In the context of Corollary A.6, let  $\{(z_m, r_m)\}_{m\geq 1}$  be a sequence with values in  $\ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{F}) \times [0, \infty)$  and such that  $\sup_{f\in\mathcal{F}} |z_m(f)-z(f)|+|r_m|\to 0$ . Lemma A.5 implies that  $h(z_m, r_m)\to h(z,0)\equiv 0$ . Since the sequence was arbitrarily chosen, h is indeed continuous at (z,0) and the proof of Corollary A.6 is complete.

**Lemma A.7.** If  $\Pi$  is Donsker, then  $\mathcal{F}$  is also Donsker.

*Proof.* Similar to (A.1), the key is the following remark: for every policy  $\pi: \mathcal{X} \to \{-1, 1\}$ ,

$$2f_{\pi}(O) = |A + \pi(X)| \frac{Y - Q(A, X)}{P(A|X)} + (1 + \pi(X))Q(1, X) + (1 - \pi(X))Q(-1, X) - 2\mathcal{V}(\pi). \tag{A.10}$$

For future use, we first note that (A.1) and (A.2) imply, for any  $\pi_1, \pi_2 \in \Pi$ ,

$$|f_{\pi_1}(O) - f_{\pi_2}(O)| \lesssim |\pi_1(X) - \pi_2(X)| + ||\pi_1 - \pi_2||$$

hence

$$||f_{\pi_1} - f_{\pi_2}|| \lesssim ||\pi_1 - \pi_2||.$$
 (A.11)

Introduce  $\phi: \mathbb{R}^5 \to \mathbb{R}$  given by  $2\phi(u) = u_1|u_2 + u_3| + (1 + u_3)u_4 + (1 + u_3)u_5$  and  $f_1, f_2, f_4, f_5$  be the function given by  $f_1(o) \equiv (y - Q(a, x))/P(A = a|X = x)$ ,  $f_2(o) \equiv x$ ,  $f_4(o) \equiv Q(1, x)$  and  $f_5(o) \equiv Q(-1, x)$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{F}_4, \mathcal{F}_5$  be the singletons  $\{f_1\}, \{f_2\}, \{f_4\}$  and  $\{f_5\}$ , each of them a Donsker class. Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \equiv \mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2 \times \Pi \times \mathcal{F}_4 \times \mathcal{F}_5$  and note that  $\phi \circ \mathbf{f} = \tilde{f}_{\pi}$  if  $\mathbf{f} \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  writes as  $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, f_2, \pi, f_4, f_5)$ . In light of (A.10), observe now that, for every  $\mathbf{f}_1 = (f_1, f_2, \pi_1, f_4, f_5), \mathbf{f}_2 = (f_1, f_2, \pi_2, f_4, f_5) \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ , it holds that

$$|\phi \circ \mathbf{f}_1(o) - \phi \circ \mathbf{f}_2(o)| \lesssim |\pi_1(x) - \pi_2(x)|$$

(the bound on Y implies that  $\|\gamma\|_{\infty}$  is finite). By [13, Theorem 2.10.6], whose conditions are obviously met,  $\phi \circ \tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \{\tilde{f}_{\pi} : \pi \in \Pi\}$  is Donsker. Because  $\Lambda \equiv \{\mathcal{V}(\pi) : \pi \in \Pi\}$  (viewed