Causality Chain

August 2020

1 Architecture

Given a dataset of unlabeled samples $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$, we would like to order the coordinates 1..d using some permutation π such that if $\pi(i) < \pi(j)$ then variable (coordinate) i causes variable j.

We train a GLANN [1] architecture that will allow us to sample new data from the exact same distribution:

$$x = G(z), z \sim T(e), e \sim \mathcal{U}([0,1]^h)$$
 (1)

such that $e \in \mathbb{R}^h$, $z \in \mathbb{R}^l$ and h < l < d. We use a regular MSE loss $l(x, \tilde{x}) = \|x - \tilde{x}\|_2^2$ instead of GLANN's [1] proposed "perceptual loss" because our data is tabular. During training we also recover a matrix C such that

$$C_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \pi(i) < \pi(j) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 (2)

2 Loss terms

We consider 3 causality classes:

- Chain $x_i \to x_j \to x_k$
- Fork $x_i \leftarrow x_j \rightarrow x_k$
- Collider $x_i \to x_j \leftarrow x_k$

These cases are classically used in Bayesian Network inference. The basic assumptions are that in both **Chain** and **Fork** cases - x_i , x_k are conditionally independent, given x_j . The **Collider**, however, guarantees that x_i , x_k are marginally independent.

We would like to create a constraint that allows the model learn the connections from the data, with respect to the causality networks classes.

For that we use the Squared Conditional Correlation (SCC) for all **Forks** and **Chain**, and Squared Correlation (SC) for all **Colliders**. By minimizing these term we try to ensure that each three variables are to fall into their respective causal class. ¹

We engineer the model loss accordingly:

- Chain, Fork both get the same loss of $L_{indep} := C_{ij}C_{jk} \cdot Corr^2(x_i, x_k|x_j)$
- Collider gets a loss of marginal correlation $L_{indep} := Corr^2(x_i, x_k)$

¹Similarly - instead of using squared correlation we could use Mutual Information

And including all terms in, the total independence loss takes the form:

$$L_{independence} = \sum_{i,j,k} \mathbb{E}_x \left[C_{ij} C_{jk} \cdot Corr^2(x_i, x_k | x_j) + Corr^2(x_i, x_k) \right]$$
(3)

Lev: TODO Implement.

Finally, there are constraints on the structure of C. During optimization we make sure that $0 \le C_{ij} \le 1$ for all (i, j). Later on we can add terms to encourage the values to be either one or zero.

We cut the number of parameters by half by setting

$$C_{ii} = 1 - C_{ij}$$

for all i < j.

We then enforce transitivity via a loss term

$$L_{\text{trans}} = \sum_{i \neq j, j \neq k, i \neq k} (C_{ij}C_{jk})(1 - C_{ik})$$

3 Efficient computation of the transitivity constraint

The transitivity constraints has $O(d^3)$, requiring an efficient computation . We therefore express it in a matrix multiplication form.

First, we note that $1 - C_{ik}$ is just C_{ki} .

$$L_{\text{trans}} = \sum_{i} \sum_{j \neq i} \sum_{\substack{k \neq i \\ k \neq j}} (C_{ij}C_{jk})(1 - C_{ik})$$

$$= \sum_{i} \sum_{j \neq i} \sum_{\substack{k \neq i \\ k \neq j}} C_{ij}C_{jk}C_{ki} = \sum_{i} \sum_{j \neq i} C_{ij} \left(\sum_{\substack{k \neq i \\ k \neq j}} C_{jk}C_{ki}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i \neq i} C_{ij} \left(\sum_{\substack{k \in I \\ k \neq j}} C_{jk}C_{ki} - C_{jj}C_{ji} - C_{ji}C_{ii}\right)$$

However, from the definition of the connection matrix C, we have $C_{ii} = C_{jj} = 0$ and so the rightmost terms vanish and we are left with a simpler

$$L_{\text{trans}} = \sum_{i \neq j} C_{ij} \sum_{k=1}^{n} C_{jk} C_{ki}$$

The rightmost sum is actually an expression for matrix multiplication:

$$L_{\text{trans}} = \sum_{i \neq j} C_{ij} \sum_{k=1}^{n} C_{jk} C_{ki}$$
$$= \sum_{i \neq j} C_{ij} \cdot (C^2)_{ji}$$
$$= \sum_{i,j} (C^2 \odot C^T)_{ij}$$

where \odot stands for element-wise multiplication (Hadamard product) and $i \neq j$ is removed since $C_{ii} = 0$.

Lev: it's still $O(d^3)$, at best $O(d^{2.807})$. It's just simplified.

4 Modeling the connectivity matrix

The connection matrix is constructed "softly", using a softmax trick on some parameter matrix C':

$$C'_{ij} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$$

$$C_{ij} = \operatorname{softmax}(C'_{ij}, C'_{ji})$$

where we take the first term in the softmax which corresponds to the normalized exponent of C'_{ij} . In our case - causality loss acts as an objective loss in our domain, while transitive loss acts as a regularization loss on the connection matrix C.

5 Inferring order

Recall that C_{ij} indicates if $\pi(i) < \pi(j)$. The first in order (root cause) would be smaller than anyone else. More generally, the order is determined by sorting the following causal score

$$s_i = \sum_{j \neq i} C_{ij}$$

The higher the score s_i , the earlier the *i*-th variable is in the causal order.

References

[1] Yedid Hoshen, Jitendra Malik. Non-Adversarial Image Synthesis with Generative Latent Nearest Neighbors. https://arxiv.org/abs/1812.08985