CS 343 Winter 2018 – Assignment 3 Instructor: Peter Buhr

Due Date: Wednesday, February 14, 2018 at 22:00 Late Date: Friday, February 14, 2018 at 22:00

January 22, 2018

This assignment examines synchronization and mutual exclusion, and introduces locks in μ C++. Use it to become familiar with these new facilities, and ensure you use these concepts in your assignment solution. (You may freely use the code from these example programs.) (Tasks may *not* have public members except for constructors and/or destructors.)

- 1. Given the C++ program in Figure 1, compare stack versus heap allocation in a concurrent program.
 - (a) Compare the versions of the program and different numbers of tasks with respect to performance by doing the following:
 - Run the program after compiling without any preprocessor variables, and with preprocessor variables DARRAY, VECTOR1 and VECTOR2. Use compiler flags -O2 -multi -nodebug.
 - Time the executions using the time command:

```
$ /usr/bin/time -f "%Uu %Ss %E" ./a.out 2 10000000 3.21u 0.02s 0:03.32
```

(Output from time differs depending on the shell, so use the system time command.) Compare the *user* (3.21u) and *real* (0:3.32) time among runs, which is the CPU time consumed solely by the execution of user code (versus system) and the total time from the start to the end of the program.

- Use the second command-line argument (as necessary) to adjust the real time into the range 1 to 100 seconds. (Timing results below 1 second are inaccurate.) Use the same command-line values for all experiments, if possible; otherwise, increase/decrease the arguments as necessary and scale the difference in the answer.
- Run the 4 experiments with the number of tasks set to 1, 2, and 4.
- Include all 12 timing results to validate your experiments.
- (b) State the performance difference (larger/smaller/by how much) with respect to scaling the number of tasks for each version.
- (c) Very briefly (2-4 sentences) speculate on the performance scaling among the versions.
- 2. (a) Merge sort is one of several sorting algorithms that takes optimal time (to within a constant factor) to sort *N* items. It also lends itself easily to concurrent execution by partitioning the data into two, and each half can be sorted independently and concurrently by another task.

Write a concurrent merge sort with the following public interface (you may add only a public destructor and private members):

```
template<typename T> _Task Mergesort {
  public:
     Mergesort( T values[], unsigned int low, unsigned int high, unsigned int depth );
};
```

that sorts an array of non-unique values into ascending order. A naïve conversion of a sequential mergesort to a concurrent mergesort partitions the data values as normal, but instead of recursively invoking mergesort on each partition, a new mergesort task is created to handle each partition. (For this discussion, assume no other sorting algorithm is used for small partitions.) However, this approach creates a large number of tasks: approximately $2 \times N$, where N is the number of data values. The number of tasks can be reduced to

```
#include <iostream>
#include <vector>
#include <memory>
                                                           // unique_ptr
using namespace std;
int tasks = 1, times = 10000000;
                                                           // default values
_Task Worker {
    enum { size = 100 };
    void main() {
        for ( int t = 0; t < times; t += 1 ) {
#if defined( IMPLKIND_DARRAY )
            unique_ptr<volatile int []> arr( new volatile int[size] );
            for ( int i = 0; i < size; i += 1 ) arr[i] = i;
#elif defined( IMPLKIND_VECTOR1 )
            vector<int> arr( size );
            for ( int i = 0; i < size; i += 1 ) arr.at(i) = i;
#elif defined( IMPLKIND_VECTOR2 )
            vector<int> arr;
            for ( int i = 0; i < size; i += 1 ) arr.push_back(i);
#else // STACK ARRAY
            volatile int arr[size] __attribute__ (( unused )); // prevent unused warning
            for ( int i = 0; i < size; i += 1 ) arr[i] = i;
#endif
        } // for
    } // Worker::main
}; // Worker
int main( int argc, char * argv[] ) {
    try {
                                                           // process command-line arguments
        switch ( argc ) {
          case 3:
            times = stoi( argv[2] ); if ( times <= 0 ) throw 1;
            tasks = stoi( argv[1] ); if ( tasks \leq 0 ) throw 1;
        } // switch
    } catch( ... ) {
        cout << "Usage: " << argv[0] << " [ tasks (> 0) [ times (> 0) ] ]" << endl;</pre>
        exit( 1 );
    } // try
    uProcessor p[tasks - 1];
                                                           // add CPUs (start with one)
    Worker workers[tasks];
                                                           // add threads
} // main
```

Figure 1: Stack versus Dynamic Allocation

approximately N by only creating a new mergesort task for one partition and recursively sorting the other partition in the current mergesort task.

