Proof. Suppose  $\partial\Omega$  has a cusp at  $\gamma(s)$ . Then, using the terminology of definition 4.2.4 and the fact that  $\gamma$  is arc length parameterized, we have  $\Gamma^+ = -\Gamma^-$ . We let w = 0 and note that  $w = \Gamma^+ + \Gamma^- \in T_{\gamma}(s)$ . Letting  $u, v \in \partial\Omega \cap D(\gamma(s), r)$  with  $u \neq v$ , we have  $\langle w, u - v \rangle = 0$ , contradicting the fact that  $\partial\Omega$  is tangent-cone graph-like. Therefore,  $\partial\Omega$  has no cusps.

## 4.2.5 TCGL Boundary Properties

The following technical lemmas allow us to bound various distances and areas encountered in tangent-cone graph-like boundaries.

**Lemma 4.2.16.** Suppose that  $\partial\Omega$  is tangent-cone graph-like with radius r and points  $p_1, p_2 \in \partial\Omega$  with  $d(p_1, p_2) < r$ . Then one of the arcs (call it P) along  $\partial\Omega$  between  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  is such that, for any two points  $q_1, q_2 \in P$ , we have  $d(q_1, q_2) < r$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $p_2 \in D(p_1, r)$  so that there is an arc along  $\partial \Omega$  from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$  which is fully contained in the interior of  $D(p_1, r)$  by theorem 4.2.1. We will call this arc P.

For all x on P, let  $P_x$  denote the subpath of P from  $p_1$  to x (so  $P = P_{p_2}$ ). We claim that  $P_x$  is contained in D(x,r) for all x on P (thus, P is contained in  $D(p_2,r)$ ). Indeed, if this were not the case, then there must be some  $\hat{x}$  on P such that  $P_{\hat{x}}$  is contained in  $D(\hat{x},r)$  but  $C(\hat{x},r) \cap P_{\hat{x}}$  is nonempty (i.e., we can move the disk along P until some part of the subpath hits the boundary). That is, the subpath  $P_{\hat{x}}$  has a tangency with the disk  $D(\hat{x},r)$  which is impossible because of theorem 4.2.1.

Let  $q_1 \in P$  and note that since  $P_x$  is contained in D(x,r) for all x on P, we have that P is contained in  $D(q_1,r)$ . Therefore,  $d(q_1,q_2) < r$  for all  $q_1,q_2 \in P$  as desired.  $\square$ 

**Lemma 4.2.17.** If  $q_1 = \gamma(s_1), q_2 = \gamma(s_2) \in P$  where P is as in the previous lemma, then the arc length between  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  along P is at most  $\sqrt{2}d(q_1, q_2)$ .