The following is paraphrased from Chapter 1 of [PMA].

## 1 $\mathbb{Q}$ does not have the least-upper-bound property

Let A be the set of rational numbers which are either negative or have square less than 2 and let B be the set of positive rational numbers with square greater than 2, i.e.

$$A = \{ p \in \mathbb{Q} : p < 0 \text{ or } p^2 < 2 \}, \quad B = \{ p \in \mathbb{Q} : p > 0 \text{ and } p^2 > 2 \}.$$

Note that A and B partition  $\mathbb{Q}$  since there is no rational number whose square is 2.

**Lemma 1.** A contains no greatest element and B contains no least element. That is, for any  $p \in A$  there exists a  $q \in A$  with q > p and for any  $p \in B$  there exists a  $q \in B$  with q < p.

*Proof.* If  $p \in A$  and  $p \leq 0$ , then take q = 1. Otherwise, for a positive rational number p, define

$$q = p + \frac{2 - p^2}{p + 2} = \frac{2p + 2}{p + 2}.$$

Then

$$2 - q^2 = 2 - \frac{(2p+2)^2}{(p+2)^2} = \frac{2(2-p^2)}{(p+2)^2}.$$

For  $p \in A$  with p > 0, we have  $2 - p^2 > 0$ , so that q > p and  $q \in A$ ; for  $p \in B$  we have  $2 - p^2 < 0$ , so that q < p and  $q \in B$ .

**Lemma 2.** The upper bounds of A are exactly the elements of B.

Proof. Suppose  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$  is an upper bound for A. Then certainly r is positive, since  $1 \in A$ . Furthermore, exactly one of the following is true:  $r^2 < 2$ ,  $r^2 = 2$ , or  $r^2 > 2$ . If  $r^2 < 2$ , then  $r \in A$ ; but this implies that r is the greatest element of A, contradicting Lemma 1. So  $r^2 \ge 2$  and since  $r^2 = 2$  is impossible for rational r, we must have  $r^2 > 2$ , i.e.  $r \in B$ .

Now suppose  $r \in B$  and let p be any element of A. If r < p, then  $r^2 < p^2 < 2$  since r is positive. This contradicts  $r \in B$ , so in fact we must have  $r \ge p$ , so that r is an upper bound for A

Since A and B partition  $\mathbb{Q}$ , Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 give us the following corollary.

Corollary 3. The lower bounds of B are exactly the elements of A.

**Theorem 4.** The set of rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q}$  does not have the least-upper-bound property.

*Proof.* A is non-empty and bounded above; the upper bounds of A are exactly the elements of B. Since B has no least element, it follows that A has no least upper bound.  $\Box$ 

[PMA] Rudin, W. (1976) Principles of Mathematical Analysis. 3rd edn.