In general, creating many more tasks than processors significantly reduces performance (try an example to see the effect) due to contention on accessing the processors versus any contention in the program itself. The only way to achieve good performance for a concurrent mergesort is to significantly reduce the number of mergesort tasks via an additional argument that limits the tree depth of the mergesort tasks. The depth argument is decremented on each recursive call and tasks are only created while this argument is greater than zero; otherwise sequential recursive-calls are use to sort each partition.

Recursion can overflow a task's stack, since the default task size is only 32K or 64K bytes in μ C++. To check for stack overflow, call verify() at the start of the recursive routine, which prints a warning message if the call is close to the task's stack-limit or terminates the program is the stack limit is exceeded. If verify produces a warning or an error, globally increase the stack size for all tasks by adding the following routine to your code before the next test:

```
unsigned int uDefaultStackSize() {
    return 512 * 1000;  // set task stack-size to 512K
}
```

which is automatically called by μ C++ at task creation to set the stack size.

To maximize efficiency, mergesort tasks must not be created by calls to **new**, i.e., no dynamic allocation is necessary for mergesort tasks. However, two dynamically sized arrays are required: one to hold the initial unsorted data and one for copying values during a merge. Both of these arrays can be large, so creating them in a task can overflows the task's stack. Hence, the driver dynamically allocates the storage for the unsorted data, and the top-level task of the mergesort allocates the copy array, passing it by reference to its child tasks.

Add the following declaration to the program main after checking command-line arguments but before creating any tasks:

```
uProcessor p[ (1 << depth) - 1 ] __attribute__(( unused )); // 2^depth-1 kernel threads
```

to increase the number of kernel threads to access multiple processors (there is always one existing processor). This declaration must be in the same scope as the declaration of the initial mergesort task for the timing mode.

The executable program is named mergesort and has the following shell interface:

```
mergesort -s unsorted-file [ sorted-file ] mergesort -t size (>= 0) [ depth (>= 0) ]
```

(Square brackets indicate optional command line parameters, and do not appear on the actual command line.) The type of the input values is provided as a preprocessor variable.

The program has two modes depending on the command option -s or -t (i.e., sort or time):

- i. For the sort mode, input number of values, input values, sort using 1 processor (i.e., depth is 0), output sorted values. Input and output is specified as follows:
 - If the unsorted input file is not specified, print an appropriate usage message and terminate. The input file contains lists of unsorted values. Each list starts with the number of values in that list. For example, the input file:

```
8 25 6 8 -5 99 100 101 7
3 1 -3 5
0
10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 0
61 60 59 58 57 56 55 54 53 52 51 50 49 48 47 46 45 44 43 42 41 40 39 38 37
36 35 34 33 32 31 30 29 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 19 18 17 16 15 14 13 12
11 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 0
```

contains 5 lists with 8, 3, 0, 10, and 61 values in each list. (The line breaks are for readability only; values can be separated by any white-space character and appear across any number of lines.) Since the number of data values can be (very) large, dynamically allocate the array to hold the values, otherwise the array can exceed the stack size of the program main.

Assume the first number in the input file is always present and correctly specifies the number of following values; assume all following values are correctly formed so no error checking is required on the input data.

• If no output file name is specified, use standard output. Print the original input list followed by the sorted list, as in:

```
25 6 8 -5 99 100 101 7
-5 6 7 8 25 99 100 101

1 -3 5
-3 1 5

blank line from list of length 0 (this line not actually printed)
blank line from list of length 0 (this line not actually printed)

9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 0
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
```

```
60 59 58 57 56 55 54 53 52 51 50 49 48 47 46 45 44 43 42 41 40 39 38 37 36 35 34 33 32 31 30 29 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21 20 19 18 17 16 15 14 13 12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 0 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60
```

for the previous input file. End each set of output with a blank line, and start a newline with 2 spaces after printing 22 values from a set of values.

ii. For the time mode, dimension an integer array to size, initialize the array to values size..1 (descending order), sort using $2^{depth} - 1$ processors, and print no values (used for timing experiments). Parameter depth is a non-negative number (>=0). The default value if unspecified is 0. This mode is used to time the performance of the mergesort over a fixed set of values in descending order using different numbers of processors.

