

(c) Write out the model that was fit above.

$$M\{Force | ht, species\} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 height + \beta_2 I_{nudos} + \beta_3 I_{prod} \\ + \beta_4 height \cdot I_{nudos} + \beta_5 height \cdot I_{prod}$$
$$I_{nudos} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if species=nudos} \\ 0 & \text{if else} \end{cases} \quad I_{prod} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if species=productus} \\ 0 & \text{if else} \end{cases}$$

(d) What is the reference level? *Lophophanes bells*

(e) Would you allow different slopes for each species? Write a conclusion to answer this question.

There is strong evidence that the ~~mean average closing~~ linear relationship between height and mean average closing force depends on species ($p\text{-value} = 0.001$ from $F\text{-stat} = 8.64$ on $2 + 32$ df).

2. Now let's look at the same question using AIC-based model comparisons.

```
lm.add <- lm(Force~Height+Species, data=crab.data)
lm.int <- lm(Force~Height+Species+Height*Species, data=crab.data)
AIC(lm.add,lm.int)
```

```
##          df AIC
## lm.add   5 241
## lm.int   7 229
```

(a) According to the AIC, which model would you choose and why?

I would choose the interaction model because the AIC is much lower.

(b) Is this consistent with the answer you gave in 1e? Briefly justify.

Yes. If we choose the interaction model, this is equivalent to saying that we need different slopes for each species.