# NTK Derivation

#### Yilan Chen

Last Revised: September 23, 2020

This is the Neural Tangent Kernel (NTK) derivation in the paper Neural Tangent Kernel: Convergence and Generalization in Neural Networks [1].

# 1 Problem Setup

Consider a fully connected neural network.

$$\alpha^{(0)}(x;\theta) = x \tag{1}$$

$$\tilde{\alpha}^{(l+1)}(x;\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_l}} W^{(l)} \alpha^{(l)}(x;\theta) + \beta b^{(l)}, \quad for \ l = 0, ..., L-1$$
(2)

$$\alpha^{(l)}(x;\theta) = \sigma(\tilde{\alpha}^{(l)}(x;\theta)), \quad for \ l = 0, ..., L-1$$
(3)

$$f_{\theta}(x) := \tilde{\alpha}^{(L)}(x; \theta) \tag{4}$$

where  $W^{(l)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{l+1} \times n_l}, b^{(l)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{l+1}}$ , whose elements  $w_{i,j}^{(l)}, b_i^{(l)} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ 

Neural Tangent Kernel(NTK):

$$\Theta^{(L)}(\theta) = \sum_{p=1}^{P} \partial_{\theta_p} F^{(L)}(\theta) \otimes \partial_{\theta_p} F^{(L)}(\theta)$$
(5)

$$\Theta^{(L)}(x,x') = \sum_{p=1}^{P} \frac{\partial F^{(L)}(\theta,x)}{\partial \theta_{p}} \otimes \frac{\partial F^{(L)}(\theta,x')}{\partial \theta_{p}} \\
= \sum_{p=1}^{P} \left[ \frac{\partial F_{1}^{(L)}(\theta,x)}{\partial \theta_{p}}, \cdots, \frac{\partial F_{n_{L}}^{(L)}(\theta,x)}{\partial \theta_{p}} \right]^{T} \otimes \left[ \frac{\partial F_{1}^{(L)}(\theta,x')}{\partial \theta_{p}}, \cdots, \frac{\partial F_{n_{L}}^{(L)}(\theta,x')}{\partial \theta_{p}} \right]^{T} \\
= \sum_{p=1}^{P} \left[ \frac{\partial F_{1}^{(L)}(\theta,x)}{\partial \theta_{p}} \frac{\partial F_{1}^{(L)}(\theta,x')}{\partial \theta_{p}} \cdots \frac{\partial F_{1}^{(L)}(\theta,x)}{\partial \theta_{p}} \frac{\partial F_{n_{L}}^{(L)}(\theta,x')}{\partial \theta_{p}} \right] \\
\vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots \\
\frac{\partial F_{n_{L}}^{(L)}(\theta,x)}{\partial \theta_{p}} \frac{\partial F_{1}^{(L)}(\theta,x')}{\partial \theta_{p}} \cdots \frac{\partial F_{n_{L}}^{(L)}(\theta,x)}{\partial \theta_{p}} \frac{\partial F_{n_{L}}^{(L)}(\theta,x')}{\partial \theta_{p}} \right] \\
\in \mathbb{R}^{n_{L} \times n_{L}}$$

is the sum of P (number of parameters) matrices.

### 2 Gaussian Process

Let  $\tilde{\alpha}_k^{(l+1)}(x;\theta)$  be the  $k_{th}$  entry of  $\tilde{\alpha}^{(l+1)}(x;\theta)$  ,  $k=1,...,n_{l+1},$ 

$$\tilde{\alpha}_k^{(l+1)}(x;\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_l}} w_k^{(l)} \cdot \alpha^{(l)}(x;\theta) + \beta b_k^{(l)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_l}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_l} w_{kj}^{(l)} \alpha_j^{(l)}(x;\theta) + \beta b_k^{(l)}$$
(7)

where  $w_k^{(l)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_l}$  is the  $k_{th}$  row of  $W^{(l)}$  and  $b_k^{(l)} \in \mathbb{R}$ .