Print an appropriate error message and terminate the program if unable to open the given files. Check command arguments size and depth for correct form (integer) and range; print an appropriate usage message and terminate the program if a value is invalid.

- (b) i. Compare the speedup of the mergesort algorithm with respect to performance by doing the following:
 - Time the execution using the time command:

(Output from time differs depending on the shell, so use the system time command.) Compare the *user* (14.13u) and *real* (0:14.68) time among runs, which is the CPU time consumed solely by the execution of user code (versus system) and the total time from the start to the end of the program.

- Adjust the array size to get the real time in the range 5 to 20 seconds. (Timing results below 1 second are inaccurate.) Use the same array size for all experiments.
- After establishing an array size, run 7 experiments varying the value of depth from 0 1 2 3 4 5 6. Include all 7 timing results to validate your experiments.
- ii. State the performance difference (larger/smaller/by how much) with respect to scaling when using different numbers of processors to achieve parallelism.
- iii. Very briefly (2-4 sentences) speculate on the program behaviour.
- 3. (a) Implement a generalized FIFO bounded-buffer for a producer/consumer problem with the following interface (you may add only a public destructor and private members):

```
template<typename T> class BoundedBuffer {
  public:
    BoundedBuffer( const unsigned int size = 10 );
    void insert( T elem );
    T remove();
};
```

which creates a bounded buffer of size size, and supports multiple producers and consumers. You may *only* use uCondLock and uOwnerLock to implement the necessary synchronization and mutual exclusion needed by the bounded buffer.

Implement the BoundedBuffer in the following ways:

i. Use busy waiting when waiting for buffer entries to become free or empty. In this approach, new tasks may barge into the buffer taking free or empty entries from tasks that have been signalled to access these entries. This implementation uses one owner and two condition locks, where the waiting producer and consumer tasks block on the separate condition locks. (If necessary, you may add more locks.) The reason there is barging in this solution is that uCondLock::wait re-acquires its argument owner-lock before returning. Now once the owner-lock is released by a task exiting insert or remove, there is a race to acquire the lock by a new task calling insert/remove and by a signalled task. If the calling task wins the race, it barges ahead of any signalled task. So the state of the buffer at the time of the signal is not the same as the time the signalled task re-acquires the argument owner-lock, because

the barging task changes the buffer. Hence, the signalled task may have to wait again (looping), and there is no guarantee of eventual progress (long-term starvation).

- ii. Use *no* busy waiting when waiting for buffer entries to become free or empty. In this approach, use *barging avoidance* so a barging task cannot take free or empty buffer entries if another task has been unblocked to access these entries. This implementation uses one owner and three condition locks, where the waiting producer, consumer, and barging tasks block on the separate condition locks, and (*has no looping*). (If necessary, you may add more locks.) Hint, one way to prevent barging is to use a flag variable to indicate when signalling is occurring; entering tasks test the flag to know if they are barging and wait on the barging condition-lock. When signalling is finished, a barging task is unblocked. (Other solutions to prevent barging using two condition locks are allowed but loops are not allowed.)
- iii. Briefly explain why it is impossible to solve this problem using barging prevention.

Before inserting or removing an item to/from the buffer, perform an assert that checks if the buffer is not full or not empty, respectively. Both buffer implementations are defined in a single .h file separated in the following way:

```
#ifdef BUSY  // busy waiting implementation
#endif // BUSY

#ifdef NOBUSY  // no busy waiting implementation
#endif // NOBUSY
```

The kind of buffer is specified externally by a preprocessor variable of BUSY or NOBUSY.

Test the bounded buffer with a number of producers and consumers. The producer interface is:

```
_Task Producer {
    void main();
public:
    Producer( BoundedBuffer<int> &buffer, const int Produce, const int Delay );
};
```

The producer generates Produce integers, from 1 to Produce inclusive, and inserts them into buffer. Before producing an item, a producer randomly yields between 0 and Delay-1 times. Yielding is accomplished by calling yield(times) to give up a task's CPU time-slice a number of times. The consumer interface is:

```
_Task Consumer {
    void main();
public:
    Consumer( BoundedBuffer<int> &buffer, const int Delay, const int Sentinel, int &sum );
};
```

The consumer removes items from buffer, and terminates when it removes a Sentinel value from the buffer. A consumer sums all the values it removes from buffer (excluding the Sentinel value) and returns this value through the reference variable sum. Before removing an item, a consumer randomly yields between 0 and Delay–1 times.

