

# Stat 505 Assignment 2

Leslie Gains-Germain

Due: Sept 12, 2014

1. Construct a 4 by 4 variance-covariance matrices showing  $\mathbf{R}$ ,  $\mathbf{D}$ , and  $\mathbf{V}$  for each case:

(a) so that covariances are all zeroes, variances are 1, 4, 9, 16.

$$\mathbf{D} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{V} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 9 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 16 \end{bmatrix}$$

(b) so that each variance is .25 and all correlations are .6.

$$\mathbf{D} = \begin{bmatrix} .5 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & .5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & .5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & .5 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.6 & 0.6 & 0.6 \\ 0.6 & 1 & 0.6 & 0.6 \\ 0.6 & 0.6 & 1 & 0.6 \\ 0.6 & 0.6 & 0.6 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{V} = \begin{bmatrix} .25 & 0.15 & 0.15 & 0.15 \\ 0.15 & .25 & 0.15 & 0.15 \\ 0.15 & 0.15 & .25 & 0.15 \\ 0.15 & 0.15 & 0.15 & .25 \end{bmatrix}$$

(c) so that each variance is 9, neighboring observations have covariance 3, observations 2 steps apart have covariance 1, and the covariance between observations 1 and 4 is  $\frac{1}{3}$ .

$$\mathbf{D} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/3 & .111 & 0.037 \\ 1/3 & 1 & 1/3 & 0.111 \\ 0.111 & 1/3 & 1 & 1/3 \\ 0.037 & 0.111 & 1/3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{V} = \begin{bmatrix} 9 & 3 & 1 & \frac{1}{3} \\ 3 & 9 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 9 & 3 \\ \frac{1}{3} & 1 & 3 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

(d) so that correlations are the same as in (c), but variances are  $\mu_i^{1.4}$  where the vector of means is  $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (2, 3, 7, 6)^T$ .

$$\mathbf{D} = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{2^{1/4}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{3^{1/4}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{7^{1/4}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{6^{1/4}} \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/3 & .111 & 0.037 \\ 1/3 & 1 & 1/3 & 0.111 \\ 0.111 & 1/3 & 1 & 1/3 \\ 0.037 & 0.111 & 1/3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{V} = \begin{bmatrix} 2^{1.4} & 1.17 & .705 & 0.211 \\ 1.17 & 3^{1.4} & 2.81 & 0.840 \\ .705 & 2.81 & 7^{1.4} & 4.56 \\ .211 & .840 & 4.56 & 6^{1.4} \end{bmatrix}$$

2. Let  $\mathbf{z} \sim N_8(\mathbf{0}, 4\mathbf{I}_8)$  be a random vector and let  $\mathbf{\Sigma}$  be a 4 by 8 matrix with these entries:

```

1 1 0 0 1 0 0 0
0 1 1 0 1 1 0 0
0 0 1 1 0 1 1 0
1 0 0 1 0 0 1 1

```

Describe the distribution of

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix} + \mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{z}$$

$\mathbf{x}$  follows a normal distribution with mean

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

with the following variance-covariance matrix  $\mathbf{\Sigma} * 4\mathbf{I}_8 * \mathbf{\Sigma}^T =$

```

12 8 0 4
8 16 8 0
0 8 16 8
4 0 8 16

```

The variances,  $\sigma_{x_1}^2, \sigma_{x_2}^2, \sigma_{x_3}^2$ , and  $\sigma_{x_4}^2$  are on the diagonal. Neighboring observations in  $\mathbf{x}$  have covariance 8, observations two steps apart have covariance 0, and the covariance between observations 1 and 4 is 4.

3. Fill in the blanks. You can use the verbatim environment

Source	df	SS	MS	F	p-value
-----					
Between groups	3	20.42	6.8067	2.409	0.068
Within groups	217	613.14	2.8255		
-----					
Total	220	633.56			

4. Set up the ANOVA model  $y_{ij} = \mu + \tau_i + \epsilon_{ij}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 4, j = 1, 2$  in R with these data:

```
y <- c(3, 4, 1, 0, 7, 9, 6, 5)
#f <- factor(rep(LETTERS[1:4], each = 2))
## or use the gl function:
f <- gl(4,2, labels= LETTERS[1:4])
Xf <- model.matrix( y ~ f)
X <- cbind(1,model.matrix(y ~ f + 0))
```

(a) Fit using `lm(y ~ f)`. Give estimates of all cell means, explaining how they relate to the output.

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	3.5000	0.6614	5.29	0.0061
fB	-3.0000	0.9354	-3.21	0.0327
fC	4.5000	0.9354	4.81	0.0086
fD	2.0000	0.9354	2.14	0.0993

The mean of  $y$  in group A is estimated to be 3.5. This is given as “intercept” in the output above. The mean of  $y$  in group B is estimated to be  $3.5 - 3.0 = 0.5$ . The mean of  $y$  in group C is estimated to be  $3.5 + 4.5 = 8$ . The mean of  $y$  in group D is estimated to be  $3.5 + 2 = 5.5$ .

(b) Do the same using `lm( y ~ f + 0)`.

The output below gives the estimates of the cell means for each group.