For any output of any layer, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\tilde{\alpha}_{k}^{(l+1)}(x;\theta)] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{l}}}w_{k}^{(l)} \cdot \alpha^{(l)}(x;\theta) + \beta b_{k}^{(l)}\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{l}}}\mathbb{E}[w_{k}^{(l)}] \cdot \mathbb{E}[\alpha^{(l)}(x;\theta)] + \beta \mathbb{E}[b_{k}^{(l)}]$$

$$= 0, \quad for \ l = 0, ..., L - 1$$
(8)

The covariance for  $k_{th}$  and  $k'_{th}(k \neq k')$  entry of outputs for any layer is

$$\mathbb{E}[\tilde{\alpha}_{k}^{(l+1)}(x;\theta)\tilde{\alpha}_{k'}^{(l+1)}(x';\theta)] = \mathbb{E}[\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{l}}}w_{k}^{(l)}\cdot\alpha^{(l)}(x;\theta) + \beta b_{k}^{(l)}\right]\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{l}}}w_{k'}^{(l)}\cdot\alpha^{(l)}(x';\theta) + \beta b_{k'}^{(l)}\right]]$$

$$= 0, \quad for \ k \neq k', l = 0, ..., L - 1$$
(9)

That means different elements of outputs for any layer is independent.

The covariance for the same entry of outputs is as follows. When L=1,

$$\Sigma^{(1)}(x,x') = \mathbb{E}[\tilde{\alpha}_k^{(1)}(x;\theta)\tilde{\alpha}_k^{(1)}(x';\theta)] = \mathbb{E}[\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{n_0}}w_k^{(0)} \cdot \alpha^{(0)}(x;\theta) + \beta b_k^{(0)}\right] \cdot \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{n_0}}w_k^{(0)} \cdot \alpha^{(0)}(x';\theta) + \beta b_k^{(0)}\right]]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n_0}\alpha^{(0)}(x;\theta) \cdot \alpha^{(0)}(x';\theta) + \beta^2 = \frac{1}{n_0}x^Tx' + \beta^2$$
(10)

Recursively, for l = 0, ..., L - 1,

$$\tilde{\Sigma}^{(l+1)}(x,x') = \mathbb{E}[\tilde{\alpha}_{k}^{(l)}(x;\theta)\tilde{\alpha}_{k}^{(l)}(x';\theta)] = \mathbb{E}[\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{l}}}w_{k}^{(l)} \cdot \alpha^{(l)}(x;\theta) + \beta b_{k}^{(l)}\right] \cdot \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{l}}}w_{k}^{(l)} \cdot \alpha^{(l)}(x';\theta) + \beta b_{k}^{(l)}\right]]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n_{l}}\alpha^{(l)}(x;\theta) \cdot \alpha^{(l)}(x';\theta) + \beta^{2} = \frac{1}{n_{l}}\sigma(\tilde{\alpha}^{(l)}(x;\theta)) \cdot \sigma(\tilde{\alpha}^{(l)}(x';\theta)) + \beta^{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n_{l}}\sum_{i=1}^{n_{l}}\sigma(\tilde{\alpha}_{i}^{(l)}(x;\theta))\sigma(\tilde{\alpha}_{i}^{(l)}(x';\theta)) + \beta^{2}$$
(11)

when  $n_l \to \infty$ ,

$$\tilde{\Sigma}^{(l+1)}(x,x') \to \mathbb{E}[\sigma(\tilde{\alpha}_i^{(l)}(x;\theta))\sigma(\tilde{\alpha}_i^{(l)}(x';\theta))] + \beta^2$$

$$\to \Sigma^{(l+1)}(x,x') = \mathbb{E}_{g \sim \mathcal{N}(0,\Sigma^{(l)})}[\sigma(g(x))\sigma(g(x'))] + \beta^2$$
(12)

taking the expectation with respect to a centered gaussian process g of covariance  $\Sigma^{(l)}$ , which is equivalent to integrating against the joint distribution of only g(x) and g(x') (a zero mean, two-dimensional Gaussian whose covariance matrix has distinct entries  $\Sigma^{(l)}(x,x')$ ,  $\Sigma^{(l)}(x,x)$  and  $\Sigma^{(l)}(x',x')$ ).

