) - \theta_3^{*T} P(s) = \theta_4^* M^{-1}(s) P(s) \right|}$$

$$\boxed{\left| \theta_4^* = K_p^{-1} \right|}$$

## 11.4 EQUAÇÃO DO ERRO

Após substituir  $u^*$  por  $u$ , tem-se

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \theta_1^{*T} \frac{A}{\Lambda} u + \theta_2^{*T} \frac{A}{\Lambda} P u + \theta_3^* P u + K_p^{-1} M^{-1} P u \\ M K_p u &= M K_p \left[ \theta_1^{*T} \omega_1 + \theta_2^{*T} \omega_2 + \theta_3^* y \right] + P u \end{aligned}$$

Usando       $e = y - y_M = P u - M r$ ,

Equação do erro :

$$e = M(s) K_p [u - \theta^{*T} \omega]$$

## 11.4.1 RECAPITULAÇÃO DO PROJETO DO MRAC SISO

Equação do erro :

$$e = M(s) \mathcal{K}_p [u - \theta^{*T} \omega]$$

1.  $M(s)$  é SPR

2. Parametrização linear :

$$u = \theta^T \omega$$

$\Rightarrow$

$$e = M(s) \mathcal{K}_p [\tilde{\theta}^T \omega]$$

Erro paramétrico :  $\tilde{\theta} = \theta - \theta^*$

3.  $\text{sign}(\mathcal{K}_p)$  é conhecido

4. Lei de adaptação :

$$\dot{\theta} = -\gamma \text{ sign}(\mathcal{K}_p) \omega e$$

estabilidade e convergência  
 $e(t) \rightarrow 0$

**Problema:** Generalizar  $\text{sign}(K_p)$  para o caso MIMO.

Se  $K_p$  é diagonal,

$$\text{sign}(K_p) = \text{diag} \{ \text{sign}(k_{ii}) \}$$

**Solução :** Utilizar fatoração SDU de  $K_p$ .

★ Porque usar

$$K_p = SDU$$

?

$S =$  simétrica positiva definida

é absorvida pela análise

$D =$  diagonal

$$\Rightarrow \text{sign}(D) = \text{diag}\{\text{sign}(d_{ii})\}$$

$U =$  △ superior unitária

é absorvida pela parametrização de  $u(t)$

## 11.4.2 FATORAÇÃO SDU DE $K_p$

Ref.: [MORSE:1993]

**Lema.** Se os *leading principal minors*  $\Delta_i(K_p) \neq 0$ , então

$$K_p = SDU$$

$S$  = simétrica positiva definida

$D$  = diagonal

$U$  =  $\triangle$  superior unitária

★ Esta fatoração não é única!

★ Neste artigo,  $D$  foi definida como  $\text{diag}\{+1's \text{ ou } -1's\}$  ( $\Rightarrow$  Unicidade).

Prova.

$$K_p = L_p D_p U_p \quad : \text{ fatoração LDU}$$

$$L_p = \triangle \text{ inferior unitária}$$

$$U_p = \triangle \text{ superior unitária}$$

$$D_p = \text{diag} \left\{ \Delta_1, \frac{\Delta_2}{\Delta_1}, \dots, \frac{\Delta_m}{\Delta_{m-1}} \right\}$$

$$\Rightarrow K_p = L_p \underbrace{D_+ D}_{D_p} U_p = \underbrace{L_p D_+ L_p^T}_{S} \underbrace{D \underbrace{D^{-1} L_p^{-T} D}_{U} U_p}_{U}$$

Então

$$S = L_p D_+ L_p^T$$

$$U = D^{-1} L_p^{-T} D U_p$$

★  $D_+$  diagonal  $> 0$  é arbitrária !!      (scaling factor)

★ Esta fatoração não é única.

**Exemplo 44**

Para ilustrar o formato dos fatores  $S$ ,  $D$ ,  $U$ , considere a matriz

$$K_p = \begin{bmatrix} k_{11} & k_{12} \\ k_{21} & k_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

Fatoração LDU:  $L_p = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ l_1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $D_p = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta_2/\Delta_1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $U_p = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & u_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

onde:  $l_1 = k_{21}/\Delta_1$ ,  $u_1 = k_{12}/\Delta_1$ ,  $\Delta_1 = k_{11}$ ,  $\Delta_2 = k_{11}k_{22} - k_{12}k_{21}$

Fatoração SDU :

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} d_1^+ & d_1^+ l_1 \\ d_1^+ l_1 & d_2^+ + d_1^+ l_1^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad D = D_+^{-1} D_p = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\Delta_1}{d_1^+} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\Delta_2}{d_2^+ \Delta_1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad U = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & u_1 - \frac{d_1^+ l_1 \Delta_2}{d_2^+ \Delta_1^2} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

onde:  $D_+ = \begin{bmatrix} d_1^+ & 0 \\ 0 & d_2^+ \end{bmatrix}$

### 11.4.3 NOVA PARAMETRIZAÇÃO

★ A parametrização vai absorver o fator  $U$ .

Fatoração :

$$K_p = SDU$$

$$\begin{aligned} e &= M(s) \underbrace{\frac{K_p}{SDU}}_{\text{SDU}} [u - \theta^{*T} \omega] \\ &= M(s) SD \left[ \underbrace{Uu}_{K_1} - \underbrace{U\theta_1^{*T}}_{K_2} \omega_1 - \underbrace{U\theta_2^{*T}}_{K_3} \omega_2 - \underbrace{U\theta_3^*}_{K_4} y - \underbrace{U\theta_4^*}_{K_4} r \right] \end{aligned}$$

Key point:

$$Uu = u - K_5 u$$

$K_5$  é estritamente superior

Portanto,

$$e = M(s)SD \left[ u - \underbrace{K_1\omega_1 - K_2\omega_2 - K_3y - K_4r - K_5u}_{u^*} \right]$$

★  $K_5$  has lots of zeros.

Definindo-se :

$$u^* = K_1\omega_1 + K_2\omega_2 + K_3y + K_4r + K_5u \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \Theta_1^{*T}\Omega_1 \\ \Theta_2^{*T}\Omega_2 \\ \vdots \\ \Theta_m^{*T}\Omega_m \end{bmatrix}$$

Novos regressores :

$$\begin{cases} \Omega_1^T = [\omega^T \ u_2 \ u_3 \ \cdots \ u_m] \\ \Omega_2^T = [\omega^T \ u_3 \ \cdots \ u_m] \\ \vdots \\ \Omega_m^T = [\omega^T] \end{cases}$$

Para tornar a notação mais compacta, define-se

$$\text{Matrix de regressão} : \quad \Omega^T = \begin{bmatrix} \Omega_1^T & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \Omega_2^T & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \Omega_m^T \end{bmatrix}$$


$$\text{Vetor de parâmetros} : \quad \Theta^* = \begin{bmatrix} \Theta_1^* \\ \Theta_2^* \\ \vdots \\ \Theta_m^* \end{bmatrix}$$

Controle ideal:

$$u^* = \Omega^T \Theta^*$$

Nova equação do erro :

$$e = (M(s)S)D(u - \Omega^T \Theta^*)$$



**Figura.** Estrutura do controlador com a nova parametrização.

★  $K_5$  é estritamente  $\triangle$  superior  $\Rightarrow$  não há loop algébrico!

Equação do erro :

$$e = \left( \textcolor{red}{M}(s)S \right) \textcolor{blue}{D} \left( u - \Omega^T \Theta^* \right)$$

Retornando à analogia com o caso SISO :

1. Parametrização linear

Nova lei de controle :

$$u = \Omega^T \Theta$$

2.  $\text{sign}(\textcolor{blue}{D})$  substitui  $\text{sign}(\textcolor{violet}{K}_p)$

3. ... aparece um problema com a condição SPR do termo  $\textcolor{red}{M}(s)S$  !!

Equação do erro :

$$e = \underbrace{(M(s)S)}_{\text{SPR?}} \quad \boxed{\color{blue} D(u - \Omega^T \Theta^*)}$$

Condição SPR

Fato.

Se

$M(s)S$  é SPR

$\Rightarrow$

$S = S^T > 0$

Porém :

$M(s)$  SPR e  $S = S^T > 0$

$\not\Rightarrow$

$M(s)S$  é SPR

Fato.

 $\{A, B, C\}$  com  $(n^* = 1)$  $\Rightarrow$ 

$$K_p = CB$$

Verificação:

$$\begin{aligned} K_p &= \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} sP(s) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} sC(sI - A)^{-1}B \\ &= \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} C(I - s^{-1}A)^{-1}B = CB \end{aligned}$$

Fato.

 $\{A, B, C\}$  SPR $\Rightarrow$ 

$$K_p = K_p^T > 0$$

Verificação:

$$\{A, B, C\} \text{ SPR} \Rightarrow \exists P = P^T > 0 \text{ tal que } PB = C^T$$

$$K_p = CB = (PB)^T B = B^T PB = K_p^T > 0$$

**Exemplo 45**

$$M(s) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{s+a_1} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{s+a_2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Seja  $\{A, B, C\}$  uma realização de  $M(s)S$ :

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -a_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = S = S^T > 0, \quad C = I$$

**Lema SPR :** |  $M(s)S$  é SPR |  $\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} AP + PA = -2Q < 0 \\ PS = I \Rightarrow \boxed{| P = S^{-1} |} \end{cases}$

- Para  $a_1 = a_2 = a$ ,  $M(s)S = \frac{1}{s+a}S$  é SPR para todo  $S = S^T > 0$ .

- No entanto, para  $a_1 \neq a_2$ , a condição SPR restringe  $S$ .

$$P = S^{-1} = \left( L_p D_+ L_p^T \right)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} d_1^+ & d_1^+ l_1 \\ d_1^+ l_1 & d_2^+ + d_1^+ l_1^2 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{d_1^+} + \frac{l_1^2}{d_2^+} & -\frac{l_1}{d_2^+} \\ -\frac{l_1}{d_2^+} & \frac{1}{d_2^+} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Q = -\frac{PA + AP}{2} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{a_1}{d_1^+} + \frac{a_1 l_1^2}{d_2^+} & -\frac{l_1(a_1+a_2)}{2d_2^+} \\ -\frac{l_1(a_1+a_2)}{2d_2^+} & \frac{a_2}{d_2^+} \end{bmatrix}$$

Então,  $Q > 0$  se

$$d_2^+ > 0$$

e

$$0 < d_1^+ < \frac{4a_1 a_2 d_2^+}{l_1^2 (a_1 - a_2)^2}$$

★ A matrix  $D_+ = \text{diag}\{d_1, d_2\}$  é um fator de escala.

