

# 1 Section 7.3 Exercises

Exercises with solutions from Section 7.3 of [UA].

**Exercise 7.3.1.** Consider the function

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } 0 \leq x < 1 \\ 2 & \text{for } x = 1 \end{cases}$$

over the interval  $[0, 1]$ .

- (a) Show that  $L(f, P) = 1$  for every partition  $P$  of  $[0, 1]$ .
- (b) Construct a partition  $P$  for which  $U(f, P) < 1 + 1/10$ .
- (c) Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , construct a partition  $P_\epsilon$  for which  $U(f, P_\epsilon) < 1 + \epsilon$ .

*Solution.* (a) Let  $P = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  be a partition of  $[0, 1]$ . For any  $1 \leq k \leq n$ ,

$$m_k = \inf\{f(x) : x \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]\} = 1$$

and thus

$$L(f, P) = \sum_{k=1}^n m_k \Delta x_k = \sum_{k=1}^n \Delta x_k = 1 - 0 = 1.$$

- (b) Set  $x_0 = 0, x_1 = \frac{19}{20}, x_2 = 1$ , and let  $P$  be the partition  $\{x_0, x_1, x_2\}$  of  $[0, 1]$ . Since

$$M_1 = \sup\{f(x) : x \in [x_0, x_1]\} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad M_2 = \sup\{f(x) : x \in [x_1, x_2]\} = 2,$$

we have

$$U(f, P) = M_1(x_1 - x_0) + M_2(x_2 - x_1) = 2 - x_1 = 2 - \frac{19}{20} = \frac{21}{20} = 1 + \frac{1}{20} < 1 + \frac{1}{10}.$$

- (c) Set  $x_0 = 0, x_1 = \max\{\frac{1}{2}, 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\}, x_2 = 1$ , and let  $P$  be the partition  $\{x_0, x_1, x_2\}$  of  $[0, 1]$ . Since

$$M_1 = \sup\{f(x) : x \in [x_0, x_1]\} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad M_2 = \sup\{f(x) : x \in [x_1, x_2]\} = 2,$$

we have

$$U(f, P) = M_1(x_1 - x_0) + M_2(x_2 - x_1) = 2 - x_1 \leq 1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} < 1 + \epsilon.$$

**Exercise 7.3.2.** Recall that Thomae's function

$$t(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ 1/n & \text{if } x = m/n \in \mathbf{Q} \setminus \{0\} \text{ is in lowest terms with } n > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin \mathbf{Q} \end{cases}$$

has a countable set of discontinuities occurring at precisely every rational number. Follow these steps to prove  $t(x)$  is integrable on  $[0, 1]$  with  $\int_0^1 t = 0$ .

- (a) First argue that  $L(t, P) = 0$  for any partition  $P$  of  $[0, 1]$ .
- (b) Let  $\epsilon > 0$ , and consider the set of points  $D_{\epsilon/2} = \{x \in [0, 1] : t(x) \geq \epsilon/2\}$ . How big is  $D_{\epsilon/2}$ ?
- (c) To complete the argument, explain how to construct a partition  $P_\epsilon$  of  $[0, 1]$  so that  $U(t, P_\epsilon) < \epsilon$ .

*Solution.* (a) Let  $P = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  be an arbitrary partition of  $[0, 1]$ . The irrationals are dense in  $\mathbf{R}$ , so any subinterval  $[x_{k-1}, x_k]$  contains an irrational number  $y$ . Since  $t(y) = 0$  and  $t(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ , it follows that  $m_k = 0$ , from which we see that  $L(t, P) = 0$ .

