$RW \to Model \to Table$ model needs to model realworld

Entity must be uniquely identified by its attributes, not relation ER diagram has entity sets, relation sets, aggregates etc.

— unconstrained
(A1₁ INT REFERENCES ENT₁(A1₁))

primary key is a combination so can have both can have more than one value from each entity zero participation

-> at most 1 key constraint

(ENT1 INT PRIMARY KEY REFERENCES TABLE(ENT2))

< NULL, 1423 > is not necessary as it is already in the original table how to know that a student is supervised that is why we have not null give me all the students (without knowing if they are supervised) can use a projection - sid - on the students table

-> with --

If ENT2 is not associated with any ENT1, it is not in . If it is associated with ENT1, then PRIMARY KEY constraint ensures it appears only once.

- >with < -

 $A1_1$ INT PRIMARY KEY REFERENCES ENT $_1(A1_1)$, $A1_2$ INT UNIQUE NOT NULL REFERENCES ENT $_2(A1_2)$,

REFERENCES EN 12(A12),

=>exactly one total participation + key each student must be supervised by exactly 1 prof. Foreign key occurs where u can merge into a table A1₁ INT **NOT NULL** REFERENCES ENT₁(A1₁), A1₂ INT PRIMARY KEY REFERENCES ENT₂(A1₂),

== 1 or more

ISA

PRIMARY KEY REFERENCES Every student can be identified by Sid, then undergraduates can graduates must also be identifiable by Sid

Identity dependency

For a given city in a particular city

there can be multiple union city

combination to be a entity explains the references stateID

need to remove all the cities from indiana before removing indiana plus two addition delete statements

every identity dependency need an existential dependency

know the party exist

need a primary key to use a primary key

Overlapping constraint On delete Cascade (to avoid violate constraints) When to use aggregation

FOREIGN KEY $(A1_1, A1_2)$ REFERENCES REL₁ $(A1_1, A1_2)$

$$ENT1 = 20$$
, $ENT2 = 30$ Minimum entries in relationship

ENT1 ---- <> ---- ENT2 0

ENT1 ==><><---- ENT2 20

ENT1 ==><> ---- ENT2 20

ENT1 ----><><---- ENT2 0

Maximum entries in relationship

$$ENT1 - <> ---- ENT2 20 x 30 = 600$$

ENT1 ==><>< ENT2 20 not enough to go around

ENT1 ==><> ---- ENT2 20

ENT1 ----><><---- ENT2 20

nullary all attributes are primary key unary association between an entity and its attributes

Sometimes, a constraint forces us to have circular references. In that case, there are several ways to solve this two of which are shown below:

Completely break the circularity and ignore certain constraints. If you wish, you can recover the circularity via ALTER TABLE.

horse owned by people to participate in a race

ISA

cannot be non covering

If there is one entity then it is redundant, need to capture part time does not need an office.

Joins

```
SELECT R.rname, R.area, S.price
FROM sells S NATURAL JOIN restaurants R
WHERE S.pizza = 'Funghi'
```

```
SELECT R.rname, (SELECT area from restaurants WHERE S.area = R.area) , S.price FROM sells WHERE S.pizza = 'Funghi'
```

Aggregate

If DISTINCT is not specified, it will only look at Non-NULL values WHERE will remove rows before the computation

```
SELECT R.rname
FROM restaurant
GROUP by R.rname
```

If you do the stable sorting yourself, it is like sorting col2 DESC before col1 ASC

Get normalized mean

```
SELECT SUM(y) FROM (
SELECT ((x - (SELECT MIN(x) + 0.0 FROM vals)) /
(SELECT MAX(x) + 0.0 - MIN(x) + 0.0 FROM vals))
AS y FROM vals GROUP BY x) AS z
```

Case analysis

```
SELECT * FROM players
ORDER BY
CASE WHEN title = 'Leader' THEN point END DESC,
CASE WHEN title = 'Minion' THEN point END ASC
```

which attribute to sort (title = ") how to sort (ASC, DESC)

scalar subqueries $\leq 1row, = 1col, 0 \rightarrow NULL$

SQL EXCEPT

SELECT DISTINCT cname
FROM likes
EXCEPT ALL
SELECT DISTINCT cname
FROM likes
WHERE pizza IN (SELECT pizza FROM sells WHERE rname = 'Corleone Corner');

is equivalent to

SELECT cname
FROM likes
EXCEPT
SELECT cname
FROM likes
WHERE pizza IN (SELECT pizza FROM sells WHERE rname = 'Corleone Corner');

SQL EXISTS

SELECT DISTINCT cname
FROM likes
EXCEPT ALL
SELECT DISTINCT cname
FROM likes L
WHERE EXISTS (SELECT 1 FROM sells S WHERE

```
rname = 'Corleone Corner'
AND L.pizza = S.pizza);
```

SQL ANY

```
SELECT DISTINCT name
FROM sells
WHERE rname <> 'C'
AND price > ANY (SELECT price FROM sells WHERE rname = 'C')
is equivalent to
SELECT DISTINCT s1.rname
FROM sells s1, sells s2
WHERE s1.price > s2.price AND s2.rname = 'Corleone Corner'
AND s1.rname <> 'Corleone Corner'
Total order property
SELECT DISTINCT name
FROM sells
WHERE rname <> 'C'
AND price > (SELECT MIN(price) FROM sells WHERE rname = 'C')
SQL ALL
\pi_{rname,pizza,price}(\sigma_{s1price>s2price})(\rho_{(s1price,rname)}(sells) \times \sigma_{(s2price,rname)}(sells)))
SELECT DISTINCT rname, pizza, price
FROM sells S1
WHERE S1.price >= ALL (SELECT S2.price
FROM sells S2
WHERE S1.rname = S2.rname)
```

Scoping

ambiguous

SELECT * FROM (SELECT * FROM sells) AS T, (SELECT * FROM T) AS R

CTE

WITH X AS ... WHERE a > 20 Y AS ... WHERE b < 10

query

Variables

capturing a computation process CTE: common table expression Likes all pizza sold by a restaurant If restaurants has pizza A and B customers likes A, B, C this subset should be still be included