**Conclusão :** Existe  $D_+$  tal que  $S = L_p D_+ L_p^T$  e  $M(s)S$  é SPR.

**Lema.** Dados  $A$  e  $L_p$ , existe  $D_+$  tal que

$$\boxed{M(s)S = (sI - A)^{-1}L_pD_+L_p^T} \quad \text{é SPR}$$

★ Lembrete :

$$\boxed{S = L_pD_+L_p^T}$$

## 11.5 PROJETO DA LEI DE ADAPTAÇÃO

Como no caso SISO :  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} X := [x^T \ \omega_1^T \ \omega_2^T]^T \quad (\text{Planta + filtros}) \\ X_M = \text{estado de uma realização não mínima de } M(s)S \end{array} \right.$

Erro de estado :

$$z = X - X_M$$

Equação do erro :

$$e = M(s)SD(u - \Omega^T \Theta^*)$$

Realização não mínima :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dot{z} = A_M z + B_M D(u - \Omega^T \Theta^*) \\ e = C_M z \end{array} \right.$$

Lema SPR :

$$\begin{cases} A_M^T P + PA_M = -2Q \\ PB_M = C_M^T \end{cases}$$

Função de Lyapunov :

$$2V(z, \tilde{\Theta}) = z^T P z + \tilde{\Theta}^T |\mathbb{D}| \Gamma^{-1} \tilde{\Theta}$$

onde:

$$\mathbb{D} = \text{diag}\{\mathbf{d}_1 I_1, \mathbf{d}_2 I_2, \dots, \mathbf{d}_m I_m\}$$

$$\Gamma = \text{diag}\{\mathbf{\Gamma}_1 I_1, \mathbf{\Gamma}_2 I_2, \dots, \mathbf{\Gamma}_m I_m\}$$

★  $|\mathbb{D}| \Gamma^{-1} = (|\mathbb{D}| \Gamma^{-1})^T > 0.$

Derivando,

$$\begin{aligned} 2\dot{V} &= \dot{z}^T P z + z^T P \dot{z} + \dot{\tilde{\Theta}}^T |\mathbb{D}| \Gamma^{-1} \tilde{\Theta} + \tilde{\Theta}^T |\mathbb{D}| \Gamma^{-1} \dot{\tilde{\Theta}} \\ &= [A_M z + B_M \textcolor{blue}{D} (\Omega^T \tilde{\Theta})]^T P z + z^T P [A_M z + B_M \textcolor{blue}{D} (\Omega^T \tilde{\Theta})] + 2\tilde{\Theta}^T |\mathbb{D}| \Gamma^{-1} \dot{\tilde{\Theta}} \\ &= z^T \left( \underbrace{A_M^T P + P A_M}_{-2Q} \right) z + 2\tilde{\Theta}^T \Omega \textcolor{blue}{D} \underbrace{B_M^T P z}_e + 2\tilde{\Theta}^T |\mathbb{D}| \Gamma^{-1} \dot{\tilde{\Theta}} \end{aligned}$$

Portanto,  $\dot{V} = -z^T Q z + \tilde{\Theta}^T \Omega \textcolor{blue}{D} e + \tilde{\Theta}^T |\mathbb{D}| \Gamma^{-1} \dot{\tilde{\Theta}}$

Usando a relação

$$\Omega \textcolor{blue}{D} = \mathbb{D} \Omega$$

tem-se que  $\dot{V} = -z^T Q z + \tilde{\Theta}^T |\mathbb{D}| \Gamma^{-1} [\text{sign}(\mathbb{D}) \Gamma \Omega e + \dot{\tilde{\Theta}}]$

Adaptação :

$$\dot{\tilde{\Theta}} = -\text{sign}(\mathbb{D}) \Gamma \Omega e$$

Resultado :

$$\dot{V}(z, \tilde{\Theta}) = -z^T Q z \leq 0$$

**Boundedness :**

$$z \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \cap \mathcal{L}_2 ,$$

$$\Theta_i \in \mathcal{L}_\infty ,$$

$$\omega \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$$

Pela definição de  $\Omega$ :

$$\Omega_i \in \mathcal{L}_\infty ,$$

$$u_i \in \mathcal{L}_\infty ,$$

$$\dot{e} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$$

**Convergência :**

$$e \in \mathcal{L}_2$$

$$\text{ e } \dot{e} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \Rightarrow$$

$$e \rightarrow 0$$

Lembrete :

$$\begin{cases} \Omega_1^T = [\omega^T \ u_2 \ u_3 \ \cdots \ u_m] \\ \vdots \\ \Omega_{m-2}^T = [\omega^T \ u_{m-1} \ u_m] \\ \Omega_{m-1}^T = [\omega^T \ u_m] \\ \Omega_m^T = [\omega^T] \end{cases}$$

Result:

$$\boxed{\dot{V} = -e^T Q e \leq 0}$$

- $V(t) > 0$  and  $\dot{V} \leq 0 \Rightarrow z \in \mathcal{L}_\infty, \tilde{\Theta} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$
- Integrating  $\dot{V} \Rightarrow z \in \mathcal{L}_2$
- $\begin{cases} z = X - X_M \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \\ X_M \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} X = [x^T \ \omega_1^T \ \omega_2^T] \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \\ y, \omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \end{cases}$
- $r(t) \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \Rightarrow \omega^T = [\omega_1^T \ \omega_2^T \ y^T \ r^T] \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$
- Sequentially, we show that  $u_m, u_{m-1}, \dots, u_2, u_1 \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$
- $\Omega_m = \omega \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \Rightarrow u_m = \Theta_m^T \Omega_m \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$
- $\Omega_{m-1}^T = [\omega^T \ u_m] \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \Rightarrow u_{m-1} = \Theta_{m-1}^T \Omega_{m-1} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$
- $\Omega_{m-2}^T = [\omega^T \ u_m \ u_{m-1}] \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \Rightarrow u_{m-2} = \Theta_{m-2}^T \Omega_{m-2} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$

• ...

- Result: all signals in the closed-loop system are bounded.

- $\begin{cases} z \in \mathcal{L}_2 \\ \dot{z} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty \end{cases} \Rightarrow \boxed{| z, e \rightarrow 0}$

## Resumo do algoritmo

Subsistema	Equação	Ordem
Planta	$y = P(s) u$	$n$
Modelo	$y_M = M(s) r$	$n$
Erro	$e = y - y_M$	
Controle	$u = \Omega^T \Theta$	
Filtros	$\dot{\omega}_1 = A_f \omega_1 + B_f u$ $\dot{\omega}_2 = A_f \omega_2 + B_f y$	$m(\nu - 1)$ $m(\nu - 1)$
Regressor	$\omega^T = [\omega_1^T \quad \omega_2^T \quad y^T \quad r^T]$ $\Omega_1^T = [\omega^T \quad u_2 \quad u_3 \quad \dots \quad u_m]$ $\Omega_2^T = [\omega^T \quad u_3 \quad \dots \quad u_m]$ $\vdots$ $\Omega_m^T = [\omega^T]$	
Adaptação	$\dot{\Theta}_i = -\gamma_i \operatorname{sign}(d_i) e_i \Omega_i$	$m(4\nu + m - 1)/2$

Ordem total do sistema:

$$N = 2n + m(8\nu + m - 5)/2$$

Lembrete:  $m$  = número de entradas/saídas

$\nu$  = *observability index*

Teorema. Se :

- as hipóteses (H1), (H2), (H3) são verificadas,
- $\text{sign}(d_i)$  são conhecidos e
- $r(t)$  é limitado,

então o algoritmo de controle adaptativo mostrado na tabela garante que todos os sinais do sistema em malha fechada são uniformemente limitados e o erro de rastreamento  $e(t)$  converge para 0.

## 11.6 SIMULAÇÕES

Caso 1 : Problema de servo visão. Somente  $K_p$  é desconhecido.

Planta :  $P(s) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{s+2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{s+2} \end{bmatrix} K_p$

$$K_p = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\phi) & \sin(\phi) \\ -h \sin(\phi) & h \cos(\phi) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} k_{11} & k_{12} \\ k_{21} & k_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

Modelo :  $M(s) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{s+2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2}{s+2} \end{bmatrix}$

★ Somente  $K_p$  é desconhecido  $\Rightarrow$  5 parâmetros são adaptados !

Se : 
$$\boxed{-90^\circ < \phi < 90^\circ} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} d_1 > 0 \\ d_2 > 0 \end{cases}$$

Algoritmo de controle adaptativo :

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= \Theta_1^T \Omega_1, & \Omega_1^T &= [2r_1 \quad 2r_2 \quad \textcolor{red}{u_2}], & \dot{\Theta}_1 &= -\gamma_1 \Omega_1 e_1 \\ u_2 &= \Theta_2^T \Omega_2, & \Omega_2^T &= [2r_1 \quad 2r_2], & \dot{\Theta}_2 &= -\gamma_2 \Omega_2 e_2 \end{aligned}$$

**Simulação #1** Condições iniciais nulas.

Condições iniciais . . . :  $y(0) = [0 \ 0]^T$

$$y_m(0) = [0 \ 0]^T$$

$$\Theta_1(0) = [0 \ 0 \ 0]^T$$

$$\Theta_2(0) = [0 \ 0]^T$$

Parâmetros . . . . . :  $\gamma_1 = 1 \ I$

$$\gamma_2 = 1 \ I$$

Sinal de referência . . :  $r1 = 1 + 10 \ \sin(5t)$

$$r2 = -1 + 5 \ \sin(3t)$$




Figura 134: Simulação do MIMO MRAC. Caso  $n^* = 1$  e 5 parâmetros.

(Script: `fig1.m`)




Figura 135: Simulação do MIMO MRAC. Caso  $n^* = 1$  e 5 parâmetros.

(Script: [fig1.m](#))

## Simulação #2. Condições iniciais pequenas.

Condições iniciais . . . :  $y(0) = [2 \ 2]^T$

$$y_m(0) = [0 \ 0]^T$$

$$\Theta_1(0) = [0 \ 0 \ 0]^T$$

$$\Theta_2(0) = [0 \ 0]^T$$

Parâmetros . . . . . :  $\gamma_1 = 10 I$

$$\gamma_2 = 10 I$$

Sinal de referência . . . :  $r1 = 1 + 10 \sin(5t)$

$$r2 = -1 + 5 \sin(3t)$$




Figura 136: Simulação do MIMO MRAC. Caso  $n^* = 1$  e 5 parâmetros.

(Script: `fig2.m`)




Figura 137: Simulação do MIMO MRAC. Caso  $n^* = 1$  e 5 parâmetros.

(Script: `fig2.m`)

Caso 2 : Todos os parâmetros da planta são desconhecidos.

$$\text{Planta : } P(s) = \frac{1}{s^2 - 1} \begin{bmatrix} s + 3 & 2s \\ -2s - 4 & s + 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$K_p = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} d_1 > 0 \\ d_2 > 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Modelo : } M(s) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{s+2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{s+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

★ Neste caso são adaptados 17 parâmetros

$$\begin{cases} \omega_1, \omega_2, y, r, u \in \mathbb{R}^2 \\ \Omega_1 \in \mathbb{R}^9 \\ \Omega_2 \in \mathbb{R}^8 \end{cases}$$

## Simulação #1. Condições iniciais nulas.

Condições iniciais . . . :  $y(0) = [0 \ 0]^T$

$$y_m(0) = [0 \ 0]^T$$

$$\Theta_1(0) = [0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]^T \in \mathbb{R}^9$$

$$\Theta_2(0) = [0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]^T \in \mathbb{R}^8$$

Parâmetros . . . . . :  $\gamma_1 = 1 I$

$$\gamma_2 = 1 I$$

Sinal de referência . . :  $r1 = 1 + 10 \sin(5t)$

$$r2 = -1 + 5 \sin(3t)$$




Figura 138: Simulação do MIMO MRAC. Caso  $n^* = 1$  e 17 parâmetros.

(Script: `fig1.m`)




Figura 139: Simulação do MIMO MRAC. Caso  $n^* = 1$  e 17 parâmetros.

