

Libxc

a library of exchange and correlation functionals

Miguel A. L. Marques

¹LPMCN, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1 and CNRS, France

²European Theoretical Spectroscopy Facility

Octopus Workshop



Why the need for libxc?

- The xc functional is at the heart of DFT
- There are many approximations for the xc (probably of the order of **250–300**)
- Most computer codes only include a very limited quantity of functionals, typically around **10–15**
- Chemist and Physicists do not use the same functionals!
- Difficult to reproduce older calculations with older functionals
- Difficult to reproduce calculations performed with other codes
- Difficult to perform calculations with the newest functionals



Why the need for libxc?

- The xc functional is at the heart of DFT
- There are many approximations for the xc (probably of the order of **250–300**)
- Most computer codes only include a very limited quantity of functionals, typically around **10–15**
- Chemist and Physicists do not use the same functionals!
- Difficult to reproduce older calculations with older functionals
- Difficult to reproduce calculations performed with other codes
- Difficult to perform calculations with the newest functionals



Why the need for libxc?

- The xc functional is at the heart of DFT
- There are many approximations for the xc (probably of the order of **250–300**)
- Most computer codes only include a very limited quantity of functionals, typically around **10–15**
- Chemist and Physicists do not use the same functionals!
- Difficult to reproduce older calculations with older functionals
- Difficult to reproduce calculations performed with other codes
- Difficult to perform calculations with the newest functionals



Why the need for libxc?

- The xc functional is at the heart of DFT
- There are many approximations for the xc (probably of the order of **250–300**)
- Most computer codes only include a very limited quantity of functionals, typically around **10–15**
- Chemist and Physicists **do not use the same functionals!**
- Difficult to reproduce older calculations with older functionals
- Difficult to reproduce calculations performed with other codes
- Difficult to perform calculations with the newest functionals



Why the need for libxc?

- The xc functional is at the heart of DFT
- There are many approximations for the xc (probably of the order of **250–300**)
- Most computer codes only include a very limited quantity of functionals, typically around **10–15**
- Chemist and Physicists **do not use the same functionals!**
- Difficult to reproduce older calculations with older functionals
- Difficult to reproduce calculations performed with other codes
- Difficult to perform calculations with the newest functionals



Why the need for libxc?

- The xc functional is at the heart of DFT
- There are many approximations for the xc (probably of the order of **250–300**)
- Most computer codes only include a very limited quantity of functionals, typically around **10–15**
- Chemist and Physicists **do not use the same functionals!**
- Difficult to reproduce older calculations with older functionals
- Difficult to reproduce calculations performed with other codes
- Difficult to perform calculations with the newest functionals



Why the need for libxc?

- The xc functional is at the heart of DFT
- There are many approximations for the xc (probably of the order of **250–300**)
- Most computer codes only include a very limited quantity of functionals, typically around **10–15**
- Chemist and Physicists **do not use the same functionals!**
- Difficult to reproduce older calculations with older functionals
- Difficult to reproduce calculations performed with other codes
- Difficult to perform calculations with the newest functionals



The main equations of DFT are the Kohn-Sham equations:

$$\left[-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 + v_{\text{ext}}(r) + v_{\text{H}}(r) + v_{\text{xc}}(r) \right] \varphi_i(r) = \epsilon_i \varphi_i(r)$$

where the exchange-correlation potential is defined as

$$v_{\text{xc}}(r) = \frac{\delta E_{\text{xc}}}{\delta n(r)}$$

In any practical application of the theory, we have to use an approximation to E_{xc} , or $v_{\text{xc}}(r)$.



The main equations of DFT are the Kohn-Sham equations:

$$\left[-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 + v_{\text{ext}}(r) + v_{\text{H}}(r) + v_{\text{xc}}(r) \right] \varphi_i(r) = \epsilon_i \varphi_i(r)$$

where the exchange-correlation potential is defined as

$$v_{\text{xc}}(r) = \frac{\delta E_{\text{xc}}}{\delta n(r)}$$

In any practical application of the theory, we have to use an approximation to E_{xc} , or $v_{\text{xc}}(r)$.



Local density approximation:

$$E_{xc}^{LDA}(r) = E_{xc}^{LDA}[n]|_{n=n(r)}$$

Generalized gradient approximation:

$$E_{xc}^{GGA}(r) = E_{xc}^{GGA}[n, \nabla n]|_{n=n(r)}$$

Meta-generalized gradient approximation:

$$E_{xc}^{mGGA}(r) = E_{xc}^{mGGA}[n, \nabla n, \tau]|_{n=n(r), \tau=\tau(r)}$$

And more: orbital functionals, hybrid functionals, hyper-GGAs, etc.



