

Math 20250: Abstract Linear Algebra  
Problem Set 3

Hung Le Tran

17 Apr 2023

**Problem 3.1** (Sec 2.4. Problem 4)

Let  $W$  be the subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^3$  spanned by  $\alpha_1 = (1, 0, i), \alpha_2 = (1 + i, 1, -1)$ .

- (a) Show that  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  form a basis for  $W$
- (b) Show that the vectors  $\beta_1 = (1, 1, 0)$  and  $\beta_2 = (1, i, 1 + i)$  are in  $W$  and form another basis for  $W$
- (c) What are the coordinates of  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  in the ordered basis  $\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$  for  $W$ ?

**Solution** (a) Suppose there exists  $c_1, c_2$  s.t.  $c_1\alpha_1 + c_2\alpha_2 = 0$

It follows that  $c_1(1) + c_2(1 + i) = 0 + 0i \Rightarrow c_2 = 0 \Rightarrow c_1 = 0$ .

$\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  are therefore linearly independent. Since they also span  $W$ , it can be concluded that they form a basis for  $W$

- (b) It can be observed that:

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_1 &= (1, 1, 0) = -i(1, 0, i) + (1 + i, 1, -1) = -i\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \\ \beta_2 &= (1, i, 1 + i) = (2 - i)(1, 0, i) + i(1 + i, 1, -1) = (2 - i)\alpha_1 + i\alpha_2\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in W$ .

We now prove that  $\beta_1, \beta_2$  span  $W$  and are linearly independent.

First, we can rewrite  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  as linear combinations of  $\beta_1, \beta_2$ :

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{1-i}{2}\beta_1 + \frac{1+i}{2}\beta_2, \alpha_2 = \frac{3+i}{2}\beta_1 + \frac{-1+i}{2}\beta_2$$

Since  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  span  $W$ , it can be concluded that  $\beta_1, \beta_2$  also span  $W$ .

Second, there exists  $c_1, c_2$  s.t.  $c_1\beta_1 + c_2\beta_2 = 0$ , it follows that  $c_1 + c_2 = 0, c_2(1 + i) = 0 \Rightarrow c_1 = c_2 = 0$ . Thus,  $\beta_1, \beta_2$  are linearly independent.

Therefore,  $\beta_1, \beta_2$  form another basis for  $W$ .

- (c) Following our expressions of  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  as linear combinations of  $\beta_1, \beta_2$ , their coordinates in the ordered basis  $\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$  is

$$\alpha_1 : \left( \frac{1-i}{2}, \frac{1+i}{2} \right), \alpha_2 : \left( \frac{3+i}{2}, \frac{-1+i}{2} \right)$$

□

**Problem 3.2** (Sec 3.1. Problem 1)

Which of the following functions  $T$  from  $\mathbb{R}^2$  into  $\mathbb{R}^2$  are linear transformations?

- (a)  $T(x_1, x_2) = (1 + x_1, x_2)$
- (b)  $T(x_1, x_2) = (x_2, x_1)$
- (c)  $T(x_1, x_2) = (x_1^2, x_2)$
- (d)  $T(x_1, x_2) = (\sin x_1, x_2)$

(e)  $T(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 - x_2, 0)$

**Solution** (a)  $T(x_1, x_2) = (1 + x_1, x_2)$

No.  $T((0, 0)) = (1 + 0, 0) = (1, 0) \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$

(b)  $T(x_1, x_2) = (x_2, x_1)$

Yes. Let  $\alpha = (x_1, x_2), \beta = (y_1, y_2)$  then:

$$\begin{aligned} T(c\alpha + \beta) &= T((cx_1 + y_1, cx_2 + y_2)) \\ &= (cx_2 + y_2, cx_1 + y_1) \\ &= c(x_2, x_1) + (y_2, y_1) \\ &= cT(\alpha) + T(\beta) \end{aligned}$$

(c)  $T(x_1, x_2) = (x_1^2, x_2)$

No. Counter-example:

$$T((1, 0) + (-1, 0)) = T((0, 0)) = (0, 0) \text{ while } T(1, 0) + T(-1, 0) = (1, 0) + (1, 0) = (2, 0)$$