(Script: `fig1.m`)

## 11.7 CONCLUSÕES

- O algoritmo MIMO MRAC é análogo ao do SISO MRAC.
- No caso SISO o sinal do ganho de alta frequência é conhecido.

O análogo MIMO é o conhecimento dos **sinais dos menores principais** (*leading principal minors*) de  $K_p$ .

- Pontos chaves :
  - Fatoração SDU
  - Decomposição  $Uu = u - (I - U)u$
  - Não unicidade da fatoração SDU
- **Preço da solução encontrada** : super-parametrização

## 11.8 EXTENSÕES

- Caso com **grau relativo > 1** : Hsu, Costa, Imai, Tao & Kokotović [CDC'01]  
3 possíveis fatorações de  $K_p$  : LDU, SDU, ou LDS
- **Ganho de Nussbaum** multivariável : Imai, Costa & Hsu [Symposium'01]
- **Backstepping multivariável** : Costa, Hsu, Imai & Tao [ACC'02]

## Outras soluções

- (Ortega, Hsu & Astolfi [CDC'01])

Utilização do enfoque denominado *Immersion & Invariance* (I&I)


## 11.9 CASE $n^* = 2$

This section: the case  $n^* = 2$

$\Rightarrow$

SDU + Monopoli algorithm

Motivation: Application in visual servoing of a planar robotic manipulator.



$\phi$  = camera misalignment angle ( unknown ).

## SDU factorization

- Generalization of  $\text{sign}(K_p)$  (Matrix!)

$$\text{sign}(K_p) \rightarrow \text{sign}(D)$$

- Reduces the problem of designing.

1 MIMO  $(m \times m)$  MRAC  $\rightarrow$   $m$  SISO MRACs

## 11.9.1 PROBLEM FORMULATION

Plant:

$$y = P(s) u \quad (\text{Uncertain})$$

Assumptions:

(1)  $P(s)$  is minimum phase (fundamental to MRAC)

(2)  $P(s)$  has uniform relative degree  $n^* = 2$ , i.e.,

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} s^2 P(s) = K_p$$

(3) The observability index  $\nu$  of  $P(s)$  is known

(4) The signs of the leading principal minors of  $K_p$  are known

Reference Model:

$$y_M = M(s) r$$

$$M(s) = \text{diag} \left\{ \frac{1}{(s+a_1)(s+\lambda)}, \dots, \frac{1}{(s+a_m)(s+\lambda)} \right\}$$


- ★  $L(s) = (s + \lambda) I$  (to assure that  $L(s)K_p = K_p L(s)$ )
- ★  $M(s)L(s)$  is SPR.

Control objective:

$$e(t) = y(t) - y_M(t) \rightarrow 0$$

as  $t \rightarrow \infty$

## 11.9.2 MRAC STRUCTURE



Regressor **vector** :  $\omega = [\omega_1^T \ \omega_2^T \ y^T \ r^T]^T$

Parameter **matrix** :  $\theta^* = [\theta_1^{*T} \ \theta_2^{*T} \ \theta_3^* \ \theta_4^*]^T$

Control law :  $u^* = \theta_1^{*T} \omega_1 + \theta_2^{*T} \omega_2 + \theta_3^* y + \theta_4^* r = \theta^{*T} \omega$

Error equation:  $e = M(s) K_p [u - \theta^{*T} \omega]$

## Control reparametrization

The error equation can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} e &= M(s) \mathcal{K}_p [u - \theta^{*T} \omega] \\ &= M(s) SD [Uu - \underbrace{U\theta_1^{*T}}_{K_1} \omega_1 - \underbrace{U\theta_2^{*T}}_{K_2} \omega_2 - \underbrace{U\theta_3^*}_{K_3} y - \underbrace{U\theta_4^*}_{K_4} r]. \end{aligned}$$

★ Notice that

$$Uu = u - K_5 u$$

$K_5$  strictly upper triangular

Thus,

$$e = M(s) SD [u - K_1 \omega_1 - K_2 \omega_2 - K_3 y - K_4 r - K_5 u]$$

★  $K_i$  are matrices.

★  $K_5$  has lots of zeros! They are not adapted!

Define :

$$\boxed{ \left[ \begin{array}{c} \Theta_1^{*T} \Omega_1 \\ \Theta_2^{*T} \Omega_2 \\ \vdots \\ \Theta_m^{*T} \Omega_m \end{array} \right] \equiv K_1 \omega_1 + K_2 \omega_2 + K_3 y + K_4 r + K_5 u }$$

New regressor vectors :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Omega_1^T = [\omega^T \ u_2 \ u_3 \ \cdots \ u_m] \\ \Omega_2^T = [\omega^T \ u_3 \ \cdots \ u_m] \\ \vdots \\ \Omega_m^T = [\omega^T] \end{array} \right.$$

To simplify the notation, we further define

$$\Omega^T = \begin{bmatrix} \Omega_1^T & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \Omega_2^T & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \Omega_m^T \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta^* = \begin{bmatrix} \Theta_1^* \\ \Theta_2^* \\ \vdots \\ \Theta_m^* \end{bmatrix}$$

The error equation has thus been brought to the new form

$$e = [M(s)S]D[u - \Omega^T \Theta^*]$$

## Monopoli algorithm

$$\begin{aligned} e &= (M(s)S)D L(s) L^{-1}(s) [u - \Omega^T \Theta^*] \\ &= (M(s) L(s) S) D \left[ \underbrace{L^{-1}(s) u}_{\zeta} - \underbrace{L^{-1}(s) \Omega^T \Theta^*}_{\Xi} \right] \end{aligned}$$

We choose

$$\zeta = \Xi^T \Theta$$

The control law is  $u = L(s)\zeta$

$$u = \Omega^T \Theta + \Xi^T \dot{\Theta}$$

★ Notice that we need

$$SL(s) = L(s)S$$

Commutating matrices!

★  $\Rightarrow L(s)$  must be an identity matrix.

**Problem**

$$\boxed{M(s) \text{ SPR and } S = S^T > 0} \not\Rightarrow \boxed{M(s)S \text{ is SPR}}$$

**Lemma** For any  $M(s)$ , there exists  $D_+$  such that

$$\boxed{M(s)L(s)S = M(s)L(s)L_1D_+L_1^T \text{ is SPR}}$$

- ★  $D_+$  is a scaling factor!
- ★ The structure of  $S$  is important.

## Update law

We choose the Lyapunov function

$$2V = z^T P_M z + \tilde{\Theta}^T |\mathbb{D}| \Gamma^{-1} \tilde{\Theta}$$

where

$$\mathbb{D} = \text{diag}\{\textcolor{blue}{d}_1 I_1, \textcolor{blue}{d}_2 I_2, \dots, \textcolor{blue}{d}_m I_m\}$$

The time derivative is

$$\dot{V} = -z^T Q_M z + \tilde{\Theta}^T |\mathbb{D}| \Gamma^{-1} \left( \underbrace{\Gamma \text{sign}(\mathbb{D}) \Xi e}_{0} + \dot{\tilde{\Theta}} \right)$$

Update law :

$$\dot{\tilde{\Theta}} = \dot{\tilde{\Theta}} = -\text{sign}(\mathbb{D}) \Gamma \Xi e$$

**Problem:** The state of  $L(s)$  is missing in the analysis.

Denoting

$$\omega_f = L^{-1}(s)\omega$$

It can be checked that

$$\Xi_i = f(\omega_f)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Xi_m^T = \omega_f^T \\ \Xi_{m-1}^T = \omega_f^T [I \quad \Theta_m] \\ \Xi_{m-2}^T = \omega_f^T [I \quad [I \quad \Theta_m] \Theta_{m-1} \quad \Theta_m] \\ \vdots \end{array} \right.$$

Then, the dynamics of the error state associated with  $L^{-1}(s)$  filters can be written as

$$\dot{\varepsilon} = H\varepsilon + Kz$$

Consider the Lyapunov function

$$V_L(z, \varepsilon, \tilde{\Theta}) = V + \alpha \varepsilon^T P_1 \varepsilon$$

Its time-derivative is

$$\dot{V}_L = -z^T Q_M z + \alpha(-\varepsilon^T Q_1 \varepsilon + 2\varepsilon^T P_1 M z) \leq 0$$

Thus,

$$z, \varepsilon \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$$

and

$$z, \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$$

## Summary of the algorithm

Subsystem	Equation	Order
Plant	$y = P(s) u$	$n$
Model	$y_M = M(s) r$	$n$
Tracking error	$e = y - y_M$	
Control law	$u = \Omega^T \Theta + \Xi^T \dot{\Theta}$	
Filters	$\dot{\omega}_1 = A_f \omega_1 + B_f u$ $\dot{\omega}_2 = A_f \omega_2 + B_f y$	$m(\nu - 1)$ $m(\nu - 1)$
Regressor	$\omega^T = [\omega_1^T \quad \omega_2^T \quad y^T \quad r^T]$ $\Omega_1^T = [\omega^T \quad u_2 \quad u_3 \quad \dots \quad u_m]$ $\Omega_2^T = [\omega^T \quad u_3 \quad \dots \quad u_m]$ $\vdots$ $\Omega_m^T = [\omega^T]$	
$\Xi$ -filter	$\Xi = L^{-1}(s)[\Omega]$ $L(s) = s + \alpha$	...
Update law	$\dot{\Theta}_i = -\gamma_i \operatorname{sign}(d_i) e_i \Xi_i$	$m(4\nu + m - 1)/2$

Ordem total do sistema:

$$N = 2n + m(8\nu + m - 5)/2$$

Lembrete:  $m$  = número de entradas/saídas

$\nu$  = *observability index*

## 11.10 CASCADED PASSIVE SYSTEMS

### Passivity property


Consider the error system driven by an external input signal  $v$

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{z} &= A_M z + B_1 D \Xi^T \tilde{\Theta} + v \\ e &= C_M z\end{aligned}$$

**Corollary** The map  $v \mapsto P_M z$  is output strictly passive with positive definite storage function  $V_L(z, \varepsilon, \tilde{\Theta})$ .

Proof: For sufficiently small  $\alpha$ , we have that  $\dot{V}_L \leq -z^T Q_M z + v^T P_M z$ .

## Cascaded passive systems



Consider the following interconnected systems:

$$\Sigma_1 : \begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = f_1(x, t) + g_1(x, t)y_2 \\ y_1 = h_1(x_1) \end{cases}$$

$$\Sigma_2 : \begin{cases} \dot{x}_2 = f_2(x, t) + v \\ y_2 = h_2(x_2) \end{cases}$$

**Theorem** Assume that  $\|g_1(x, t)\| \leq c$ .

If system  $\Sigma_1$  is output strictly passive from  $y_2 \mapsto y_1$  with positive definite storage function  $V_1(x_1)$  such that

$$\dot{V}_1 \leq -\lambda_1 \|y_1\|^2 + c_1 y_2^T y_1 \quad \lambda_1 > 0$$


and system  $\Sigma_2$  is output strictly passive from  $v \mapsto y_2$  with positive definite storage function  $V_2(x_2)$  such that

$$\dot{V}_2 \leq -\lambda_2 \|y_2\|^2 + c_2 v^T y_2 \quad \lambda_2 > 0$$

then, for  $v = 0$ ,  $x_1, x_2 \in L_\infty$  and  $y_1, y_2 \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

## 11.10.1 APPLICATION

Adaptive visual servoing of a planar robot manipulator



State variable:

$$\sigma = \dot{y} - \dot{y}_r$$

**Property** : output strictly passive from  $v$  to  $\sigma$ .

$\dot{y}_r$  = ref. velocity vector which can be defined in terms of the visual system control

★ In Hsu & Aquino:1999 (CDC) :

$$\dot{y}_r = u$$

Thus,  $\dot{y} = \underbrace{\dot{y}_r}_{u} + \sigma \Rightarrow$

$$\dot{y} = u + \sigma$$

Result : Linear visual system with  $n^* = 1$ .