Local density approximation:

$$E_{\text{xc}}^{\text{LDA}}(r) = E_{\text{xc}}^{\text{LDA}}[n]|_{n=n(r)}$$

Generalized gradient approximation:

$$E_{\text{xc}}^{\text{GGA}}(r) = E_{\text{xc}}^{\text{GGA}}[n, \nabla n]|_{n=n(r)}$$

Meta-generalized gradient approximation:

$$E_{\text{xc}}^{\text{mGGA}}(r) = E_{\text{xc}}^{\text{mGGA}}[n, \nabla n, \tau]|_{n=n(r), \tau=\tau(r)}$$

And more: orbital functionals, hybrid functionals, hyper-GGAs, etc.



Local density approximation:

$$E_{\text{xc}}^{\text{LDA}}(r) = E_{\text{xc}}^{\text{LDA}}[n]|_{n=n(r)}$$

Generalized gradient approximation:

$$E_{\text{xc}}^{\text{GGA}}(r) = E_{\text{xc}}^{\text{GGA}}[n, \nabla n]|_{n=n(r)}$$

Meta-generalized gradient approximation:

$$E_{\text{xc}}^{\text{mGGA}}(r) = E_{\text{xc}}^{\text{mGGA}}[n, \nabla n, \tau]|_{n=n(r), \tau=\tau(r)}$$

And more: orbital functionals, hybrid functionals, hyper-GGAs, etc.



Local density approximation:

$$E_{xc}^{LDA}(r) = E_{xc}^{LDA}[n]|_{n=n(r)}$$

Generalized gradient approximation:

$$E_{xc}^{GGA}(r) = E_{xc}^{GGA}[n, \nabla n]|_{n=n(r)}$$

Meta-generalized gradient approximation:

$$E_{xc}^{mGGA}(r) = E_{xc}^{mGGA}[n, \nabla n, \tau]|_{n=n(r), \tau=\tau(r)}$$

And more: orbital functionals, hybrid functionals, hyper-GGAs, etc.



What do we need? - I

The energy is usually written as:

$$E_{\text{xc}} = \int d^3r e_{\text{xc}}(r) = \int d^3r n(r) \epsilon_{\text{xc}}(r)$$

The potential in the LDA is:

$$v_{\text{xc}}^{\text{LDA}}(r) = \left. \frac{d}{dn} e_{\text{xc}}^{\text{LDA}}(n) \right|_{n=n(r)}$$

In the GGA:

$$v_{\text{xc}}^{\text{GGA}}(r) = \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial n} e_{\text{xc}}^{\text{LDA}}(n, \nabla n) \right|_{n=n(r)} - \nabla \cdot \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial (\nabla n)} e_{\text{xc}}^{\text{LDA}}(n, \nabla n) \right|_{n=n(r)}$$



For response properties we also need higher derivatives of e_{xc}

- 1st-order response (polarizabilities, phonon frequencies, etc.):

$$f_{xc}^{LDA}(r) = \left. \frac{d^2}{d^2n} e_{xc}^{LDA}(n) \right|_{n=n(r)}$$

- 2st-order response (hyperpolarizabilities, etc.):

$$k_{xc}^{LDA}(r) = \left. \frac{d^3}{d^3n} e_{xc}^{LDA}(n) \right|_{n=n(r)}$$

And let's not forget spin...



For response properties we also need higher derivatives of e_{xc}

- 1st-order response (polarizabilities, phonon frequencies, etc.):

$$f_{xc}^{LDA}(r) = \left. \frac{d^2}{d^2n} e_{xc}^{LDA}(n) \right|_{n=n(r)}$$

- 2st-order response (hyperpolarizabilities, etc.):

$$k_{xc}^{LDA}(r) = \left. \frac{d^3}{d^3n} e_{xc}^{LDA}(n) \right|_{n=n(r)}$$

And let's not forget spin...



