

manageable for the envelopes  $\bar{D}_R(\cdot) = \sup_{D \in \mathcal{D}_R} |D(\cdot)|$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[\bar{D}_R(\mathbf{z})^2] = O(R)$ , and for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a constant  $K$  such that  $\mathbb{E}[\bar{D}_R(\mathbf{z})^2 \mathbf{1}\{\bar{D}_R(\mathbf{z}) > K\}] < \epsilon R$ .

Condition CRA is similar to, but slightly stronger than, assumptions (iii)-(vii) of the main theorem of [Kim and Pollard \(1990\)](#), to which the reader is referred for a discussion of these assumptions as well as a definition of the term (uniformly) manageable. To be specific, parts (ii)-(iv) and (vi) are identical to their counterparts in [Kim and Pollard \(1990\)](#), part (v) is a locally uniform (with respect to  $\theta$  near  $\theta_0$ ) version of its counterpart in [Kim and Pollard \(1990\)](#), while (i) can be thought of as replacing the high level condition  $\hat{\theta}_n \rightarrow_{\mathbb{P}} \theta_0$  with more primitive conditions that imply it for (approximate  $M$ -estimators)  $\hat{\theta}_n$  satisfying

$$M_n(\hat{\theta}_n) \geq \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} M_n(\theta) - o_{\mathbb{P}}(n^{-2/3}). \quad (8)$$

In the case of both (i) and (v), the purpose of strengthening the assumptions of [Kim and Pollard \(1990\)](#) is to be able to analyze the bootstrap.

Our main result is the following.

**Theorem 1** *Suppose Condition CRA holds and that  $\hat{\theta}_n$  satisfies (8). If  $\tilde{\mathbf{V}}_n \rightarrow_{\mathbb{P}} \mathbf{V}_0$  and if  $\tilde{M}_n^*(\tilde{\theta}_n^*) \geq \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \tilde{M}_n^*(\theta) - o_{\mathbb{P}}(n^{-2/3})$ , then*

$$\sup_{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left| \mathbb{P}^*[\sqrt[3]{n}(\tilde{\theta}_n^* - \hat{\theta}_n) \leq \mathbf{t}] - \mathbb{P}[\sqrt[3]{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0) \leq \mathbf{t}] \right| \rightarrow_{\mathbb{P}} 0. \quad (9)$$

Under the conditions of the theorem, it follows from [Kim and Pollard \(1990\)](#) that (2) holds, with  $\mathcal{G}$  having covariance kernel  $H$ . Mimicking the derivation of that result, the proof of the theorem proceeds by establishing the following bootstrap counterpart of (2):

$$\sqrt[3]{n}(\tilde{\theta}_n^* - \hat{\theta}_n) \rightsquigarrow_{\mathbb{P}} \operatorname{argmax}_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{R}^d} \{ \mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{s}) + \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{s}) \}. \quad (10)$$

The theorem offers a valid bootstrap-based distributional approximation for  $\hat{\theta}_n$ . To implement the approximation, only a consistent estimator of  $\mathbf{V}_0 = -\partial^2 M(\theta_0)/\partial\theta\partial\theta'$  is needed. A generic