Problem :  $\ddot{y}_r$  needs  $\dot{u}$

Idea : Select

$$u = \ddot{y}_r - \lambda\sigma$$

Thus,  $\ddot{y} = \underbrace{\ddot{y}_r}_{u+\lambda\sigma} + \dot{\sigma} \Rightarrow \ddot{y} = u + (\dot{\sigma} + \lambda\sigma)$

Since

$$y_c = K_p y$$


$$\Rightarrow \ddot{y} = K_p u + K_p(\dot{\sigma} + \lambda\sigma)$$

$K_p$  = rotation matrix due to the camera misalignment

Result : Linear visual system with  $n^* = 2$ .

★  $\ddot{y}_r$  is obtained as

$$\ddot{y}_r = u + \lambda\sigma$$



- ★ Both subsystems are output strictly passive.
- ★ The cascade assures that:  $|z, \sigma \rightarrow 0$  and  $|e_c \rightarrow 0$ .
- ★ The adaptive control for the manipulator can be designed without measurement of velocity of the end effector image  $\dot{y}_c$ , which is difficult to obtain in practice.

## 11.10.2 SIMULATION

Plant :

$$P(s) = \frac{1}{s^2} K_p$$

The only unknown parameters concern the high frequency gain  $K_p$  :

$$K_p = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(0.5) & \sin(0.5) \\ -0.5 \sin(0.5) & 0.5 \cos(0.5) \end{bmatrix}$$

Reference model :

$$M(s) = \frac{\lambda^2}{(s + \lambda)^2} I$$

★ If the plant is uncertain, 17 parameters must be adapted:

$$\Omega_1 \in \mathbb{R}^9, \text{ and } \Omega_2 \in \mathbb{R}^8.$$

★ If only  $K_p$  is uncertain, only 5 parameters must be adapted:

$$\Omega_1 \in \mathbb{R}^3, \text{ and } \Omega_2 \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Simulation #1 Only 5 parameters are adapted. Zero initial conditions.

Initial conditions . . . . . :  $y(0) = [0 \ 0]^T$

$$y_m(0) = [0 \ 0]^T$$

$$\Theta_1(0) = [0 \ 0 \ 0]$$

$$\Theta_2(0) = [0 \ 0]$$



Filter . . . . . :  $\lambda = 0.5$



Parameters . . . . . :  $\gamma_1 = 1 I$


$$\gamma_2 = 1 I$$


Reference signal . . . . . :  $r1 = 1 + 5 \sin(2t) + 3 \cos(1.5t)$

$$r2 = -5 + 10 \sin(t) + 5 \cos(3t)$$









Simulation #2 Only 5 parameters are adapted. Small initial conditions.

Initial conditions . . . . :  $y(0) = [1 \ 1]^T$

$$y_m(0) = [0 \ 0]^T$$

$$\Theta_1(0) = [0 \ 0 \ 0]$$

$$\Theta_2(0) = [0 \ 0]$$


Filter . . . . . :  $\lambda = 0.5$



Parameters . . . . . :  $\gamma_1 = 10 I$


$$\gamma_2 = 10 I$$


Reference signal . . . . :  $r1 = 1 + 5 \sin(2t) + 3 \cos(1.5t)$

$$r2 = -5 + 10 \sin(t) + 5 \cos(3t)$$









# 12 MIMO LS-MRAC

## Contents

---

12.1	Introduction	1098
12.2	The SISO case	1100
12.3	Problem formulation	1100
12.3.1	The error equation	1102
12.3.2	Design procedure	1104
12.3.3	Summary of the LS-MRAC	1109
12.3.4	Stability	1110
12.4	The MIMO case	1114
12.4.1	Preliminaries	1115
12.4.2	<i>SDU</i> factorization	1118
12.4.3	Control parametrization	1120
12.4.4	SPR property	1122
12.4.5	Design procedure	1128
12.4.6	Summary of the MIMO LS-MRAC	1135
12.4.7	Stability	1138
12.5	Simulation results	1142
12.6	Conclusion	1153



## 12.1 INTRODUCTION

The problem of extending the Lyapunov-based design of model-reference adaptive control (MRAC) to multivariable (MIMO) plants was resolved in (Costa et al., 2003). The central difficulty of this problem was how to deal with the plant's high frequency gain matrix  $K_p$ . For a diagonal matrix the solution is the same as for the SISO version. That is, it is enough to know the signs of its diagonal elements. For a generic matrix, however, we need to know the signs of all leading principal minors of  $K_p$ . The technique used to achieve this result is based on the existence of a SDU factorization of  $K_p$ , where  $S$  is symmetric positive definite,  $D$  is diagonal, and  $U$  is a unitary upper triangular matrix. The matrix  $S$  is absorbed by the error model, matrix  $U$  is absorbed by a reparametrization, and  $D$  is the remaining matrix which the signs are required.

Other possible factorizations and extensions were introduced in (?) (Tao, 2003). The survey (?) provides a detailed and comprehensive account of MRAC theory and design techniques with focus on the MIMO case.

(...)

- (Annaswamy and Fradkov, 2021) stress the importance of parameter estimation.  
Learning = parameter estimation !
- (Costa, 2020) showed the importance of reducing the relative degree of the error equation to zero. This allows the introduction of a LS update law.

—×—

## 12.2 THE SISO CASE

This section reviews the LS-MRAC algorithm developed in (Costa, 2020) for the case of linear SISO plants.

## 12.3 PROBLEM FORMULATION

Consider a linear plant modeled as

$$y = P(s)u, \quad P(s) = k_p \frac{N_p(s)}{D_p(s)}, \quad (329)$$

where  $u$  is the control signal and  $y$  is the output. The high frequency gain  $k_p$  and all the coefficients of the polynomials  $N_p(s)$  and  $D_p(s)$  are unknown. The only available information regarding  $P(s)$  are the following:

- 1) the order of the plant  $n$  is known,
- 2) the plant has relative degree 1,

- 3)  $N(s)$  is Hurwitz, i.e.,  $P(s)$  is minimum phase,
- 4) the sign( $k_p$ ) is known, and
- 5) a lower bound for  $|k_p|$  is known.

Then, the adaptive control problem can be stated as follows. Given a *reference model* with the same relative degree  $n^* = 1$  and, without loss of generality, described by

$$y_m = M(s)r, \quad M(s) = \frac{1}{s + a_m}, \quad a_m > 0, \quad (330)$$

where  $r$  is a reference input signal, find a control signal  $u(t)$  that stabilizes the closed loop system and such that the *output error* (or *tracking error*)

$$e_0 = y - y_m, \quad (331)$$

tends to zero asymptotically for arbitrary initial conditions and arbitrary piece-wise continuous uniformly bounded reference signals  $r$ . Good convergence properties for the controller parameters are aimed as well, which is the motivation for pursuing a least-squares approach to the problem.

As usual, *state variable filters* (SVF's)

$$\dot{v}_1 = \Lambda v_1 + gu, \quad (332)$$

$$\dot{v}_2 = \Lambda v_2 + gy, \quad (333)$$

where  $\Lambda$  is Hurwitz matrix, and  $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ , are used to assemble the *regressor vector*

$$\omega = [v_1^T \ y \ v_2^T \ r]^T \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}. \quad (334)$$

This control structure assures the existence and uniqueness of a constant parameter vector  $\theta^{*T} = [\theta_1^{*T} \ \theta_n^* \ \theta_2^{*T} \ \theta_{2n}^*]$ , with  $\theta_{2n}^* = 1/k_p$ , such that the transfer function of the closed-loop system with  $u = \theta^{*T}\omega$  matches  $M(s)$  exactly, i.e.,  $y = P(s)u = P(s)\theta^{*T}\omega = M(s)r$  (Tao, 2003, p. 197).

### 12.3.1 THE ERROR EQUATION

The dynamics of the tracking error  $e_0$ , or *error equation*, is given by

$$e_0 = k_p M(s)[u - \theta^{*T}\omega], \quad (335)$$

irrespective of how  $u$  is defined. This equation is the basis for developing the adaptive laws. A simple derivation is given in ([Slotine and Li, 1991](#), p. 345).

Defining the signal

$$e_a = \text{sign}(k_p)e_0, \quad (336)$$

the error equation can be conveniently rewritten as

$$e_a = |k_p| M(s) [u - \theta^{*T} \omega]. \quad (337)$$

Note that for a SPR model  $M(s)$ ,  $|k_p| M(s)$  is also SPR. Therefore, the unknown parameter  $k_p$  can be absorbed by the error model which makes it linear in the parameter  $\theta^*$ . This is the fundamental idea behind the solution to the MIMO case briefly reviewed in the next section.

### 12.3.2 DESIGN PROCEDURE

In the conventional MRAC algorithm, the control law is chosen as

$$u = \theta^T \omega, \quad (338)$$

where the controller parameter  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  should be adaptively adjusted to ensure desired tracking performance. For the LS-MRAC algorithm, the control law is modified as ([Costa, 2020](#))

$$u = L(s)[\theta^T \xi] = \theta^T \omega + \dot{\theta}^T \xi, \quad (339)$$

where  $L(s) = s + \ell_0$ ,  $\ell_0 > 0$ , and

$$\xi = L^{-1}(s)[\omega]. \quad (340)$$

The objective of introducing a Monopoli's multiplier  $L(s)$  ([Narendra and Valavani, 1978](#); [Ioannou and Sun, 1996](#)) in (339) is to achieve an error equation with relative degree zero. With this control law, the error equation (337) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} e_a &= |k_p| M(s)[L(s)\theta^T \xi - \theta^{*T} \omega] \\ &= |k_p| M(s)L(s)[\tilde{\theta}^T \xi], \end{aligned} \quad (341)$$

where  $\tilde{\theta} = \theta - \theta^*$ . The transfer function  $M(s)L(s)$  can be decomposed as

$$M(s)L(s) = \frac{s + \ell_0}{s + a_m} = \alpha M(s) + 1, \quad (342)$$

where  $\alpha = \ell_0 - a_m$ . Thus, we get

$$e_a = \alpha |k_p| M(s) [\tilde{\theta}^T \xi] + |k_p| [\tilde{\theta}^T \xi]. \quad (343)$$

The total order of the system comprising the plant (329) and filters (332)-(333) and (340) is  $5n - 2$ . Then, defining the error vector  $e \in \mathbb{R}^{5n-2}$ , we can write the following non-minimal state space realization of (343)

$$\dot{e} = A_m e + B_m [\tilde{\theta}^T \xi], \quad (344)$$

$$e_a = C_m e + |k_p| [\tilde{\theta}^T \xi], \quad (345)$$

where  $\alpha |k_p| M(s) = C_m(sI - A_m)^{-1}B_m$ . Selecting  $\ell_0 > a_m$  ensures that  $\alpha > 0$ , and the transfer function  $\alpha |k_p| M(s)$  is SPR. Then, from the Meyer–Kalman–Yakubovich (MKY) Lemma ([Narendra and Annaswamy, 1989](#), p. 66), there exist matrices  $P =$

