## Chapter 3-TWO WAY ANOVA PRACTICE

## Due Wednesday, October 1st

Work in groups and turn in one paper for your group!

Gender Differences in Performance on Mathematics Achievement Tests Data set on 861 ACT Assessment Mathematics Usage Test scores from 1987. The test was given to a sample of high school seniors who met one of three profiles of high school mathematics course work: (a) Algebra I only; (b) two Algebra courses and Geometry; and (c) two Algebra courses, Geometry, Trigonometry, Advanced Mathematics and Beginning Calculus.

These data were generated from summary statistics for one particular form of the test as reported by Doolittle (1989).

Source:Ramsey, F.L. and Schafer, D.W. (2002). The Statistical Sleuth: A Course in Methods of Data Analysis (2nd ed), Duxbury.

Summary statistics, side-by-side boxplots, and interaction plots are given for these data.


```
options(show.signif.stars = F)
require(Sleuth2)
require(mosaic)
math <- ex1320
names(math)
## [1] "Sex" "Background" "Score"</pre>
```

1. Is the design balanced? See the output below.

```
favstats(Score Sex+Background, data = math)
##
       .group min Q1 median
                               Q3 max
                                        mean
                                                     n missing
                                                sd
## 1 female.a
                   6
                        9.5 12.0
                                   18
                                       9.073 4.186
                                                    82
                                                              0
## 2
       male.a
                2 7
                       12.0 15.0
                                  25 11.458 5.086
                                                    48
                                                              0
## 3 female.b
                0 11
                       14.0 17.0
                                  28 13.964 5.001 387
                                                              0
## 4
       male.b
                2 12
                       16.0 19.0
                                  29 15.565 4.888 223
                                                              0
## 5 female.c
              15 21
                       25.0 28.0 34 24.630 4.850
                                                   54
                                                              0
## 6 male.c 13 22
                       25.0 30.5 36 25.433 5.555
                                                    67
```

2. What do the side-by-side boxplots tell you about the effects of Sex and Background? In other words, describe the relationships you see in the boxplots.


```
bwplot(Score~Background|Sex, data = math)
```



3. Interpret an interaction in this context.

4. Does the plot below suggest that there is an interaction between Sex and Background? Explain why or why not.

```
require(HH)
interaction2wt(Score~Background*Sex, data = math)
```



5.	Write out the two-way anova inte	action model in terms	s of $y_{ijk}$ 's, $\tau_j$ 's,	$\gamma_k$ 's and $\omega_{jk}$ 's.	Define
	all of the parameters and don't fo	get the errors!			

6. Below is output for the two-way ANOVA model with an interaction.

```
fit.Math <- lm(Score~Background*Sex, data = math)
anova(fit.Math)
## Analysis of Variance Table
## Response: Score
                Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)
## Background 2 15619 7809 319.83 < 2e-16
## Sex
                1 517
                             517
                                   21.16 4.9e-06
## Background:Sex 2
                      38
                              19
                                    0.77
                                           0.46
## Residuals 855 20877
```

- (a) Write the null and alternative hypothesis for the test you should look at first.
- (b) What is the distribution of the F statistic under the null hypothesis for this test?
- (c) What is the value of the F statistic?
- (d) What is the p-value?
- (e) What would you conclude about the interaction effect?

- (f) Would you use an additive model or an interaction model for these data?
- 7. Which anova function should you use to fit an additive model? (circle the correct choice) anova or Anova
- 8. The type II sums of squares ANOVA table is given below:

```
fit.Math.add <- lm(Score~Background + Sex, data = math)
Anova(fit.Math.add)

## Anova Table (Type II tests)
##
## Response: Score
## Sum Sq Df F value Pr(>F)
## Background 14705 2 301.3 < 2e-16
## Sex 517 1 21.2 4.8e-06
## Residuals 20914 857</pre>
```

## For Background:

(a) Write the null and alternative hypothesis for testing the effect of background.


- (b) What is the distribution of the F statistic under the null hypothesis for this test?
- (c) What is the value of the F statistic?
- (d) What is the p-value?
- (e) What would you conclude about the effect of *Background*?


For Sex:


- (a) Write the null and alternative hypothesis for testing the effect of sex.
- (b) What is the distribution of the F statistic under the null hypothesis for this test?
- (c) What is the value of the F statistic?
- (d) What is the p-value?
- (e) What would you conclude about the effect of Sex?


9. Use the following plots to assess the assumptions and conditions required for the ANOVA.

```
par(mfrow = c(1,4))
plot(fit.Math.add)
```









10. Use the output below to find the fitted values. In other words, I want you to tell me the estimated means for each treatment combination. HINT: There are six, and here is the first one: the estimated mean test score for females with background a is 9.363.

```
summary(fit.Math.add)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = Score ~ Background + Sex, data = math)
## Residuals:
## Min 1Q Median 3Q
                                     Max
## -13.964 -3.363 0.036 3.435 14.037
## Coefficients:
## Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)
## Backgroundb 4.601 0.477 9.04

14.825 0.627 23.63 < 2e-16
## (Intercept) 9.363 0.452 20.72 < 2e-16
## Sexmale
           1.601
                          0.348 4.60 4.8e-06
##
## Residual standard error: 4.94 on 857 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.436, Adjusted R-squared: 0.434
## F-statistic: 220 on 3 and 857 DF, p-value: <2e-16
```

11. Which combination of *Background* and *Sex* had the highest mean score?

## Two Questions about Multiple Comparisons

- 12. When should you use a multiple comparison procedure? Circle all that apply.
  - A. You have multiple groups in the study, and you want to look at all the possible pairwise comparisons. EX: You have three groups, A, B, and C. You want to compare the means of A and B, B and C, and A and B.
  - B. You have multiple groups in your study, you see the data, get a little curious, and then you decide you want to compare the means of two of the groups.
  - C. You only have two groups in your study, and you are doing a two-sample t-test to compare the means.
  - D. You only have two groups in your study, and you want to make a confidence interval for the true difference in means.
  - E. You have multiple groups in your study, and you want to make confidence intervals for all the pairwise differences.
- 13. The "warpbreak" data(manipulated below) gives the number of warp breaks per loom, where a loom corresponds to a fixed length of yarn. The tension refers to the tension of the loom, set at low, medium, or high.

```
require(multcomp)
tension <- factor(warpbreaks$tension, levels=c("H", "M", "L"))
amod <- aov(warpbreaks$breaks ~ tension)
ps <- glht(amod, linfct = mcp(tension = "Tukey"))</pre>
confint(ps)
##
     Simultaneous Confidence Intervals
##
##
## Multiple Comparisons of Means: Tukey Contrasts
##
##
## Fit: aov(formula = warpbreaks$breaks ~ tension)
## Quantile = 2.414
## 95% family-wise confidence level
##
## Linear Hypotheses:
             Estimate lwr
                              upr
## M - H == 0 4.722 -4.837 14.281
## L - H == 0 14.722
                     5.163 24.281
## L - M == 0 10.000 0.441 19.559
```

Choose the correct interpretation of the confidence interval(s) above.

- (a) We are 95% confident that the true difference in mean breaks between those yarns at a low tension and those yarns at a high tension is between 24.282 and 5.163 breaks.
- (b) We are 95% confident that the high group has more breaks than the low group.
- (c) We are 95% confident that all of the intervals above contain their respective true differences in mean breaks.
- (d) We are more than 95% confident that all of the intervals above contain their respective true differences in mean breaks.