

Homework 3: Nov 11th, 2018

Due: Nov 25th (See the submission guidelines in the course web site)

Theory Questions

1. **(10 points) Perceptron Lower Bound.** Show that for any $0 < \gamma < 1$ there exists a number $d > 0$, vector $\mathbf{w}^* \in \mathbb{R}^d$ for which $\|\mathbf{w}^*\| \leq 1$ and a sequence of examples $(\mathbf{x}_1, y_1), \dots, (\mathbf{x}_m, y_m)$ such that:

(a) $\|\mathbf{x}_i\| = 1$.

(b) $\frac{y_i \mathbf{x}_i \cdot \mathbf{w}^*}{\|\mathbf{w}^*\|} \geq \gamma$.

(c) Perceptron makes $\left\lfloor \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \right\rfloor$ mistakes on the sequence.

(Hint: Choose $m = d = \left\lfloor \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \right\rfloor$ and let $\{\mathbf{x}_i\}_i$ be the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^d)

2. **(10 points) Halving Algorithm.** Denote by $\mathcal{A}_{Halving}$ the Halving algorithm you have seen in class. Let $d \geq 6$, $\mathcal{X} = \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$ and let $\mathcal{H} = \{h_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq d\}$ where

$$h_{i,j}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & (x = i) \vee (x = j) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Show that $M(\mathcal{A}_{Halving}, \mathcal{H}) = 2$.

(Definition of mistake bound $M(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H})$: Let \mathcal{H} be a hypothesis class and \mathcal{A} an online algorithm. Given any sequence $S = (x_1, h^*(x_1)), \dots, (x_m, h^*(x_m))$ where m is an integer and $h^* \in \mathcal{H}$, let $M_{\mathcal{A}}(S)$ be the number of mistakes \mathcal{A} makes on the sequence S . Then $M(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}) = \sup_S M_{\mathcal{A}}(S)$.)

3. **(10 points) Max of Convex Functions.** Consider m convex functions $f_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, f_m(\mathbf{x})$, where $f_i : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Now define a new function $g(\mathbf{x}) = \max_i f_i(\mathbf{x})$.

(a) Prove that $g(\mathbf{x})$ is a convex function.

(b) Show that the sub-gradient of g at point \mathbf{x} is the gradient of f_i where i is the $\operatorname{argmax}\{f_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, f_m(\mathbf{x})\}$

4. **(15 points) ℓ^2 penalty.** Consider the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathbf{w}, b, \xi} \quad & \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w} + \frac{C}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i^2 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & y_i(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i + b) \geq 1 - \xi_i \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, m \end{aligned}$$

- (a) Show that a constraint of the form $\xi_i \geq 0$ will not change the problem. meaning, Show that these non-negativity constraints can be removed. That is, show that the optimal value of the objective will be the same whether or not these constraints are present.
 - (b) What is the Lagrangian of this problem?
 - (c) Minimize the Lagrangian with respect to $\mathbf{w}, b, \boldsymbol{\xi}$ by setting the derivative with respect to these variables to 0.
 - (d) What is the dual problem?
5. **(10 points) Interval growth function.** The goal of this exercise is to compute the growth function of the interval hypothesis class $H = \{h_{a,b} : a < b\}$ and $\chi = \mathbb{R}$. Where $h(x) = 1$ if $x \in [a, b]$ else 0. In other words, your goal is to give an explicit expression to $\Pi_H(m) = \max_{C: |C|=m} |H_C|$ where H_C is the restriction of H on the set C .
6. **(15 points) Sample complexity of agnostic PAC.** Let H be a hypothesis class of functions from a domain χ to $\{0, 1\}$ and let the loss function be the 0 – 1 loss. Assume that $VCdim(H) = d < \infty$. Show that if

$$n \geq \frac{64d}{\epsilon^2} \ln\left(\frac{16d}{\epsilon^2}\right) + \frac{16}{\epsilon^2} \ln\left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)$$

then:

$$P[e_p(ERM(S)) - \min_{h \in H} e_p(h) > \epsilon] \leq \delta$$

To prove the above claim you can use the following lemma without proving it:

Lemma: Let $a \geq 1$ and $b > 0$. Then: $x \geq 4a \log(2a) + 2b \rightarrow x \geq a \log(x) + b$