From the above, we can see the output functions  $f_{\theta,k}(x)$ , for  $k = 1, ..., n_L$  tend (in law) to idd centered Gaussian processes of covariance  $\Sigma^{(L)}$  in the limit as  $n_1, ..., n_{L-1} \to \infty$  sequentially. (Proposition 1 in [1])

#### 3 NTK at Initialization

Using induction. When L=1,

$$f_{\theta}(x) = \tilde{\alpha}^{(1)}(x;\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_0}} W^{(0)} x + \beta b^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} f_1(x) \\ \vdots \\ f_k(x) \\ \vdots \\ f_{n_1}(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_0}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} w_{0i}^{(0)} x_i + \beta b_0^{(0)} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_0}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} w_{ki}^{(0)} x_i + \beta b_k^{(0)} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_0}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} w_{n_1i}^{(0)} x_i + \beta b_{n_1}^{(0)} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$$
 (13)

The partial derivative of the  $k_{th}$  entry of  $f_{\theta}(x)$  with respect to  $w_{ji}$  is

$$\frac{\partial f_k(x)}{\partial w_{ii}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_0}} x_i \delta_{jk} \tag{14}$$

$$\frac{\partial f_k(x)}{\partial w_{ii}} \frac{\partial f_{k'}(x')}{\partial w_{ii}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_0}} x_i \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_0}} x_i' \delta_{jk} \delta_{jk'} = \frac{1}{n_0} x_i x_i' \delta_{jk} \delta_{jk'}$$

$$\tag{15}$$

$$\frac{\partial f_k(x)}{\partial b_j^{(0)}} \frac{\partial f_{k'}(x')}{\partial b_j^{(0)}} = \beta \beta \delta_{jk} \delta_{jk'} = \beta^2 \delta_{jk} \delta_{jk'}$$
(16)

See(6), the k, k' entry of NTK  $\Theta^{(L)}(x, x')$  is the sum for all parameters.

$$\Theta_{kk'}^{(1)}(x,x') = \sum_{p=1}^{P} \frac{\partial F_{k}^{(1)}(\theta,x)}{\partial \theta_{p}} \frac{\partial F_{k'}^{(1)}(\theta,x')}{\partial \theta_{p}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_{0}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{1}} \frac{\partial f_{k}(x)}{\partial w_{ji}} \frac{\partial f_{k'}(x')}{\partial w_{ji}} + \sum_{j=1}^{n_{1}} \frac{\partial f_{k}(x)}{\partial b_{j}^{(0)}} \frac{\partial f_{k'}(x')}{\partial b_{j}^{(0)}} \\
= \sum_{i=1}^{n_{0}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{1}} \frac{1}{n_{0}} x_{i} x_{i}' \delta_{jk} \delta_{jk'} + \sum_{j=1}^{n_{1}} \beta^{2} \delta_{jk} \delta_{jk'} = \frac{1}{n_{0}} x^{T} x' \delta_{kk'} + \beta^{2} \delta_{kk'} \\
= \Sigma^{(1)}(x, x') \delta_{kk'} \tag{17}$$

$$\Theta^{(1)}(x, x') = \Sigma^{(1)}(x, x') \otimes I_{n_1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_1}$$
(18)

which is a deterministic and diagonal matrix.

When  $L \geq 1$ , assume the neural tangent kernel  $\Theta^{(L)}(x, x')$  of the smaller network converges to a deterministic limit:

$$\Theta_{ii'}^{(L)}(x,x') = (\partial_{\tilde{\theta}}\tilde{\alpha}_{i}^{(L)}(x;\theta))^{T}(\partial_{\tilde{\theta}}\tilde{\alpha}_{i'}^{(L)}(x';\theta)) \to \Theta_{\infty}^{(L)}(x,x')\delta_{ii'}$$
(19)

where we split the parameters into the parameters of the first L layers  $\tilde{\theta} = (W^{(0)}, b^{(0)}, ..., W^{(L-1)}, b^{(L-1)})$  and those of the last layer  $(W^{(L)}, b^{(L)})$ . Now we need to prove the Convergence for  $\Theta^{(L+1)}(x, x')$ .