The program main creates the bounded buffer, the producer and consumer tasks. Use a buffer-element type of **int** and a sentinel value of -1 for testing. After all the producer tasks have terminated, the program main inserts an appropriate number of sentinel values (the default sentinel value is -1) into the buffer to terminate the consumers. The partial sums from each consumer are totalled to produce the sum of all values generated by the producers. Print this total in the following way:

```
total: ddddd...
```

The sum must be the same regardless of the order or speed of execution of the producer and consumer tasks.

The shell interface for the boundedBuffer program is:

```
buffer [ Cons [ Prods [ Produce [ BufferSize [ Delays ] ] ] ] ]
```

(Square brackets indicate optional command line parameters, and do not appear on the actual command line.) Where the meaning of each parameter is:

Cons: positive number of consumers to create. The default value if unspecified is 5.

Prods: positive number of producers to create. The default value if unspecified is 3.

Produce: positive number of items generated by each producer. The default value if unspecified is 10.

BufferSize: positive number of elements in (size of) the bounder buffer. The default value if unspecified is 10.

Delays: positive number of times a producer/consumer yields *before* inserting/removing an item into/from the buffer. The default value if unspecified is Cons + Prods.

Use the monitor MPRNG to safely generate random values (monitors will be discussed shortly). Check all command arguments for correct form (integers) and range; print an appropriate usage message and terminate the program if a value is missing or invalid. The type of the buffer elements and the sentinel value are specified externally by preprocessor variables TYPE and SENTINEL, respectively.

Add the following declaration to the program main immediately after checking command-line arguments but before creating any tasks:

```
#ifdef __U_MULTI__
     uProcessor p[3] __attribute__(( unused )); // create 3 kernel thread for a total of 4
#endif // __U_MULTI__
```

to increase the number of kernel threads to access multiple processors. The program starts with one kernel thread so only 4 - 1 additional kernel threads are necessary.

- (b) i. Compare the busy and non-busy waiting versions of the program with respect to *uniprocessor* performance by doing the following:
 - Time the executions using the time command:

```
\ \ /usr/bin/time -f "%Uu %Ss %E" ./a.out 3.21u 0.02s 0:03.32
```

(Output from time differs depending on the shell, so use the system time command.) Compare the *user* time (3.21u) only, which is the CPU time consumed solely by the execution of user code (versus system and real time).

- Use the program command-line arguments 50 55 10000 30 10 and adjust the Produce amount (if necessary) to get program execution into the range 1 to 100 seconds. (Timing results below 1 second are inaccurate.) Use the same command-line values for all experiments, if possible; otherwise, increase/decrease the arguments as necessary and scale the difference in the answer.
- Run both the experiments again after recompiling the programs with compiler optimization turned on (i.e., compiler flag -O2).
- Include 4 timing results to validate the experiments.
- ii. State the performance difference (larger/smaller/by how much) between uniprocessor busy and nobusy waiting execution, without and with optimization.
- iii. Compare the busy and non-busy waiting versions of the program with respect to *multiprocessor* performance by repeating the above experiment with the –multi flag.
 - Include 4 timing results to validate the experiments.
- iv. State the performance difference (larger/smaller/by how much) between multiprocessor busy and nobusy waiting execution, without and with optimization.
- v. Speculate as to the reason for the performance difference between busy and non-busy execution.
- vi. Speculate as to the reason for the performance difference between uniprocessor and multiprocessor execution.

Submission Guidelines

Please follow these guidelines carefully. Review the Assignment Guidelines and C++ Coding Guidelines before starting each assignment. Each text file, i.e., *.*txt file, must be ASCII text and not exceed 500 lines in length, where a line is a maximum of 120 characters. Programs should be divided into separate compilation units, i.e., *.{h,cc,C,cpp} files, where applicable. Use the submit command to electronically copy the following files to the course account.

1. q1new.txt – contains the information required by question 1, p. 1.

2. q2mergesort.h, q2*.{h,cc,C,cpp} - code for question question question 2a, p. 1. Program documentation must be present in your submitted code. No user, system or test documentation is to be submitted for this question. Output for this question is checked via a marking program, so it must match exactly with the given program.

