

and with the chain rule respectively Faà di Bruno's formula

$$\frac{d\bar{\varepsilon}}{dT} = \frac{d\bar{\varepsilon}}{d\mu_{E_0}} \frac{d\mu_{E_0}}{dT}, \quad (\text{A17})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^n \bar{\varepsilon}}{dT^n} &= \sum \frac{n!}{k_1! \dots k_n!} \frac{d^k \bar{\varepsilon}}{d\mu_{E_0}^k} \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{1}{1!} \frac{d\mu_{E_0}}{dT} \right)^{k_1} \dots \left(\frac{1}{n!} \frac{d^n \mu_{E_0}}{dT^n} \right)^{k_n}, \quad (\text{A18}) \\ k &= k_1 + \dots + k_n, \end{aligned}$$

where the sum runs over all integer numbers $k_1, \dots, k_n \geq 0$ which fulfill

$$k_1 + 2k_2 + \dots + nk_n = n. \quad (\text{A19})$$

We do not have to find this numbers, we only need to know that for $n > 1$ at least one $k_j > 0$, therefore each term in (A18) contains a factor $\frac{d^j \mu_{E_0}}{dT^j}$, so for $n_s = \text{const.}$, $T \rightarrow 0$ the sum vanishes and from Eq. (A16) we get

$$\bar{\varepsilon} \simeq \varepsilon_{F0} \quad \text{for } k_B T \ll \varepsilon_{F0}. \quad (\text{A20})$$

Appendix B: Ground state energy of the inversion layer

As mentioned before we calculate the ground state energy of the inversion layer with help of the Ritz variational principle using the Fang-Howard test envelope wave function³⁴

$$\varphi(z, b) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{b^3}{2}} z \exp\left(-\frac{bz}{2}\right) & \text{for } z \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } z < 0 \end{cases} \quad (\text{B1})$$

and as an approximation for the potential

$$U(z) = \begin{cases} U_d(z) + U_s(z) + U_i(z) & \text{for } z \geq 0 \\ \infty & \text{for } z < 0, \end{cases} \quad (\text{B2})$$

$$U_d(z) \simeq \begin{cases} \frac{e^2 n_{\text{depl}}}{\epsilon_{\text{sc}} \epsilon_0} z \left(1 - \frac{z}{2z_d}\right) & \text{for } z < z_d \\ \frac{e^2 n_{\text{depl}}}{2\epsilon_{\text{sc}} \epsilon_0} z_d & \text{for } z > z_d, \end{cases} \quad (\text{B3})$$

$$U_s(z) \simeq \frac{e^2 n_s}{2b\epsilon_{\text{sc}} \epsilon_0} \left[6 - \left((bz)^2 + 4bz + 6 \right) \exp(-bz) \right], \quad (\text{B4})$$

$$U_i(z) \simeq \frac{be^2}{32\pi\epsilon_{\text{sc}} \epsilon_0} \frac{\epsilon_{\text{sc}} - \epsilon_{\text{ins}}}{\epsilon_{\text{sc}} + \epsilon_{\text{ins}}} \frac{1}{4z}. \quad (\text{B5})$$

The term U_d comes from the charged acceptors within the depletion layer with thickness z_d , U_s describes the interaction with all other electrons in the inversion layer, and U_i the interaction with image charges. To write $U_s(z)$ in this form we have to assume that only the first subband is occupied, this is the so called quantum limit. The conduction band edge is built by $U_d(z) + U_s(z)$, therefore the zero point of the energy scale was chosen

to get $U_d(0) + U_s(0) = 0$ which means that the resulting ground state energy is measured against the conduction band edge at the interface E_{Cs} as requested.

The Hamiltonian is given by $\hat{H} = \hat{T} + U$ with the operator for the kinetic energy $\hat{T} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_z} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}$, where m_z is the z -component of the effective mass of the semiconductor in the bulk. The ground state energy is the expectation value of the Hamiltonian,

$$\varepsilon_0 = \langle \hat{T} \rangle + \langle U_d \rangle + \langle U_s \rangle + \langle U_i \rangle, \quad (\text{B6})$$

calculated with the value of b which makes the total energy per electron

$$\bar{\varepsilon} = \langle \hat{T} \rangle + \langle U_d \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle U_s \rangle + \langle U_i \rangle \quad (\text{B7})$$

minimal. The factor 1/2 in the third term prevents from double counting the electron-electron interaction. With the density $n^* = n_{\text{depl}} + \frac{11}{32} n_s$ introduced by AFS²⁸ one gets

$$\bar{\varepsilon} = \frac{\alpha}{2} b^2 + \beta b + \gamma b^{-1} - \frac{\delta(b)}{2} b^{-2}, \quad (\text{B8})$$

$$\alpha = \frac{\hbar^2}{4m_z}, \quad (\text{B9})$$

$$\beta = \frac{e^2}{32\pi\epsilon_{\text{sc}} \epsilon_0} \frac{\epsilon_{\text{sc}} - \epsilon_{\text{ins}}}{\epsilon_{\text{sc}} + \epsilon_{\text{ins}}}, \quad (\text{B10})$$

$$\gamma = \frac{3e^2 n^*}{\epsilon_{\text{sc}} \epsilon_0}, \quad (\text{B11})$$

$$\delta = \frac{12e^2 (N_A - N_D)}{\epsilon_{\text{sc}} \epsilon_0} \quad (\text{B12})$$

$$\times \left\{ 1 - \left[\frac{(bz_d)^2}{12} + \frac{bz_d}{2} + 1 \right] \exp(-bz_d) \right\}. \quad (\text{B13})$$

N_A and N_D are the densities of the acceptors and donors respectively. The coefficients have been chosen in order to get $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta > 0$ and to get a most simple equation

$$\frac{d\bar{\varepsilon}}{db} = \alpha b + \beta - \gamma b^{-2} + \delta(b) b^{-3} = 0. \quad (\text{B14})$$

As AFS used $\frac{e^2 n_{\text{depl}}}{\epsilon_{\text{sc}} \epsilon_0} z \left(1 - \frac{z}{2z_d}\right)$ for $0 < z < \infty$ instead of Eq. (B3) they did not get the term proportional to $\exp(-bz_d)$, under normal circumstances it is very small but formally it is necessary to see that $b \rightarrow 0$, $\bar{\varepsilon} \rightarrow -\infty$ is not the global minimum. Furthermore they neglected β and $\delta(b) b^{-3}$ and got

$$b = \left(\frac{\gamma}{\alpha} \right)^{1/3} = \left(\frac{12e^2 n^* m_z}{\epsilon_{\text{sc}} \epsilon_0 \hbar^2} \right)^{1/3}. \quad (\text{B15})$$