

# SOLITARY-WAVE FAMILIES OF THE GENERALIZED POCHAMMER-CHREE EQUATIONS

J. LETO, S. R. CHOUDHURY

**ABSTRACT.** The Generalized Pochhammer-Chree Equations govern the propagation of longitudinal waves in elastic rods. Limited analytic results exist for the occurrence of one family of solitary-wave solutions of these equations. Since solitary-wave solutions often play a central role in the long-time evolution of an initial disturbance, we consider such solutions here (via normal form approach) within the framework of reversible systems theory. Besides confirming the existence of the known family of solitary waves, we find a continuum of delocalized solitary waves (or homoclinics to small-amplitude periodic orbits). On isolated curves in the relevant parameter region, the delocalized waves reduce to genuine embedded solitons. The new family of solutions occur in regions of parameter space distinct from the known solitary-wave solutions and are thus entirely new. Directions for future work are also mentioned.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The propagation of longitudinal deformation waves in elastic rods is governed ([?], [?], [?]) by the Generalized Pochhammer-Chree Equations:

$$(1.1) \quad (u - u_{xx})_{tt} - (a_1 u + a_2 u^2 + a_3 u^3)_{xx} = 0$$

and

$$(1.2) \quad (u - u_{xx})_{tt} - (a_1 u + a_3 u^3 + a_5 u^5)_{xx} = 0$$

corresponding to different constitutive relations.

References [?], [?], [?] also discuss the primary references, including derivations and applications of these equations in various fields. In addition, motivated by experimental and numerical results, there are derivations of special families of solitary wave solutions by the extended *Tanh* method [?], and other ansatzen [?]. These extend earlier solitary wave solutions given by Bogolubsky [?] and Clarkson et. al [?] for special cases of (1.1) and (1.2). In addition, [?] generalizes the existence results in [?] for solitary waves of (1.1) and (1.2).

In this paper, we initiate a fresh approach to the solitary wave solutions of the Generalized Pochhammer-Chree equations (1.1) and (1.2). We invoke the theory of reversible systems and the method of normal forms to categorize the possible solitary waves of (1.1) and (1.2) much more completely than done so far. As we shall see, several families of solitary waves exist in various regions of parameter space. Our main focus here will be on delineating the possible occurrence and multiplicity of solitary waves in different parameter regimes. Certain delicate questions relating to specific waves or wave families will form the basis of future work.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we delineate the possible families of solitary waves in various parameter domains and on certain important curves using the theory of reversible systems. In Section 3 and 4, we next focus on the various transition curves and derive normal forms in their vicinity to confirm the existence of families of regular or delocalized solitary-wave solutions in their vicinity.

## 2. SOLITARY WAVES: LOCAL BIFURCATION

Solitary waves of (1.1) and (1.2) of the form  $v(x, t) = \phi(x - ct) = \phi(z)$  satisfy the fourth-order travelling wave ODE

$$(2.1) \quad \phi_{zzzz} - q\phi_{zz} + p\phi = \mathcal{N}_{1,2}[\phi]$$

where

$$(2.2a) \quad \mathcal{N}_1[\phi] = -\frac{1}{c^2} [3a_3 (2\phi\phi_z^2 + \phi^2\phi_{zz}) + 2a_2 (\phi_{zz}\phi_z + \phi_z^2)]$$

$$(2.2b) \quad \mathcal{N}_2[\phi] = -\frac{1}{c^2} [3a_3 (2\phi\phi_z^2 + \phi^2\phi_{zz}) + 5a_5 (4\phi^3\phi_z^2 + \phi^4\phi_{zz})]$$

$$(2.3a) \quad z \equiv x - ct$$

$$(2.3b) \quad p \equiv 0$$

$$(2.3c) \quad q \equiv 1 - \frac{a_1}{c^2}$$

$$(2.3d)$$

Equation (2.1) is invariant under the transformation  $z \mapsto -z$  and is thus a reversible system. In this section we shall use the theory of reversible systems to characterize the homoclinic orbits to the fixed point of (2.1), which correspond to pulses or solitary waves of the Micro-Structure PDE in various regions of the  $(p, q)$  plane.

