

Let us stress that the vector field B_μ is not obtained by a gauge transformation from the gauge field b_μ ³.

Firstly, this is obvious from the fact the U fields are some of the dynamical variables of the theory: a gauge transformation would require a *fixed* element in $\underline{SU(2)}$, but, as dynamical variables, the function U is not such a fixed element. Secondly, a gauge transformation should be applied at the same time on gauge fields and to any scalar and spinor fields coupled to them. In the present situation, the scalar fields ϕ are not subject to a transformation accompanying (3). ϕ is written in terms of U but it will not be transformed any further. Finally, the following actions of the gauge groups $\underline{U(1)}$ and $\underline{SU(2)}$ on B_μ prove that B_μ is no more a gauge potential for $\underline{SU(2)}$. This rules out the fact that (3) can be a gauge transformation.

Indeed, for the action of $s \in \underline{U(1)}$, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} B_\mu^s &= (U^s)^{-1} b_\mu^s U^s + \frac{2i}{g} (U^s)^{-1} (\partial_\mu (U^s)) \\ &= \widehat{s}^{-1} U^{-1} b_\mu U \widehat{s} + \frac{2i}{g} \widehat{s}^{-1} U^{-1} [(\partial_\mu U) \widehat{s} + U (\partial_\mu \widehat{s})] \\ &= \widehat{s}^{-1} B_\mu \widehat{s} + \frac{2i}{g} \widehat{s}^{-1} \partial_\mu \widehat{s}, \end{aligned}$$

whereas for the action of $u \in \underline{SU(2)}$, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} B_\mu^u &= (U^u)^{-1} b_\mu^u U^u + \frac{2i}{g} (U^u)^{-1} (\partial_\mu (U^u)) \\ &= U^{-1} u [u^{-1} b_\mu u + \frac{2i}{g} u^{-1} \partial_\mu u] u^{-1} U \\ &\quad + \frac{2i}{g} U^{-1} u [(\partial_\mu u^{-1}) U + u^{-1} (\partial_\mu U)] \\ &= B_\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Developing B_μ as $B_\mu = B_\mu^a \sigma_a$ and defining $W_\mu^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (B_\mu^1 \mp i B_\mu^2)$, such that

$$B_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} B_\mu^3 & \sqrt{2} W_\mu^+ \\ \sqrt{2} W_\mu^- & -B_\mu^3 \end{pmatrix},$$

one gets

$$B_\mu^s = \begin{pmatrix} B_\mu^3 + \frac{2i}{g} s^{-1} \partial_\mu s & s^{-2} \sqrt{2} W_\mu^+ \\ s^2 \sqrt{2} W_\mu^- & -(B_\mu^3 + \frac{2i}{g} s^{-1} \partial_\mu s) \end{pmatrix},$$

from which we deduce the transformations of these new fields under the action of the two