FUNCTION PROGRAMMING IN GO

Very basic introduction to FP and some techniques in Go.

CONTENT

| Theory | Difference between 2 paradigmWhich paradigm is Go? |
|------------|--|
| Techniques | - Higher-order functions- Closure- Currying and Partial Functions- Tail recursion |
| Wrap up | - Take away - Q&A |

DIFFERENCE BETWEEN 2 PARADIGM

Warning: this is a very shallow overview.

OOP CONCEPTS

- Objects are instances of class (or prototype), contain data, expose and communicate via methods.
- Encapsulation: binds data and functions that manipulate it, keeps both safe from outside interference and misuse
- Composition: object can contains other objects.
- Inheritance: share code between those class that can be seen as same thing
- Polymorphism: objects in an inheritance hierarchy may behave differently if same method is called.

FP CONCEPTS

- Function are first-class
- Higher-order functions: take other function as input.
- Pure functions: no side-effect, good for optimization
 - If result of a pure expression is unused, it can be removed.
 - Result is same if argument is unchanged: cache, or substitute at compile time.
 - If there is no dependency between 2 pure functions: change execution order or parallel...
- Referential transparency: Expressions can be replaced by their values.

THE DIFFERENCE

| FP | ООР |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Emphasize on function evaluation | Emphasize on protecting data |
| Favor pure functions | Favor side-effect with mutable data |
| Declarative | Imperative |
| Few things with more operations | Many things with clear operations |

WHICH PARADIGM IS GO?

Go is OK, but not great for both paradigms.

- OOP:
 - Go have struct instead of class, don't have inheritance, OK for Encapsulation, Composition.
 - Polymorphism can be done with interface.
- FP:
 - Has first class function.
 - No generic, hence function composition is limited.

HIGHER ORDER FUNCTIONS

Similar to Strategy and Visitor patterns in OOP.

STANDARD LIBRARY EXAMPLES

sorts

```
ss := []string{"a", "b"}
sort.Slice(ss, func(i, j int) bool { return ss[i] < ss[j] })
sort.Search(ss, func(i) bool {return ss[i] == "x"})</pre>
```

testing:

```
func (t *T) Run(name string, f func(t *T)) bool {...}
```

sync

```
func (m *Map) Range(f func(key, value interface{}) bool) {...}
```

INTERFACES METHOD TRICK - 1

Given following design

```
type Cache interface {
    Set(name string)
   AsyncSet(name string)
var p = fmt.Println
type redis struct{}
func (redis) Set(name string) { p("Set, " + name) }
func (redis) AsyncSet(name string) { p("AsyncSet, " + name) }
type mem struct{}
func (mem) Set(name string) { p("Set, " + name) }
func (mem) AsyncSet(name string) { p("AsyncSet, " + name) }
```

INTERFACES METHOD TRICK - 2

This code is valid

```
func TestCache Set(t *testing.T) {
   tests := []struct {
       name string
       g Cache
       fn func(Cache, string)
   }{
       {name: "redis", g: redis{}, fn: Cache.Set},
       {name: "redis", g: redis{}, fn: Cache.AsyncSet},
       {name: "mem", g: mem{}, fn: Cache.Set},
       {name: "mem", g: mem{}, fn: Cache.AsyncSet},
   for , tt := range tests {
       t.Run(tt.name, func(t *testing.T) {
           tt.fn(tt.g, tt.name)
       })
```

INTERFACES METHOD TRICK - TAKE AWAYS

- In go, these 2 are equivalent:
 - <type>.<func>(args...)
 - func>(<type>, args...).
- We can use that design to simplify many code.
- Real world examples:
 - memcached on I tem

CLOSURE

A closure is a function value that references variables from **outside its body**.

The function may access and assign to the referenced variables; in this sense the function is **bound** to the variables.

EXAMPLES

```
func makeJoiner(sep string) func(ss ...string) string {
    return func(ss ...string) string {
        return strings.Join(ss, sep)
    }
}

comma := makeJoiner(",")
fmt.Println(comma("a", "b")) // a,b
pipe := makeJoiner("|")
fmt.Println(pipe("a", "b")) // a|b
```

Real world example:

go-redis

CURRYING AND PARTIAL FUNCTIONS

- Currying: Converting a function that takes multiple arguments into a sequence of functions that each take fewer arguments.
- Partial function: Fixing a number of arguments to a function, producing another function of smaller arguments list.

THEORY EXAMPLE

Given x = f(a,b,c). f can be curried into 3 partial functions m, n, p, such that:

```
n = m(a)

p = n(b)

x = p(c)
```

Thus x = f(a,b,c) = m(a)(b)(c).

EXAMPLE

```
func key(prefix string, id int) string {
    return prefix + "::" + strconv.Itoa(id)
func makeKeyFn(prefix string) func(id int) string {
    return func(id int) string {
        return key(prefix, id)
var itemKey = makeKeyFn("item")
var modelKey = makeKeyFn("model")
fmt.Println(itemKey(10)) // item::10
fmt.Println(modelKey(20)) // model::20
```

TAIL RECURSION

A tail call is a subroutine call performed as the **final action of a procedure**. If a tail call might lead to the same subroutine being called again later in the call chain, the subroutine is said to be tail-recursive.

This that can be implemented without adding a new stack frame to the call stack. Most of the frame of the current procedure is no longer needed, and can be replaced by the frame of the tail call, modified as appropriate

EXAMPLE - COMPUTE FACTORIAL

```
func iter(n int) int {
    res := 1
    for n > 0 { res *= n; n-- }
    return res
func recur(n int) int {
    if n == 1 { return 1 }
    return recur(n-1) * n
func tail(n int) int { return tailHelper(n-1, n) }
func tailHelper(i int, cur int) int {
    if i <= 0 { return cur }</pre>
    return tailHelper(i-1, i*cur)
```

BENCHMARK

```
Benchmark/recur, n=10-12
                                              27.9 ns/op
                               50000000
Benchmark/tail, n=10-12
                               100000000
                                              23.1 ns/op
Benchmark/iter, n=10-12
                                              6.09 ns/op
                               200000000
                                              28.2 ns/op
Benchmark/recur, n=20-12
                               50000000
Benchmark/tail, n=20-12
                                              23.1 ns/op
                               100000000
Benchmark/iter, n=20-12
                               30000000
                                              5.91 ns/op
```

Tail recursion is not as fast as pure iteration, but it's better than normal recursion, with the trade off is code become more verbose.

TAKE AWAYS

- Minimize side-effect.
- Function is data.
- Love but don't abuse closure.
- Mind the tail.



REFERENCE

- SOLID design principles
- Wiki Functional Programming
- Functional Go, Medium article by Geison
- Tail recursion in Go
- When do you choose FP over OOP?
- Tail call