An example: Perdew & Wang 91 (an LDA)

Perdew and Wang parametrized the correlation energy per unit particle:

$$e_c(r_s, \zeta) = e_c(r_s, 0) + \alpha_c(r_s) \frac{f(\zeta)}{f''(0)} (1 - \zeta^4) + [e_c(r_s, 1) - e_c(r_s, 0)] f(\zeta) \zeta^4$$

The function $f(\zeta)$ is

$$f(\zeta) = \frac{[1 + \zeta]^{4/3} + [1 - \zeta]^{4/3} - 2}{2^{4/3} - 2},$$

while its second derivative $f''(0) = 1.709921$. The functions $e_c(r_s, 0)$, $e_c(r_s, 1)$, and $-\alpha_c(r_s)$ are all parametrized by the function

$$g = -2A(1 + \alpha_1 r_s) \log \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{2A(\beta_1 r_s^{1/2} + \beta_2 r_s + \beta_3 r_s^{3/2} + \beta_4 r_s^2)} \right\}$$



- Written in C from scratch
- Bindings both in C and in Fortran
- Lesser GNU general public license (v. 3.0)
- Automatic testing of the functionals
- Contains at the moment **33** LDA functionals, **142** GGA functionals, **36** hybrids, and **14** mGGAs
- Contains functionals for exchange, correlation, and kinetic energy
- Contains functionals for 1D, 2D, and 3D calculations
- Returns ϵ_{xc} , v_{xc} , f_{xc} , and k_{xc}
- Quite mature: included in 16 codes (including abinit, espresso, cp2k, etc.)



- Written in C from scratch
- Bindings both in C and in Fortran
- Lesser GNU general public license (v. 3.0)
- Automatic testing of the functionals
- Contains at the moment **33** LDA functionals, **142** GGA functionals, **36** hybrids, and **14** mGGAs
- Contains functionals for exchange, correlation, and kinetic energy
- Contains functionals for 1D, 2D, and 3D calculations
- Returns ϵ_{xc} , v_{xc} , f_{xc} , and k_{xc}
- Quite mature: included in 16 codes (including abinit, espresso, cp2k, etc.)



- Written in C from scratch
- Bindings both in C and in Fortran
- Lesser GNU general public license (v. 3.0)
- Automatic testing of the functionals
- Contains at the moment **33** LDA functionals, **142** GGA functionals, **36** hybrids, and **14** mGGAs
- Contains functionals for exchange, correlation, and kinetic energy
- Contains functionals for 1D, 2D, and 3D calculations
- Returns ϵ_{xc} , v_{xc} , f_{xc} , and k_{xc}
- Quite mature: included in 16 codes (including abinit, espresso, cp2k, etc.)



- Written in C from scratch
- Bindings both in C and in Fortran
- Lesser GNU general public license (v. 3.0)
- Automatic testing of the functionals
- Contains at the moment **33** LDA functionals, **142** GGA functionals, **36** hybrids, and **14** mGGAs
- Contains functionals for exchange, correlation, and kinetic energy
- Contains functionals for 1D, 2D, and 3D calculations
- Returns ϵ_{xc} , v_{xc} , f_{xc} , and k_{xc}
- Quite mature: included in 16 codes (including abinit, espresso, cp2k, etc.)



- Written in C from scratch
- Bindings both in C and in Fortran
- Lesser GNU general public license (v. 3.0)
- Automatic testing of the functionals
- Contains at the moment **33** LDA functionals, **142** GGA functionals, **36** hybrids, and **14** mGGAs
- Contains functionals for exchange, correlation, and kinetic energy
- Contains functionals for 1D, 2D, and 3D calculations
- Returns ϵ_{xc} , v_{xc} , f_{xc} , and k_{xc}
- Quite mature: included in 16 codes (including abinit, espresso, cp2k, etc.)



- Written in C from scratch
- Bindings both in C and in Fortran
- Lesser GNU general public license (v. 3.0)
- Automatic testing of the functionals
- Contains at the moment **33** LDA functionals, **142** GGA functionals, **36** hybrids, and **14** mGGAs
- Contains functionals for exchange, correlation, and kinetic energy
- Contains functionals for 1D, 2D, and 3D calculations
- Returns ϵ_{xc} , v_{xc} , f_{xc} , and k_{xc}
- Quite mature: included in 16 codes (including abinit, espresso, cp2k, etc.)



- Written in C from scratch
- Bindings both in C and in Fortran
- Lesser GNU general public license (v. 3.0)
- Automatic testing of the functionals
- Contains at the moment **33** LDA functionals, **142** GGA functionals, **36** hybrids, and **14** mGGAs
- Contains functionals for exchange, correlation, and kinetic energy
- Contains functionals for 1D, 2D, and 3D calculations
- Returns ϵ_{xc} , v_{xc} , f_{xc} , and k_{xc}
- Quite mature: included in 16 codes (including abinit, espresso, cp2k, etc.)



