toward the low-energy part of Raman spectrum with the photon energy shift  $\omega < \gamma_1/2$ , which is determined by the excitation of the electron-hole pairs in the low-energy (degenerate) bands with  $vp \ll \gamma_1$ . At such low energies, the band structure as well as Landau level structure can be described by the effective two-band Hamiltonian written in the basis of orbitals on the sites A1 and B2, 1

$$\hat{H}_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{v^2}{\gamma_1} \left[ \left( p_x^2 - p_y^2 \right) \sigma_x + 2p_x p_y \sigma_y \right]. \tag{5}$$

To describe the excitation of the low-energy modes corresponding to the transitions between low-energy band states described by  $\hat{H}_{\text{eff}}$ , we take only the part of  $\mathcal{R}$  which acts in that two-dimensional Hilbert space, keep terms in the lowest relevant order in  $vp/\gamma_1 \ll 1$  and  $\gamma_1/\Omega \ll 1$ , and write down an effective amplitude  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{eff}}$ ,

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{eff}} \approx \frac{e^2 \hbar^2 v^2}{\epsilon_0 \Omega^2} \left\{ -i \sigma_z (\mathbf{l} \times \tilde{\mathbf{l}}^*)_z + \frac{\gamma_1}{\Omega} \left[ \sigma_x d_y + \sigma_y d_x \right] \right\}. \quad (6)$$

We point out that the above matrix cannot be obtained within a theory constrained by the two-band approximation, Eq. (5), from the very beginning. Seemingly, one may try to define a contact-interaction-like term due to the terms quadratic in the electron momentum  ${\bf p}$  in Eq. (5), which carries a prefactor  $\frac{e^2\hbar^2v^2}{\epsilon_0\gamma\Omega}$ , which may suggest a greater magnitude of scattering than prefactor  $\frac{e^2\hbar^2v^2}{\epsilon_0\Omega^2}$  above. However, the scattering amplitude obtained within this model can only be applied to photons with  $\Omega<\gamma_1$ , which is hardly relevant for Raman spectroscopy since the latter is usually performed with laser beams using  $\Omega\sim 1.3-2.8 {\rm eV}.^{15-23}$ 

The angle-resolved probability of the Raman scattering,  $w(\tilde{\mathbf{q}} \approx \mathbf{0})$ , determined using Fermi's golden rule and with the help of Eq. (6), is

$$w = \frac{2}{c\pi\hbar^3} \int d\mathbf{p} |\langle f | \mathcal{R}_{\text{eff}} | i \rangle|^2 \times f_i (1 - f_f) \, \delta(\epsilon_i + \omega - \epsilon_f) \,,$$

where  $f_i$  and  $f_f$  are filling factors of the initial and final electronic state, respectively, and the spin and valley degeneracies have already been taken into account. This gives<sup>33</sup>

$$w \approx \frac{\gamma_1 e^4 \hbar v^2}{c \epsilon_0^2 \Omega^4} \left\{ \Xi_s + \frac{\gamma_1^2}{2\Omega^2} \Xi_o \right\} \theta(\omega - 2\mu);$$
 (7)  
$$\Xi_s = \left| \mathbf{l} \times \tilde{\mathbf{l}}^* \right|^2, \ \Xi_o = 1 + \left( \mathbf{l} \times \mathbf{l}^* \right) \cdot \left( \tilde{\mathbf{l}} \times \tilde{\mathbf{l}}^* \right).$$

Above, the first term with polarization factor  $\Xi_s$  describes the contribution of photons scattered with the same circular polarization as the incoming beam. The second term, with polarization factor  $\Xi_o$ , represents the scattered photons with circular polarization opposite to the incoming beam.

In turn, the angle-integrated spectral density of Raman

scattering  $g(\omega)$  is

$$g(\omega) = \iint \frac{d\tilde{\mathbf{q}}d\tilde{q}_z}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} \, w \, \delta \left(\tilde{\Omega} - c\sqrt{\tilde{\mathbf{q}}^2 + \tilde{q}_z^2}\right)$$

$$= 2\left(\frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0\hbar c} \frac{v}{c}\right)^2 \frac{\gamma_1}{\Omega^2} \left\{2\Xi_s + \frac{\gamma_1^2}{\Omega^2}\Xi_o\right\} \theta(\omega - 2\mu).$$
(8)

Here, the constant spectral density g as a function of  $\omega$  reflects the parabolicity of the low-energy bands and thus, energy-independent density of states in the bilayer. This is different in monolayer graphene, where  $g(\omega) \propto$  $\omega$ , reflecting the energy-dependent density of states of electron-hole pairs.<sup>29</sup> The characteristic of monolayer graphene crossed polarisation of in/out photons is retained in the case of the bilayer system. Experimentally, constant spectral density q in undoped bilayer graphene is impossible to distinguish from a homogeneous background. However, if the chemical potential  $\mu$  is not at the neutrality point, then transitions with  $\omega < 2\mu$  are essentially blocked. Although new processes, resulting in the creation of the intraband electron-hole pair excitations and very small  $\omega$ , are possible for  $\mu \neq 0$ , their contribution carries additional prefactor  $v/c \sim \frac{1}{300}$ . Explicit calculation performed for the monolayer graphene showed that the quantum efficiency of the intraband transitions was of the order of  $10^{-15}$ .<sup>29</sup> In contrast, for chemical potential  $\mu \sim 50 \text{meV}$  (corresponding to additional carrier density  $n_0 \sim 1.5 \times 10^{12} \text{cm}^{-2}$ ), the lost quantum efficiency due to the blocked interband transitions is, according to Eq. (8),  $\Delta I \sim 10^{-12}$ .

## III. INTER-LANDAU-LEVEL TRANSITIONS IN BILAYER GRAPHENE RAMAN

The quantization of electron states into Landau levels gives the Raman spectrum due to the electronic excitations a pronounced structure which can be used to detect their contribution experimentally. We only consider here low-energy Landau levels, as at high energies the Landau level broadening due to, for example, electron-phonon interaction, will smear out the LL spectrum. In strong magnetic fields, low-energy Landau levels are sufficiently described<sup>34</sup> by

$$\epsilon_{n^{\alpha}} = \alpha \frac{2\hbar^2 v^2}{\gamma_1 \lambda_B^2} \sqrt{n(n-1)}; \tag{9}$$

$$\Psi_{n^{\alpha}} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_n \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \, n = 0, 1; \, \Psi_{n^{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_n \\ \alpha \psi_{n-2} \end{pmatrix}, \, n \geq 2;$$

where  $\lambda_B = \sqrt{\hbar/eB}$  is the magnetic length, n is the Landau level index and  $\alpha = +$  denotes the conduction and  $\alpha = -$  the valence band. Also,  $\psi_n$  is the normalised n-th Landau level wavefunction. In a neutral bilayer, all LLs have additional fourfold degeneracy (two due to the electron spin and two due to the valley). Moreover, levels n = 0 and n = 1 are degenerate at  $\epsilon = 0$  giving rise to an 8-fold degenerate LL. We can project our effective