$$\max_{\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{x}} \min_{\mathbf{p} \in \Psi} - \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i \exp\left[-\alpha w_i - \alpha \sum_{j=1}^{J} x_j \left( \left( \mathbf{A}^T \boldsymbol{\xi} \right)_j - A_{ij} \right) \right]$$
such that
$$(\mathbf{A}) \quad \Psi = \left\{ \mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times 1} | \mathbf{p} \geq \mathbf{0}, \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i = 1, \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i \ln \left( \frac{p_i}{q_i} \right) \leq \Omega \right\}$$

$$(\mathbf{B}) \quad \boldsymbol{\xi} \geq \mathbf{0}$$

$$(\mathbf{C}) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{\xi}_i = 1$$

$$(\mathbf{E}1) \quad \forall j \in \{1, 2, ..., J\}, \quad x_j = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \left( \mathbf{A}^T \boldsymbol{\xi} \right)_j > B_j b_j$$

$$(\mathbf{E}2) \quad \forall j \in \{1, 2, ..., J\}, \quad x_j \in [0, Q_j] \quad \text{if} \quad \left( \mathbf{A}^T \boldsymbol{\xi} \right)_j = B_j b_j$$

$$(\mathbf{E}3) \quad \forall j \in \{1, 2, ..., J\}, \quad x_j = Q_j \quad \text{if} \quad \left( \mathbf{A}^T \boldsymbol{\xi} \right)_i < B_j b_j$$

Corollary 1 Suppose that the market maker holds zero inventory:  $w_i = 0$  for  $\forall i$ . As the value of  $\Omega$  increases to infinity, the KPM becomes completely pari-mutuel. The market maker incurs no loss regardless of the outcome.

## **Proof.** See the Appendix.

The KPM may not be completely pari-mutuel in the sense that the market maker can lose money with positive probability. However, Corollary 1 shows that the KPM subsumes a completely pari-mutuel market. By adjusting the value of  $\Omega$ , the market designer can fine-tune the extent to which the market is close to being completely pari-mutuel. The larger the value of  $\Omega$ , the more completely pari-mutuel the market becomes.

For example, consider increasing the value of  $\Omega$ . Problem (8) then models the auctioneer with a large level of ambiguity aversion. The ambiguity-averse DM is very sensitive to the worst-case scenario. Thus, the auctioneer clears the market such that he/she performs moderately even in the worst-case scenario. In other words, the auctioneer does not want to lose too much money even in the worst-case scenario.<sup>5</sup> In the extreme case in which  $\Omega$  diverges to infinity, the auctioneer becomes so conservative that he/she does not want to lose any money under any circumstances. The market should become completely pari-mutuel.

## 4.3 The Market-Clearing Algorithm

Before further discussion, we introduce new notations:  $z_i = -e^{-\alpha w_i}$  and  $\theta_i = q_i e^{\Omega}$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., N\}$ . In addition, let **F** be the set of pairs  $(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{x})$  that satisfy the limit order logic constraints (E1), (E2), and (E3).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>The cost of this strategy is that the market maker may not be able to make a great deal of money on the upside.