1 Section 6.C Exercises

Exercises with solutions from Section 6.C of [LADR].

Exercise 6.C.1. Suppose $v_1, \ldots, v_m \in V$. Prove that

$$\{v_1,\ldots,v_m\}^{\perp}=(\operatorname{span}(v_1,\ldots,v_m))^{\perp}.$$

Solution. Suppose $v \in (\operatorname{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m))^{\perp}$. Since each $v_j \in \operatorname{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$, this implies that $\langle v, v_j \rangle = 0$ for each $1 \leq j \leq m$. It follows that $v \in \{v_1, \dots, v_m\}^{\perp}$ and hence that $(\operatorname{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m))^{\perp} \subseteq \{v_1, \dots, v_m\}^{\perp}$.

Now suppose that $v \in \{v_1, \dots, v_m\}^{\perp}$ and let $a_1v_1 + \dots + a_mv_m \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$ be given. We then have

$$\langle v, a_1v_1 + \dots + a_mv_m \rangle = \overline{a_1}\langle v, v_1 \rangle + \dots + \overline{a_m}\langle v, v_m \rangle = 0.$$

It follows that $v \in (\operatorname{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m))^{\perp}$ and hence that $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}^{\perp} \subseteq (\operatorname{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m))^{\perp}$. We may conclude that

$$\{v_1,\ldots,v_m\}^{\perp}=(\operatorname{span}(v_1,\ldots,v_m))^{\perp}.$$

Exercise 6.C.2. Suppose U is a finite-dimensional subspace of V. Prove that $U^{\perp} = \{0\}$ if and only if U = V.

[Exercise 14(a) shows that the result above is not true without the hypothesis that U is finite-dimensional.]

Solution. The implication $U = V \implies U^{\perp} = \{0\}$ is the content of 6.46 (c).

For the converse implication, we will prove the contrapositive statement. Suppose therefore that $U \neq V$ and let u_1, \ldots, u_m be a basis of U. Since $U \neq V$, there must exist some $v \in V \setminus U$ such that the list u_1, \ldots, u_m, v is linearly independent. Perform the Gram-Schmidt procedure (6.31) on this list to obtain an orthonormal list $e_1, \ldots, e_m, e_{m+1}$ such that

$$\operatorname{span}(e_1,\ldots,e_m)=\operatorname{span}(u_1,\ldots,u_m)=U$$

and such that e_{m+1} is orthogonal to each vector in the list e_1, \ldots, e_m , i.e. $e_{m+1} \in \{e_1, \ldots, e_m\}^{\perp}$. By Exercise 6.C.1, this is equivalent to saying that $e_{m+1} \in (\operatorname{span}(e_1, \ldots, e_m))^{\perp} = U^{\perp}$. Since $e_{m+1} \neq 0$, we see that $U^{\perp} \neq \{0\}$.

Exercise 6.C.3. Suppose U is a subspace of V with basis u_1, \ldots, u_m and

$$u_1,\ldots,u_m,w_1,\ldots,w_n$$

is a basis of V. Prove that if the Gram-Schmidt Procedure is applied to the basis of V above, producing a list $e_1, \ldots, e_m, f_1, \ldots, f_n$, then e_1, \ldots, e_m is an orthonormal basis of U and f_1, \ldots, f_n is an orthonormal basis of U^{\perp} .

Solution. The Gram-Schmidt procedure guarantees that

$$\operatorname{span}(e_1,\ldots,e_m) = \operatorname{span}(u_1,\ldots,u_m) = U.$$

Any orthonormal list is linearly independent, so we have a linearly independent list e_1, \ldots, e_m of length m contained inside a subspace of dimension m; it follows that e_1, \ldots, e_m is an orthonormal basis of U.

The Gram-Schmidt procedure also guarantees that for any $1 \le j \le n$ the vector f_j is orthogonal to each vector in the list e_1, \ldots, e_n . By Exercise 6.C.1, this implies that

$$f_j \in (\operatorname{span}(e_1, \dots, e_m))^{\perp} = U^{\perp}.$$

As before, the list $f_1, \ldots f_n$ is orthonormal and hence linearly independent. Consequently, we have a linearly independent list f_1, \ldots, f_n of length n contained inside a subspace of dimension n (6.50); it follows that f_1, \ldots, f_n is an orthonormal basis of U^{\perp} .

