

Ideas on extending the causal connectivity work

Reformulating in max likelihood method

Mikkel Elle Lepperød, Alexander Johannes Stasik

University of Oslo

27th September 2018



$$\mathcal{L}(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^N \lambda p_A(t_i) + (1 - \lambda p_B(t_i))$$

with t_i as the N spikes measured in cell C . $p_A(t_i)$ and $p_B(t_i)$ are the PDFs for cell C spiking at t_i , assuming that it was caused by cell A or B . The parameter λ gives the fraction of spikes in C caused by A .

In a very simplified approach, a spiking neuron causes a spike in a connected neuron with a certain delay and a certain uncertainty. If neuron A spikes at t_j^A , then the pdf for neuron C to spike at time t is given by

$$p(t|t_j^A) = \mathcal{N}(\mu = t_j^A + \Delta t, \sigma = \sigma_{\text{delay}})$$

Thus $p_A(t)$ can be written as

$$p_A(t) = \sum_{j=1}^M w_j p(t|t_j^A)$$

with a weighting factor w_j and $\sum_j w_j = 1$. Likewise for B .

- ▶ If B is not a single cell, but a population of cells, $p_B(t_i)$ can eventually be replaced by the averaged firing rate of population B
- ▶ The actual shape of $p_A(t_i)$ and $p_B(t_i)$ can be extracted from the model
- ▶ Refractory periods can be incorporated in the framework by adjusting the PDFs
- ▶ This is not a proposal of a new method, but an extension and proper reformulation of the existing work in a more solid framework
- ▶ (The current analysis can also be understood in terms of PDFs where the PDFs are just box-shaped functions)