- (b) Since  $0 \leq t(x) \leq 1$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ , if  $\frac{\epsilon}{2} > 1$  then  $D_{\epsilon/2}$  is empty. Suppose therefore that  $0 < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \leq 1$  and let  $N$  be the smallest positive integer such that  $\frac{1}{N} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . It follows that  $D_{\epsilon/2}$  consists precisely of those rational numbers  $\frac{m}{n} \in [0, 1]$  (in lowest terms with  $n > 0$ ) with  $1 \leq n \leq N$ , of which there are only finitely many. Thus  $D_{\epsilon/2}$  is finite for any  $\epsilon > 0$ .
- (c) Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. If  $D_{\epsilon/2}$  is empty, i.e. if  $0 \leq t(x) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ , then let  $P_\epsilon$  be the partition  $\{0, 1\}$  of  $[0, 1]$ . For this partition we have

$$U(t, P_\epsilon) = \sup\{t(x) : x \in [0, 1]\} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} < \epsilon.$$

Now suppose that  $D_{\epsilon/2}$  is not empty; by part (b) it must be the case that  $D_{\epsilon/2} = \{y_1, \dots, y_m\}$  for some  $m \in \mathbf{N}$  and some  $y_1, \dots, y_m \in [0, 1]$ . Let  $P_\epsilon = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$  be the evenly spaced partition of  $[0, 1]$  such that  $\Delta x_k < \frac{\epsilon}{2(m+1)}$  for each  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Decompose the set  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  into the disjoint union  $A \cup A^c$ , where

$$A = \{k \in \{1, \dots, n\} : \text{there exists } j \in \{1, \dots, m\} \text{ such that } y_j \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]\},$$

so that

$$U(t, P_\epsilon) = \sum_{k=1}^n M_k \Delta x_k = \sum_{k \in A} M_k \Delta x_k + \sum_{k \notin A} M_k \Delta x_k. \quad (1)$$

Note that  $A$  can contain at most  $m+1$  elements and also that  $M_k \leq 1$  for any  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . It follows that

$$\sum_{k \in A} M_k \Delta x_k < \sum_{k \in A} \frac{\epsilon}{2(m+1)} \leq (m+1) \frac{\epsilon}{2(m+1)} = \frac{\epsilon}{2}. \quad (2)$$

Now suppose that  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  is such that  $k \notin A$ , so that  $f(x) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  for all  $x \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]$ . Then  $M_k \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  and it follows that

$$\sum_{k \notin A} M_k \Delta x_k \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \sum_{k \notin A} \Delta x_k \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n \Delta x_k = \frac{\epsilon}{2}. \quad (3)$$

Combining (1), (2), and (3), we see that  $U(t, P_\epsilon) < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$ .

We have now shown that for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a partition  $P_\epsilon$  of  $[0, 1]$  such that  $U(t, P_\epsilon) < \epsilon$ . From part (a) we have  $L(t, P_\epsilon) = 0$  and hence  $U(t, P_\epsilon) - L(t, P_\epsilon) < \epsilon$ ; it follows that  $t$  is integrable on  $[0, 1]$ . Part (a) also shows that

$$\int_0^1 t = L(t) = 0.$$

**Exercise 7.3.3.** Let

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = 1/n \text{ for some } n \in \mathbf{N} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Show that  $f$  is integrable on  $[0, 1]$  and compute  $\int_0^1 f$ .

*Solution.* Let  $P = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$  be an arbitrary partition of  $[0, 1]$ . The irrationals are dense in  $\mathbf{R}$ , so any subinterval  $[x_{k-1}, x_k]$  contains an irrational number  $y$ . Since  $f(y) = 0$  and  $f(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ , it follows that  $m_k = 0$ , from which we see that  $L(f, P) = 0$ . Because  $P$  was an arbitrary partition of  $[0, 1]$ , we have also shown that  $L(f) = 0$ ; once we show that  $f$  is integrable on  $[0, 1]$  it will follow that  $\int_0^1 f = 0$ .

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. If  $\frac{\epsilon}{2} > 1$ , then  $f(x) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Take the partition  $P_\epsilon = \{0, 1\}$  of  $[0, 1]$ , so that

$$U(f, P_\epsilon) = \sup\{f(x) : x \in [0, 1]\} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} < \epsilon.$$

As noted above, we have  $L(f, P_\epsilon) = 0$  and thus  $U(f, P_\epsilon) - L(f, P_\epsilon) < \epsilon$ .