For L+1,

$$f_{\theta}(x) = \tilde{\alpha}^{(L+1)}(x;\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_L}} W^{(L)} \alpha^{(L)}(x;\theta) + \beta b^{(L)} = \begin{bmatrix} f_1(x) \\ \vdots \\ f_k(x) \\ \vdots \\ f_{n_{L+1}}(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_L}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_L} w_{0i}^{(L)} \alpha_i^{(L)}(x;\theta) + \beta b_0^{(0)} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_L}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_L} w_{ki}^{(L)} \alpha_i^{(L)}(x;\theta) + \beta b_k^{(0)} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_L}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_L} w_{n_{L+1,i}}^{(L)} \alpha_i^{(L)}(x;\theta) + \beta b_{n_{L+1}}^{(0)} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_L}$$

The partial derivative of the  $k_{th}$  entry of  $f_{\theta}(x)$  with respect to one of the  $\tilde{\theta}$  is

$$\partial_{\tilde{\theta}_{p}} f_{\theta,k}(x) = \frac{\partial f_{\theta,k}(x)}{\partial \tilde{\theta}_{p}} = \frac{\partial f_{\theta,k}(x)}{\partial \alpha_{i}^{(L)}(x;\theta)} \frac{\partial \alpha_{i}^{(L)}(x;\theta)}{\partial \tilde{\alpha}_{i}^{(L)}(x;\theta)} \frac{\partial \tilde{\alpha}_{i}^{(L)}(x;\theta)}{\partial \tilde{\theta}_{p}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{L}}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{L}} w_{ki}^{(L)} \dot{\sigma}(\tilde{\alpha}_{i}^{(L)}(x;\theta)) \frac{\partial \tilde{\alpha}_{i}^{(L)}(x;\theta)}{\partial \tilde{\theta}_{p}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{L}}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{L}} w_{ki}^{(L)} \dot{\sigma}(\tilde{\alpha}_{i}^{(L)}(x;\theta)) \partial_{\tilde{\theta}_{p}} \tilde{\alpha}_{i}^{(L)}(x;\theta)$$

$$(21)$$

Note here  $\dot{\sigma}(\tilde{\alpha}_i^{(L)}(x;\theta))$  and  $\partial_{\tilde{\theta}_p}\tilde{\alpha}_i^{(L)}(x;\theta)$  are dependent.

$$\frac{\partial f_{\theta,k}(x)}{\partial \tilde{\theta}_{p}} \frac{\partial f_{\theta,k'}(x')}{\partial \tilde{\theta}_{p}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{L}}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{L}} w_{ki}^{(L)} \dot{\sigma}(\tilde{\alpha}_{i}^{(L)}(x;\theta)) \frac{\partial \tilde{\alpha}_{i}^{(L)}(x;\theta)}{\partial \tilde{\theta}_{p}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{L}}} \sum_{i'=1}^{n_{L}} w_{k'i'}^{(L)} \dot{\sigma}(\tilde{\alpha}_{i'}^{(L)}(x';\theta)) \frac{\partial \tilde{\alpha}_{i'}^{(L)}(x';\theta)}{\partial \tilde{\theta}_{p}} \\
= \frac{1}{n_{L}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{L}} \sum_{i'=1}^{n_{L}} w_{ki}^{(L)} w_{k'i'}^{(L)} \dot{\sigma}(\tilde{\alpha}_{i}^{(L)}(x;\theta)) \dot{\sigma}(\tilde{\alpha}_{i'}^{(L)}(x';\theta)) \frac{\partial \tilde{\alpha}_{i'}^{(L)}(x';\theta)}{\partial \tilde{\theta}_{p}} \frac{\partial \tilde{\alpha}_{i'}^{(L)}(x';\theta)}{\partial \tilde{\theta}_{p}} \\
(22)$$

