

1.2 GeV appearing to be favored by data in this framework; momentum space widths used in parametrizations of constituent-quark–diquark Faddeev wave functions, $\Lambda_{0+} = 1.0$ GeV and $\Lambda_{1+} = 1.2$ GeV, and exponents for these vertex functions, $n_{0+} = 2.0$ and $n_{1+} = 3.5$, chosen to guarantee Eq. (VI.5); a hard-cutoff-scale for the constituent-quark–pion vertex, $\Lambda_{Q\pi} \sim 1.0$ GeV; and a power-law form factor to model the p^2 - and k^2 -dependence of the Regge exchange quark-quark scattering amplitude, which is defined by a mass-scale, $\Lambda_R = 0.25$ GeV, and a power, $n_R = 4$.

Combining these ingredients, the valence-quark distribution functions are computed at $Q_0 = 1$ GeV from the sum

$$q_v(x; Q_0) = Z_q(Q_0) \{q_{\mathcal{A}}(x) + q_{\mathcal{B}}(x) - \bar{q}_{\mathcal{B}}(x) + q_{\mathcal{C}}(x) - \bar{q}_{\mathcal{C}}(x)\}, \quad q = u, d, \quad (\text{VI.17})$$

with the normalization constants fixed by Eqs. (II.27) subject to the additional constraints $\int dx u_{\mathcal{A}}(x) = 2$, $\int dx d_{\mathcal{A}}(x) = 1$. The relative normalizations of the contributions in Fig. VI.29 are determined once the model’s parameters are fixed; i.e., they are not additional independent quantities. Hence, in this model, defined at $Q_0 = 1$ GeV, the total valence-quark normalization is constituted from the diagrams in Fig. VI.29 as follows:

$$\int_0^1 dx \{u_v(x; Q_0) + d_v(x; Q_0)\} = \mathcal{A}(56\%) + \mathcal{B}(16\%) + \mathcal{C}(28\%). \quad (\text{VI.18})$$

Moreover,

$$\int_0^1 dx x \{u_v(x; Q_0) + d_v(x; Q_0)\} = \mathcal{A}(41\%) + \mathcal{B}(6\%) + \mathcal{C}(0.06\%) = 0.47, \quad (\text{VI.19})$$

which leaves 53% of the proton’s momentum to be carried by non-valence-quark degrees-of-freedom.

Figure VI.30 depicts features of the model’s distribution functions. Although the sector- \mathcal{A} contribution is always dominant on the valence-quark domain, it is evident from the *left panel* that the renormalization effected by adding the sector- \mathcal{B} and - \mathcal{C} contributions plays an important part within the model: it is a surrogate for evolution, shifting support to lower x at the model’s mass-scale of $Q_0 = 1$ GeV. The *right panel* exhibits that behavior for the valence-quark flavor-ratio which is typically identified with diquark models: $d_v(x)/u_v(x)$ decreases monotonically with increasing x . The ratio plotted is formed only from the sector- \mathcal{A} contributions. On the valence-quark domain the full result may be estimated by multiplying the value plotted in Fig. VI.30 by the ratio of normalization constants; namely, $Z_d/Z_u \approx 2/3$.