Now suppose that  $\frac{\epsilon}{2} \leq 1$ . Our argument here is similar to the one we gave in [Exercise 7.3.2](#) (c). Choose  $N \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $\frac{1}{N} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ ; note that  $N \geq 2$ . Let  $P_\epsilon = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  be the partition of  $[0, 1]$  where  $x_0 = 0, x_1 = \frac{1}{N}, x_n = 1$ , and  $x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}$  are chosen to be evenly spaced between  $\frac{1}{N}$  and 1, such that  $\Delta x_k < \frac{\epsilon}{2N}$  for  $k \geq 2$ . Then

$$U(f, P_\epsilon) = \sum_{k=1}^n M_k \Delta x_k = M_1 \Delta x_1 + \sum_{k=2}^n M_k \Delta x_k = \frac{1}{N} + \sum_{k=2}^n M_k \Delta x_k < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \sum_{k=2}^n M_k \Delta x_k. \quad (1)$$

Decompose the set  $\{2, \dots, n\}$  into the disjoint union  $A \cup A^c$ , where

$$A = \left\{ k \in \{2, \dots, n\} : \text{there exists } j \in \{1, \dots, N-1\} \text{ such that } \frac{1}{j} \in [x_{k-1}, x_k] \right\},$$

so that

$$\sum_{k=2}^n M_k \Delta x_k = \sum_{k \in A} M_k \Delta x_k + \sum_{k \notin A} M_k \Delta x_k. \quad (2)$$

Note that  $A$  can contain at most  $N$  elements and also that  $M_k \leq 1$  for any  $k \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ . It follows that

$$\sum_{k \in A} M_k \Delta x_k < \sum_{k \in A} \frac{\epsilon}{2N} \leq N \frac{\epsilon}{2N} = \frac{\epsilon}{2}. \quad (3)$$

Now suppose that  $k \in \{2, \dots, n\}$  is such that  $k \notin A$ , so that  $f(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]$ . Thus  $M_k = 0$  and it follows that

$$\sum_{k \notin A} M_k \Delta x_k = 0. \quad (4)$$

Combining (1), (2), (3), and (4), we see that  $U(f, P_\epsilon) < \epsilon$ . As noted above, we have  $L(f, P_\epsilon) = 0$  and thus  $U(f, P_\epsilon) - L(f, P_\epsilon) < \epsilon$ .

We have now shown that for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a partition  $P_\epsilon$  of  $[0, 1]$  such that  $U(f, P_\epsilon) - L(f, P_\epsilon) < \epsilon$ . We may conclude that  $f$  is integrable on  $[0, 1]$ .

**Exercise 7.3.4.** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be functions defined on (possibly different) closed intervals, and assume the range of  $f$  is contained in the domain of  $g$  so that the composition  $g \circ f$  is properly defined.

- (a) Show, by example, that it is not the case that if  $f$  and  $g$  are integrable, then  $g \circ f$  is integrable.

Now decide on the validity of each of the following conjectures, supplying a proof or counterexample as appropriate.

- (b) If  $f$  is increasing and  $g$  is integrable, then  $g \circ f$  is integrable.  
 (c) If  $f$  is integrable and  $g$  is increasing, then  $g \circ f$  is integrable.

*Solution.* (a) Let  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be Thomae's function as defined in [Exercise 7.3.2](#); as we showed there,  $f$  is integrable. Let  $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be given by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } 0 < x \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 7.3.2 shows that  $g$  is also integrable. However, note that since  $f(x) = 0$  for irrational  $x$  and  $0 < f(x) \leq 1$  for rational  $x$ , the composition  $g \circ f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is nothing but Dirichlet's function, which was shown to be non-integrable in Example 7.3.3.

- (b) This is actually false, however the only [counterexample](#) I know of is quite involved and uses material from Section 7.6.
- (c) See part (a) for a counterexample.

**Exercise 7.3.5.** Provide an example or give a reason why the request is impossible.

- (a) A sequence  $(f_n) \rightarrow f$  pointwise, where each  $f_n$  has at most a finite number of discontinuities but  $f$  is not integrable.
- (b) A sequence  $(g_n) \rightarrow g$  uniformly where each  $g_n$  has at most a finite number of discontinuities and  $g$  is not integrable.
- (c) A sequence  $(h_n) \rightarrow h$  uniformly where each  $h_n$  is not integrable but  $h$  is integrable.

