Solutions by **Hung Le Tran** conghunglt(at)u.e.

This document contains my solutions to the Gradescope assignment named on the top of this page. Specifically, my solutions to the following problems are included:

- 01.104 (pages 2-3)
- 01.159 (page 4)

I did not forget

- to REFRESH my browser for the latest information about each problem
- to link problems to pages.

 This page is linked to the problems I did not solve.
- to update the items marked *** in the template (my name, email, the Gradescope title of the assignment, the list of problems solved, the \lambdahead statements (left page headers: list of (sub)problems solved on each page)
- to make sure no subproblem solution spills over to the next page (except when this is unavoidable, i.e., when the solution to a subproblem does not fit on a page)
- if a problem takes more than one page, I linked each of those pages to the problem
- I took care not to defeat the mechanisms provided by this template.

With each problem, I stated my sources and collaborations. By submitting this solution I certify that

my statement of sources and collaborations is accurate and complete. I understand that without this certification, my solutions will not be accepted.

Problem 01.104 Hung Le Tran

(done) 01.104 Question.

Let p_n be the *n*-th prime number. Consider the statement:

$$p_n \sim n \ln n$$

Prove that this statement is equivalent to the Prime Number Theorem.

Sources and collaborations.

None

Proof.

Let us restate the Prime Number Theorem (PNT).

Theorem. Let $\pi(x)$ be the numbers of prime numbers $\leq x$. Then

$$\pi(x) \sim \frac{x}{\ln x}$$

 \implies We first show that $p_n \sim n \ln n$ implies PNT.

Let $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ be arbitrary. Take $\delta = \varepsilon/2$.

Since $p_n \sim n \ln n$, there exists some $N = N_{\delta} = N_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \geq N \Rightarrow (1 - \delta)n \ln n \leq p_n \leq (1 + \delta)n \ln n$.

Take $X = p_N = X_{\varepsilon}$. For all $x \geq X$, let $m = \pi(x) \geq \pi(X) = N$ then we have

$$p_m < x < p_{m+1}$$

but $m \geq N$ so

$$(1 - \delta)m \ln m \le x \le (1 + \delta)(m + 1)\ln(m + 1)$$

ln is monotonic, so:

 $\ln(1-\delta) + \ln m + \ln \ln m \le \ln x \le \ln(1+\delta) + \ln(m+1) \ln \ln(m+1)$ It then follows that for all $x \ge X$,

$$\frac{(1-\delta)m \ln m}{m[\ln(1+\delta) + \ln(m+1) + \ln\ln(m+1)]} \le \frac{x}{\pi(x) \ln x} \\ \le \frac{(1+\delta)(m+1) \ln(m+1)}{m[\ln(1-\delta) + \ln m + \ln\ln m]}$$

Investigating the limit as $m \to \infty$, we have:

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} LHS = \lim_{m \to \infty} (1 - \delta) \frac{\ln m}{\ln(1 + \delta) + \ln(m + 1) + \ln\ln(m + 1)}$$
$$= 1 - \delta,$$

Problem 01.104 Hung Le Tran

using the ln asymptotic result in 01.87(b) to get $\ln(m+1) \sim \ln m$, and that $\ln m$ grows exponentially faster than $\ln \ln(m+1)$.

Similarly, $\lim_{m\to\infty} RHS = 1 + \delta$.

What the 2 limits above imply is that there exists some $M = M_{\varepsilon}$ such that $\pi(x) \geq M_{\varepsilon} \Rightarrow |\text{LHS} - (1 - \delta)|, |\text{RHS} - (1 + \delta)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, implying $|\text{LHS} - 1|, |\text{RHS} - 1| < \varepsilon$, so

$$\left| \frac{\pi(x) \ln x}{x} - 1 \right| < \varepsilon$$

Therefore, for all $x \geq p_{\lceil M_{\varepsilon} \rceil}$, the above inequality is achieved.

 ε was arbitrary, so $\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{\pi(x)\ln x}{x} = 1$, so $\pi(x) \sim \frac{x}{\ln x}$ as required.

 \leftarrow WTS PNT implies $p_n \sim n \ln n$.

Let $0<\varepsilon\ll 1$ be arbitrary. Since $\pi(x)\sim\frac{x}{\ln x},$ there exists some X_ε such that

(1)
$$x \ge X_{\varepsilon} \Rightarrow (1 - \varepsilon)x \le \pi(x) \ln x \le (1 + \varepsilon)x$$

Take $N = N_{\varepsilon} = \pi(X_{\varepsilon}) + 1$, then for all $n \geq N$, we have that $p_n \geq p_{N+1} \geq X_{\varepsilon}$ so (1) holds:

$$(1-\varepsilon)p_n \le n \ln n \le (1+\varepsilon)p_n$$

which implies

$$1 - \varepsilon \le \frac{n \ln n}{p_n} \le 1 + \varepsilon$$

 ε was arbitrary, so $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{n \ln n}{p_n} = 1 \Rightarrow p_n \sim n \ln n$ as required.

Problem 01.159 Hung Le Tran

(done) 01.159 Question.

Let Ω be a set of n elements. Let C_1, \ldots, C_m be an Oddtown system in Ω and let $\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_m$ be the corresponding incidence vectors. Prove that the \mathbf{v}_i are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} . (Note that the Oddtown Theorem follows from this)

Sources and collaborations.

None.

Proof.

WTS Oddtown incidence vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ are linearly independent

Suppose there exists $(p_i/q_i)_{i\in[m]}\in\mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{0}$$

Let $a_i = (p_i/q_i) \operatorname{lcm}(q_1, \dots, q_m) \in \mathbb{Z}$ then

(2)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i \mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{0}$$

WLOG, $gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_m) = 1$ (If not, divide them all by $gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$).

Define the symmetric bilinear function $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{Q}^n \times \mathbb{Q}^n \to \mathbb{Z}, \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v} \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{u}^{(i)} \mathbf{v}^{(i)}$ where $\mathbf{u}^{(i)}$ denotes the *i*-th index of \mathbf{u} . Then the Oddtown conditions mean that $\langle \mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle$ is even if $i \neq j$ and odd if i = j.

For any $i \in [m]$, from (2), we get $\langle LHS, \mathbf{v}_i \rangle = \langle RHS, \mathbf{v}_i \rangle$ which implies:

$$a_i \cdot (odd) + \sum (even) = 0$$

where (odd), (even) denote some odd and even integers respectively. It then follows that a_i is even.

This holds for all $i \in [m]$, so $(a_i)_{i \in [m]}$ have 2 as a common divisor, $\Rightarrow \Leftarrow$