Take the sum for all parameters of  $\theta$ ,

$$\sum_{p=1}^{|\tilde{\theta}|} \frac{\partial f_{\theta,k}(x)}{\partial \tilde{\theta}_{p}} \frac{\partial f_{\theta,k'}(x')}{\partial \tilde{\theta}_{p}} = \frac{1}{n_{L}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{L}} \sum_{i'=1}^{n_{L}} w_{ki}^{(L)} w_{k'i'}^{(L)} \dot{\sigma}(\tilde{\alpha}_{i}^{(L)}(x;\theta)) \dot{\sigma}(\tilde{\alpha}_{i'}^{(L)}(x';\theta)) \sum_{p=1}^{|\tilde{\theta}|} \frac{\partial \tilde{\alpha}_{i}^{(L)}(x;\theta)}{\partial \tilde{\theta}_{p}} \frac{\partial \tilde{\alpha}_{i'}^{(L)}(x';\theta)}{\partial \tilde{\theta}_{p}} \\
= \frac{1}{n_{L}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{L}} \sum_{i'=1}^{n_{L}} w_{ki}^{(L)} w_{k'i'}^{(L)} \dot{\sigma}(\tilde{\alpha}_{i}^{(L)}(x;\theta)) \dot{\sigma}(\tilde{\alpha}_{i'}^{(L)}(x';\theta)) \frac{\partial \tilde{\alpha}_{i}^{(L)}(x;\theta)}{\partial \tilde{\theta}} \cdot \frac{\partial \tilde{\alpha}_{i'}^{(L)}(x';\theta)}{\partial \tilde{\theta}} \\
= \frac{1}{n_{L}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{L}} \sum_{i'=1}^{n_{L}} w_{ki}^{(L)} w_{k'i'}^{(L)} \dot{\sigma}(\tilde{\alpha}_{i}^{(L)}(x;\theta)) \dot{\sigma}(\tilde{\alpha}_{i'}^{(L)}(x';\theta)) \Theta_{ii'}^{(L)}(x,x') \\
= \frac{1}{n_{L}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{L}} \sum_{i'=1}^{n_{L}} w_{ki}^{(L)} w_{k'i'}^{(L)} \dot{\sigma}(\tilde{\alpha}_{i}^{(L)}(x;\theta)) \dot{\sigma}(\tilde{\alpha}_{i'}^{(L)}(x';\theta)) \Theta_{\infty}^{(L)}(x,x') \delta_{ii'} \\
= \frac{1}{n_{L}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{L}} w_{ki}^{(L)} w_{k'i}^{(L)} \dot{\sigma}(\tilde{\alpha}_{i}^{(L)}(x;\theta)) \dot{\sigma}(\tilde{\alpha}_{i'}^{(L)}(x';\theta)) \Theta_{\infty}^{(L)}(x,x') \delta_{ii'} \\
= \frac{1}{n_{L}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{L}} w_{ki}^{(L)} w_{k'i}^{(L)} \dot{\sigma}(\tilde{\alpha}_{i}^{(L)}(x;\theta)) \dot{\sigma}(\tilde{\alpha}_{i}^{(L)}(x';\theta)) \Theta_{\infty}^{(L)}(x,x') \delta_{ii'} \\
= \frac{1}{n_{L}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{L}} w_{ki}^{(L)} w_{k'i}^{(L)} \dot{\sigma}(\tilde{\alpha}_{i}^{(L)}(x;\theta)) \dot{\sigma}(\tilde{\alpha}_{i}^{(L)}(x';\theta)) \Theta_{\infty}^{(L)}(x,x') \delta_{ii'} \\
= \frac{1}{n_{L}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{L}} w_{ki}^{(L)} w_{k'i}^{(L)} \dot{\sigma}(\tilde{\alpha}_{i}^{(L)}(x;\theta)) \dot{\sigma}(\tilde{\alpha}_{i}^{(L)}(x';\theta)) \Theta_{\infty}^{(L)}(x,x') \delta_{ii'} \delta_{i$$

when  $n_L \to \infty$ , this tends to its expectation

$$\mathbb{E}[w_{ki}^{(L)}w_{k'i}^{(L)}\dot{\sigma}(\tilde{\alpha}_{i}^{(L)}(x;\theta))\dot{\sigma}(\tilde{\alpha}_{i}^{(L)}(x';\theta))\Theta_{\infty}^{(L)}(x,x')] = \mathbb{E}[w_{ki}^{(L)}w_{k'i}^{(L)}]\mathbb{E}[\dot{\sigma}(\tilde{\alpha}_{i}^{(L)}(x;\theta))\dot{\sigma}(\tilde{\alpha}_{i}^{(L)}(x';\theta))]\Theta_{\infty}^{(L)}(x,x')$$

