

Consequences of the least-upper-bound property of \mathbf{R}

Contents

1	The Archimedean property of \mathbf{R}	2
2	Density of \mathbf{Q} and \mathbf{Q}^c in \mathbf{R}	2
3	Existence of n th roots in \mathbf{R}	3

The following is mostly paraphrased from Chapter 1 of [PMA].

Theorem 1. There exists an ordered field \mathbf{R} which has the least-upper-bound property. Moreover, \mathbf{R} contains \mathbf{Q} as a subfield.

For a proof of [Theorem 1](#), see [here](#). In this document, we shall present some consequences of [Theorem 1](#).

1 The Archimedean property of \mathbf{R}

Theorem 2 (Archimedean property of \mathbf{R}). Let $x > 0$ and y be real numbers. Then there exists a positive integer n such that $nx > y$.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that for all positive integers n we have $nx \leq y$. Then the set $A = \{nx : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is non-empty and bounded above, so by the least-upper-bound property of \mathbf{R} the supremum $\alpha = \sup A$ exists in \mathbf{R} . Since $x > 0$, we have $\alpha - x < \alpha$ so that $\alpha - x$ is not an upper bound of A . Hence there exists a positive integer m such that $\alpha - x < mx$, which gives $\alpha < (m+1)x$; but this contradicts the fact that α is the supremum of A . \square

2 Density of \mathbf{Q} and \mathbf{Q}^c in \mathbf{R}

Lemma 3. Any real number lies between two consecutive integers. That is, for any $x \in \mathbf{R}$ there exists an $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $m - 1 \leq x < m$.

Proof. By the Archimedean property, there exist positive integers m_1, m_2 such that $m_1 > x$ and $m_2 > -x$, which gives $-m_2 < x < m_1$. This implies that the set $A = \{n \in \mathbf{Z} : x < n\}$ is non-empty ($m_1 \in A$) and bounded below (by $-m_2$). Then by the [well-ordering principle](#), A has a least element; call it m . Since this is the least element of A , we must have $m - 1 \notin A$ and so $m - 1 \leq x < m$. \square

Theorem 4. Between any two real numbers there exists a rational number. That is, for any $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$ with $x < y$ there exists a $p \in \mathbf{Q}$ such that $x < p < y$.

Proof. By the Archimedean property, there exists a positive integer n such that $n(y - x) > 1$. By [Lemma 3](#), there exists an integer m such that $m - 1 \leq nx < m$. Combining these inequalities gives $nx < m \leq 1 + nx < ny$, which implies that $x < \frac{m}{n} < y$. So the desired rational is $p = \frac{m}{n}$. \square

Corollary 5. Between any two real numbers there exists an irrational number. That is, for any $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$ with $x < y$ there exists a $z \in \mathbf{Q}^c$ such that $x < z < y$.

Proof. By [Theorem 4](#), there exists a rational number p such that $x - \sqrt{2} < p < y - \sqrt{2}$, which gives $x < p + \sqrt{2} < y$. So the desired irrational number is $z = p + \sqrt{2}$. \square

3 Existence of n th roots in \mathbf{R}

First, a useful inequality. Suppose n is a positive integer and a, b are real numbers such that $0 < a < b$. This implies that $0 < b^{n-2}a < b^{n-1}$. Furthermore, we have $0 < a^2 < b^2$, which gives $0 < b^{n-3}a^2 < b^{n-1}$, and so on. Combining this with the equality

$$b^n - a^n = (b - a)(b^{n-1} + b^{n-2}a + \cdots + a^{n-1})$$

gives us the inequality

$$b^n - a^n < (b - a)nb^{n-1}. \quad (1)$$

Theorem 6. For every real $x > 0$ and every positive integer n there is exactly one positive real y such that $y^n = x$.

Proof. Suppose y_1 and y_2 are positive real numbers such that $y_1 \neq y_2$. Without loss of generality, assume $0 < y_1 < y_2$. Then $0 < y_1^n < y_2^n$, so that $y_1^n \neq y_2^n$. Hence by the contrapositive, $y_1^n = y_2^n$ implies that $y_1 = y_2$. This gives us the uniqueness of any such y in Theorem 1.

For existence, let $E = \{t \in \mathbf{R} : t > 0, t^n < x\}$. Observe that $t = \frac{x}{1+x}$ satisfies $t < x$ and $0 < t < 1$, which gives $0 < t^n < t < x$. Hence $t \in E$ and so E is non-empty. Now suppose $t \geq 1 + x > 1$, so that $t^n > t \geq 1 + x > x$. Then by the contrapositive, $t^n < x$ implies that $t < 1 + x$, and we see that E is bounded above by $1 + x$. We may now invoke the least-upper-bound property of \mathbf{R} and set $y = \sup E$. Note that y must be positive, since $\frac{x}{1+x}$ belongs to E . To show that $y^n = x$, we will show that both of the assumptions $y^n < x$ and $y^n > x$ lead to contradictions.

First, assume that $y^n < x$. Using the Archimedean property, choose h such that $0 < h < 1$ and $h < \frac{x - y^n}{n(y+1)^{n-1}}$. Now take $a = y$ and $b = y + h$ in inequality (1) to obtain

$$(y + h)^n - y^n < hn(y + h)^{n-1} < hn(y + 1)^{n-1} < x - y^n,$$

whence $(y + h)^n < x$ and so $y + h \in E$; but this contradicts the fact that y is the supremum of E , since $y + h > y$.

Next, assume that $y^n > x$ and set $k = \frac{y^n - x}{ny^{n-1}} < y$. Take $a = y - k$ and $b = y$ in inequality (1) to obtain

$$y^n - (y - k)^n < kny^{n-1} = y^n - x,$$

whence $(y - k)^n \geq x$. Then $t \geq y - k$ implies that $t^n \geq x$; the contrapositive of this shows that $y - k$ is an upper bound for E . This contradicts the fact that y is the least upper bound of E , since $y - k < y$. \square

Corollary 7. Let a and b be positive real numbers and n a positive integer. Then

$$\sqrt[n]{ab} = \sqrt[n]{a} \sqrt[n]{b}.$$

Proof. Let $\alpha = \sqrt[n]{a}$ and $\beta = \sqrt[n]{b}$. Then by the commutativity of multiplication, we have

$$(\alpha\beta)^n = \alpha^n \beta^n = ab.$$

The uniqueness part of [Theorem 6](#) then implies that $\sqrt[n]{ab} = \alpha\beta = \sqrt[n]{a}\sqrt[n]{b}$. □

[\[PMA\]](#) Rudin, W. (1976) *Principles of Mathematical Analysis*. 3rd edn.