*Solution.* (a) For each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  define  $f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  by

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{x} & \text{if } x \in [\frac{1}{n}, 1], \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in [0, \frac{1}{n}), \end{cases}$$

and define  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{x} & \text{if } x \in (0, 1], \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then  $(f_n) \rightarrow f$  pointwise, each  $f_n$  has exactly one discontinuity at  $x = \frac{1}{n}$ , but  $f$  is not bounded and hence is not integrable.

- (b) This is impossible. As discussed after Theorem 7.3.2, each  $g_n$  must be integrable. [Exercise 7.2.5](#) then implies that  $g$  is integrable.
- (c) For each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  define  $h_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  by

$$h_n(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n} & \text{if } x \in \mathbf{Q}, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin \mathbf{Q}, \end{cases}$$

and let  $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be identically zero. Then  $h$  is certainly integrable and a small modification of the argument given in Example 7.3.3 shows that each  $h_n$  is not integrable. Furthermore, since

$$\sup\{|h_n(x) - h(x)| : x \in [0, 1]\} = \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0,$$

we have uniform convergence  $(h_n) \rightarrow h$ .

**Exercise 7.3.6.** Let  $\{r_1, r_2, r_3, \dots\}$  be an enumeration of all the rationals in  $[0, 1]$ , and define

$$g_n(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = r_n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- (a) Is  $G(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(x)$  integrable on  $[0, 1]$ ?  
 (b) Is  $F(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(x)/n$  integrable on  $[0, 1]$ ?

*Solution.* (a) For irrational  $x \in [0, 1]$ , we have  $g_n(x) = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and thus  $G(x) = 0$ . If  $x \in [0, 1]$  is rational, then  $x = r_N$  for some  $N \in \mathbf{N}$ . Since  $g_N(r_N) = 1$  and  $g_n(r_N) = 0$  for  $n \neq N$ , we then have  $G(r_N) = 1$ . Hence  $G$  is in fact Dirichlet's function, which is not integrable (Example 7.3.3).

- (b) We claim that  $F$  is integrable on  $[0, 1]$ ; notice that

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n} & \text{if } x = r_n \in \mathbf{Q}, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin \mathbf{Q}. \end{cases}$$

The density of the irrationals in  $\mathbf{R}$  implies that  $L(F, P) = 0$  for any partition  $P$  of  $[0, 1]$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given and set

$$D_{\epsilon/2} = \{x \in [0, 1] : F(x) \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2}\}.$$

If  $\frac{\epsilon}{2} > 1$  then  $D_{\epsilon/2}$  is empty, since  $0 \leq F(x) \leq 1$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ . If  $\frac{\epsilon}{2} \leq 1$  then let  $N$  be the smallest positive integer such that  $\frac{1}{N} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ ; note that  $N \geq 2$ . It follows that

$$D_{\epsilon/2} = \{r_1, \dots, r_{N-1}\},$$

so that  $D_{\epsilon/2}$  is finite. We may now argue as in [Exercise 7.3.2](#) (c) to obtain a partition  $P_\epsilon$  of  $[0, 1]$  such that  $U(F, P_\epsilon) < \epsilon$ . Since  $L(F, P_\epsilon) = 0$  we then have

$$U(F, P_\epsilon) - L(F, P_\epsilon) < \epsilon$$

and thus  $F$  is integrable on  $[0, 1]$ . Furthermore,  $\int_0^1 F = L(F) = 0$ .

**Exercise 7.3.7.** Assume  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is integrable.

- (a) Show that if  $g$  satisfies  $g(x) = f(x)$  for all but a finite number of points in  $[a, b]$ , then  $g$  is integrable as well.

- (b) Find an example to show that  $g$  may fail to be integrable if it differs from  $f$  at a countable number of points.

