Sprint Retrospective, Iteration #3

		,		•	_	
User Story	Task	Task Assigned To	Estimated Effort per Task	Actual Effort per Task	Done (Yes/No)	Notes
As a programmer, I want to be able to work with and understand already written code so I can work further on it	Get to understand how the connector works (actions, predicates, tygron queries)	All	3	4	Yes	This has progressed significantly, all of us now have some understanding of how the conector operates and how to add percepts and actions.
As a software engineer, I want to continue on the necessary documentation for SCRUM	Continue writing the architecture design	All	6	4	No	The bot did not progress quite as much as expected.
	Have a vision for the environment, as the municipality stakeholder.	Hao Ming, Stefan, Levi	4	4	Yes	-
As a stakeholder, I want to have defined my goals as achievable indicators.	Expressing said vision as a few achievable indicators.	Hao Ming, Stefan, Levi	6	6	Yes	-
As a bot, I wan't to reach my goals by preforming	Add rules to the bot. Check that it is possible to fulfill its goals without any logic of when to do so.	Stefan, Nick, Diony	8	3	No	We were not yet at this stage, communication with the other teams was neccesary first.
	Communicate & implement new necessary parts of the connector	Diony, Nick, Hao Ming	8	8	Yes	Another task that will be repeatedly occur on our Sprint Plan. Good progress has been made this week.
	Implement Continuous integration for Goal bot Testing	Levi	8	10	Yes	Some more time was needed to reimplement new login behaviour
Context Project: Virtual Humans						
Group: danshal						
Main Problems Encountered	ed					
Problem 1						
Description: We did not know how to progress w	vith the connector and bot.					
Reaction: Paralysis, we did not really know how	to continue or what to do with the connector co	de. This resulted	l in also not bei	ng able to wo	rk on the	agent as much as we wanted.
Problem 2						
Description: There was a lot of uncertainty about	t working together on the connector with all gro	ups.				
Reaction: To eliviate this Frank proposed to mak commits then.	te one fork of the eishub/tygron repo on which a	Il the groups car	n work together.	. This was ho	wever reje	ected by Sander as he cannot grade us on our
Adjustments for the next S	print					

Joost saved us, by coordinating further effort between the teams. We now have a joint plan on what needs to be implemented in the connector in order to facilitate our bots.

First, we're going to go ditch the use of the contextvh repository as it is not useful for Sander to have 1 shared repository for the connector. We have a new workflow which should resolve the uncertainty of how to commit new changes and getting the newest version of the connector.

Secondly, we're going to make more contact with other groups about working with the connector. We are also going to use more of GitHub issues to facilitate this. This should make much clearer what should be implemented in the connector and by who. With this, we should know at each point in the sprint what should still be done by us and what still may be needed, resolving the first problem.