

to Remark 6, we can consider in $\mathbb{R}^{p \times q} \times \mathbb{R}^{q \times q}$ the topology induced by the norm

$$\|(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{V})\| = \sup\{\|\mathbf{A}\|_2, \|\mathbf{V}\|\}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Given (\mathbf{B}_0, Σ_0) in $\mathbb{R}^{p \times q} \times \mathbb{R}^{q \times q}$, the proof consists in find an upper bound of $|d^2(\mathbf{B}, \Sigma) - d^2(\mathbf{B}_0, \Sigma_0)|$ that tends to 0 when $\|(\mathbf{B}, \Sigma) - (\mathbf{B}_0, \Sigma_0)\| \rightarrow 0$.

Adding and subtracting $d^2(\mathbf{B}_0, \Sigma)$ we have that

$$|d^2(\mathbf{B}, \Sigma) - d^2(\mathbf{B}_0, \Sigma_0)| \leq |d^2(\mathbf{B}, \Sigma) - d^2(\mathbf{B}_0, \Sigma)| + |d^2(\mathbf{B}_0, \Sigma) - d^2(\mathbf{B}_0, \Sigma_0)|.$$

Let $r(\mathbf{B}_0) = (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{B}_0' \mathbf{x})$. Using basic tools from linear algebra we obtain

$$|d^2(\mathbf{B}, \Sigma) - d^2(\mathbf{B}_0, \Sigma)| \leq q \|(\mathbf{B}, \Sigma) - (\mathbf{B}_0, \Sigma_0)\| (\|\mathbf{x}\| + 2 \|r(\mathbf{B}_0)\|) \|\mathbf{x}\| \lambda_1(\Sigma^{-1}),$$

and by Weyl's Perturbation Theorem (see [4], pg. 63), we have that

$$\lambda_1(\Sigma^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\lambda_q(\Sigma)} < \frac{1}{\lambda_q(\Sigma_0) - \|(\mathbf{B}, \Sigma) - (\mathbf{B}_0, \Sigma_0)\|}, \quad (\text{A.6})$$

combining these inequalities we obtain a bound of $|d^2(\mathbf{B}, \Sigma) - d^2(\mathbf{B}_0, \Sigma)|$ that tends to 0 when $\|(\mathbf{B}, \Sigma) - (\mathbf{B}_0, \Sigma_0)\| \rightarrow 0$.

If $r(\mathbf{B}_0) = \mathbf{0}$ the lemma is proved, otherwise using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (A.6) we have

$$|d^2(\mathbf{B}_0, \Sigma) - d^2(\mathbf{B}_0, \Sigma_0)| \leq \frac{\|\Sigma_0^{-1}\| \|r(\mathbf{B}_0)\|^2 \|(\mathbf{B}, \Sigma) - (\mathbf{B}_0, \Sigma_0)\|}{\lambda_q(\Sigma_0) - \|(\mathbf{B}, \Sigma) - (\mathbf{B}_0, \Sigma_0)\|},$$

which completes the proof. ■

Proof of Theorem 1: By Lemma 9, it sufices to show that there exist t_1 and t_2