$P^T > 0$  and  $Q = Q^T > 0$  such that the realization  $\{A_m, B_m, C_m\}$  satisfies

$$A_m^T P + PA_m = -2Q, \quad (346)$$

$$PB_m = C_m^T. \quad (347)$$

Consider the first Lyapunov function

$$2V_1(e) = e^T Pe. \quad (348)$$

Using (346), the derivative of  $V$  along (344) yields

$$\dot{V}_1 = -e^T Q e + e^T PB_m \tilde{\theta}^T \xi.$$

From (347) and (345) we have

$$e^T PB_m = e^T C_m^T = e_a - |k_p| [\tilde{\theta}^T \xi]. \quad (349)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{V}_1 &= -e^T Q e + (e_a - |k_p| \tilde{\theta}^T \xi) \tilde{\theta}^T \xi \\ &= -e^T Q e - |k_p| (\tilde{\theta}^T \xi)^2 + \tilde{\theta}^T \xi e_a.\end{aligned}\quad (350)$$

The term  $-|k_p| (\tilde{\theta}^T \xi)^2$  obtained in (350) is the key to introduce a least-squares update law in the algorithm. Now consider the second Lyapunov function

$$V_2(e, \tilde{\theta}) = \gamma V_1(e) + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\theta}^T R^{-1}(t) \tilde{\theta}, \quad (351)$$

where  $\gamma > 0$  and  $R(t)$  is a *covariance matrix* with  $R(0) = R^T(0) > 0$ . Using the fact that

$$\dot{R}^{-1} = -R^{-1} \dot{R} R^{-1}, \quad (352)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{V}_2 &= -\gamma e^T Q e - \gamma |k_p| (\tilde{\theta}^T \xi)^2 + \\ &\quad + \tilde{\theta}^T (\gamma \xi e_a + R^{-1} \dot{\theta}) + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\theta}^T R^{-1} \dot{R} R^{-1} \tilde{\theta}.\end{aligned}$$

## Choosing the update laws

$$\dot{\theta} = -\gamma R\xi e_a, \quad (353)$$

$$\dot{R} = -R\xi\xi^T R, \quad (354)$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{V}_2 &= -\gamma e^T Q e - \gamma |k_p| (\tilde{\theta}^T \xi)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\theta}^T \xi \xi^T \tilde{\theta} \\ &= -\gamma e^T Q e - \left( \gamma |k_p| - \frac{1}{2} \right) (\tilde{\theta}^T \xi)^2.\end{aligned} \quad (355)$$

### 12.3.3 SUMMARY OF THE LS-MRAC

Table 1 summarizes the LS-MRAC algorithm.

Tracking error	$e_a = \text{sign}(k_p)(y - y_m)$	(336)
SV filters	$\dot{v}_1 = \Lambda v_1 + g u$	(332)
	$\dot{v}_2 = \Lambda v_2 + g y$	(333)
	$\omega^T = [v_1^T \ y \ v_2^T \ r]$	
$\xi$ -filter	$\dot{\xi} = -\ell_0 \xi + \omega, \quad \ell_0 > a_m$	(340)
Control	$u = \theta^T \omega + \dot{\theta}^T \xi$	(339)
Update laws	$\dot{\theta} = -\gamma R \xi e_a$	(353)
	$\dot{R} = -R \xi \xi^T R$	(354)
	$R(0) = R^T(0) > 0$	

**Tabela 1:** LS-MRAC algorithm.

The M-MRAC algorithm described in (Costa, 2020) is a particular case of the LS-

MRAC. It is easily obtained by making the following simplification

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{R} &= 0, \\ R(0) &= \gamma^{-1}\Gamma, \quad \Gamma = \Gamma^T > 0.\end{aligned}$$

### 12.3.4 STABILITY

**Theorem:** For the closed-loop system consisting of the plant (329), reference model (330), and the LS-MRAC algorithm summarized in Table 1, if

$$\gamma > \frac{1}{2|k_p|}, \tag{356}$$

then all its signals are globally uniformly bounded and  $e, \tilde{\theta}^T \xi \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Proof** If conditions (356) is satisfied, then from (355)

$$\dot{V}_2(e, \tilde{\theta}) \leq 0.$$

This proves that  $e, \tilde{\theta}^T R^{-1} \tilde{\theta} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$  and  $e, \tilde{\theta}^T \xi \in \mathcal{L}_2$ . Since  $\omega$  and  $\dot{\xi}$  are part of the error signal  $e$ , then  $\omega, \dot{\xi} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$ . Also, from (340),  $\dot{\xi} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$ .

Boundedness of  $R$  and  $\theta$  are obtained as in (Tao, 2003, p. 104). Since  $R(0) = R^T(0) > 0$ , then

$$\dot{R}^{-1}(t) = \dot{\xi} \xi^T.$$

By integrating, one has that

$$R^{-1}(t) = R^{-1}(0) + J(t) \geq 0, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (357)$$

where

$$J(t) = \int_0^t \dot{\xi}(\tau) \xi^T(\tau) d\tau.$$

Therefore,  $R^{-1}(t) > R^{-1}(0)$ , and so  $R(t) > 0$ ,  $\forall t \geq 0$ , and  $R \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$ . This means that  $\dot{\theta}, \dot{R} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$ . From (351) and (357),

$$2V_2(e, \tilde{\theta}) = 2V_1(e) + \tilde{\theta}^T R^{-1}(0) \tilde{\theta} + \tilde{\theta}^T J(t) \tilde{\theta}.$$

Since  $V_2(e, \tilde{\theta}) \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$ , then the term  $\tilde{\theta}^T R^{-1}(0)\tilde{\theta} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$  and, hence,  $\theta \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$ . From (353),  $\dot{\theta} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$ . Therefore, it can be concluded that  $u \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$  and, consequently, all system signals are bounded.

Moreover, since  $e, \tilde{\theta}^T \xi \in \mathcal{L}_2 \cap \mathcal{L}_\infty$  and  $\dot{e}, \frac{d}{dt}\tilde{\theta}^T \xi \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$  then, from Barbălat Lemma (Tao, 2003, p. 81), it follows that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e(t) = 0$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\theta}^T \xi(t) = 0$ . ■

The control law (339) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \theta^T \omega + \dot{\theta}^T \xi \\ &= \theta^T \omega - \gamma \xi^T R \xi e_a, \end{aligned}$$

which clearly shows a feedback of the tracking error  $e_a$ . This is the feature that accounts for the algorithm remarkable tracking error dynamics. From (337), we obtain

$$\dot{e}_a = -(a_m + \gamma |k_p| \xi^T R \xi) e_a + |k_p| \tilde{\theta}^T \omega.$$

Here we see that the feedback term  $\gamma \xi^T R \xi e_a$  acts in the way to increase the convergence rate of  $e_a$  and to attenuate the effect of the term  $\tilde{\theta}^T \omega$ .

The control mismatch is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{u} &= u - \theta^{*T} \omega \\ &= \tilde{\theta}^T \omega + \dot{\theta}^T \xi.\end{aligned}$$

This means that it is possible to attain  $\tilde{u} \approx 0$  and, consequently,  $e_a \approx 0$  even with large  $\tilde{\theta}^T \omega$ . In other words,  $e_a \approx 0$  when  $\tilde{\theta}^T \omega \approx -\dot{\theta}^T \xi$ . This feature is illustrated by simulation results in ([Costa, 2020](#)).

## 12.4 THE MIMO CASE

The LS-MRAC algorithm presented in the previous section is now extended to the MIMO case. This extension is achieved quite straightforwardly by applying the design procedure of the SISO case to the MIMO MRAC algorithm introduced in ([Costa et al., 2003](#)).

## 12.4.1 PRELIMINARIES

In the MIMO case the plant and the reference model are modeled as

$$y = P(s) u, \quad P(s) = K_p N(s) D^{-1}(s), \quad (358)$$

$$y_m = M(s) r, \quad (359)$$

where  $P(s)$  and  $M(s)$  are  $m \times m$  transfer matrices, and  $y, u, y_m, r \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . The high frequency gain matrix  $K_p$  and the coefficients of the  $m \times m$  polynomial matrices  $D(s)$  and  $N(s)$  are unknown.

The following assumptions regarding  $P(s)$  are required:

- 1)** the observability index  $\nu$  of  $P(s)$  is known,
- 2)**  $P(s)$  has relative degree 1,
- 3)** the transmission zeros of  $P(s)$  have negative real parts,
- 4)** the signs of the leading principal minors  $\{\Delta_i\}_{i=1}^m$  of the matrix  $K_p$  are known, and

**5)** upper and lower bounds for  $\{|\Delta_i|\}_{i=1}^m$  are known.

Assumption 5) is a counterpart of a similar assumption made for the SISO LS-MRAC. In view of assumption 2),  $M(s)$  is chosen as

$$M(s) = \text{diag} \left\{ \frac{1}{s + a_i} \right\}_{i=1}^m, \quad a_i > 0, \quad (360)$$

which can be realized by  $\{A, I, I\}$  where

$$A = \text{diag} \{ -a_i \}_{i=1}^m. \quad (361)$$

The *state variable filters* are given by

$$\dot{v}_{1,i} = \Lambda v_{1,i} + g u_i, \quad v_{1,i} \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu-1}, \quad (362)$$

$$\dot{v}_{2,i} = \Lambda v_{2,i} + g y_i, \quad v_{2,i} \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu-1}, \quad (363)$$

$$v_1^T = [v_{1,1}^T \quad v_{1,2}^T \quad \cdots \quad v_{1,m}^T] \in \mathbb{R}^{m(\nu-1)},$$

$$v_2^T = [v_{2,1}^T \quad v_{2,2}^T \quad \cdots \quad v_{2,m}^T] \in \mathbb{R}^{m(\nu-1)},$$

where  $\Lambda$  is a Hurwitz matrix. The regressor vector is set as

$$\omega^T = [v_1^T \ v_2^T \ y^T \ r^T] \in \mathbb{R}^{2m\nu}.$$

If  $P(s)$  is known, then a control law which achieves matching between the closed-loop transfer matrix and  $M(s)$ , i.e.  $y = P(s)u^* = M(s)r = y_m$ , is given by (Tao, 2003)

$$u^* = \theta_1^{*T}v_1 + \theta_2^{*T}v_2 + \theta_3^*y + \theta_4^*r = \theta^{*T}\omega, \quad (364)$$

where  $\theta^{*T} = [\theta_1^{*T} \ \theta_2^{*T} \ \theta_3^* \ \theta_4^*]$ ,  $\theta_1^*, \theta_2^* \in \mathbb{R}^{m(\nu-1) \times m}$ ,  $\theta_3^* \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ , and  $\theta_4^* = K_p^{-1}$ .

The dynamics of the tracking error is given by (Tao, 2003, p. 201)

$$e_0 = M(s)K_p [u - \theta^{*T}\omega]. \quad (365)$$

Except for the fact that  $M(s)$  and  $K_p$  are matrices and  $e_0$  and  $u$  are vectors, this MIMO error equation has the same form as the SISO error equation (335). Another important difference with respect to the SISO case is that, in general, the MIMO case lacks the uniqueness of the matching parameter  $\theta^*$ .