Exercise 6.C.4. Suppose U is the subspace of \mathbb{R}^4 defined by

$$U = \text{span}((1, 2, 3, -4), (-5, 4, 3, 2)).$$

Find an orthonormal basis of U and an orthonormal basis of U^{\perp} .

Solution. It is straightforward to verify that

$$u_1 = (1, 2, 3, -4), \quad u_2 = (-5, 4, 3, 2), \quad v_1 = (1, 0, 0, 0), \quad v_2 = (0, 1, 0, 0)$$

is a basis of \mathbb{R}^4 ; clearly u_1, u_2 is a basis of U. Performing the Gram-Schmidt procedure on this list yields the orthonormal list

$$e_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{30}}(1, 2, 3, -4), \quad e_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{12030}}(-77, 56, 39, 38),$$

$$f_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{76190}}(190, 117, 60, 151), \quad f_2 = \frac{1}{9\sqrt{190}}(0, 81, -90, 27).$$

As we showed in Exercise 6.C.3, e_1, e_2 must be an orthonormal basis of U and f_1, f_2 must be an orthonormal basis of U^{\perp} .

Exercise 6.C.5. Suppose V is finite-dimensional and U is a subspace of V. Show that $P_{U^{\perp}} = I - P_U$, where I is the identity operator on V.

Solution. For $v \in V$, we can write v = w + u for unique vectors $w \in U^{\perp}$ and $u \in (U^{\perp})^{\perp} = U$ (6.47 and 6.51). Note that $P_{U^{\perp}}v = w$ and $P_{U}v = u$. It follows that

$$P_{U^{\perp}}v = w = v - u = Iv - P_{U}v = (I - P_{U})v$$

and hence that $P_{U^{\perp}} = I - P_{U}$.

Exercise 6.C.6. Suppose U and W are finite-dimensional subspaces of V. Prove that $P_U P_W = 0$ if and only if $\langle u, w \rangle = 0$ for all $u \in U$ and $w \in W$.

Solution. Suppose that $\langle u, w \rangle = 0$ for all $u \in U$ and $w \in W$. For $v \in V$, write v = w + y, where $w \in W$ and $y \in W^{\perp}$, so that $P_W v = w$. Our hypothesis ensures that $w \in U^{\perp}$ and thus $P_U P_W v = P_U w = 0$ by 6.55 (c).

For the converse implication, suppose that $P_U P_W = 0$ and let $u \in U$ and $w \in W$ be given. On one hand, we have $P_U P_W w = 0$ by assumption; on the other hand we have $P_U P_W w = P_U w$ by 6.55 (b). Thus $P_U w = 0$, so that $w \in \text{null } P_U$. By 6.55 (e), this is equivalent to $w \in U^{\perp}$, whence $\langle u, w \rangle = 0$.

Exercise 6.C.7. Suppose V is finite-dimensional and $P \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is such that $P^2 = P$ and every vector in null P is orthogonal to every vector in range P. Prove that there exists a subspace U of V such that $P = P_U$.

Solution. By Exercise 5.B.4 and 6.47 we have the decompositions

$$V = \operatorname{range} P \oplus \operatorname{null} P = \operatorname{range} P \oplus (\operatorname{range} P)^{\perp},$$

which implies that dim null $P = \dim(\operatorname{range} P)^{\perp}$. Combining this with the hypothesis null $P \subseteq (\operatorname{range} P)^{\perp}$ we see that null $P = (\operatorname{range} P)^{\perp}$. Let $U = \operatorname{range} P$; we claim that $P = P_U$. To see this, let v = Px + w be given, where $Px \in \operatorname{range} P$ and $w \in (\operatorname{range} P)^{\perp} = \operatorname{null} P$ are unique. Then

$$P_U v = Px = P(Px + w) = Pv,$$

where we have used $P^2 = P$ and $w \in \text{null } P$ for the third equality.

Exercise 6.C.8. Suppose V is finite-dimensional and $P \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is such that $P^2 = P$ and

$$||Pv|| \le ||v||$$

for every $v \in V$. Prove that there exists a subspace U of V such that $P = P_U$.

