# FDA Homework 2

Seokjun Choi

October 18, 2019

# 1 Chapter 10

### 1.1 Problem 2

Show that in any inner product space, the function  $y \to \langle x, y \rangle$  is continuous where x is arbitary element of that inner product space.

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be an inner product space,  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{H}$  such that converges to  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  in norm sense. For  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ , consider below relation.

$$|\langle x, f_n \rangle - \langle x, f \rangle|^2 = |\langle x, f_n - f \rangle|^2 \le ||x||^2 ||f_n - f||^2$$

Last inequality comes from Cauchy-Schwartz inequality. Then when  $n \to \infty$ , by our setting  $||f_n - f|| \to 0$ , so

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} |\langle x, f_n \rangle - \langle x, f \rangle|^2 \le 0$$

Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \langle x, f_n \rangle - \langle x, f \rangle = 0$$

Thus,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \langle x, f_n \rangle = \langle x, f \rangle$  and the inner product operator is preserve the limit. It is equivalent statement that inner product operator is continuous.

#### 1.2 Problem 6

Suppose  $\{e_j, j \geq 1\}$  is a complete orthonormal sequence in a Hilbert space. Show that if  $\{f_j, j \geq 1\}$  is an orthonormal sequence satisfying

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} ||e_j - f_j||^2 < 1$$

then  $\{f_j, j \geq 1\}$  is also complete.

Firstly, I claim that  $e_j$  and  $f_j$  are not orthogonal.

Consider a simple case that only one component of fixed j index are different  $e_j$  from  $f_j$ . then, If  $e_j$ ,  $f_j$  are orthonormal, the by Pythagorean theorem,  $||e_j - f_j||^2 = ||e_j||^2 + ||f_j||^2 = 1 + 1 = 2$  since  $e_j$  and  $f_j$  are unit elements. But under our assumption

$$||e_j - f_j||^2 = \langle e_j - f_j, e_j - f_j \rangle = \langle e_j, e_j \rangle - \langle e_j, f_j \rangle - \langle f_j, e_j \rangle + \langle f_j, f_j \rangle$$

$$= ||e_j||^2 + ||f_j||^2 - (\langle e_j, f_j \rangle + \langle f_j, e_j \rangle) = 2 - (\langle e_j, f_j \rangle + \langle f_j, e_j \rangle) < 1$$

so in our case,  $||e_j - f_j||^2$  always cannot have the value 2, and

$$< e_j, f_j > + < f_j, e_j > = < e_j, f_j > + \overline{< e_j, f_j >} = 2Re(< e_j, f_j >)$$

cannot become 0, neither can  $\langle e_j, f_j \rangle$ . Both viewing norm value and inner product value show that they are not orthogonal. Thus the claim is proved for simple case.

Next, consider many j-th components can be different  $e_j$  from  $f_j$ . But above claim still holds, because  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} ||e_j - f_j||^2 < 1$  and all norm values must be nonnegative, none of j-th component of  $||e_j - f_j||$  can be greater then 1 like the simple case, and  $\langle e_j, f_j \rangle + \langle f_j, e_j \rangle = 2Re(\langle e_j, f_j \rangle)$  cannot be 0 for all j. So above claim is proved for whole case.

Then from the result of claim, if we express  $f_j$  as the linear combination of  $\{e_i\}$ , then  $f_j$  always has  $e_j$  component with nonzero coefficient. (More precisely, use Gram-Schmidt process, or just project  $f_j$  onto  $e_j$ . then since  $f_j$  is not orthogonal to  $e_j$ , by property of separable Hilbert space's orthonormal basis, we get  $e_j$  component of nonzero coefficient.)

So, if we express whole space as  $\mathcal{H} = span\{e_1, e_2, ..., e_j, ...\}$ , then we can also re-express  $\mathcal{H}$  as  $\mathcal{H} = span\{e_1, e_2, ..., f_j, ...\}$ . Then for each j, we replace  $e_j$  to  $f_j$  inductively from j = 1 to  $f_j$  for above span expression. Then consequently we get  $f_j$  span $f_j$  for  $f_j$ ,  $f_j$ , ...,  $f_j$ , .

### 1.3 Problem 10

Suppose  $\{e_j, j >= 1\}$  and  $\{f_i, i >= 1\}$  are orthonormal bases in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Show that for any Hilbert-Schmidt operators  $\Psi, \Phi$ 

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} <\Psi(f_i), \Phi(f_i) > = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} <\Psi(e_j), \Phi(e_j) >$$

Firstly note that  $f_i = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle f_i, e_j \rangle e_j$ , and since  $\Phi$  are Hilbert-Schmidt, there are adjoint operator  $\Phi^*$ . Using these facts,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} <\Psi(f_i), \Phi(f_i)> = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} <\Phi^*\Psi(f_i), f_i> = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} <\Phi^*\Psi\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} < f_i, e_j>e_j, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} < f_i, e_k>e_j> = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} <\Phi^*\Psi(f_i), \Phi(f_i)> = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} <\Phi^*\Psi(f_i)> = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} <\Phi^*\Psi(f_i)>$$

then

$$= \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} < f_i, e_j > \overline{< f_i, e_k >} < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} < f_i, e_j > < e_k, f_i > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} < f_i, e_j > < e_k, f_i > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} < f_i, e_j > < e_k, f_i > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_i), e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_i), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_i), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_i), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_i), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_i), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_i), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_i), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_i), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_i), e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_i), e_k > = \sum_{i=1} < f_i, e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_i), e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_i), e_k > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_i), e_k > = \Phi^*\Psi(e_i), e_k > <$$

then when  $j \neq k$ , the term becomes 0.(why?) so, only j = k cases remain, and we rewrite above equation as

