the  $H^{\pm}$ -mediated transition matrix element combined with SM contribution for  $\bar{P} \to \ell \bar{\nu}_{\ell}$  is obtained as

$$\mathcal{M}_{\bar{P}\to\ell\bar{\nu}_{\ell}}^{SM+H^{\pm}} = -i\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}}V_{ij}m_{\ell}f_P r_P^{\ell}(\bar{\ell}\nu_{\ell})_{S-P}$$
(16)

with

$$r_P^{\ell} = 1 + \frac{\eta_{\ell}^* m_P^2}{m_{H^{\pm}}^2} \frac{\eta_{U_i}^* m_{U_i} - \eta_{D_j} m_{D_j}}{m_{U_i} + m_{D_j}} \,. \tag{17}$$

We learn that the  $H^{\pm}$ -mediated contribution is only associated with the factor  $r_P^{\ell}$  and it depends on the species of lepton due to the appearance of  $\eta_{\ell}$ . Since  $\eta_{U_i}$ ,  $\eta_{D_j}$  and  $\eta_{\ell}$  are all free parameters, in order to make the results be more predictive, we can adopt a simple scenario. As mentioned earlier,  $\eta_{U_i}$  and  $\eta_{D_i,\ell}$  play the role of  $\cot \beta$  and  $\tan \beta$  in the type-II THDM, respectively. If the new  $H^{\pm}$ -mediated effects would like to satisfy the constraints of current data such as  $b \to s\gamma$ , it is plausible to set  $|\eta_{U_i}| \ll |\eta_{D_i}| \approx |\eta_{\ell}|$  [25]. As a consequence,  $r_P^{\ell}$  could be simplified by

$$r_P^{\ell} \approx 1 - \frac{m_P^2}{m_{H^{\pm}}^2} \frac{m_{D_j}}{m_{U_i} + m_{D_j}} |\eta_{D_j}|^2 e^{i\phi_{D_j}^{\ell}}$$
 (18)

Intriguingly, in this plain scenario we see that the dependence of lepton flavor in  $r_P^\ell$  can be ascribed to the phase factor  $\phi_{D_j}^\ell$ . Since  $\phi_{D_j}^\ell$  are the new physical phases, in general, they cannot be rotated away. If we enforce  $\eta_{D_i} = \tan \beta$ , we see that the magnitude of charged Higgs effects is the same as that in type-II THDM. In other words, apart from the new phase factor  $\phi_{D_i}^\ell$ , we do not introduce a new enhanced factor.

In order to display the new physics effects numerically, we investigate the influence of charged Higgs on  $R_K$  for  $K_{\ell 2}$ , on  $f_{D_s}r_{D_s}^{\ell}$  for  $D_s \to \ell^+\nu_{\ell}$  decays and on BR for  $B \to \ell^+\nu_{\ell}$ , respectively. Using Eqs. (9) and (16), the ratio of  $\Gamma(K_{e2}^{\pm})$  to  $\Gamma(K_{\mu 2}^{\pm})$  can be expressed by

$$R_K = R_K^{\rm SM} \left( 1 + \frac{m_K^2}{m_{H^{\pm}}^2} \frac{m_s}{m_u + m_s} \eta_s^2 \Delta c_s^{\mu e} \right)$$
 (19)

with  $\Delta c_s^{\mu e} = \cos(\phi_s^{\mu}) - \cos(\phi_s^{e})$ , where because of the second term in the brackets being much smaller than unity, we have neglected the terms whose the order is higher than  $m_K^2 \eta_s^2 / m_{H^{\pm}}^2$ . The resulted numerical values as a function of  $\eta_s / m_{H^{\pm}}$  and  $\Delta c_s^{\mu e}$  are presented in Fig. 2. The values in the figure denote the ratio  $R_K^{\rm Exp} / R_K^{\rm SM}$ . We see clearly that due to the lepton flavor dependent phases,  $H^{\pm}$ -mediated contributions could modify the SM prediction and be still consistent with current data.