

Prof. Dr.-Ing. A. Bruhn Institute for Visualization and Interactive Systems Department Intelligent Systems University of Stuttgart

Homework Assignment 3

H 3.1 (Isotropic flow-driven optical flow)

U= U+Ch

Consider the following energy functional for optical flow computation

Consider the following energy functional for optical flow computation
$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{df_{u}x}{dx} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{df_{u}x}{dx} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{df_{u}x}{dx} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{df_{u}x}{dx} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty}$$

(a) Compute the Euler-Lagrange equations.

(b) Compute an analytical expression for the arising derivative
$$\Psi'(s^2)$$
 for

$$\Psi(s^2) = \lambda^2 \log \left(1 + \frac{s^2}{\lambda^2} \right)$$
(c) What is the relation to the isotropic nonlinear diffusion studied in Lecture 13?

(d) What is the effect of the function Ψ considering flow edges?

- (d) What is the effect of the function Ψ considering flow edges?
 (e) How would the Euler-Lagrange equations change, if Ψ was applied to the data term F_u, τ = 0. instead?
- (f) What could be the impact of this modification?

H 3.2 (Segmentation)

8 Points

Let the following 4×4 piecewise constant image with three regions be given:

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline & \Omega_2 & & & & 14 & 6 & 6 & 2 \\ \hline & \Omega_3 & & & & & 14 & 6 & 6 & 2 \\ \hline & 14 & 14 & 14 & 2 & \\ & 14 & 14 & 14 & 12 & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

where the size of each pixel is 1×1 . Use the algorithm from Lecture 15 that approximates the solution of the Mumford-Shah Cartoon model for different scale parameters λ by successively increasing λ and merging adjacent regions. Specify all merging events, the corresponding scale parameters as well as the corresponding segmentations.

P 3.1 (Coherence-Enhancing Diffusion Filtering)

Please download the required file cv20_ex03.tgz from ILIAS. To unpack the data, use tar xvfz cv20_ex03.tgz.

(a) Supplement the file diff_tensor.c with the missing code. You may use the included routines for principle axis transformation and backtransformation. Compile the programme with

```
gcc -03 -o ced ced32.o diff_tensor.c -lm (on 32-bit machines), gcc -03 -o ced ced64.o diff_tensor.c -lm (on 64-bit machines).
```

- (b) Use the programme ced for enhancing the fingerprint image finger_scaled.pgm with the paramters $C=1,\ \sigma=0.5,\ \rho=4,\ \alpha=0.001,\ \tau=0.2,\ 40$ iterations. You will observe that the extremum principle is violated by the standard discretisation that is used in this algorithm.
- (c) Use ced for creating your own Christmas postcards. Its easy: just take xmas.pgm and filter it with the same parameters as for the fingerprint.
- (d) Use ced to visualise all stripes of fabric.pgm at different scales. Use the standard parameters and increase the number of iterations.

P 3.2 (PDE-based morphology - Dilation and Erosion)

(a) In the file pde_morphology.c, supplement the routines dilation_point and erosion_point with the missing code such that they implement one iteration step for the pixel (i, j).

```
gcc -03 -o pde_morphology pde_morphology.c -lm
```

- (b) Use the program pde_morphology to perform dilation and erosion on the images head.pgm and bank.pgm.
- (c) Why is it not possible to reconstruct the results from the lecture for bank.pgm with this implementation?

Remark: The program will be able to handle both color and gray images.

P 3.3 (PDE-based morphology - Shock Filter)

- (a) In the same code file, supplement the routines hessian and shock_filter such that an implementation of the shock-filter is created. Since the program should be able to handle color images as well as gray value images, we extend our theoretical knowledge:

 For color images, one could perform coherence enhancing shock filtering for each channel seperately. However, this would create shocks at different locations in each channel. Thus, Weickert [http://www.mia.uni-saarland.de/Publications/weickert-dagm03.pdf] proposed to synchronize the processes as follows:
 - i) Compute the joint structure tensor

$$J_{f_1, f_2, f_3} = \sum_{c=1}^{3} J_{f_c} = \sum_{c=1}^{3} K_{\rho} * \nabla f_c \nabla f_c^{\top}$$

- ii) Compute the dominant direction η as dominant eigenvector of J_{ρ}
- iii) Average second order derivatives in η direction: $v_{\eta\eta} = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{c=1}^{3} v_{c\eta\eta}$
- iv) Evolve the channels according to

$$\partial_t u_c = -\operatorname{sgn}(v_{nn}) |\nabla u_c| \quad c = 1, 2, 3$$

Remark: We are interested in the sign of $v_{\eta\eta}$, so the factor $\frac{1}{3}$ is neglectible. **Remark:** The second order derivatives should be computed using the Hessian matrix:

$$v_{c\eta\eta} = \eta^{\top} H_{v_c} \eta;$$
 $H_{v_c} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{xx} v_c & \partial_{xy} v_c \\ \partial_{xy} v_c & \partial_{yy} v_c \end{pmatrix}$

Remark: Instead of averaging derivatives, one may average the Hessians (linearity).

- (b) Check your implementation with the color image baboon.ppm and the gray image finger.pgm. You should be able to reproduce the results from the lecture slides.
- (c) Take a photo of yourself and perform shock filtering with parameters at your taste. Most image formats can be converted to ppm using convert image.xyz image.ppm

Submission:

The theoretical problem(s) have to be submitted in handwritten form before the deadline.

Deadline for Submission is: Friday, January 25th,11:59 pm



Prof. Dr.-Ing. A. Bruhn Institute for Visualization and Interactive Systems Department Intelligent Systems University of Stuttgart

Classroom Assignment 3

C 3.1 (Mumford-Shah Cartoon Model)

Let $\Omega_i, \Omega_j \subset \Omega$ denote two segments with mean u_i resp. u_j . Furthermore, let $\partial(\Omega_i, \Omega_j)$ denote the common boundary between Ω_i and Ω_j .

Show that for the Mumford-Shah cartoon model, merging these two regions results in the following change of energy:

$$E\left(K \setminus \partial\left(\Omega_{i}, \Omega_{j}\right)\right) - E\left(K\right) = \frac{|\Omega_{i}| \cdot |\Omega_{j}|}{|\Omega_{i}| + |\Omega_{j}|} \cdot \left(u_{i} - u_{j}\right)^{2} - \lambda \ l\left(\partial\left(\Omega_{i}, \Omega_{j}\right)\right) .$$