(d)  $T(x_1, x_2) = (\sin x_1, x_2)$

No. Counter-example:

$$T((\frac{\pi}{2}, 0) + (\frac{3\pi}{2}, 0)) = T(2\pi, 0) = (0, 0) \text{ while } T((\frac{\pi}{2}, 0)) + T((\frac{3\pi}{2}, 0)) = (1, 0) + (1, 0) = (2, 0)$$

(e)  $T(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 - x_2, 0)$

Yes. Let  $\alpha = (x_1, x_2), \beta = (y_1, y_2)$  then:

$$\begin{aligned} T(c\alpha + \beta) &= T((cx_1 + y_1, cx_2 + y_2)) \\ &= (cx_1 + y_1 - cx_2 - y_2, 0) \\ &= c(x_1 - x_2, 0) + (y_1 - y_2, 0) \\ &= cT(\alpha) + T(\beta) \end{aligned}$$

□

### Problem 3.3 (Sec 3.2. Problem 2)

Let  $T$  be the (unique) linear operator on  $\mathbb{C}^3$  for which:

$$T\varepsilon_1 = (1, 0, i)$$

$$T\varepsilon_2 = (0, 1, 1)$$

$$T\varepsilon_3 = (i, 1, 0)$$

Is  $T$  invertible?

**Solution**

From the textbook,  $T$  is invertible iff  $\{T\varepsilon_1, T\varepsilon_2, T\varepsilon_3\}$  forms a basis for  $\mathbb{C}^3$ . However, it can be observed that they are not linearly independent:

$$\begin{aligned} i(1, 0, i) + (0, 1, 1) - (i, 1, 0) &= (0, 0, 0) \\ \Rightarrow iT\varepsilon_1 + T\varepsilon_2 - T\varepsilon_3 &= 0 \in \mathbb{C}^3 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\{T\varepsilon_1, T\varepsilon_2, T\varepsilon_3\}$  does not form a basis for  $\mathbb{C}^3$ , and therefore  $T$  is not invertible. □

**Problem 3.4** (Sec 3.2. Problem 7)

Find two linear operators  $T, U$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  s.t.  $TU = 0$  but  $UT \neq 0$

**Solution**

We define operators  $T, U$  by their associated matrices:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where for  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 1}$ ,  $T(X) = AX$ ,  $U(X) = BX$ . Then,

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ while } BA = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \neq 0$$

It follows that  $TU(X) = ABX = 0$  but  $UT \neq 0$  □

**Problem 3.5** (Sec 3.3. Problem 3)

Let  $W$  be the set of all  $2 \times 2$  complex Hermitian matrices, that is, the set of  $2 \times 2$  complex matrices  $A$  s.t.  $A_{ij} = \overline{A_{ji}}$  (the bar denoting complex conjugation). As we pointed out in Example 6 of Chapter 2,  $W$  is a vector space over the field of *real* numbers, under the usual operations. Verify that

$$(x, y, z, t) \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} t+x & y+iz \\ y-iz & t-x \end{bmatrix}$$

is an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{R}^4$  onto  $W$ .

**Solution**

We first recognize that  $W$  is trivially isomorphic to  $W' \subseteq \mathbb{C}^4 : (t+x, y+iz, y-iz, t-x)$ . We now want to prove that there exists a linear transformation from  $\mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow W'$ , that is characterized by an invertible  $T$ :

$$T \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ t \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} t+x \\ y+iz \\ y-iz \\ t-x \end{bmatrix}$$

This implies the characteristic matrix:

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & i & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -i & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Row-reducing  $T$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & i & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -i & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} &\xrightarrow{(3)=\frac{(2)-(3)}{2i}, (4)=\frac{1}{2}((1)+(4))} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &\xrightarrow{(2)=(2)-i(3), (1)=(1)-(4)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $T$  is invertible, implying that  $\mathbb{R}^4$  is isomorphic to  $W'$ , which is isomorphic to  $W$ .  $\square$