## 12.4.2 $SDU$ FACTORIZATION

The MIMO MRAC algorithm proposed in (Costa et al., 2003) relies on a control parametrization derived from an  $SDU$  factorization of the matrix  $K_p$ . The following Lemma is central for this algorithm. It assures the existence of a  $SDU$  factorization.

**Lemma 1** *Every  $m \times m$  real matrix  $K_p$  with nonzero leading principal minors  $\{\Delta_i\}_{i=1}^m$  can be factored as*

$$K_p = SDU, \quad (366)$$

*where  $S$  is symmetric positive definite,  $D$  is diagonal, and  $U$  is unity upper triangular.*

**Proof 1** (From (Costa et al., 2003).)

*Since all  $\Delta_i$  are nonzero, there exists a unique factorization (?),*

$$K_p = L_p D_p U_p, \quad (367)$$

where  $L_p$  is unity lower triangular,  $U_p$  is unity upper triangular, and

$$D_p = \text{diag} \left\{ \Delta_1, \frac{\Delta_2}{\Delta_1}, \dots, \frac{\Delta_m}{\Delta_{m-1}} \right\}. \quad (368)$$

Factoring  $D_p$  as

$$D_p = D_+ D, \quad (369)$$

where  $D_+$  is a diagonal matrix with positive entries, (367) is rewritten as

$$K_p = (L_p D_+ L_p^T) D (D^{-1} L_p^{-T} D U_p),$$

so that (366) is satisfied by

$$S = L_p D_+ L_p^T, \quad (370)$$

$$U = D^{-1} L_p^{-T} D U_p. \quad (371)$$

In the SISO case, the unknown term  $|k_p|$  is easily absorbed by the error model, that is, for a given SPR model  $M(s)$ ,  $|k_p|M(s)$  is still SPR. The idea in the MIMO case is similar. However, a symmetry condition also shows up. Thus, only the factor  $S$  can be absorbed, that is, only  $M(s)S$  can remain SPR.

### 12.4.3 CONTROL PARAMETRIZATION

Applying the  $SDU$  factorization (366), the error equation (365) is rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} e_0 &= M(s)SDU[u - \theta^{*T}\omega] \\ &= M(s)SD[Uu - U\theta^{*T}\omega] \\ &= M(s)SD[u - U\theta^{*T}\omega - (I - U)u]. \end{aligned} \quad (372)$$

Note that the matrix  $(I - U)$  is strictly upper triangular. Therefore, the control signal  $u$  can be defined as a function of  $(I - U)u$  without given rise to any static loop since no individual control signal  $u_i$  would depend on itself.

The unknown  $U$  is incorporated in the parametrization by introducing the parameter vector  $\Theta^*$  via the identity

$$\Theta^{*T}\Omega \equiv U\theta^{*T}\omega + (I - U)u, \quad (373)$$

where

$$\Theta^{*T} = [\Theta_1^{*T} \quad \Theta_2^{*T} \quad \dots \quad \Theta_m^{*T}]^T, \quad (374)$$

$$\Omega^T = \text{diag}\{\Omega_i^T\}_{i=1}^m, \quad (375)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_1^T &= [\omega^T \quad u_2 \quad u_3 \quad \dots \quad u_m], \\ \Omega_2^T &= [\omega^T \quad u_3 \quad \dots \quad u_m], \\ &\vdots \\ \Omega_{m-1}^T &= [\omega^T \quad u_m], \\ \Omega_m^T &= [\omega^T]. \end{aligned} \quad (376)$$

Each vector  $\Theta_i^{*T}$  concatenates the  $i$ -th row of the matrix  $U\theta^{*T}$  and also the non zero entries of the  $i$ -th row of  $(I - U)$ . The error equation (372) has thus been brought to the form

$$e_0 = M(s)S D [u - \Omega^T \Theta^*]. \quad (377)$$

Note that this parametrization introduces  $m(m-1)/2$  additional adaptive parameters.

## 12.4.4 SPR PROPERTY

It is fundamental that  $M(s)S$  satisfy the SPR property. This means that a minimal realization  $\{A, S, I\}$  of  $M(s)S$  should satisfy the Popov-Kalman-Yakubovich (PKY) Lemma (?)

$$A^T P + PA = -2Q, \quad (378)$$

$$PS = I, \quad (379)$$

with  $P = P^T > 0$  and  $Q = Q^T > 0$ . In (Costa et al., 2003) this property is ensured by the following Lemma.

**Lemma 2** For any  $A = \text{diag}\{-a_i\}_{i=1}^m$ ,  $a_i > 0$ , and any  $m \times m$  unity lower triangular matrix  $L_p$ , there is a matrix  $D_+ = \text{diag}\{d_i^+\}_{i=1}^m$ ,  $d_i^+ > 0$ , such that  $(sI - A)^{-1}S$  is SPR with  $S = L_p D_+ L_p^T$ .

The proof is fairly simple (see (Costa et al., 2003)). It relies on the fact that the factor  $S$  is not unique and can be scaled by a matrix  $D_+$ . From (379), it follows that

$P = S^{-1}$ . Then, from (378), the condition

$$2Q = -(AS^{-1} + S^{-1}A) > 0 \quad (380)$$

is satisfied by consecutively selecting  $d_m^+, d_{m-1}^+, \dots, d_1^+$ , such that the leading principal minors of  $Q$  are all positive.

An alternative parametrization of the matrix  $D_+$  is proposed in Lemma 3 bellow. This result will be useful to conclude the stability of the MIMO extension of the LS-MRAC algorithm developed in the next.

**Lemma 3** *For any  $A = \text{diag}\{-a_i\}_{i=1}^m$ ,  $a_i > 0$ , and any  $m \times m$  unity lower triangular matrix  $L_p$ , there is a positive constant  $d^*$  such that*

$$2Q = -(AS^{-1} + S^{-1}A) > 0$$

with  $S = L_p D_+ L_p^T$  and

$$D_+ = \text{diag}\{1, d_+^2, d_+^4, \dots, d_+^{2(m-1)}\}, \quad \forall d_+ > d^*.$$

**Proof 2** Using the factorization  $D_+ = D_+^{1/2}D_+^{1/2}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 2Q &= -S^{-1}(SA + AS)S^{-1} \\
 &= -S^{-1}(L_p D_+ L_p^T A + A L_p D_+ L_p^T)S^{-1} \\
 &= -S^{-1}D_+^{1/2}(D_+^{-1/2}L_p D_+^{1/2}D_+^{1/2}L_p^T D_+^{-1/2}A + \\
 &\quad + AD_+^{-1/2}L_p D_+^{1/2}D_+^{1/2}L_p^T D_+^{-1/2})D_+^{1/2}S^{-1} \\
 &= -S^{-1}D_+^{1/2}(L_+ L_+^T A + A L_+ L_+^T)D_+^{1/2}S^{-1}, \tag{381}
 \end{aligned}$$

where,

$$L_+ = D_+^{-1/2}L_p D_+^{1/2}. \tag{382}$$

Denoting the matrix  $L_p$  as

$$L_p = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \ell_{21} & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \ell_{31} & \ell_{32} & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \ell_{m1} & \ell_{m2} & \ell_{m3} & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

we get the following pattern from (382)

$$L_+ = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \frac{\ell_{21}}{d_+} & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \frac{\ell_{31}}{d_+^2} & \frac{\ell_{32}}{d_+} & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \frac{\ell_{41}}{d_+^3} & \frac{\ell_{42}}{d_+^2} & \frac{\ell_{43}}{d_+} & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\ell_{m1}}{d_+^{m-1}} & \frac{\ell_{m2}}{d_+^{m-2}} & \frac{\ell_{m3}}{d_+^{m-3}} & \frac{\ell_{m4}}{d_+^{m-4}} & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

which clearly shows that

$$\lim_{d_+ \rightarrow \infty} L_+ = I.$$

This means that for a sufficiently large  $d_+$ ,  $L_+ L_+^T$  is diagonal dominant. Consequently,

*there exists  $d^* > 0$  such that*

$$L_+ L_+^T A + A L_+ L_+^T < 0, \quad \forall d_+ > d^*,$$

*and, as a result,  $Q > 0$ .*

## 12.4.5 DESIGN PROCEDURE

Following the steps of the SISO case design, the control law is chosen as

$$u = L(s)[\Xi^T \Theta] = \Omega^T \Theta + \Xi^T \dot{\Theta}, \quad (383)$$

where

$$L(s) = (s + \ell_0) I, \quad (384)$$

with  $\ell_0 > 0$  and  $I \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ , and

$$\Xi^T = L^{-1}(s)\Omega^T. \quad (385)$$

Applying the control (383), the error equation (377) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} e_0 &= M(s)L(s)SD[\Xi^T \Theta - \Xi^T \Theta^*] \\ &= M(s)L(s)SD[\Xi^T \tilde{\Theta}], \end{aligned} \quad (386)$$

where  $\tilde{\Theta} = \Theta - \Theta^*$ . Now, introduce the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} M(s)L(s) &= \text{diag}\left\{\frac{s + \ell_0}{s + a_i}\right\}_{i=1}^m \\ &= \text{diag}\left\{\frac{\alpha_i}{s + a_i} + 1\right\}_{i=1}^m \\ &= \mathcal{A}M(s) + I, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{A} = \text{diag}\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^m, \quad \alpha_i = \ell_0 - a_i.$$

Then, the error equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} e_0 &= (\mathcal{A}M(s) + I)SD[\Xi^T \tilde{\Theta}] \\ &= M(s)\mathcal{A}SD[\Xi^T \tilde{\Theta}] + SD[\Xi^T \tilde{\Theta}]. \end{aligned} \tag{387}$$

The design parameter  $\ell_0$  can be selected such that

$$\mathcal{A} = \ell_0 I + A > 0.$$

However, the matrix  $\mathcal{A}S$  is not symmetric in general. Symmetry is ensured only when  $\mathcal{A}$  and, consequently,  $M(s)$  are identity matrices, a restriction that we want to avoid. To preserve the symmetry property, the error equation is rewritten as

$$\mathcal{A}^{-1}e_0 = M(s)SD[\Xi^T\tilde{\Theta}] + \mathcal{A}^{-1}SD[\Xi^T\tilde{\Theta}]. \quad (388)$$

