

As shown in Figure 6,  $A^D = \phi$  for  $A = \phi$ , and  $A^D = [a + \delta, \infty)$  for  $A = [a, \infty)$  with  $a \in (-\infty, \infty)$ . Then,  $\mu_0(A) - \mu_1(A^D) = 0$  for  $A = \phi$ , while  $\mu_0(A) - \mu_1(A^D) = F_1(a + \delta) - F_0(a)$  for  $A = [a, \infty)$ . Therefore, the RHS in (6) reduces to

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbb{R}} \max[F_1(a + \delta) - F_0(a), 0],$$

which is equal to the Makarov lower bound. One can derive the Makarov upper bound in the same way.

Now consider the support restriction  $\Pr((Y_0, Y_1) \in C) = 1$ . Note that this restriction is linear in the entire joint distribution  $\pi$ , since it can be rewritten as  $\int \mathbf{1}_C(y_0, y_1) d\pi = 1$ . The linearity makes it possible to handle this restriction with penalty. In particular, since support restrictions hold with probability one, the corresponding penalty is infinite. Therefore, one can embed  $1 - \mathbf{1}_C(y_0, y_1)$  into the cost function with an infinite multiplier  $\lambda = \infty$  as follows:

$$\inf_{\pi \in \Pi(\mu_0, \mu_1)} \int \{\mathbf{1}\{y_1 - y_0 < \delta\} + \lambda(1 - \mathbf{1}_C(y_0, y_1))\} d\pi \quad (9)$$

The minimization problem (9) is well defined with  $\lambda = \infty$  as noted in Remark 1. Note that for  $\lambda = \infty$ , any joint distribution which violates the restriction  $\Pr((Y_0, Y_1) \in C) = 1$  would cause infinite total costs in (9) and it is obviously excluded from the potential optimal joint distribution candidates. The optimal joint distribution should thus satisfy the restriction  $\Pr((Y_0, Y_1) \in C) = 1$  to avoid infinite costs by not permitting any positive probability density for the region outside of the set  $C$ . Similarly, the upper bound on the DTE is written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\pi \in \Pi(\mu_0, \mu_1)} \int \{\mathbf{1}\{y_1 - y_0 \leq \delta\} - \lambda(1 - \mathbf{1}_C(y_0, y_1))\} d\pi \\ &= 1 - \inf_{\pi \in \Pi(\mu_0, \mu_1)} \int \{\mathbf{1}\{y_1 - y_0 > \delta\} + \lambda(1 - \mathbf{1}_C(y_0, y_1))\} d\pi. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

To the best of my knowledge, this is the first paper that allows for  $\{0, 1, \infty\}$ -valued costs. Although the econometrics literature based on the optimal transportation approach has used Lemma 3 for  $\{0, 1\}$ -valued costs, the problem (9) cannot be solved using Lemma 3. In the next section, I develop a dual representation for (9) in order to characterize sharp bounds on the DTE.

### 3 Main Results

This section characterizes sharp DTE bounds under general support restrictions by developing a dual representation for problems (9) and (10). I use this characterization to derive sharp DTE bounds for various