Solution. Suppose $w \in \text{null } P$ and $Px \in \text{range } P$. Our hypothesis implies the inequality

$$||Px|| = ||P(Px + \lambda w)|| \le ||Px + \lambda w||$$

for any $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}$. It follows from Exercise 6.A.6 that $\langle w, Px \rangle = 0$ and hence that null $P \subseteq (\operatorname{range} P)^{\perp}$. We can now set $U = \operatorname{range} P$ and proceed as in Exercise 6.C.7 to see that $P = P_U$.

Exercise 6.C.9. Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and U is a finite-dimensional subspace of V. Prove that U is invariant under T if and only if $P_UTP_U = TP_U$.

Solution. Suppose that U is invariant under T and let $v \in V$ be given. Then

$$P_{U}v \in U \implies TP_{U}v \in U \implies P_{U}TP_{U}v = TP_{U}v$$

where the last implication follows from 6.55 (b). Now suppose that U is not invariant under T, i.e. there is some $u \in U$ such that $Tu \notin U$. Then

$$TP_Uu = Tu \notin U$$
 and $P_UTP_Uu \in U$,

where we have used 6.55 (b) and (d). It follows that $P_U T P_U u \neq T P_U u$ and hence that $P_U T P_U \neq P_U T$.

Exercise 6.C.10. Suppose V is finite-dimensional, $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, and U is a subspace of V. Prove that U and U^{\perp} are both invariant under T if and only if $P_UT = TP_U$.

Solution. Suppose that U and U^{\perp} are both invariant under T and let $v=u+w\in V$ be given, where $u\in U$ and $w\in U^{\perp}$ are unique. By assumption we have $Tu\in U$ and $Tw\in U^{\perp}$; it follows that

$$P_U T v = P_U (Tu + Tw) = Tu = TP_U v.$$

Now suppose that U is not invariant under T, i.e. there is some $u \in U$ such that $Tu \notin U$. As in Exercise 6.C.9, we have

$$TP_U u = Tu \notin U$$
 and $P_U Tu \in U$,

so that $TP_U \neq P_U T$. Similarly, suppose that U^{\perp} is not invariant under T, i.e. there is some $w \in U^{\perp}$ such that $Tw \notin U^{\perp}$. Then

$$TP_Uw = T(0) = 0$$
 and $P_UTw \neq 0$,

where we have used 6.55 (e). It follows that $TP_U \neq P_U T$.

Exercise 6.C.11. In \mathbb{R}^4 , let

$$U = \operatorname{span}((1, 1, 0, 0), (1, 1, 1, 2)).$$

Find $u \in U$ such that ||u - (1, 2, 3, 4)|| is as small as possible.

Solution. Let $u_1 = (1, 1, 0, 0)$ and $u_2 = (1, 1, 1, 2)$, so that $U = \text{span}(u_1, u_2)$. Performing the Gram-Schmidt procedure on the list u_1, u_2 yields the orthonormal basis

$$e_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1, 1, 0, 0), \quad e_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}(0, 0, 1, 2)$$

for U. Let v = (1, 2, 3, 4). According to 6.56, to minimize ||u - v|| we should take $u = P_U v$. This can be calculated using 6.55 (i):

$$P_U v = \langle v, e_1 \rangle e_1 + \langle v, e_2 \rangle e_2 = \left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{11}{5}, \frac{22}{5}\right).$$

Exercise 6.C.12. Find $p \in \mathcal{P}_3(\mathbf{R})$ such that p(0) = 0, p'(0) = 0, and

$$\int_0^1 |2 + 3x - p(x)|^2 dx$$

is as small as possible.

Solution. Equip $\mathcal{P}_3(\mathbf{R})$ with the inner product

$$\langle p, q \rangle = \int_0^1 p(x)q(x) \, \mathrm{d}x$$

and let

$$U = \{ p \in \mathcal{P}_3(\mathbf{R}) : p(0) = p'(0) = 0 \}.$$

It is straightforward to verify that U is a subspace of $\mathcal{P}_3(\mathbf{R})$ and that x^2, x^3 is a basis of U. Performing the Gram-Schmidt procedure on this basis yields the orthonormal basis

$$e_1(x) = \sqrt{5}x^2$$
, $e_2(x) = 6\sqrt{7}(x^3 - \frac{5}{6}x^2)$

for U. Let q(x) = 2 + 3x. According to 6.56, to minimize $||q - p||^2 = \int_0^1 |2 + 3x - p(x)|^2 dx$ we should take $p = P_U q$. This can be calculated using 6.55 (i):

$$P_U q = \langle q, e_1 \rangle e_1 + \langle q, e_2 \rangle e_2 = 24x^2 - \frac{203}{10}x^3.$$