The total order of the system comprising the plant (358) and filters (362)-(363) and (385) is  $N = n + 4m\nu - m - 1$ . Note that we need to filter only  $\Omega_1$  to form  $\Xi$ . Then, defining the error vector  $e \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , we can write the following non-minimal state space realization of (388)

$$\dot{e} = A_m e + B_m D[\Xi^T\tilde{\Theta}], \quad (389)$$

$$\mathcal{A}^{-1}e_0 = C_m e + \mathcal{A}^{-1}SD[\Xi^T\tilde{\Theta}], \quad (390)$$

where  $M(s)S = C_m(sI - A_m)^{-1}B_m$ ,  $C_mB_m = S$ , and  $\{A_m, B_m, C_m\}$  satisfies the MKY Lemma

$$A_m^T P' + P' A_m = -2Q', \quad (391)$$

$$P' B_m = C_m^T, \quad (392)$$

with  $P' = P'^T > 0$  and  $Q' = Q'^T > 0$ . Consider the first Lyapunov function

$$2V_1(e) = e^T P' e. \quad (393)$$

Using (391), the derivative of  $V_1$  along the trajectories of (389) is given by

$$\dot{V}_1 = -e^T Q' e + \tilde{\Theta}^T \Xi D B_m^T P' e.$$

From (392) and (390) one has that

$$B_m^T P' e = C_m e = \mathcal{A}^{-1} e_0 - \mathcal{A}^{-1} S D \Xi^T \tilde{\Theta}, \quad (394)$$

which, after replaced in the above  $\dot{V}_1$  expression, gives

$$\dot{V}_1 = -e^T Q' e + \tilde{\Theta}^T \Xi D \mathcal{A}^{-1} e_0 - \tilde{\Theta}^T \Xi D Q_2 D \Xi^T \tilde{\Theta}, \quad (395)$$

where

$$Q_2 = (\mathcal{A}^{-1} S + S \mathcal{A}^{-1})/2 \quad (396)$$

is the symmetric part of  $\mathcal{A}^{-1} S$ . Now define the matrix

$$\mathbb{D} = \text{diag}\{d_i \alpha_i^{-1} I_i\}_{i=1}^m, \quad (397)$$

where  $d_i$  are the diagonal elements of matrix  $D$ ,  $I_i \in \mathbb{R}^{N_i \times N_i}$ ,  $N_i = (2m\nu + m - i)$ , and consider a second Lyapunov function

$$2V_2(e, \tilde{\Theta}) = 2\gamma V_1(e) + \tilde{\Theta}^T |\mathbb{D}| R^{-1}(t) \tilde{\Theta}, \quad \gamma > 0, \quad (398)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R(t) &= \text{diag}\{R_i(t)\}_{i=1}^m, \\ R_i(0) &= R_i(0)^T > 0, \quad R_i(0) \in \mathbb{R}^{N_i \times N_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $|\mathbb{D}|R^{-1} = R^{-1}|\mathbb{D}|$ . Using the fact that  $\dot{R}^{-1} = -R^{-1}\dot{R}R^{-1}$ , the derivative of  $V_2$  results

$$\dot{V}_2 = \gamma \dot{V}_1 + \tilde{\Theta}^T |\mathbb{D}| R^{-1} \dot{\Theta} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\Theta}^T |\mathbb{D}| R^{-1} \dot{R} R^{-1} \tilde{\Theta}. \quad (399)$$

In view of the above equation, we choose the update law

$$\dot{R} = -R \Xi \Xi^T R. \quad (400)$$

Since  $\Xi$  is block diagonal, then  $\Xi \Xi^T$  is also block diagonal. Hence, for  $R(0)$  block diagonal,  $\dot{R}$  and  $R$  result block diagonal.

Thus, the derivative (399) becomes

$$\dot{V}_2 = \gamma \dot{V}_1 + \tilde{\Theta}^T |\mathbb{D}| R^{-1} \dot{\Theta} + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\Theta}^T |\mathbb{D}| \Xi \Xi^T \tilde{\Theta}. \quad (401)$$

Now, using the fact that  $\mathbb{D}\Xi = \Xi D\mathcal{A}^{-1}$ ,  $\dot{V}_2$  becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_2 &= -\gamma e^T Q' e + \gamma \tilde{\Theta}^T \mathbb{D} \Xi e_0 + \tilde{\Theta}^T |\mathbb{D}| R^{-1} \dot{\Theta} - \\ &\quad - \gamma \tilde{\Theta}^T \Xi D Q_2 D \Xi^T \tilde{\Theta} + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\Theta}^T \Xi |D| \mathcal{A}^{-1} \Xi^T \tilde{\Theta} \\ &= -\gamma e^T Q' e + \tilde{\Theta}^T |\mathbb{D}| \left( \gamma \text{sign}(\mathbb{D}) \Xi e_0 + R^{-1} \dot{\Theta} \right) - \\ &\quad - \tilde{\Theta}^T \Xi D \left( \gamma Q_2 - \frac{1}{2} |D|^{-1} \mathcal{A}^{-1} \right) D \Xi^T \tilde{\Theta}, \end{aligned} \quad (402)$$

which, upon setting the update law

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\Theta} &= -\gamma R \text{sign}(\mathbb{D}) \Xi e_0 \\ &= -\gamma R \Xi \text{sign}(D) e_0, \end{aligned} \quad (403)$$

is reduced to

$$\dot{V}_2 = -\gamma e^T Q' e - \tilde{\Theta}^T \Xi D \left( \gamma Q_2 - \frac{1}{2} |D|^{-1} \mathcal{A}^{-1} \right) D \Xi^T \tilde{\Theta}. \quad (404)$$

## 12.4.6 SUMMARY OF THE MIMO LS-MRAC

Table 2 summarizes the MIMO LS-MRAC algorithm.

Tracking error	$e_0 = y - y_m$
SV filters	$\dot{v}_{1,i} = \Lambda v_{1,i} + gu_i \quad (362)$ $\dot{v}_{2,i} = \Lambda v_{2,i} + gy_i \quad (363)$ $v_1^T = [v_{1,1}^T \ v_{1,2}^T \ \cdots \ v_{1,m}^T]$ $v_2^T = [v_{2,1}^T \ v_{2,2}^T \ \cdots \ v_{2,m}^T]$ $\omega^T = [v_1^T \ y^T \ v_2^T \ r^T]$ $\Omega_i^T = [\omega^T \ u_{i+1} \ \cdots \ u_m] \quad (376)$ $\Omega^T = \text{diag}\{\Omega_i^T\}_{i=1}^m \quad (375)$
$\Xi$ -filter	$\dot{\Xi} = -\ell_0 \Xi + \Omega \quad (385)$ $\ell_0 > a_i, \quad \forall i \in [1, m]$
Control	$u = \Omega^T \Theta + \Xi^T \dot{\Theta} \quad (383)$
Update laws	$\dot{\Theta} = -\gamma R \Xi \text{ sign}(D) e_0 \quad (403)$ $\dot{R} = -R \Xi \Xi^T R \quad (400)$ $R(0) = \text{diag}\{R_i(0)\}_{i=1}^m$ $R_i(0) = R_i^T(0) > 0$ $R_i(0) \in \mathbb{R}^{N_i \times N_i}$

**Tabela 2:** MIMO LS-MRAC algorithm.

The MIMO version of the M-MRAC algorithm described in ([Costa, 2020](#)) can be obtained from Table 2 by making

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{R} &= 0, \\ R(0) &= \gamma^{-1} \operatorname{diag}\{\Gamma_i\}_{i=1}^m, \\ \Gamma_i &= \Gamma_i^T > 0, \quad \Gamma_i \in \mathbb{R}^{N_i \times N_i}.\end{aligned}$$

## 12.4.7 STABILITY

**Theorem 1** For the closed-loop system consisting of the plant (358), reference model (359), and the MIMO LS-MRAC algorithm summarized in Table 2, if

$$\gamma > \frac{1}{2} \max_i (|d_{p_i}|^{-1}), \quad i \in [1, m], \quad (405)$$

where  $\{d_{p_i}\}_{i=1}^m$  are the diagonal elements of matrix  $D_p$ , then all its signals are globally uniformly bounded and  $e, \tilde{\Theta}^T \Xi(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Proof 3** Lemma 3 ensures the existence of a constant  $d^* > 0$  such that  $Q > 0$ ,  $\forall d_+ > d^*$  and  $M(s)S$  is SPR. Similarly to (381), we write matrix  $Q_2$  as

$$2Q_2 = D_+^{1/2} (\mathcal{A}^{-1} L_+ L_+^T + L_+ L_+^T \mathcal{A}^{-1}) D_+^{1/2},$$

where  $L_+$  is given by (382). Thus, Lemma 3 also ensures the existence of a constant  $d_2^* > 0$  such that  $Q_2 > 0$ ,  $\forall d_+ > d_2^*$ . Therefore, if  $d_+ > \max\{d^*, d_2^*\}$ , then  $M(s)S$  is SPR and, simultaneously,  $Q_2 > 0$ .

Denote the matrix

$$Q_3 = \gamma Q_2 - \frac{1}{2} |D|^{-1} \mathcal{A}^{-1}. \quad (406)$$

Using (369), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2Q_3 = D_+^{1/2} & \left[ \gamma (\mathcal{A}^{-1} L_+ L_+^T + L_+ L_+^T \mathcal{A}^{-1}) - \right. \\ & \left. - |D_p|^{-1} \mathcal{A}^{-1} \right] D_+^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lim_{d_+ \rightarrow \infty} L_+ L_+^T = I$ , we have that, in the limit,

$$2Q_3 = D_+^{1/2} [2\gamma \mathcal{A}^{-1} - |D_p|^{-1} \mathcal{A}^{-1}] D_+^{1/2}.$$

Therefore, if conditions (405) is satisfied, then  $Q_3 > 0$  and

$$\dot{V}_2(e, \tilde{\Theta}) = -\gamma e^T Q' e - \tilde{\Theta}^T \Xi D Q_3 D \Xi^T \tilde{\Theta} \leq 0. \quad (407)$$

This guarantees that  $e, \Theta \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$ , the filtered signals  $\omega, \Xi \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$ , and  $e, \tilde{\Theta}^T \Xi \in \mathcal{L}_2$ . Since  $R(0) = R^T(0) > 0$ , then from (400)

$$\dot{R}^{-1}(t) = \Xi \Xi^T,$$

which by integrating gives

$$R^{-1}(t) = R^{-1}(0) + J(t) \geq 0, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (408)$$

where

$$J(t) = \int_0^t \Xi(\tau) \Xi^T(\tau) d\tau.$$

Therefore,  $R^{-1}(t) > R^{-1}(0)$ , and so  $R(t) > 0$ ,  $\forall t \geq 0$ , and  $R \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$ . This implies that  $\dot{R}, \dot{\Theta} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$ . From (398) and (408),

$$2V_2(e, \tilde{\Theta}) = 2\gamma V_1(e) + \tilde{\Theta}^T |\mathbb{D}| R^{-1}(0) \tilde{\Theta} + \tilde{\Theta}^T |\mathbb{D}| J(t) \tilde{\Theta}.$$

Since  $V_2 \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$ , then the term  $\tilde{\Theta}^T |\mathbb{D}| R^{-1}(0) \tilde{\Theta} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$  and, consequently,  $\Theta \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$ . Taking the equations in (376), from the bottom up, we easily get that ( $\Omega_m \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$ )  $\Rightarrow$

$(u_m \in \mathcal{L}_\infty) \Rightarrow (\Omega_{m-1} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty) \Rightarrow (u_{m-1} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty) \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow (u_2 \in \mathcal{L}_\infty) \Rightarrow (\Omega_1 \in \mathcal{L}_\infty) \Rightarrow (u_1 \in \mathcal{L}_\infty)$ . This allows to conclude that  $\Omega, \dot{\Xi} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$ , and  $u \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$ . Therefore, all system signals are globally uniformly bounded.

Moreover, since  $e, \tilde{\Theta}^T \Xi \in \mathcal{L}_2 \cap \mathcal{L}_\infty$  and  $\dot{e}, \frac{d}{dt} \tilde{\Theta}^T \Xi \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$  then, from Barbălat Lemma (Tao, 2003, p. 81), it follows that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e = 0$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\Theta}^T \Xi = 0$ .