The linearized system corresponding to (2.1)

$$(2.4) \quad \phi_{zzzz} - q\phi_{zz} + p\phi = 0$$

has a fixed point

$$(2.5) \quad \phi = \phi_z = \phi_{zz} = \phi_{zzz} = 0$$

Solutions  $\phi = ke^{\lambda x}$  satisfy the characteristic equation  $\lambda^4 - q\lambda^2 + p = 0$  from which one may deduce that the structure of the eigenvalues is distinct in two regions of  $(p, q)$ -space. Since  $p = 0$  we have only two possible regions of eigenvalues. We denote  $C_0$  as the positive  $q$  axis and  $C_1$  the negative  $q$ -axis. First we shall consider the bounding curves  $C_0$  and  $C_1$  and their neighborhoods, then we shall discuss the possible occurrence and multiplicities of homoclinic orbits to (2.5), corresponding to pulse solitary waves of (1.1) and (1.2), in each region:

**Near  $C_0$ :** The eigenvalues have the structure  $\lambda_{1-4} = 0, 0, \pm\lambda$ , ( $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ) and the fixed point (2.5) is a saddle-focus.

**Near  $C_1$ :** Here the eigenvalues have the structure  $\lambda_{1-4} = 0, 0, \pm i\omega$ , ( $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ ). We will show by analysis of a four-dimensional normal form in Section 4 that there exists a *sech*<sup>2</sup> homoclinic orbit near  $C_1$ .

Having outlined the possible families of orbits homoclinic to the fixed point (2.5) of (2.4), corresponding to pulse solitary waves of (1.1) and (1.2), we now derive normal forms near the transition curves  $C_0$  and  $C_1$  to confirm the existence of regular or delocalized solitary waves in the corresponding regions of  $(p, q)$  parameter space.

### 3. NORMAL FORM NEAR $C_0$ : SOLITARY-WAVE SOLUTIONS

Using (2.4), the curve  $C_0$ , corresponding to  $\lambda = 0, 0, \pm\tilde{\lambda}$ , is given by

$$(3.1) \quad C_0 : p = 0, q > 0$$

Using (2.3c) implies

$$(3.2) \quad a_1 < c^2$$

Denoting  $\phi$  by  $y_1$ , (2.1) may be written as the two systems

$$(3.3a) \quad \frac{dy_1}{dz} = y_2$$

$$(3.3b) \quad \frac{dy_2}{dz} = y_3$$

$$(3.3c) \quad \frac{dy_3}{dz} = y_4$$

$$(3.3d) \quad \frac{dy_4}{dz} = qy_3 - py_1 - N_{1,2}(Y)$$

where

$$(3.4a) \quad \mathcal{N}_1(Y) = -\frac{1}{c^2} [3a_3 (2y_1 y_2^2 + y_1^2 y_3) + 2a_2 (y_3 y_2 + y_2^2)]$$

$$(3.4b) \quad \mathcal{N}_2(Y) = -\frac{1}{c^2} [3a_3 (2y_1 y_2^2 + y_1^2 y_3) + 5a_5 (4y_1^3 y_2^2 + y_1^4 y_3)]$$

We wish to rewrite this as a first order reversible system in order to invoke the relevant theory [?]. To that end, defining  $Y = \langle y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4 \rangle^T$  equation (3.3) may be written

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{dY}{dz} = L_{pq}Y - G_{1,2}(Y, Y)$$

where

$$(3.6) \quad L_{pq} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ q/3 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & q/3 & 0 & 1 \\ q^2 - p & 0 & q/3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since  $p = 0$  for (1.1) and (1.2), we have

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{dY}{dz} = L_{0q}Y - G_{1,2}(Y, Y)$$

where

$$(3.8) \quad G_{1,2}(Y, Y) = \langle 0, 0, 0, -\mathcal{N}_{1,2}(Y) \rangle^T$$

Next we calculate the normal form of (3.7) near  $C_0$ . The procedure is closely modeled on [?] and many intermediate steps may be found there.

