

Let us denote by $X(s, r)$ the transition matrix of the linear homogeneous system

$$\begin{aligned} x'(s) &= Ax(s), \quad s \neq s_k, \\ \Delta x|_{s=s_k} &= \delta_k Ax(s_k). \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Under the conditions (C1) and (C2) there exist positive numbers N and λ such that $\|X(s, r)\| \leq Ne^{-\lambda(s-r)}$ for $s \geq r$ [6, 42].

The following conditions are also needed.

$$(C5) \quad NL_f \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{p\bar{\delta}}{1 - e^{-\lambda\psi(\omega)}} \right) < 1, \text{ where } \bar{\delta} = \max_{0 \leq k \leq p-1} \delta_k;$$

$$(C6) \quad -\lambda + NL_f + \frac{p}{\psi(\omega)} \ln(1 + NL_f \bar{\delta}) < 0;$$

$$(C7) \quad f(t + \omega, y) = f(t, y) \text{ for all } (t, y) \in \mathbb{T}_0 \times \mathbb{R}^n.$$

The next section is devoted to the bounded solutions of system (1.1).

3 Bounded solutions

Under the conditions (C1) – (C5), one can verify by using the results of [6, 42] that for a fixed sequence $\zeta = \{\zeta_k\}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists a unique bounded on \mathbb{R} solution $\phi_\zeta(s)$ of (2.6), which satisfies the relation

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\zeta(s) &= \int_{-\infty}^s X(s, r) [f(\psi^{-1}(r), \phi_\zeta(r)) + g(\psi^{-1}(r), \zeta)] dr \\ &+ \sum_{-\infty < s_k < s} X(s, s_k+) [f(\psi^{-1}(s_k), \phi_\zeta(s_k)) + \zeta_k] \delta_k. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Moreover, $\sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \|\phi_\zeta(s)\| \leq K_0$, where $K_0 = N(M_f + M_F) \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{p\bar{\delta}}{1 - e^{-\lambda\psi(\omega)}} \right)$. Therefore, for a fixed sequence $\zeta = \{\zeta_k\}$, the function $\varphi_\zeta(t) = \phi_\zeta(\psi(t))$ satisfying $\varphi_\zeta(\theta_{2k+1}) = \phi_\zeta(s_k+)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, is the unique solution of (2.5), and hence of (1.1), which is bounded on \mathbb{T}_0 such that $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{T}_0} \|\varphi_\zeta(t)\| \leq K_0$.

We say that the bounded solution $\varphi_\zeta(t)$ attracts a solution $y(t)$ of (1.1) if $\|y(t) - \varphi_\zeta(t)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, $t \in \mathbb{T}_0$. The attractiveness feature of the bounded solutions of (1.1) is mentioned in the next assertion.

Lemma 3.1 *If the conditions (C1) – (C6) are valid, then for a fixed sequence ζ , the bounded solution $\varphi_\zeta(t)$ attracts all other solutions of (1.1).*

Proof. Consider an arbitrary solution $y(t)$, $y(t^0) = y_0$, of (1.1) for some $t^0 \in \mathbb{T}_0$ and $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Assume without loss of generality that $t^0 \neq \theta_{2k-1}$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $s^0 = \psi(t^0)$ and $x(s) = y(\psi^{-1}(s))$. The relation

$$x(s) - \phi_\zeta(s) = X(s, s^0)(y_0 - \phi_\zeta(s^0)) + \int_{s^0}^s [f(\psi^{-1}(r), x(r)) - f(\psi^{-1}(r), \phi_\zeta(r))] dr$$