

the relevant planning authority for its approval a report (“the CHP review”) updating the CHP statement.

(2) Prior to establishing the working group pursuant to sub-paragraph (3), the undertaker must submit to the relevant planning authority for approval the terms of reference for the working group together with a list of the organisations, to be invited (such list to include the Greater London Authority) to attend the working group, such terms of reference to include—

- (a) agree the scope of each CHP review;
- (b) agree a list of CHP consultants put forward by the undertaker;
- (c) engage with the Department for Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy (or such successor government department with responsibility for energy) and the Heat Network Investment Programme (or any such equivalent government funding programme) to identify funding for any financial shortfall identified by any CHP review;
- (d) progress the actions in each approved CHP review and to monitor and report on the progress of those actions to the relevant planning authority;
- (e) identify the likely connection point at the site boundary for any district heating;
- (f) identify working practices of the working group; and
- (g) confirmation that any approvals and agreements of the working group must not be unreasonably withheld or delayed.

(3) Work No. 1A must not start commissioning until the undertaker has established a working group pursuant to the approved terms of reference under sub-paragraph (2), that may combine with the working group established in respect of combined heat and power opportunities from RRRF.

(4) The CHP review under sub-paragraph (1) must be undertaken by a competent CHP consultant appointed by the undertaker from the approved list agreed by the working group in sub-paragraph (2)(b) and must be in accordance with the scope agreed by the working group established under sub-paragraph (3) and must—

- (a) assess potential commercial opportunities that reasonably exist within a 10 kilometre radius for the export of heat from Work No. 1 as at the time of submission of the CHP review;
- (b) assess how the opportunities in (a) meet the Combined Heat and Power Quality Assurance requirements;
- (c) state whether or not there is sufficient certainty about the likely district heat network to enable the undertaker to install the necessary combined heat and power pipework (Work No. 6(a)) to the boundary of Work No. 6 as shown on the works plans and, if so, the undertaker must install such pipework to the boundary of Work No. 6 in the timeframe agreed in the CHP review or any revised CHP review; and
- (d) include a list of actions (if any and in addition to (b)) that the undertaker is required to take to increase the potential for the export of heat from Work No. 1 and which are technically and commercially viable.

(5) The undertaker must take such actions (which are technically and commercially viable) as are included within the timescales specified in the approved CHP review and where the working group identifies the likely connection point at the site boundary for any district heating to safeguard a pipework route from Work No. 3 to that point.

(6) Subject to sub-paragraph (8), on each date during the operational period of Work No. 1A that is three years after the date on which it last submitted the CHP review or a revised CHP review to the relevant planning authority, the undertaker must submit to the relevant planning authority for its approval a revised CHP review.

(7) Sub-paragraphs (4) and (5) apply in relation to a revised CHP review submitted under sub-paragraph (6) in the same way as they apply in relation to the CHP review submitted under sub-paragraph (1).