**3.1. Near  $C_0$ .** Near  $C_0$  the dynamics reduce to a 2-D Center Manifold

$$(3.9) \quad Y = A\zeta_0 + B\zeta_1 + \Psi(\epsilon, A, B)$$

and the corresponding normal form is

$$(3.10a) \quad \frac{dA}{dz} = B$$

$$(3.10b) \quad \frac{dB}{dz} = b\epsilon A + \bar{c}A^2$$

Here,

$$(3.11) \quad \epsilon = \left( \frac{q^2}{9} - p \right) - \left( \frac{q}{3} \right)^2 = -p$$

measures the perturbation around  $C_0$ , and

$$(3.12a) \quad \zeta_0 = \langle 1, 0, -q/3, 0 \rangle^T$$

$$(3.12b) \quad \zeta_1 = \langle 0, 1, 0, -2q/3 \rangle^T$$

The linear eigenvalue of (3.10a) satisfies

$$(3.13) \quad \lambda^2 = b\epsilon$$

The characteristic equation of the linear part of (3.7) is

$$(3.14) \quad \lambda^4 - q\lambda^2 - \epsilon = 0$$

Hence, the eigenvalues near zero ( the Center Manifold ) satisfy  $\lambda^4 \ll \lambda^2$  and hence

$$(3.15) \quad \lambda^2 \sim -\frac{\epsilon}{q}$$

Matching (3.13) and (3.15) implies

$$(3.16) \quad b = -\frac{1}{q}$$

and only the nonlinear coefficient  $\tilde{c}$  remains to be determined in the normal form (3.10a).

In order to determine  $\tilde{c}$  (the coefficient of  $A^2$  in (3.10a) we calculate  $\frac{dY}{dz}$  in two ways and match the  $\mathcal{O}(A^2)$  terms.

To this end, using the standard 'suspension' trick of treating the perturbation parameter  $\epsilon$  as a variable, we expand the function  $\Psi$  in (3.9) as

$$(3.17) \quad \Psi(\epsilon, A, B) = \epsilon A \Psi_{10}^1 + \epsilon B \Psi_{01}^1 + A^2 \Psi_{20}^0 + AB \Psi_{11}^0 + B^2 \Psi_{02}^0 + \dots$$

where the subscripts denote powers of  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively, and the superscript denotes the power of  $\epsilon$ .

In the first way of computing  $dY/dz$ , we take the  $z$  derivative of (3.9) ( using (3.10a) and (4.10) ). The coefficient of  $A^2$  in the resulting expression is  $\tilde{c}\zeta_1$ . In the second way of computing  $dY/dz$ , use (3.9) and (4.10) in (3.5). The coefficient of  $A^2$  in the resulting expression is  $L_{0,q}\Psi_{20}^0 - G_{1,2}(\zeta_0, \zeta_0)$ . Hence

$$(3.18) \quad \tilde{c}\zeta_1 = L_{0,q}\Psi_{20}^0 - F_2(\zeta_0, \zeta_0)$$

Using (3.12a) and (3.8) and denoting  $\Psi_{20}^0 = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \rangle$  in (3.18) yields the equations

$$(3.19a) \quad 0 = x_2$$

$$(3.19b) \quad \tilde{c} = \frac{q}{3}x_1 + x_3$$

$$(3.19c) \quad 0 = \frac{q}{3}x_2 + x_4 \implies x_4 = 0 \text{ using (3.19b)}$$

and

$$(3.20) \quad -\frac{2q}{3}\tilde{c} = \frac{q}{3}\left(\frac{q}{3}x_1 + x_3\right) + \frac{q}{3c^2}(3a_3 + 5a_5) = \frac{q}{3}\tilde{c} + \frac{q}{3c^2}(3a_3 + 5a_5) \text{ using (3.19b)}$$

Hence we obtain

$$(3.21) \quad \tilde{c} = -\frac{1}{3c^2}(3a_3 + 5a_5)$$

Therefore, the normal form near  $C_0$  is

$$(3.22a) \quad \frac{dA}{dz} = B$$

$$(3.22b) \quad \frac{dB}{dz} = -\frac{\epsilon}{q}A - \frac{a_3}{c^2}A^2$$

for (1.1) and

$$(3.23a) \quad \frac{dA}{dz} = B$$

$$(3.23b) \quad \frac{dB}{dz} = -\frac{\epsilon}{q}A - \frac{1}{3c^2}(3a_3 + 5a_5)A^2$$

for (1.2).

