

$(\ell - 1)$ -dimensional volume of the perimeter, respectively, and $\text{Int}(\sigma)$ its interior.

Lemma 2.5.7. *For any fixed center \mathbf{a} in the ℓ -simplex σ with $d < \ell \leq p = d + k$,*

$$\int_{\text{Int}(\sigma)} J_d \phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{a}) \, d\mathcal{L}^\ell(\mathbf{x}) \leq \text{diameter}(\sigma) P(\sigma).$$

Proof. Consider the $(\ell - 1)$ -dimensional faces τ_j of σ , with $\text{perimeter}(\sigma) = \{\cup_j \tau_j \mid \tau_j \in \sigma, \dim(\tau_j) = \ell - 1\}$. Let σ_j denote the ℓ -simplex generated by \mathbf{a} and τ_j . Then

$$\int_{\text{Int}(\sigma)} J_d \phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{a}) \, d\mathcal{L}^\ell(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_j \int_{\text{Int}(\sigma_j)} J_d \phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{a}) \, d\mathcal{L}^\ell(\mathbf{x}).$$

Let $\tau_j(h)$ denote the $(\ell - 1)$ -simplex formed by the intersection of σ_j and the $(\ell - 1)$ -hyperplane parallel to τ_j at a distance h from \mathbf{a} . Thus, $\tau_j(\hat{h})$ is τ_j itself. We observe that our bound on $J_d \phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{a})$ is constant in $\tau_j(h)$ for any h . The $(\ell - 1)$ -dimensional volume of $\tau_j(h)$ is given by

$$V_{\ell-1}(\tau_j(h)) = \left(\frac{h}{\hat{h}}\right)^{\ell-1} V_{\ell-1}(\tau_j).$$

Using the bound on $J_d \phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{a})$ from Lemma 2.5.6, and noting that $\text{diameter}(\sigma_j) \leq \text{diameter}(\sigma) \, \forall j$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\text{Int}(\sigma_j)} J_d \phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{a}) \, d\mathcal{L}^\ell(\mathbf{x}) &\leq \int_0^{\hat{h}} \left(\frac{h}{\hat{h}}\right)^{\ell-1} V_{\ell-1}(\tau_j) \left(\frac{\hat{h}}{h}\right)^d \frac{\text{diameter}(\sigma)}{\hat{h}} \, dh \\ &= \frac{V_{\ell-1}(\tau_j) \text{diameter}(\sigma)}{\ell - d}. \end{aligned}$$

Summing this quantity over all $\tau_j \in \text{perimeter}(\sigma)$ and replacing $\ell - d \geq 1$ with 1 gives the overall bound. \square

We now bound the integral of $J_d \phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{a})$ over centers \mathbf{a} with a fixed \mathbf{x} that we are retracting onto $\text{perimeter}(\sigma)$. Examination of the corresponding proof for the original deformation theorem [29, Section 7.7] shows that symmetry of the cubical mesh plays a very special role, which cannot be duplicated in the case of simplicial complex. In