

$$\mathcal{P}(t_1, t_2; z) = \int_{\mathcal{A}_1} d\rho_1 \int_{\mathcal{A}_2} d\rho_2 \langle \mathcal{Q} | \hat{\psi}^\dagger(\rho_2, t_2) \hat{\psi}^\dagger(\rho_1, t_1) \hat{\psi}(\rho_1, t_1) \hat{\psi}(\rho_2, t_2) | \mathcal{Q} \rangle, \quad (50)$$

where \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 are two areas in the transverse (x, y) plane surrounding waveguides W_1 and S , respectively. The correlation function $\mathcal{P}(t_1, t_2)$ is proportional to the joint probability of detecting one photon in waveguide W_1 at time t_1 , and one photon in waveguide S at time t_2 , after a propagation distance z from the input plane. In fact, $\langle \mathcal{Q} | \hat{\psi}^\dagger(\rho_2, t_2) \hat{\psi}^\dagger(\rho_1, t_1) \hat{\psi}(\rho_1, t_1) \hat{\psi}(\rho_2, t_2) | \mathcal{Q} \rangle$ is proportional to the joint probability of detecting one photon at point ρ_1 and time t_1 , and one photon at point ρ_2 and time t_2 at the same propagation distance z . The integral over the areas \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 thus gives the joint probability of detecting one photon in waveguide W_1 at time t_1 , and one photon in waveguide S at time t_2 . For photons generated by spontaneous parametric down conversion in type-I nonlinear crystal using a monochromatic pump beam at frequency $\omega_p = 2\omega$, the two-photon spectrum $C(\Omega_1, \Omega_2)$ of a pair of signal and idler photons can be expressed as [18, 34]

$$C(\Omega_1, \Omega_2) = \delta(\Omega_1 + \Omega_2) G(\Omega_1, \Omega_2) \exp \left[i \frac{\delta(\Omega_1 - \Omega_2)}{2} \right] \quad (51)$$

where $G(\Omega_1, \Omega_2)$ is the phase matching function. The last exponential term on the right hand side in Eq.(51) has been introduced to account for a possible time delay δ between signal and idler wave packets introduced by different optical paths from the crystal to waveguides W_1 and W_2 . The phase matching function $G(\Omega_1, \Omega_2)$ is assumed to be a real-valued and symmetric function [i.e. $G(\Omega_1, \Omega_2) = G(\Omega_2, \Omega_1)$], peaked at around $\Omega_1 = \Omega_2 = 0$, with e.g. a Gaussian profile [18]. Taking into account that $\hat{\psi}(\rho, t) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int d\Omega \hat{\phi}(\rho, \Omega) \exp(-i\Omega t)$, substitution of Eqs.(48) and (51) into Eq.(50), using the commutation relations $[\hat{\phi}(\rho, \Omega), \hat{a}_{1,2}^\dagger(\Omega')] = u_{1,2}(\rho) \delta(\Omega - \Omega')$, $[\hat{\phi}(\rho, \Omega), \hat{a}_3^\dagger(\Omega')] = \theta(\rho, z) \delta(\Omega - \Omega')$ and the relations $\int_{\mathcal{A}_1} d\rho |u_1(\rho)|^2 \simeq 1$, $\int_{\mathcal{A}_2} d\rho |\theta(\rho, z)|^2 \simeq 1$, $\int_{\mathcal{A}_{1,2}} d\rho |u_{2,1}(\rho)|^2 = \int_{\mathcal{A}_1} d\rho |\theta(\rho, z)|^2 = \int_{\mathcal{A}_2} d\rho |u_2(\rho)|^2 \simeq 0$, after some lengthy but straightforward calculations one obtains

$$\mathcal{P}(t_1, t_2; z) = |r(\tau + \delta) S_{11}(z) S_{23}(z) + r(\tau - \delta) S_{13}(z) S_{21}(z)|^2 \quad (52)$$

where $\tau = t_2 - t_1$ and where we introduced the real-valued correlation function $r(\tau)$ defined by

$$r(\tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\Omega G(\Omega, -\Omega) \exp(-i\Omega\tau). \quad (53)$$

In practice, coincidence measurements correspond to an integration of $\mathcal{P}(t_1, t_2; z)$ with respect to the time dif-

ference $\tau = t_2 - t_1$ over the resolving coincidence time, which is typically much longer than the correlation time τ_c of $g(\tau)$. Integrating Eq.(52) with respect to τ from $-\infty$ to ∞ and taking into account that $S_{21} = S_{12}$ and $|S_{23}|^2 = |S_{13}|^2 = 1 - |S_{11}|^2 - |S_{12}|^2$, the following expression for the correlation function \mathcal{P} versus time delay δ is finally obtained

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(\delta; z) = & \alpha [1 - |S_{11}(z)|^2 - |S_{12}(z)|^2] [|S_{11}(z)|^2 + |S_{21}(z)|^2 + \\ & + 2\text{Re}[S_{11}(z) S_{21}^*(z)] \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau r(\tau - \delta) r(\tau + \delta)}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau r^2(\tau)} \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

where $\alpha = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau r^2(\tau)$ and the expression of the coefficients $S_{11}(z)$ and $S_{12}(z)$ are given by Eqs.(12) and (13). The behavior of $\mathcal{P}(\delta; z)$ versus δ shows a charac-

teristic dip at $\delta = 0$ of width $\sim \tau_c$, which is analogous to the Hong-Ou-Mandel dip observed in two-photon interference from a beam splitter [18]. Far from the dip, $\mathcal{P}(\delta)$