The normal form (3.22) admits a homoclinic solution (near  $C_0$ ) of the form

$$(3.24) \quad A(z) = \ell \operatorname{sech}^2(kz)$$

with

$$(3.25a) \quad k = \sqrt{\frac{-\epsilon}{4q}}$$

$$(3.25b) \quad \ell = \frac{-3\epsilon c^2}{2qa_3}$$

Similarly, the normal form (3.23) admits a homoclinic solution (near  $C_0$ ) of the form

$$(3.26) \quad A(z) = \ell \operatorname{sech}^2(kz)$$

with

$$(3.27a) \quad k = \sqrt{\frac{-\epsilon}{4q}}$$

$$(3.27b) \quad \ell = \frac{-3\epsilon c^2}{2q(3a_3 + 5a_5)}$$

Hence, since  $\epsilon = -p$ , and the curve  $C_0$  corresponds to  $p = 0, q > 0$ , solitary waves of the form (3.24) exist in the vicinity of  $C_0$  for

$$(3.28) \quad p > 0, q > 0$$

which implies that  $a_1 < c^2$  (such that  $k$  in (3.27a) is real.) As mentioned in section 2, one may show the persistence of this homoclinic solution in the original travelling wave ODE (2.4). Thus, we have demonstrated the existence of solitary waves of (1.2) for  $p = 0^+, q > 0$ .

Similarly, the curve  $C_1$  corresponds to  $p = 0, q < 0$ , solitary waves of the form (3.24) exist in the vicinity of  $C_1$  for

$$(3.29) \quad p < 0, q < 0$$

which implies  $a_1 > c^2$ .

Again, one may show the persistence of this homoclinic solution in the original travelling wave ODE (2.4). Thus, we have demonstrated the existence of solitary waves of (1.2) for  $p = 0^-, q < 0$ .

#### 4. NORMAL FORM NEAR $C_1$ : POSSIBLE SOLITARY-WAVE SOLUTIONS

Using (2.4), the curve  $C_1$ , corresponding to  $\lambda = 0, 0 \pm i\omega$ , is given by

$$(4.1) \quad C_1 : p = 0, q < 0$$

Which implies

$$(4.2) \quad a_1 > c^2$$

In order to investigate the possibility of a *sech*<sup>2</sup> homoclinic orbit in the neighborhood of  $C_1$  and delocalized solitary waves, we next compute the normal form near  $C_1$  following the procedure in [?].

Near  $C_1$  the dynamics reduce to a 4-D Center Manifold [?] Since all the eigenvalues are non-hyperbolic, the Center Manifold has the form (a nonlinear coordinate change [?])

$$(4.3) \quad Y = A\zeta_0 + B\zeta_0 + C\zeta_+ + \bar{C}\zeta_- + \Psi(\epsilon, A, B, C, \bar{C})$$

with a corresponding four-dimensional normal form

$$(4.4a) \quad \frac{dA}{dz} = B$$

$$(4.4b) \quad \frac{dB}{dz} = \bar{\nu}A + b_*A^2 + c_*|C|^2$$

$$(4.4c) \quad \frac{dC}{dz} = id_0C + i\bar{\nu}d_1C + id_2AC$$

Here  $C$  is complex,  $\bar{C}$  is the complex conjugate of  $C$ ,  $\epsilon, \zeta_0, \zeta_1$  are given previously and the two new complex eigenvectors co-spanning the Center Manifold are

$$(4.5) \quad \zeta_{\pm} = \left\langle 1, \lambda_{\pm}, 2q/3, \frac{\lambda_{\pm}}{3}q \right\rangle^T$$