- 3. q2*.txt contains the information required by question question 2b, p. 4. Poor documentation of how and/or what is tested can results in a loss of all marks allocated to testing.
- 4. MPRNG.h random number generator (provided)
- 5. q3buffer.h, q3*.{h,cc,C,cpp} code for question question 3a, p. 4. Program documentation must be present in your submitted code. No user, system or test documentation is to be submitted for this question. Output for this question is checked via a marking program, so it must match exactly with the given program.
- 6. q3*.txt contains the information required by questions 3(a)iii, p. 5 and Section 3b.
- 7. Modify the following Makefile to compile the programs for question 2a, p. 1 and 3a, p. 4 by inserting the object-file names matching your source-file names.

```
TYPE:=int
SENTINEL:=-1
KIND:=NOBUSY
OPT:= # -multi -O2
CXX = u++
                                          # compiler
CXXFLAGS = -g -Wall -Wextra ${OPT} -MMD -std=c++11 -DTYPE="${TYPE}" \
         -DSENTINEL=${SENTINEL} -D${KIND} # compiler flags
MAKEFILE_NAME = ${firstword ${MAKEFILE_LIST}} # makefile name
OBJECTS1 = # object files forming 1st executable with prefix "q2"
EXEC1 = mergesort
                                          # 1st executable name
OBJECTS2 = # object files forming 2nd executable with prefix "q3"
EXEC2 = buffer
                                          # 2nd executable name
OBJECTS = ${OBJECTS1} ${OBJECTS2}
                                          # all object files
DEPENDS = ${OBJECTS:.o=.d}
                                          # substitute ".o" with ".d"
EXECS = \{EXEC1\} \{EXEC2\}
                                          # all executables
.PHONY : all clean
                                          # build all executables
all: ${EXECS}
-include ImplType
ifeq (${IMPLTYPE},${TYPE})
                                          # same implementation type as last time ?
${EXEC1}: ${OBJECTS1}
   ${CXX} ${CXXFLAGS} $^ -o $@
else
ifeq (${TYPE},)
                                          # no implementation type specified ?
# set type to previous type
TYPE=${IMPLTYPE}
${EXEC1}: ${OBJECTS1}
   ${CXX} ${CXXFLAGS} $^ -o $@
else
                                          # implementation type has changed
.PHONY: ${EXEC1}
${EXEC1}:
```

```
rm -f ImplType
   touch q2mergesort.h
   sleep 1
   ${MAKE} ${EXEC1} TYPE="${TYPE}"
endif
endif
ImplType:
   echo "IMPLTYPE=${TYPE}" > ImplType
   sleep 1
-include ImplKind
ifeq (${IMPLKIND},${KIND})
                                           # same implementation type as last time ?
${EXEC2}: ${OBJECTS2}
   ${CXX} ${CXXFLAGS} $^ -o $@
ifeq (${KIND},)
                                           # no implementation type specified ?
# set type to previous type
KIND=${IMPLKIND}
${EXEC2}: ${OBJECTS2}
   ${CXX} ${CXXFLAGS} $^ -o $@
                                           # implementation type has changed
.PHONY: ${EXEC2}
${EXEC2}:
   rm -f ImplKind
   touch q3buffer.h
   sleep 1
   ${MAKE} ${EXEC2} KIND="${KIND}"
endif
endif
ImplKind:
   echo "IMPLKIND=${KIND}" > ImplKind
   sleep 1
${OBJECTS} : ${MAKEFILE_NAME}
                                           # OPTIONAL : changes to this file => recompile
-include ${DEPENDS}
                                           # include *.d files containing program dependences
                                           # remove files that can be regenerated
   rm -f *.d *.o ${EXECS} ImplType ImplKind
This makefile is used as follows:
     $ make mergesort TYPE=double OPT="-multi -02"
     $ mergesort -s unsorted sorted
     $ make mergesort OPT="-multi -02"
                                           # switch to TYPE=int
     $ mergesort -t 5000 4
     $ make buffer KIND=BUSY
                                            # use SENTINEL:=-1
     $ buffer ...
     $ make buffer KIND=NOBUSY SENTINEL=0 OPT="-multi -02" # switch to SENTINEL=0
     $ buffer ...
```

Put this Makefile in the directory with the programs, name the source files as specified above, and then type make nostaticexits, make mergesort or make buffer in the directory to compile the programs. This Makefile must be submitted with the assignment to build the program, so it must be correct. Use the web tool Request Test Compilation to ensure you have submitted the appropriate files, your makefile is correct, and your code compiles in the testing environment.