FDA Homework 2

Seokjun Choi

October 18, 2019

1 Chapter 10

1.1 Problem 2

Show that in any inner product space, the function $y \to \langle x, y \rangle$ is continuous where x is arbitary element of that inner product space.

Let \mathcal{H} be an inner product space, $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence in \mathcal{H} such that converges to $f \in \mathcal{H}$ in norm sense. For $x \in \mathcal{H}$, consider below relation.

$$|\langle x, f_n \rangle - \langle x, f \rangle|^2 = |\langle x, f_n - f \rangle|^2 \le ||x||^2 ||f_n - f||^2$$

Last inequality comes from Cauchy-Schwartz inequality. Then when $n \to \infty$, by our setting $||f_n - f|| \to 0$, so

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} |\langle x, f_n \rangle - \langle x, f \rangle|^2 \le 0$$

Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \langle x, f_n \rangle - \langle x, f \rangle = 0$$

Thus, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \langle x, f_n \rangle = \langle x, f \rangle$ and the inner product operator is preserve the limit. It is equivalent statement that inner product operator is continuous.

1.2 Problem 6

Suppose $\{e_j, j \geq 1\}$ is a complete orthonormal sequence in a Hilbert space. Show that if $\{f_j, j \geq 1\}$ is an orthonormal sequence satisfying

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} ||e_j - f_j||^2 < 1$$

then $\{f_j, j \geq 1\}$ is also complete.

Firstly, I claim that e_j and f_j are not orthogonal.

Consider a simple case that only one component of fixed j index are different e_j from f_j . then, If e_j , f_j are orthonormal, the by Pythagorean theorem, $||e_j - f_j||^2 = ||e_j||^2 + ||f_j||^2 = 1 + 1 = 2$ since e_j and f_j are unit elements. But under our assumption

$$||e_j - f_j||^2 = \langle e_j - f_j, e_j - f_j \rangle = \langle e_j, e_j \rangle - \langle e_j, f_j \rangle - \langle f_j, e_j \rangle + \langle f_j, f_j \rangle$$

$$= ||e_j||^2 + ||f_j||^2 - (\langle e_j, f_j \rangle + \langle f_j, e_j \rangle) = 2 - (\langle e_j, f_j \rangle + \langle f_j, e_j \rangle) < 1$$

so in our case, $||e_j - f_j||^2$ always cannot have the value 2, and

$$< e_j, f_j > + < f_j, e_j > = < e_j, f_j > + \overline{< e_j, f_j >} = 2Re(< e_j, f_j >)$$

cannot become 0, neither can $\langle e_j, f_j \rangle$. Both viewing norm value and inner product value show that they are not orthogonal. Thus the claim is proved for simple case.

Next, consider many j-th components can be different e_j from f_j . But above claim still holds, because $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} ||e_j - f_j||^2 < 1$ and all norm values must be nonnegative, none of j-th component of $||e_j - f_j||$ can be greater then 1 like the simple case, and $\langle e_j, f_j \rangle + \langle f_j, e_j \rangle = 2Re(\langle e_j, f_j \rangle)$ cannot be 0 for all j. So above claim is proved for whole case.

Then from the result of claim, if we express f_j as the linear combination of $\{e_i\}$, then f_j always has e_j component with nonzero coefficient. (More precisely, use Gram-Schmidt process, or just project f_j onto e_j . then since f_j is not orthogonal to e_j , by property of separable Hilbert space's orthonormal basis, we get e_j component of nonzero coefficient.)

So, if we express whole space as $\mathcal{H} = span\{e_1, e_2, ..., e_j, ...\}$, then we can also re-express \mathcal{H} as $\mathcal{H} = span\{e_1, e_2, ..., f_j, ...\}$. Then for each j, we replace e_j to f_j inductively from j = 1 to f_j for above span expression. Then consequently we get f_j span f_j for f_j , f_j , ..., f_j , .

1.3 Problem 10

Suppose $\{e_j, j >= 1\}$ and $\{f_i, i >= 1\}$ are orthonormal bases in \mathcal{H} . Show that for any Hilbert-Schmidt operators Ψ, Φ

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} <\Psi(f_i), \Phi(f_i) > = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} <\Psi(e_j), \Phi(e_j) >$$