Using (4.4c) and (3.10a)

$$(4.6) \quad \bar{\nu} = b\epsilon = -\frac{\epsilon}{q}$$

Also from the characteristic equation (3.14), the two non-zero (imaginary) roots are

$$(4.7) \quad \lambda^2 = \frac{q + \sqrt{q^2 + 4\epsilon}}{2} \approx q \text{ for } \epsilon \text{ small}$$

Hence

$$(4.8) \quad \lambda = \pm i\sqrt{-q}, q < 0$$

Matching this to the linear part of (4.4c) ( which corresponds to the imaginary eigenvalues),  $\lambda = id_0 = i\sqrt{-q}$  or

$$(4.9) \quad d_0 = \sqrt{-q}$$

If we do a dominant balance argument after the change of variable  $\epsilon = \sqrt{-3\alpha}$  on the characteristic equation as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$  then we find  $d_1 = \frac{\sqrt{-3\alpha}}{18\alpha^2}$ . Using  $\alpha = q/3$  we find  $d_1 = \frac{\sqrt{-q}}{2q^2}$ .

The remaining undetermined coefficients in the normal form are the coefficients  $b_*, c_*$  and  $d_2$  which correspond to the  $A^2, |C|^2$  and  $AC$  terms respectively. In order to determine them, we follow the same procedure as in Section 3 and compute  $dY/dz$  in two distinct ways. We expand the function  $\Psi$  as

$$(4.10) \quad \Psi(\epsilon, A, B, C, \bar{C}) = \epsilon A \Psi_{1000}^1 + \epsilon B \Psi_{0100}^1 + A^2 \Psi_{2000}^0 + AB \Psi_{1100}^0 + AC \Psi_{1010}^0 + \epsilon C \Psi_{0010}^1 + \dots$$

with subscripts denoting powers of  $A, B, C$  and  $\bar{C}$ , respectively, and the superscript is the power of  $\epsilon$ . In the first way,  $dY/dz$  is computed by taking the  $z$  derivative of (4.3) ( using (4.4) and (4.10)) and read off the coefficients of  $A^2, \|C\|^2, C\epsilon$  and  $AC$  terms.

In the second way,  $dY/dz$  is computed using (4.3) and (4.10) in (3.5) (with  $p = 0$  on  $C_1$  as given in (4.1)) and the coefficients of  $A, B, C$  and  $\bar{C}$  are once again read off.

Equating the coefficients of the corresponding terms in the two separate expressions for  $dY/dz$  yields the following two systems of equations:

$$(4.11a) \quad \mathcal{O}(A^2) : \quad b_* \zeta_1 = L_{0q} \Psi_{2000}^0 - G_{1,2}(\zeta_0, \zeta_0)$$

$$(4.11b) \quad \mathcal{O}(|C|^2) : \quad c_* \zeta_1 = L_{0q} \Psi_{0011}^0 - 2G_{1,2}(\zeta_+, \zeta_-)$$

$$(4.11c) \quad \mathcal{O}(\epsilon C) : \quad -\frac{i}{q} (d_1 \zeta_+ + d_0 \Psi_{0010}^1) = L_{0q} \Psi_{0010}^1 - G_{1,2}(\Psi_{0010}^1, \Psi_{0010}^1)$$

$$(4.11d) \quad \mathcal{O}(AC) : \quad id_2 \zeta_+ + id_0 \Psi_{1010}^0 = L_{0q} \Psi_{1010}^0 - 2G_{1,2}(\zeta_0, \zeta_+)$$

where we have used the fact that  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are symmetric bilinear forms. Equation (4.11b) is decoupled and yields  $c_* = \frac{8}{c^2} (2a_3 - a_2)$  for (1.1) and  $c_* = \frac{1}{c^2} (16a_3 + \frac{140}{3}a_5)$  for (1.2). The only coefficient left to determine is  $d_2$  which we shall compute now.