Exercise 6.C.13. Find $p \in \mathcal{P}_5(\mathbf{R})$ that makes

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \sin x - p(x) \right|^2 \mathrm{d}x$$

as small as possible.

[The polynomial 6.60 is an excellent approximation to the answer to this exercise, but here you are asked to find the exact solution, which involves powers of π . A computer that can perform symbolic integration will be useful.]

Solution. Equip $C_{\mathbf{R}}([-\pi,\pi])$ with the inner product

$$\langle p, q \rangle = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} p(x)q(x) \, \mathrm{d}x$$

and let $U = \mathcal{P}_5(\mathbf{R})$. Performing the Gram-Schmidt procedure on the basis $1, x, x^2, x^3, x^4, x^5$ of U yields the orthonormal basis

$$e_1(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, \quad e_2(x) = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2\pi^3}}x, \quad e_3(x) = -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{5}{2\pi^5}}(\pi^2 - 3x^2),$$

$$e_4(x) = -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{7}{2\pi^7}}(3\pi^2x - 5x^3), \quad e_5(x) = \frac{3}{8\sqrt{2\pi^9}}(3\pi^4 - 30\pi^2x^2 + 35x^4),$$

$$e_6(x) = -\frac{1}{8}\sqrt{\frac{11}{2\pi^{11}}}(15\pi^4x - 70\pi^2x^3 + 63x^5).$$

According to 6.56, to minimize $\|\sin x - p\|^2 = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\sin x - p(x)|^2 dx$ we should take $p = P_U(\sin x)$. This can be calculated using 6.55 (i):

$$P_U(\sin x) = \frac{105(1465 - 153\pi^2 + \pi^4)}{8\pi^6}x - \frac{315(1155 - 125\pi^2 + \pi^4)}{4\pi^8}x^3 + \frac{693(945 - 105\pi^2 + \pi^4)}{8\pi^{10}}x^5.$$

Exercise 6.C.14. Suppose $C_{\mathbf{R}}([-1,1])$ is the vector space of continuous real-valued functions on the interval [-1,1] with inner product given by

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{-1}^{1} f(x)g(x) \, \mathrm{d}x$$

for $f, g \in C_{\mathbf{R}}([-1,1])$. Let U be the subspace of $C_{\mathbf{R}}([-1,1])$ defined by

$$U = \{ f \in C_{\mathbf{R}}([-1,1]) : f(0) = 0 \}.$$

- (a) Show that $U^{\perp} = \{0\}.$
- (b) Show that 6.47 and 6.51 do not hold without the finite-dimensional hypothesis.

Solution. (a) It is clear that $0 \in U^{\perp}$. For the reverse inclusion, suppose that $g \in U^{\perp}$, let $f: [-1,1] \to \mathbf{R}$ be given by $f(x) = x^2 g(x)$, and note that $f \in U$. It follows that

$$0 = \langle f, g \rangle = \int_{-1}^{1} [xg(x)]^2 dx.$$

Since the integrand $[xg(x)]^2$ is continuous and non-negative, we must have xg(x)=0 for all $x\in [-1,1]$, which implies that g(x)=0 for all non-zero $x\in [-1,1]$. The continuity of g implies that g must in fact be identically zero on [-1,1], i.e. g=0. We may conclude that $U^{\perp}=\{0\}$.

(b) From part (a), we have $U \oplus U^{\perp} = U \neq C_{\mathbf{R}}([-1,1])$, so that 6.47 does not hold. Part (a) and 6.46 (b) gives

$$(U^{\perp})^{\perp} = \{0\}^{\perp} = C_{\mathbf{R}}([-1, 1]) \neq U,$$

so that 6.51 does not hold.

[LADR] Axler, S. (2015) Linear Algebra Done Right. 3rd edition.