$U_i^{\delta},\,W_j^{\delta}$  and  $V_2^{\delta}$  be d-, (d+1)- and (d+2)-dimensional currents such that:

$$P_{\delta} - T = U_0^{\delta} + \partial W_0^{\delta}, \qquad \qquad \mathbb{F}(P_{\delta} - T) = \mathcal{M}(U_0^{\delta}) + \mathcal{M}(W_0^{\delta}), \qquad (3.18a)$$

$$X - X_{\delta} = U_1^{\delta} + \partial W_1^{\delta}, \qquad \qquad \mathbb{F}(X - X_{\delta}) = \mathcal{M}(U_1^{\delta}) + \mathcal{M}(W_1^{\delta}), \qquad (3.18b)$$

$$S - S_{\delta} = W_2^{\delta} + \partial V_2^{\delta}, \qquad \qquad \mathbb{F}(S - S_{\delta}) = \mathcal{M}(W_2^{\delta}) + \mathcal{M}(V_2^{\delta}). \tag{3.18c}$$

To clarify the notation, we adopt the convention that variables with a  $\delta$  subscript are chains on the simplicial complex  $K_{\delta}$  whereas a  $\delta$  superscript merely indicates dependence on  $\delta$ .

Let  $K_{\delta}$  be any simplicial complex that triangulates  $P_{\delta}$ ,  $X_{\delta}$  and  $S_{\delta}$  separately as well as the convex hull of their union. We may assume (applying the subdivision algorithm of Edelsbrunner and Grayson [18] and Theorem 3.3.6 if necessary) that the currents  $U_0$ ,  $U_1$ ,  $W_0$ ,  $W_1$ , and  $W_2$  can be pushed to  $K_{\delta}$  with expansion bound at most L and the maximum diameter  $\Delta$  of a simplex of  $K_{\delta}$  satisfies

$$\Delta \le \frac{\delta}{\max\{1, M(\partial U_0^{\delta}), M(\partial U_1^{\delta}), M(\partial W_0^{\delta}), M(\partial W_1^{\delta}), M(\partial W_2^{\delta})\}}.$$
 (3.19)

Claim 3.3.7.1.  $\mathbb{F}(T) \leq \lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \mathbb{F}_{K_{\delta}}(P_{\delta})$ 

*Proof of claim.* By the triangle inequality and since any simplicial flat norm decomposition is a candidate decomposition for the flat norm, we have

$$\mathbb{F}(T) \le \mathbb{F}(T - P_{\delta}) + \mathbb{F}(P_{\delta})$$
$$\le \mathbb{F}(T - P_{\delta}) + \mathbb{F}_{K_{\delta}}(P_{\delta}).$$

The claim follows from letting  $\delta \downarrow 0$  and noting that  $\mathbb{F}(T - P_{\delta}) \to 0$ .

Claim 3.3.7.2.  $\mathbb{F}(T) = \lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \mathbb{F}_{K_{\delta}}(P_{\delta})$ 

*Proof of claim.* In light of Claim 3.3.7.1, we must show that  $\mathbb{F}(T) \geq \lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \mathbb{F}_{K_{\delta}}(P_{\delta})$ .