Using  $\Psi_{1010}^0 = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \rangle^T$  in (4.11d) implies

$$(4.12a) \quad id_2 + id_0 x_1 = x_2$$

$$(4.12b) \quad -d_0 d_2 + id_0 x_2 = \frac{q}{3} x_1 + x_3$$

$$(4.12c) \quad \frac{2iq}{3} d_2 + id_0 x_3 = \frac{q}{3} x_2 + x_4$$

$$(4.12d) \quad -\frac{q}{3} d_0 d_2 + id_0 x_4 = \frac{q}{3} \left( \frac{q}{3} x_1 + x_3 \right) - \frac{2q}{c^2} \left( \frac{7}{2} a_3 - \frac{i}{3} d_0 a_2 \right)$$

for (1.1) and

$$(4.13a) \quad id_2 + id_0 x_1 = x_2$$

$$(4.13b) \quad -d_0 d_2 + id_0 x_2 = \frac{q}{3} x_1 + x_3$$

$$(4.13c) \quad \frac{2iq}{3} d_2 + id_0 x_3 = \frac{q}{3} x_2 + x_4$$

$$(4.13d) \quad -\frac{q}{3} d_0 d_2 + id_0 x_4 = \frac{q}{3} \left( \frac{q}{3} x_1 + x_3 \right) - \frac{2q}{c^2} \left( \frac{7}{2} a_3 + \frac{32}{3} a_5 \right)$$

for (1.2)

Using (4.13a) in (4.13b), (4.13b) in (4.13d) and using these in (4.13c) yields  $d_2 = \frac{1}{c^2} \left( \frac{7}{2\sqrt{-q}} a_3 - \frac{i}{3} a_2 \right)$  for (1.1) and  $d_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-q}c^2} \left( \frac{7}{2} a_3 + \frac{32}{3} a_5 \right)$  for (1.2).

Therefore the normal form near  $C_1$  is

$$(4.14a) \quad \frac{dA}{dz} = B$$

$$(4.14b) \quad \frac{dB}{dz} = -\frac{\epsilon}{q} A - b_* A^2 + \frac{1}{c^2} \left( \frac{7}{2\sqrt{-q}} a_3 - \frac{i}{3} a_2 \right) |C|^2$$

$$(4.14c) \quad \frac{dC}{dz} = i\sqrt{-q}C - i\frac{\sqrt{-q}}{q^3} C\epsilon + i\frac{1}{c^2} \left( \frac{7}{2\sqrt{-q}} a_3 - \frac{i}{3} a_2 \right) AC$$

for (1.1) and

$$(4.15a) \quad \frac{dA}{dz} = B$$

$$(4.15b) \quad \frac{dB}{dz} = -\frac{\epsilon}{q} A - b_* A^2 + \frac{1}{c^2} \left( 16a_3 + \frac{140}{3} a_5 \right) |C|^2$$

$$(4.15c) \quad \frac{dC}{dz} = i\sqrt{-q}C - i\frac{\sqrt{-q}}{q^3} C\epsilon + i\frac{1}{\sqrt{-q}c^2} \left( \frac{7}{2} a_3 + \frac{32}{3} a_5 \right) AC$$

for (1.2).

The dynamics inherent in (4.14), (4.15) may be elucidated following the discussions of [?], [?],[?], and [?]. The two first integrals of (4.4) are

$$(4.16) \quad K = |C|^2$$

and

$$(4.17) \quad H = B^2 - \frac{2}{3} b_* A^3 - \bar{\nu} A^2 - 2c_* K A_*$$

Here, the appropriate coefficients  $b_*$ ,  $\bar{\nu}$  and  $c_*$ , derived above, apply for (1.1) and (1.2). Also,  $c_*$  should be real, or  $a_2$  must be zero in (1.1) for the following energy arguments to apply.

