we have solved (3.1). Summarizing, we get a solution from

$$(a,b) = \left(\frac{1}{4}(g(1)^2 + \frac{f(1)^2}{p}), \frac{f(1)g(1)}{2p}\right)$$

where we can directly compute f(1) and g(1)

If $p \equiv 5$ (8), $y_1^2 + 3\xi_1^2 \equiv 4$ (8). Given that the only quadratic residues modulo 8 are 0, 1, 4, we must have $(y_1^2, \xi_1^2) \equiv (1, 1), (0, 4)$ or (4, 0) (8).

We now use the fact that $8^2 = 2^{2 \cdot 3} = 4^3$ and consider $(y_1 + \sqrt{p}\xi_1)^3 = (y_1^3 + 3p\xi_1^2y_1) + \sqrt{p}(p\xi_1^3 + 3y_1^2\xi_1) = y_2 + \sqrt{p}\xi_2$ and see that $y_2^2 - p\xi_2^2 = (y_1^2 - p\xi_1^2)^3 = -4^3$.

But $y_2 = y_1(y_1^2 + 3p\xi_1^2) \equiv y_1(y_1^2 - \xi_1^2)$ (8). $(y_1^2, \xi_1^2) \equiv (1, 1)$ (8) $\Rightarrow y_2 \equiv 0$ (8). $(y_1^2, \xi_1^2) \equiv (0, 4)$ or (4, 0) (8) $\Rightarrow y_2 \equiv 4 \cdot 4$, $0 \cdot 4$ or $\pm 2 \cdot 4 \equiv 0$ (8). So in any case $y_2 \equiv 0$ (8).

Similarly $\xi_2 = \xi_1(p\xi_1^2 + 3y_1^2) \equiv \xi_1(5\xi_1^2 + 3y_1^2)$ (8). $(y_1^2, \xi_1^2) \equiv (1, 1)$ (8) $\Rightarrow \xi_2 \equiv \xi_2(5+3) \equiv 0$ (8). $(y_1^2, \xi_1^2) \equiv (0, 4)$ or (4, 0) (8) $\Rightarrow \xi_2 \equiv \pm 2 \cdot 4$, $0 \cdot 4$ or $4 \cdot 0 \equiv 0$ (8). So in any case $\xi_2 \equiv 0$ (8).

So $8 \mid y_2, \xi_2$ and thus, writing $y_3 = \frac{y_2}{8}, \xi_3 = \frac{\xi_2}{8} \in \mathbb{Z}$, we get $(y_3^2 - p\xi_3^2) = \frac{-4^3}{8^2} = -1$. As in the case where $p \equiv 1$ (8), writing $(y_3 \pm \sqrt{p}\xi_3)^2 = a \pm b\sqrt{p}$, $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, (x, y) = (a, b) is a solution of (3.1). Summarizing, we get a solution from

$$(a,b) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{64} ((g(1)^3 + \frac{3f(1)^2 g(1)}{p})^2 + p(\frac{f(1)^3}{p^2} + 3\frac{g(1)^2 f(1)}{p})^2) ,\\ \frac{1}{32} (g(1)^3 + 3\frac{f(1)^2 g(1)}{p})(\frac{f(1)^3}{p^2} + 3\frac{g(1)^2 f(1)}{p}) \end{pmatrix}$$

Case 2: $p \equiv 3$ (4).

Let $l = \frac{p-1}{2}$. $p \equiv 3$ (4) $\Rightarrow l$ is odd. We see that $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}(q_1(x) + q_{-1}(x)) = \prod_{\substack{1 \le k$

 $\prod_{\substack{1 \leq k$

 $\frac{\binom{\overline{k}}{\overline{p}}=-1}{\text{comparing } f(\zeta) \text{ and } f(\overline{\zeta}) = \overline{f(\zeta)} \text{ (since } f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]). \text{ Trivially } \left(\frac{1}{\overline{p}}\right) = 1, \text{ so } \prod_{\substack{1 \leq k$

and so $f(\zeta) = \prod_{\substack{1 \le k . Also note that <math>\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} = -1$, and so $\left(\frac{k}{p}\right) = -\left(\frac{-k}{p}\right)$ for

all $1 \le k \le p-1$. So $f(\zeta) = \prod_{\substack{1 \le k . By the same line of reasoning, <math>f(\overline{\zeta}) = f(\zeta^{-1}) = f(\zeta^{-1})$