

- Radaelli, and S-W. Cheong, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 177402 (2004)
6. M. Kenzelmann, A. B. Harris, A. Aharony, O. Entin-Wohlman, T. Yildirim, Q. Huang, S. Park, G. Lawes, C. Broholm, N. Rogado, R. J. Cava, K. H. Kim, G. Jorge, and A. P. Ramirez, Phys. Rev. **B74**, 014429 (2006).
  7. Y. Yamasaki, H. Sagayama, T. Goto1, M. Matsuura, K. Hirota, T. Arima and Y. Tokura, arXiv:cond-matt/0701430v1 (2007).
  8. M. Kenzelmann, G. Lawes, A.B. Harris, G. Gasparovic, C. Broholm, A.P. Ramirez, G.A. Jorge, M. Jaime, S. Park, Q. Huang, A.Ya. Shapiro, and L.A. Demianets, arXiv:cond-matt/0701426 (2007).
  9. M. Kenzelmann, A. B. Harris, S. Jonas, C. Broholm, J. Schefer, S. B. Kim, C. L. Zhang, S.-W. Cheong, O. P. Vajk, and J.W. Lynn, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 087206 (2005).
  10. Maxim Mostovoy, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 067601(2005).
  11. I. E. Chupis, arXiv:cond-matt/0702636 (2007).
  12. Ivan A. Sergienko and E. Dagotto, Phys. Rev. **73**, 094434 (2006).
  13. Ivan A. Sergienko, Cengiz Sen, and Elbio Dagotto, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 227204 (2006).
  14. E. R. Sánchez Guajardo, arXiv:cond-matt/0608300v1 (2006).
  15. Seongsu Lee, A. Pirogov, Jung Hoon Han, J.-G. Park, A. Hoshikawa, and T. Kamiyama, Phys. Rev. **71**, 180413 (2005).
  16. Hosho Katsura, Naoto Nagaosa and Aleander V. Balatsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 057205 (2005).
  17. Though the use of spin current may raise the issue of whether it is well-defined in the presence of spin-orbit interaction, we nevertheless still use this term as no ambiguity involved here.
  18. I. Dzyaloshinskii, J. Phys. Chem. Solids **4**, 241 (1958).
  19. T. Moriya, Phys. Rev. **120**, 91 (1960).