

2.1	In non-central heavy ion collisions there is initial spatial anisotropy that the resultant collective pressure gradients evolve into anisotropy in momentum space. Hydrodynamics aims to quantitatively model this process to gain information on the medium and its properties. Figure adapted from [105].	16
2.2	(a) v_2 scaled to the initial spacial eccentricity, ϵ , as a function of the charge particle density per unit transverse area. low- p_T flow at previous colliders fell far short of the hydrodynamic limit, which is reached for the first time at RHIC. Figure adapted from [107]. (b) Early azimuthal asymmetry, $v_2(p_T)$, predictions from [106] compared to RHIC data [108] as adapted from [77]. . . .	17
2.3	HBT parameters [109, 110] compared to various hydrodynamics calculations [111–113]; none describe the data well. Figure adapted from [77].	18
2.4	Recent calculations including hadronic rescattering [116] that show the influence of the initial conditions (IC) on the output. For diffuse, Glauber-like IC ideal hydrodynamics slightly underpredicts data whereas sharper CGC-like IC require viscous effects to follow the experimental trend.	19
2.5	An illustration of $A + B \rightarrow h + X$ in a heavy ion collision. We assume factorization still holds such that the main alteration to $p + p$ collisions, Eq. (2.2), is the addition of a theoretically calculable $P(\epsilon)$ encapsulating the in-medium energy loss. . . .	27