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
fA	3.5000	0.6614	5.29	0.0061
fB	0.5000	0.6614	0.76	0.4918
fC	8.0000	0.6614	12.09	0.0003
fD	5.5000	0.6614	8.32	0.0011

- (c) Is  $X_f^T X_f$  nonsingular (invertible)? If so, solve the normal equations based on  $X_f$  using `crossprod` and `solve` functions. If not, find a solution using the Moore – Penrose generalized inverse.

*Yes,  $X_f^T X_f$  is nonsingular because  $X_f$  is built under the constraint that  $\tau_1 = 0$ . I found the least squares solution using `crossprod` and `solve` and I got the same output as the reference coded model in (a).*

```
##           [,1]
## (Intercept) 3.5
## fB          -3.0
## fC           4.5
## fD           2.0
```

- (d) Repeat (a) using `lm(y ~ X + 0, singular.ok=T)`.

*We can see from the output below that group D is the reference level. The estimate for the mean of group A is  $5.5 - 2 = 3.5$ . The estimate for the mean of group B is  $5.5 - 5 = 0.5$ , and the estimate for the mean of group C is  $5.5 + 2.5 = 8$ .*

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
x	5.5000	0.6614	8.32	0.0011
xfA	-2.0000	0.9354	-2.14	0.0993
xfB	-5.0000	0.9354	-5.35	0.0059
xfC	2.5000	0.9354	2.67	0.0557

- (e) Use the Moore Penrose inverse to find another solution to the normal equations for the full  $\mathbf{X}$  matrix. Show that  $\hat{\mu}$  and  $\hat{\tau}_i$  differ from those above, but estimates of the cell means are the same.

```
##           [,1]
## [1,] 3.5
## [2,] 0.0
## [3,] -3.0
## [4,] 4.5
## [5,] 2.0
```

*We see that  $\hat{\mu} = 3.5$ ,  $\hat{\tau}_A = 0$ ,  $\hat{\tau}_B = -3.0$ ,  $\hat{\tau}_C = 4.5$ , and  $\hat{\tau}_D = 2.0$ .  $\tau_D$  was set to 0 in part (d), but here  $\tau_A$  is set to zero. As a result, we get different estimates*

for  $\mu$ ,  $\tau_A$ ,  $\tau_B$ ,  $\tau_C$ , and  $\tau_D$ , but the estimated cell means ( $\widehat{\mu + \tau_i}$ ) are the same (3.5, 0.5, 8.0, and 5.5 for groups A, B, C, and D).

- (f) Explain how  $X_f$  and  $X$  differ and how they are used to create the estimates in parts a, b, d, and e.

*The first column of  $X$  is a linear combination of the other four columns. The columns of the model matrix,  $X_f$ , are linearly independent because  $X_f$  is simply the  $X$  matrix with the second column removed. By removing the second column of  $X$ , the computer essentially imposes the constraint  $\tau_A = 0$ . This is what we see in parts (a) and (c). We see in part (e) that the Moore-Penrose generalized inverse also uses the constraint  $\tau_A = 0$  to solve the normal equations for the full  $X$  matrix. In part (d), the computer uses the constraint  $\tau_D = 0$ . Although the parameter estimates differ depending on the constraint, all methods give the same estimates for the cell means.*

## R Code

```
a <- c(sqrt(2^1.4),0,0,0)
b <- c(0,sqrt(3^1.4),0,0)
c <- c(0,0,sqrt(7^1.4),0)
d <- c(0,0,0,sqrt(6^1.4))
```

```
di <- rbind(a,b,c,d)
```

```
e <- c(1,1/3,1/9,1/27)
f <- c(1/3,1,1/3,1/9)
g <- c(1/9, 1/3,1,1/3)
h <- c(1/27, 1/9, 1/3, 1)
```

```
r <- rbind(e,f,g,h)
```

```
v <- di%*%r%*%di
```

```
y <- c(3, 4, 1, 0, 7, 9, 6, 5)
#f <- factor(rep(LETTERS[1:4], each = 2))
## or use the gl function:
f <- gl(4,2, labels= LETTERS[1:4])
Xf <- model.matrix( y ~ f)
X <- cbind(1,model.matrix(y ~ f + 0))
```

```
y <- c(3, 4, 1, 0, 7, 9, 6, 5)
#f <- factor(rep(LETTERS[1:4], each = 2))
## or use the gl function:
f <- gl(4,2, labels= LETTERS[1:4])
```

```
require(xtable)
lm.fit <- lm(y~f)
xtable(summary(lm.fit))
```

```
y <- c(3, 4, 1, 0, 7, 9, 6, 5)
f <- factor(rep(LETTERS[1:4], each = 2))
## or use the gl function:
lm.fit5 <- lm(y~f + 0)
require(xtable)
print(xtable(summary(lm.fit5)))
#anova(lm.fit1)
```

```
xf <- model.matrix( y ~ f)
det <- crossprod(xf)
solve(det)%*%t(xf)%*%y
y <- c(3, 4, 1, 0, 7, 9, 6, 5)
```

```
x <- cbind(1,model.matrix(y ~ f + 0))
lm.sing <- lm(y~x+0, singular.ok=T)
require(xtable)
xtable(summary(lm.sing))
```

```
det1 <- crossprod(x)
require(MASS)
inverse <- ginv(det1)
zapsmall(inverse%*%t(x)%*%y)
```