Firstly note that $f_i = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle f_i, e_j \rangle e_j$, and since Φ are Hilbert-Schmidt, there are adjoint operator Φ^* . Using these facts,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} <\Psi(f_i), \Phi(f_i)> = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} <\Phi^*\Psi(f_i), f_i> = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} <\Phi^*\Psi\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} < f_i, e_j>e_j, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} < f_i, e_k>e_j> = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} <\Phi^*\Psi(f_i), \Phi(f_i)> = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} <\Phi^*\Psi(f_i)> = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} <\Phi^*\Psi(f_i)>$$

then

$$= \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} < f_i, e_j > \overline{< f_i, e_k >} < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} < f_i, e_j > < e_k, f_i > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} < f_i, e_j > < e_k, f_i > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} < f_i, e_j > < e_k, f_i > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_i), e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_i), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_i), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_i), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_i), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_i), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_i), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_i), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_i), e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_i), e_k > = \Phi^*\Psi(e_i), e_k > = \Phi^*\Psi(e_i), e_k > \Phi^*\Psi(e_i), e_k > = \Phi^*\Psi(e_i), e_k > = \Phi^*\Psi(e_i), e_k > \Phi^*\Psi(e_i), e_k > \Phi^*\Psi(e_i), e_k > \Phi^*\Psi(e_i), e_k >$$

then when $j \neq k$, the term becomes 0.(why?) so, only j = k cases remain, and we rewrite above equation as

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \langle f_i, e_j \rangle \langle e_j, f_i \rangle \langle \Phi^* \Psi(e_j), e_j \rangle$$

Since the operaters are Hilbert-Schmidt, the value of absolute summation is bounded and we can interchange the summation order, then

$$\begin{split} &= \sum_{j=1} \sum_{i=1} < f_i, e_j > < e_j, f_i > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_j > = \sum_{j=1} \sum_{i=1} | < f_i, e_j > |^2 < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_j > \\ &= \sum_{j=1} ||e_j||^2 < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_j > = \sum_{j=1} < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_j > \end{split}$$

At last part, I use the relation that $||e_j||^2 = \sum_{i=1} |\langle f_i, e_j \rangle|^2 = 1$ from the assumption that $\{f_i\}$ is in orthornormal set.

$$= \sum_{j=1} <\Psi(e_j), \Phi(e_j)>$$

1.4 Problem 12

Show that if L is bounded then L^* is also bounded, and

$$||L^*||_{\mathcal{L}} = ||L||_{\mathcal{L}}, \quad ||L^*L||_{\mathcal{L}} = ||L||_{\mathcal{L}}^2$$

Firstly, I construct a lemma.

$$||L||_{\mathcal{L}} = \sup\{|\langle Lx, y \rangle| : ||x|| \le 1, ||y|| \le 1\}$$

For proof, (1) \geq direction is simple consquence of Cauchy-Schwartz inequality. $|\langle Lx,y\rangle| \leq ||Lx||||y|| \leq ||Lx||$ for $||x|| \leq 1$. Take supremum(within $||x|| \leq 1$) both side. (2) To get \leq direction, for $x \in \mathcal{H}$, $||x|| \leq 1$, let x' = x/||x||, y' = Lx/||Lx||. Then if $\sup |\langle Lx,y\rangle| = M$ for some $M \in \mathcal{R}$, then $|\langle Lx',y'\rangle| \leq M$ by assumption, and observe that $|\langle Lx',y'\rangle| = |\langle \frac{Lx}{||x||}, \frac{Lx}{||Lx||} \rangle = \frac{||Lx||^2}{||x||||Lx||}$, so $||Lx|| \leq M||x||$. Take supremum(within $||x|| \leq 1$) both side.

Note that the argument which gives supremum value always satisfies ||x|| = 1.

Let's start our main goal. Let $x \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $||x|| \le 1$, be argument of realizing supremum of definition of operator norm, $||L||_L = ||Lx||$)

For boundedness and first identity, consider

$$||L||_{\mathcal{L}} = \sup\{|\langle Lx, y \rangle| :: ||x|| \le 1, ||y|| \le 1\}$$

= $\sup\{|\langle x, L^*y \rangle| :: ||x|| \le 1, ||y|| \le 1\} = ||L^*||$

So if L is bounded($||L||_{\mathcal{L}} < \infty$), then L^* is also bounded($||L^*||_{\mathcal{L}} < \infty$). For second identity, consider

$$\begin{split} ||L||_{\mathcal{L}} &= \sup\{|< Lx, Ly/||Ly|| > |: ||x|| \le 1, ||y|| \le 1\} \\ &= \sup\{|\frac{< L^*Lx, y>}{\overline{||Ly||}}|: ||x|| \le 1, ||y|| \le 1\} \\ &= \frac{1}{||L||_{\mathcal{L}}} \sup\{|< L^*Lx, y>|: ||x|| \le 1, ||y|| \le 1\} = \frac{||L^*L||_{\mathcal{L}}}{||L||_{\mathcal{L}}} \end{split}$$

At third line, I use the definition of operator norm. Multiply $||L||_{\mathcal{L}}$ both side.