- Written in C from scratch
- Bindings both in C and in Fortran
- Lesser GNU general public license (v. 3.0)
- Automatic testing of the functionals
- Contains at the moment **33** LDA functionals, **142** GGA functionals, **36** hybrids, and **14** mGGAs
- Contains functionals for exchange, correlation, and kinetic energy
- Contains functionals for 1D, 2D, and 3D calculations
- Returns ε_{xc} , v_{xc} , f_{xc} , and k_{xc}
- Quite mature: included in 16 codes (including abinit, espresso, cp2k, etc.)



- Written in C from scratch
- Bindings both in C and in Fortran
- Lesser GNU general public license (v. 3.0)
- Automatic testing of the functionals
- Contains at the moment **33** LDA functionals, **142** GGA functionals, **36** hybrids, and **14** mGGAs
- Contains functionals for exchange, correlation, and kinetic energy
- Contains functionals for 1D, 2D, and 3D calculations
- Returns ε_{xc} , v_{xc} , f_{xc} , and k_{xc}
- Quite mature: included in 16 codes (including abinit, espresso, cp2k, etc.)



What is working!

	ϵ_{xc}	v_{xc}	f_{xc}	k_{xc}
LDA	OK	OK	OK	OK
GGA	OK	OK	OK	NO
HYB_GGA	OK	OK	OK	NO
mGGA	TEST	TEST	TEST	NO



An example in C

```
switch( xc_family_from_id( xc.functional ))
{
case XC_FAMILY_LDA:
    if( xc.functional == XC_LDA_X)
        xc_lda_x_init(&lda_func, xc.nspin, 3, 0);
    else
        xc_lda_init(&lda_func, xc.functional, xc.nspin);
    xc_lda_vxc(&lda_func, xc.rho, &xc.zk, xc.vrho);
    xc_lda_end(&lda_func);
    break;
case XC_FAMILY_GGA:
    xc_gga_init(&gga_func, xc.functional, xc.nspin);
    xc_gga_vxc(&gga_func, xc.rho, xc.sigma, &xc.zk, xc.vrho, xc.vsigma);
    xc_gga_end(&gga_func);
    break;
default:
    fprintf(stderr, "Functional '%d' not found\n", xc.functional);
    exit(1);
}
```



Another example in Fortran

```
program lxctest
  use libxc

  implicit none

  real(8) :: rho, e_c, v_c

  TYPE(xc_func) :: xc_c_func
  TYPE(xc_info) :: xc_c_info

  CALL xc_f90_lda_init(xc_c_func, xc_c_info, &
    XC_LDA_C_VWN, XC_UNPOLARIZED)
  CALL xc_f90_lda_vxc(xc_c_func, rho, e_c, v_c)
  CALL xc_f90_lda_end(xc_c_func)

end program lxctest
```



The info structure

```
typedef struct{
    int    number;    /* identifier number */
    int    kind;      /* XC_EXCHANGE or XC_CORRELATION */

    char *name;       /* name of the functional, e.g. "PBE" */
    int    family;    /* type of the functional, e.g. XC_FAMILY_GGA */
    char *refs;       /* references */

    int    provides; /* e.g. XC_PROVIDES_EXC | XC_PROVIDES_VXC */
    ...
} xc_func_info_type;
```

This is an example on how you can use it:

```
xc_gga_type b88;

xc_gga_init(&b88, XC_GGA_X_B88, XC_UNPOLARIZED);
printf("The functional '%s' is defined in the reference(s):\n%s",
       b88.info->name, b88.info->refs);
xc_gga_end(&b88);
```



The future

- More functionals!
- More derivatives!
- More codes using it!



The future

- More functionals!
- More derivatives!
- More codes using it!



The future

- More functionals!
- More derivatives!
- More codes using it!



Where to find us!

[http://www.tddft.org/programs/octopus/wiki/
index.php/Libxc](http://www.tddft.org/programs/octopus/wiki/index.php/Libxc)



Libxc: a library of exchange and correlation functionals for DFT

M.A.L. Marques, M.J.T. Oliveira, and T. Burnus

Comput. Phys. Commun. **183**, 2272-2281 (2012)