$$= \delta_{kk'}\mathbb{E}[\dot{\sigma}(\tilde{\alpha}_{i}^{(L)}(x;\theta))\dot{\sigma}(\tilde{\alpha}_{i}^{(L)}(x';\theta))]\Theta_{\infty}^{(L)}(x,x')$$

$$= \delta_{kk'}\mathbb{E}_{g\sim\mathcal{N}(0,\Sigma^{(L)})}[\dot{\sigma}(g(x))\dot{\sigma}(g(x'))]\Theta_{\infty}^{(L)}(x,x')$$

$$= \delta_{kk'}\dot{\Sigma}^{(L+1)}(x,x'))\Theta_{\infty}^{(L)}(x,x')$$
(24)

Note here we used the gradient independence assumption implicitly.  $\dot{\sigma}(\tilde{\alpha}_{i}^{(L)}(x;\theta))$  and  $\partial_{\tilde{\theta}_{p}}\tilde{\alpha}_{i}^{(L)}(x;\theta)$  are dependent, where we take the  $(\partial_{\tilde{\theta}}\tilde{\alpha}_{i}^{(L)}(x;\theta))^{T}(\partial_{\tilde{\theta}}\tilde{\alpha}_{i'}^{(L)}(x';\theta))$  as a constant  $\Theta_{\infty}^{(L)}(x,x')\delta_{ii'}$  using induction. See [2] Section D for a clearer form of gradient independence assumption or [5] for more rigorous treatment.

For the parameters of last layer  $(W^{(L)}, b^{(L)})$ , the derivation is similar as L=1 but just replace the  $x_i$  with  $\alpha_i^{(L)}(x;\theta)$ . We can get the result as

$$\frac{1}{n_L} \alpha_i^{(L)}(x;\theta)^T \alpha_i^{(L)}(x';\theta) \delta_{kk'} + \beta^2 \delta_{kk'} \to \Sigma^{(L+1)}(x,x') \delta_{kk'}$$
 (25)

Take the sum of these two parts, we can get

$$\Theta_{kk'}^{(L+1)}(x,x') = \Theta_{\infty}^{(L)}(x,x')\dot{\Sigma}^{(L+1)}\delta_{kk'} + \Sigma^{(L+1)}(x,x')\delta_{kk'}$$
(26)

$$\Theta^{(L+1)}(x,x') = \left[\Theta_{\infty}^{(L)}(x,x')\dot{\Sigma}^{(L+1)}(x,x') + \Sigma^{(L+1)}(x,x')\right] \otimes I_{n_{L+1}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{L+1} \times n_{L+1}}$$
(27)

which is a deterministic and diagonal matrix.

# 4 NTK During Training

Please reference the original papers.

### References

- [1] Jacot A, Gabriel F, Hongler C. Neural tangent kernel: Convergence and generalization in neural networks[C]//Advances in neural information processing systems. 2018: 8571-8580.
- [2] Arora S, Du S S, Hu W, et al. On exact computation with an infinitely wide neural net[C]//Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems. 2019: 8141-8150.
- [3] Lee J, Bahri Y, Novak R, et al. Deep neural networks as gaussian processes[J]. arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.00165, 2017.
- [4] Lee J, Xiao L, Schoenholz S S, et al. Wide Neural Networks of Any Depth Evolve as Linear Models Under Gradient Descent[J]. arXiv preprint arXiv:1902.06720, 2019.
- [5] Yang, Greg. "Scaling limits of wide neural networks with weight sharing: Gaussian process behavior, gradient independence, and neural tangent kernel derivation." arXiv preprint arXiv:1902.04760 (2019).
- [6] Huang W, Du W, Da Xu R Y. On the Neural Tangent Kernel of Deep Networks with Orthogonal Initialization[J]. arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.05867, 2020.