

Derivatives of Eigenvalues

Feng Ling

May, 2016

Let's assume we work in an \mathbb{R} vector space with a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, and a differential operator d satisfying the usual Leibniz's rule. We will use \mathbf{A} to denote linear operator/matrix in a basis $A = (a_{ij})$ and \mathbf{w} for a vector $w = (w_i)$.

If we have $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 1$ (\mathbf{v} has unit norm), then $d\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 2\langle \mathbf{v}, d\mathbf{v} \rangle = 0$ follows. Then the eigenvalue equation (assuming \mathbf{L} diagonalizable) gives us

$$\lambda \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{L} \mathbf{v}$$

$$d(\lambda \mathbf{v}) = d(\mathbf{L} \mathbf{v})$$

$$d\lambda \mathbf{v} + \lambda d\mathbf{v} = d\mathbf{L} \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{L} d\mathbf{v}$$

$$\langle \mathbf{v}, d\lambda \mathbf{v} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{v}, \lambda d\mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v}, d\mathbf{L} \mathbf{v} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{L} d\mathbf{v} \rangle$$

$$d\lambda \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle + \lambda \langle \mathbf{v}, d\mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v}, d\mathbf{L} \mathbf{v} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{L} d\mathbf{v} \rangle$$

$$d\lambda = \langle \mathbf{v}, d\mathbf{L} \mathbf{v} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{L} d\mathbf{v} \rangle$$

Now if the operator \mathbf{L} is self-adjoint (matrix is symmetric since we are over \mathbb{R}), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\lambda &= \langle \mathbf{v}, d\mathbf{L} \mathbf{v} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{L} \mathbf{v}, d\mathbf{v} \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathbf{v}, d\mathbf{L} \mathbf{v} \rangle + \langle \lambda \mathbf{v}, d\mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v}, d\mathbf{L} \mathbf{v} \rangle + \lambda \langle \mathbf{v}, d\mathbf{v} \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathbf{v}, d\mathbf{L} \mathbf{v} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Written in usual matrix multiplication notation, this is just $d\lambda = \mathbf{v}^T d\mathbf{L} \mathbf{v}$.

We can make our lives slightly messier by talking about the generalized eigenvalue equation

$$\lambda \mathbf{M} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{L} \mathbf{v}$$

In this case, if we assume the condition $\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{M} \mathbf{v} \rangle = 1$, then we have $d\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{M} \mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle d\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{M} \mathbf{v} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{v}, d\mathbf{M} \mathbf{v} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{M} d\mathbf{v} \rangle = 0$. Then

$$d(\lambda \mathbf{M} \mathbf{v}) = d(\mathbf{L} \mathbf{v})$$

$$d\lambda \mathbf{M} \mathbf{v} + \lambda d\mathbf{M} \mathbf{v} + \lambda \mathbf{M} d\mathbf{v} = d\mathbf{L} \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{L} d\mathbf{v}$$

$$\langle \mathbf{v}, d\lambda \mathbf{M} \mathbf{v} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{v}, \lambda d\mathbf{M} \mathbf{v} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{v}, \lambda \mathbf{M} d\mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v}, d\mathbf{L} \mathbf{v} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{L} d\mathbf{v} \rangle$$

$$d\lambda \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{M} \mathbf{v} \rangle - \lambda \langle d\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{M} \mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v}, d\mathbf{L} \mathbf{v} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{L} d\mathbf{v} \rangle$$

$$d\lambda = \langle \mathbf{v}, d\mathbf{L} \mathbf{v} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{L} d\mathbf{v} \rangle + \lambda \langle d\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{M} \mathbf{v} \rangle$$

If we again assume \mathbf{L} to be self-adjoint, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d\lambda &= \langle \mathbf{v}, d\mathbf{L} \mathbf{v} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{L} \mathbf{v}, d\mathbf{v} \rangle + \lambda \langle d\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{M} \mathbf{v} \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathbf{v}, d\mathbf{L} \mathbf{v} \rangle + 2\lambda \langle d\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{M} \mathbf{v} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Or in matrix form $d\lambda = \mathbf{v}^T d\mathbf{L} \mathbf{v} + 2\lambda (d\mathbf{v})^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{v}$.

This result is rather unsatisfactory given that $d\mathbf{v}$ appears on the right hand side. But if we further assume that \mathbf{M} is self-adjoint, then the eigenvector norm condition reduces to $2\langle d\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{M} \mathbf{v} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{v}, d\mathbf{M} \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0$. This gives $d\lambda = \mathbf{v}^T d\mathbf{L} \mathbf{v} - \lambda \mathbf{v}^T d\mathbf{M} \mathbf{v}$.