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \langle f_i, e_j \rangle \langle e_j, f_i \rangle \langle \Phi^* \Psi(e_j), e_j \rangle$$

Since the operaters are Hilbert-Schmidt, the value of absolute summation is bounded and we can interchange the summation order, then

$$\begin{split} &= \sum_{j=1} \sum_{i=1} < f_i, e_j > < e_j, f_i > < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_j > = \sum_{j=1} \sum_{i=1} | < f_i, e_j > |^2 < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_j > \\ &= \sum_{j=1} ||e_j||^2 < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_j > = \sum_{j=1} < \Phi^*\Psi(e_j), e_j > \end{split}$$

At last part, I use the relation that  $||e_j||^2 = \sum_{i=1} |\langle f_i, e_j \rangle|^2 = 1$  from the assumption that  $\{f_i\}$  is in orthornormal set.

$$=\sum_{j=1}<\Psi(e_j),\Phi(e_j)>$$

## 1.4 Problem 12

Show that if L is bounded then  $L^*$  is also bounded, and

$$||L^*||_{\mathcal{L}} = ||L||_{\mathcal{L}}, \quad ||L^*L||_{\mathcal{L}} = ||L||_{\mathcal{L}}^2$$

Firstly, I construct a lemma.

$$||L||_{\mathcal{L}} = \sup\{|\langle Lx, y \rangle| : ||x|| \le 1, ||y|| \le 1\}$$

For proof,  $(1) \ge$  direction is simple consquence of Cauchy-Schwartz inequality.  $|\langle Lx,y\rangle| \le ||Lx||||y|| \le ||Lx||$  for  $||x|| \le 1$ . Take supremum(within  $||x|| \le 1$ ) both side. (2) To get  $\le$  direction, for  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $||x|| \le 1$ , let x' = x/||x||, y' = Lx/||Lx||. Then if  $\sup |\langle Lx,y\rangle| = M$  for some  $M \in \mathcal{R}$ , then  $|\langle Lx',y'\rangle| \le M$  by assumption, and observe that  $|\langle Lx',y'\rangle| = |\langle \frac{Lx}{||x||}, \frac{Lx}{||Lx||} \rangle = \frac{||Lx||^2}{||x||||Lx||} = \frac{||Lx||}{||x||}$ , so  $||Lx|| \le M||x||$ . Take supremum(within  $||x|| \le 1$ ) both side.

Note that the argument which gives supremum value always satisfies ||x|| = 1.

Let's start our main goal. Let  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $||x|| \le 1$ , be argument of realizing supremum of definition of operator norm,  $||L||_L = ||Lx||$ )

For boundedness and first identity, consider

$$||L||_{\mathcal{L}} = \sup\{|\langle Lx, y \rangle| :: ||x|| \le 1, ||y|| \le 1\}$$
  
=  $\sup\{|\langle x, L^*y \rangle| :: ||x|| \le 1, ||y|| \le 1\} = ||L^*||$ 

So if L is bounded( $||L||_{\mathcal{L}} < \infty$ ), then L\* is also bounded( $||L^*||_{\mathcal{L}} < \infty$ ).

For second identity, consider

$$\begin{aligned} ||L||_{\mathcal{L}} &= \sup\{|\langle Lx, Ly/||Ly|| > |: ||x|| \le 1, ||y|| \le 1\} \\ &= \sup\{|\frac{\langle L^*Lx, y \rangle}{||Ly||}|: ||x|| \le 1, ||y|| \le 1\} \\ &= \frac{1}{||L||_{\mathcal{L}}} \sup\{|\langle L^*Lx, y \rangle|: ||x|| \le 1, ||y|| \le 1\} = \frac{||L^*L||_{\mathcal{L}}}{||L||_{\mathcal{L}}} \end{aligned}$$

At third line, I use the definition of operater norm. Multiply  $||L||_{\mathcal{L}}$  both side.

# 2 Chapter 11

# 2.1 Problem 5

Suppose for each k = 1, 2, ..., M,  $Y_{k,n}, Y_k$  are random variables such that for every  $M \ge 1$ ,

$$[Y_{1,n}, Y_{2,n}, ..., Y_{M,n}]^T \xrightarrow{d} [Y_1, Y_2, ..., Y_M]^T$$

in the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^M$ . Suppose  $\{w_k, k \geq 1\}$  is a sequence of numbers such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} w_k E(|Y_k|) < \infty \ \ \text{and} \ \ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |w_k| \sup_{n \geq 1} E|Y_{k,n} - Y_k| < \infty$$

Using Theorem 11.1.3, show that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} w_k Y_{k,n} \xrightarrow{d} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} w_k Y_k$ .

#### 2.2 Problem 9

Suppose  $\mathcal{H}$  is an infinite dimensional separable Hilbert space and  $\{e_j, j \geq 1\}$  is an orthonormal system. Define the operator  $\Psi$  by

$$\Psi(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-1} < x, e_j > e_j$$

. Show that  $\Psi$  is bounded, symmetric and nonnegative definite, but it is not a covariance operator.

### 2.3 Problem 14

Suppose X satisfies Definition 11.3.2 and let L be a bounded operator. Show that L(X) is Gausian; find its expected value and covariance operator.