

# Solutions

## Quiz Given on last day of class

The dataset presented here contains measurements of weight (g), tar(mg), nicotine content (mg), carbon monoxide content (mg), brand, and flavor for 25 cigarettes.

Write out the true model and the estimated model for each of the following.

### Model 1

```
##  
## Call:  
## lm(formula = weight ~ tar + nicotine + COcontent, data = cig.dat)  
##  
## Residuals:  
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max   
## -0.10234 -0.05625 -0.00326  0.04390  0.16439   
##  
## Coefficients:  
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)      
## (Intercept)  0.864079   0.061532   14.04 3.8e-12      
## tar          0.001161   0.018006    0.06  0.95       
## nicotine     0.110888   0.220102    0.50  0.62       
## COcontent    -0.000412   0.012255   -0.03  0.97       
##  
## Residual standard error: 0.0812 on 21 degrees of freedom  
## Multiple R-squared:  0.25, Adjusted R-squared:  0.143   
## F-statistic: 2.34 on 3 and 21 DF,  p-value: 0.103
```

TRUE model:

$$\mu\{wt | tar, nic, CO\} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 tar + \beta_2 nic + \beta_3 CO$$

Estimated model:

$$\mu\{wt | tar, nic, CO\} = 0.864 + 0.001tar + 0.111nic - 0.0004CO$$

What hypotheses are being tested in the NICOTINE line of the R output above?

$$H_0: \beta_2 = 0$$

$$H_A: \beta_2 \neq 0$$

Provide the conclusion, in context, of the test of the hypotheses in the previous question.

There is no evidence that the mean weight depends on nicotine after accounting for tar and CO content ( $p\text{-value} = 0.62$  from  $t\text{-stat} = 0.5$  on 21 df).

### Model 3

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = weight ~ nicotine * Flavor, data = cig.dat)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -0.1173 -0.0358 -0.0127  0.0231  0.1554
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)      0.80892    0.06881   11.76 5.7e-09
## nicotine          0.14714    0.07822    1.88  0.08
## Flavorchocolate  0.20173    0.18370    1.10  0.29
## Flavorclove       0.16767    0.19428    0.86  0.40
## Flavormenthol     0.06397    0.09712    0.66  0.52
## Flavorregular     0.44373    0.27800    1.60  0.13
## nicotine:Flavorchocolate -0.15398    0.21593   -0.71  0.49
## nicotine:Flavorclove   -0.15735    0.23658   -0.67  0.52
## nicotine:Flavormenthol  0.00303    0.09839    0.03  0.98
## nicotine:Flavorregular -0.47486    0.28428   -1.67  0.12
##
## Residual standard error: 0.0744 on 15 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:  0.551, Adjusted R-squared:  0.281
## F-statistic: 2.04 on 9 and 15 DF, p-value: 0.107
## Analysis of Variance Table
##
## Response: weight
##              Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)
## nicotine      1  0.0462   0.0462    8.35  0.011
## Flavor        4  0.0345   0.0086    1.56  0.236
## nicotine:Flavor 4  0.0210   0.0052    0.95  0.463
## Residuals    15  0.0830   0.0055
```

TRUE model:

$$\mu\{wt | \text{nicotine, flavor}\} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{nic} + \beta_2 I_{\text{choc}} + \beta_3 I_{\text{clove}} + \beta_4 I_{\text{menthol}} + \beta_5 I_{\text{reg}} + \beta_6 \text{nic} I_{\text{choc}} + \beta_7 \text{nic} I_{\text{clove}} + \beta_8 \text{nic} I_{\text{menthol}} + \beta_9 \text{nic} I_{\text{reg}}$$

ESTIMATED model:

$$I_{\text{choc}} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if chocolate} \\ 0 & \text{if else} \end{cases}$$

$$I_{\text{clove}} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if clove flavor} \\ 0 & \text{if else} \end{cases}$$

$$I_{\text{menthol}} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if menthol} \\ 0 & \text{if else} \end{cases}$$

$$I_{\text{reg}} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if reg} \\ 0 & \text{if else} \end{cases}$$

$$\mu\{wt | \text{nicotine, flavor}\} = 0.809 + 0.147 \text{nic} + 0.202 I_{\text{choc}} + 0.168 I_{\text{clove}} + 0.064 I_{\text{menthol}} + 0.444 I_{\text{reg}} - 0.154 \text{nic} I_{\text{choc}} - 0.157 \text{nic} I_{\text{clove}} + 0.003 \text{nic} I_{\text{menthol}} - 0.0475 \text{nic} I_{\text{reg}}$$

What is the estimated regression line for menthol flavored cigarettes?

$$\mu \{ \text{wt/nicotine, flavor=menthol} \} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{nic} + \beta_4 + \beta_8 \text{nic}$$

$$\mu \{ \text{wt/nicotine, flavor=menthol} \} = (0.809 + 0.064) + (0.147 + 0.003) \text{nic}$$

What hypotheses are being tested in the nicotine:Flavor row above?

$$H_0: \beta_6 = \beta_7 = \beta_8 = \beta_9 = 0$$

$$H_A: \text{Not all } \beta_6, \beta_7, \beta_8, \text{ and } \beta_9 \text{ are } 0$$

Write a conclusion for these hypotheses.

There is no evidence that the linear relationship between nicotine and weight changes across flavor

(p-value = 0.463 from F-stat = 0.95 on 4 and 15 df).

The response is the length of odontoblasts (teeth) in each of 10 guinea pigs at each of three dose levels of Vitamin C (0.5, 1, 2, or 2.5 mg) with each of two delivery methods (orange juice or pill).

A total of 60 guinea pigs were studied.

Here is a partial ANOVA table for this example. Fill in the blanks.

#### Analysis of Variance Table

Response: len

	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
supp	1	205.35	205.35	15.572	0.0002312 ***
dose	2	2426.43	1213.22	22.4	< 2.2e-16 ***
supp:dose	2	108.32	54.16	4.11	0.0218603 *
Residuals	54	712.11	13.19		

Signif. codes: 0 \*\*\* 0.001 \*\* 0.01 \* 0.05 . 0.1 1

Write out the hypotheses being tested in the supp:dose row above.

$$H_0: \mu_{0.5} = \mu_{1.0} = \mu_{2.0} = \mu_{2.5} = \mu_{0.5} = \mu_{1.0} = \mu_{2.5} = 0$$

$$H_A: \text{At least one } \mu_{jk} \neq 0$$

Write out a conclusion for these hypotheses.

There is moderate evidence difference in mean tooth length between delivery methods changes across levels of dose (p-value = 0.022 from F-stat = 4.11 on 2 and 54 df).