As a typical case, consider the level curve  $H = 0$  of the energy-like first integral function  $H$ . In the  $(A, B)$  phase plane, this will compromise a homoclinic orbit. The intersection of  $H = 0$  with the  $A$  axis occurs for  $\frac{2}{3} b_* A^2 - \bar{\nu} A - 2c_* K = 0$  or

$$(4.18) \quad A_{\mp} = \frac{3}{4b_*} \left[ \bar{\nu} \pm \sqrt{\bar{\nu}^2 + \frac{16b_* c_* K}{3}} \right]$$

Note that  $A_+ > 0$ ,  $A_- < 0$  for  $b_* c_* > 0$  and  $b_* < 0$  as relevant for us. A general homoclinic orbit, homoclinic to  $A_+$ , is sketched in FIGURE where the flow direction is deduced from (4.14a) and (4.15a) for (1.1) and (1.2), respectively. For  $K = |C|^2 = 0$ , the orbit is homoclinic to  $A_+ = 0$ . For small non-zero  $|K|$ ,  $A_+ \sim -2c_* K / \bar{\nu}$ , meaning that oscillations at infinity are then very small in this case. For  $K = 0$  this corresponds to an orbit homoclinic to 0 for the normal form. This is indeed valid for the normal form taken at any order. However this solution does not exist mathematically for the full original system, even though one may compute its expansion in powers of the bifurcation parameter up to any order (see [?] and [?]). This is an example of the famous challenging problem of asymptotics beyond any orders. Other solutions found on the normal form mainly persist under the perturbation from higher order terms provided by the original system [?]. These solutions are delocalized waves and their existence in Region 2 is guaranteed by the general theory for reversible systems in [?] and [?]. Also, as mentioned in Section 2, genuine solitary waves are found on isolated curves in Region 2 of FIGURE on which the oscillation amplitudes vanish. Since these are embedded in the sea of delocalized solitary waves and in the continuous spectrum, they are referred to as embedded solitons [?]. These will further be investigated in Region 2 subsequently using a mix of exponential asymptotics and numerical shooting.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are extremely grateful to Prof. Gerard Iooss .

## REFERENCES

- [1] B. LI, Y. CHEN AND H. ZHANG, *Travelling Wave Solutions for Generalized Pochhammer-Chree Equations*, Z. Naturforsch., 57a (2002), pp. 874–882.
- [2] CHAMPNEYS A.R., MALOMED B.A., YANG J., KAUP D.J., *Embedded Solitons: solitary waves in resonance with the linear spectrum*, Physica D, 340 (2001), pp. 152–153.
- [3] I.L. BOGOLUBSKY, *Some Examples of Inelastic Soliton Interaction*, Comp. Phys., 31 (1977), pp. 149–155.
- [4] IOOSS G., ADELMAYER, M., *Topics in Bifurcation Theory and Applications*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1998.
- [5] IOOSS G, KIRCHGASSNER K., *Water waves for small surface tension: an approach via normal form*, Proc Roy Soc Edinburgh A, 112 (1992), pp. 62–88.
- [6] LOMBARDI E., *Homoclinic orbits to small periodic orbits for a class of reversible systems*, Proc Roy Soc Edinburgh A, 126 (1996), pp. 1035–54.
- [7] ———, *Homoclinic orbits to exponentially small periodic orbits for a class of reversible systems: Application to water waves*, Arch Rat Mech Anal, 137 (1997), pp. 227–304.
- [8] P.A. CLARKSON, R.J. LE VEQUE AND R. SAXTON, *Solitary-wave Interactions in Elastic Rods*, Stud. App. Math., 75 (1986), pp. 95–122.
- [9] R. SAXTON, *Existence of Solutions for a Finite Nonlinearly Hyperelastic Rod*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 105 (1985), pp. 59–75.
- [10] X. RUNZHANG, *Initial boundary value problem of two classes of evolution equations*, in Fifth IMACS Conf. on Nonlinear Evolution Equations, April 2007.
- [11] Z. WEIGUO AND W. X. MA, *Explicit Solitary-Wave Solutions to Generalized Pochhammer-Chree Equations*, Appl. Math and Mech., 20 (1999), pp. 666–674.