

On the other hand, if $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \int_0^t \frac{1+\sigma_z^2(s)}{\lambda^2(s)} ds = \infty$, consider the process

$$X_t = \int_0^t \frac{1}{\lambda(s)} dB_s^2 - \int_0^t \frac{\sigma_z(s)}{\lambda(s)} dB_s^1,$$

and a change of time $\tau(t)$ given by

$$\int_0^{\tau(t)} \frac{1+\sigma_z^2(s)}{\lambda^2(s)} ds = t.$$

Then, $W_s = X_{\tau(s)}$ is a Brownian motion. Hence, we can use the law of iterated logarithm to get

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{W_s}{\sqrt{2s \log \log s}} &= 1 \\ \liminf_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{W_s}{\sqrt{2s \log \log s}} &= -1 \end{aligned}$$

or, in the original time,

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{t \rightarrow 1} \frac{X_t}{\sqrt{2\Xi(t) \log \log(\Xi(t))}} &= 1 \\ \liminf_{t \rightarrow 1} \frac{X_t}{\sqrt{2\Xi(t) \log \log(\Xi(t))}} &= -1 \end{aligned}$$

where $\Xi(t) = \int_0^t \frac{1+\sigma_z^2(s)}{\lambda^2(s)} ds$. Since, due to the Assumptions 2.2, 3.1 and 3.2, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \lambda^2(t) \Xi(t) \log \log(\Xi(t)) = 0,$$

it follows that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \lambda(t) X_t = 0$, therefore $Y_1 = Z_1$. ■

With this lemma at hand, establishing that the pair (H^*, θ^*) given in the Theorem 3.1 is indeed an equilibrium is straightforward, as the following proposition demonstrates.

Proposition 3.2 *Suppose that Assumptions 2.1, 2.2, 3.1 and 3.2 are satisfied. Then the pair (H^*, θ^*) , where $H^*(y, t)$ satisfies the partial differential equation (PDE) (3.7) with terminal condition (3.8), and the process θ_t^* is given by (3.9), is an equilibrium.*