## 12.5 SIMULATION RESULTS

To illustrate the improvement introduced by the presented MIMO algorithms two plants are considered (?). The first example corresponds to the simplest possible 2I2O adaptive control problem that appears in visual servoing with an uncalibrated camera. The system is described by

$$P(s) = \text{diag} \left\{ \frac{1}{s+2}, \frac{1}{s+2} \right\} K_p, \quad (409)$$

$$K_p = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \phi & \sin \phi \\ -h \sin \phi & h \cos \phi \end{bmatrix}, \quad (410)$$

$$M(s) = \text{diag} \left\{ \frac{2}{s+2}, \frac{2}{s+2} \right\}, \quad (411)$$

where the only unknown parameters are  $\phi$  and  $h$ . The simulation results are obtained with  $\phi = 1$  and  $h = 0.5$ . The corresponding matching parameter for this system is  $\Theta_1^{*T} = [0.54 \ -1.68 \ 0]$ ,  $\Theta_2^{*T} = [0.84 \ 1.08]$ ,  $\|\Theta^*\| = 2.34$ .

The second example is a 2I2O plant of third order. The simulations are performed

with

$$P(s) = \frac{1}{s^2 - 1} \begin{bmatrix} s + 3 & 2s \\ -2s - 4 & s + 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (412)$$

$$M(s) = \text{diag} \left\{ \frac{1}{s+1}, \frac{2}{s+2} \right\}. \quad (413)$$

This plant has poles at  $s = \{1, 1, -1\}$ , transmission zero at  $s = -1.8$ , and

$$K_p = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This case requires 17 parameters to be adapted, that is,  $\Omega_1 \in \mathbb{R}^9$ , and  $\Omega_2 \in \mathbb{R}^8$ . For all simulations


$$\begin{aligned} y_p(0) &= [1 \ 1]^T, & y_M(0) &= [0 \ 0]^T, \\ r(t) &= [1 + 10 \sin(5t) \quad -1 + 5 \sin(3t)]^T. \end{aligned}$$

All initial conditions not mentioned are zero.


SIMULATION 1. For comparison, Fig. 140 shows the simulation results of the plant (409) with the MIMO MRAC algorithm of (Costa et al., 2003). The following data is used:

$$\Gamma = 10 I .$$

Note the large transient tracking error behavior. The plane view given by Fig. 140(e) makes clear that this error is quite unacceptable. Increasing the gain  $\Gamma$  in this algorithm does not help.



**Figura 140:** Simulation result of the first order plant (409) with the MIMO MRAC algorithm.




**Figura 141:** Simulation result of the first order plant (409) with the MIMO MRAC algorithm.

SIMULATION 2. Figure 142 shows the simulation results of the plant (409) with the MIMO M-MRAC algorithm summarized in Table 2 and remark ???. The following data are used:


$$\ell_0 = 3, \quad \Gamma = 500 I.$$

The tracking error transient and the control mismatch are remarkably improved. Here we can verify the effect of a large adaptation gain  $\Gamma$ , as pointed out in Remarks ?? and ???. Large  $\Gamma$  reduces the tracking error and the control mismatch, but also reduces the convergence rate of the parameter (due to small  $e_0$ ).


a)




b)



c)



d)




SIMULATION 3. Figure 143 shows the simulation results of the plant (409) with the MIMO LS-MRAC algorithm summarized in Table 2. The following data are used:


$$\ell_0 = 3, \quad \gamma = 50, \quad R(0) = 20I.$$

Note the fast and smooth convergence of the parameter even when employing large gains.


a)




b)



c)




d)




SIMULATION 4. Figure 144 shows the simulation results of the plant (412) with the MIMO LS-MRAC algorithm. This is a case with 17 parameters. The following data are used:

$$\begin{aligned}\nu &= 2, \quad \ell_0 = 2, \quad \Lambda = -2I, \quad g = I, \\ \gamma &= 100, \quad R(0) = 20I.\end{aligned}$$


a)



b)



c)



## 12.6 CONCLUSION

- The MIMO LS-MRAC inherits the remarkable tracking error performance of the SISO version.
- The parameter convergence cannot be guaranteed even with persistence of excitation, since in the MIMO case the control parameter lacks uniqueness in general.
- However, the behavior of the parameter is much better than the original MIMO MRAC algorithm (Costa et al., 2003).
- The implementation is more elaborated. It requires upper and lower bounds for the leading principal minors of the HFG matrix  $K_p$ .
- One possible solution is to combine/composite the control algorithm with an identifier algorithm (Costa, 2023).

(...)



# REFERÊNCIAS

- Anuradha M. Annaswamy and Alexander L. Fradkov. A historical perspective of adaptive control and learning. *Annual Reviews in Control*, 2021.
- Girish Chowdhary and Eric Johnson. Least squares based modification for adaptive control. In *49th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control (CDC)*, pages 1767–1772. IEEE, 2010.
- Ramon R. Costa. Lyapunov design of least-squares model reference adaptive control. In *1st Virtual IFAC World Congress (IFAC-V 2020)*, Berlin, Germany, July 11-17 2020.
- Ramon R. Costa. Model-reference adaptive control with high-order parameter tuners. In *American Control Conference (ACC2022)*, Atlanta, USA, June 08-10 2022.
- Ramon R. Costa. Composite model-reference adaptive control with least-squares estimator. In *IFAC World Congress*, Yokohama, Japan, July 09-14 2023.
- Ramon R. Costa. Least-squares model-reference adaptive control with high-order parameter tuners. *Automatica*, 163: 111544, 2024.
- Ramon R. Costa, Liu Hsu, Alvaro K. Imai, and Petar Kokotović. Lyapunov-based adaptive control of MIMO systems. *Automatica*, 39(7):1251–1257, Jul. 2003. [\[doi\]](#).
- Dimitrios Dimogianopoulos and Rogelio Lozano. Adaptive control for linear time-varying systems using direct least squares estimation. In *Proceedings of the 38th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, volume 4, pages 3309–3314. IEEE, 1999.

- Manuel A. Duarte and Kumpati S. Narendra. Combined direct and indirect approach to adaptive control. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 34(10):1071–1075, 1989.
- S. Evesque, A. M. Annaswamy, S. Niculescu, and A. P. Dowling. Adaptive control of a class of time-delay systems. *J. Dyn. Sys., Meas., Control*, 125(2):186–193, 2003.
- Thor I. Fossen and Jann Peter Strand. Passive nonlinear observer design for ships using lyapunov methods: Full-scale experiments with a supply vessel. *Automatica*, 35(1):3–16, 1999.
- Joseph E. Gaudio, Anuradha M. Annaswamy, José M. Moreu, Michael A. Bolender, and Travis E. Gibson. Accelerated learning with robustness to adversarial regressors. In *Learning for Dynamics and Control*, pages 636–650. PMLR, 2021.
- G. C. Goodwin and D. Q. Mayne. A parameter estimation perspective of continuous time model reference adaptive control. *Automatica*, 3(1):57–70, 1987.
- Petros A. Ioannou and Jing Sun. *Robust Adaptive Control*. Prentice Hall PTR, 1996.
- Iasson Karafyllis and Miroslav Krstic. Adaptive certainty-equivalence control with regulation-triggered finite-time least-squares identification. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 63(10):3261–3275, 2018.
- Gerhard Kreisselmeier. Adaptive observers with exponential rate of convergence. *IEEE transactions on automatic control*, 22(1):2–8, 1977. [\[doi\]](#).
- M. Krstić, I. Kanellakopoulos, and P. Kokotović. *Nonlinear and Adaptive Control Design*. John Wiley & Sons, 1995.
- Miroslav Krstic. On using least-squares updates without regressor filtering in identification and adaptive control of nonlinear systems. *Automatica*, 45(3):731–735, 2009.
- Wuquan Li and Miroslav Krstic. Stochastic adaptive nonlinear control with filterless least-squares. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 2020.

- R. V. Monopoli. Model reference adaptive control with an augmented error signal. *IEEE Trans. Aut. Contr.*, 19(5):474–484, Oct. 1974. [\[doi\]](#).
- José M. Moreu and Anuradha M. Annaswamy. A stable high-order tuner for general convex functions. *IEEE Control Systems Letters*, 6:566–571, 2021.
- A. Stephen Morse. High-order parameter tuners for the adaptive control of linear and nonlinear systems. In *Systems, Models and Feedback: Theory and Applications*, pages 339–364. Springer, 1992.
- D. R. Mudgett. High-order parameter adjustment laws for adaptive stabilization. In *Proc. 1987 Conf. Info. Sci. Sys.*, 1987.
- David Richard Mudgett. *Problems in parameter adaptive control*. PhD thesis, Yale University, 1988.
- Kumpati S. Narendra and Anuradha M. Annaswamy. *Stable Adaptive Systems*. Prentice-Hall, 1989.
- Kumpati S. Narendra and Lena S. Valavani. Stable adaptive controller design - direct control. *IEEE Trans. Aut. Contr.*, 23(8):570–583, 1978. [\[doi\]](#).
- Vladimir O. Nikiforov, Dmitry Gerasimov, and Artem Pashenko. Modular adaptive backstepping design with a high-order tuner. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 2021.
- R. Ortega. On Morse's new adaptive controller: Parameter convergence and transient performance. *IEEE Trans. Aut. Contr.*, 38(8), Aug. 1993.
- Yongping Pan, Tairen Sun, and Haoyong Yu. On parameter convergence in least squares identification and adaptive control. *International Journal of Robust and Nonlinear Control*, 29(10):2898–2911, 2019.

- Patrick C. Parks. A new proof of the Routh-Hurwitz stability criterion using the second method of Liapunov. In *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, volume 58, pages 694–702. Cambridge University Press, 1962.
- N. N. Puri and C. N. Weygandt. Second method of Liapunov and Routh’s canonical form. *Journal of the Franklin Institute*, 276(5):365–384, 1963.
- S. S. Sastry and M. Bodson. *Adaptive Control: Stability, Convergence and Robustness*. Prentice Hall, 1989.
- J. J. E. Slotine and Weiping Li. *Applied Nonlinear Control*. Prentice–Hall International, Inc., 1991.
- Jean-Jacques E. Slotine and Weiping Li. Adaptive robot control: A new perspective. In *26th IEEE conference on decision and control*, volume 26, pages 192–198. IEEE, 1987.
- Jean-Jacques E. Slotine and Weiping Li. Composite adaptive control of robot manipulators. *Automatica*, 25(4):509–519, 1989.
- Gilbert Strang. *Introduction to Linear Algebra*. Wellesley-Cambridge Press, 5th edition, 2016.
- Gang Tao. *Adaptive Control Design and Analysis*. John Wiley & Sons, 2003.
- Li Wang and David R. Mudgett. Improvement of transient response in adaptive control using modified high order tuning. In *Proc. American Contr. Conf.*, pages 282–286, San Francisco, June 1993.
- Fuzhen Zhang. *The Schur Complement and Its Applications*. Springer, 2005.
- Yang Zhu, Miroslav Krstic, Hongye Su, and Chao Xu. Linear backstepping output feedback control for uncertain linear systems. *International Journal of Adaptive Control and Signal Processing*, 30(8-10):1080–1098, 2016. [\[doi\]](#).