*Solution.* (a) Let  $D = \{x \in [a, b] : f(x) \neq g(x)\}$ . If  $D$  is empty then it is clear that  $g$  is integrable, so suppose that  $D = \{c_1, \dots, c_d\}$  for some  $d \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $c_1, \dots, c_d \in [a, b]$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Because  $f$  is integrable, there exists a partition  $Q_\epsilon$  of  $[a, b]$  such that  $U(f, Q_\epsilon) - L(f, Q_\epsilon) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . The integrability of  $f$  also implies that  $f$  is bounded; since  $g$  differs from  $f$  at only finitely many points,  $g$  must also be bounded, say by  $R > 0$ . Let  $Q'_\epsilon = \{y_0, \dots, y_l\}$  be the evenly spaced partition of  $[a, b]$  such that

$$\Delta y_k < \frac{\epsilon}{4R(d+1)}$$

for each  $k \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ , and let  $P_\epsilon = Q_\epsilon \cup Q'_\epsilon = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$  be the common refinement of  $Q_\epsilon$  and  $Q'_\epsilon$ , so that

$$\Delta x_k < \frac{\epsilon}{4R(d+1)}$$

for each  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Let

$$M_k^g = \sup\{g(x) : x \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]\} \quad \text{and} \quad m_k^g = \inf\{g(x) : x \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]\}$$

for each  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , and define  $M_k^f$  and  $m_k^f$  similarly. Decompose the set  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  into the disjoint union  $A \cup A^c$ , where

$$A = \{k \in \{1, \dots, n\} : \text{there exists } j \in \{1, \dots, d\} \text{ such that } c_j \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]\},$$

so that

$$U(g, P_\epsilon) - L(g, P_\epsilon) = \sum_{k=1}^n (M_k^g - m_k^g) \Delta x_k = \sum_{k \in A} (M_k^g - m_k^g) \Delta x_k + \sum_{k \notin A} (M_k^g - m_k^g) \Delta x_k. \quad (1)$$

Note that  $A$  can contain at most  $d+1$  elements and also that  $M_k^g - m_k^g \leq 2R$  for any  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . It follows that

$$\sum_{k \in A} (M_k^g - m_k^g) \Delta x_k < \sum_{k \in A} 2R \frac{\epsilon}{4R(d+1)} \leq (d+1) \frac{\epsilon}{2(d+1)} = \frac{\epsilon}{2}. \quad (2)$$

Now suppose that  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  is such that  $k \notin A$ , so that  $f(x) = g(x)$  for all  $x \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]$ . It follows that  $M_k^g - m_k^g = M_k^f - m_k^f$  and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \notin A} (M_k^g - m_k^g) \Delta x_k &= \sum_{k \notin A} (M_k^f - m_k^f) \Delta x_k \leq \sum_{k=1}^n (M_k^f - m_k^f) \Delta x_k \\ &= U(f, P_\epsilon) - L(f, P_\epsilon) \leq U(f, Q_\epsilon) - L(f, Q_\epsilon) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Combining (1), (2), and (3), we see that  $U(g, P_\epsilon) - L(g, P_\epsilon) < \epsilon$ . Because  $\epsilon > 0$  was arbitrary, it follows that  $g$  is integrable on  $[a, b]$ .

- (b) Let  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be identically zero, so that  $f$  is certainly integrable, and let  $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be Dirichlet's function. Then  $g$  differs from  $f$  precisely on the countable set  $\mathbf{Q} \cap [0, 1]$  and yet  $g$  is not integrable.

**Exercise 7.3.8.** As in [Exercise 7.3.6](#), let  $\{r_1, r_2, r_3, \dots\}$  be an enumeration of the rationals in  $[0, 1]$ , but this time define

$$h_n(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r_n < x \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq r_n. \end{cases}$$

Show  $H(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n(x)/2^n$  is integrable on  $[0, 1]$  even though it has discontinuities at every rational point.

**Solution.** For a given  $N \in \mathbf{N}$  let  $H_N(x) = \sum_{n=1}^N h_n(x)/2^n$  and order the rationals  $\{r_1, \dots, r_N\}$  as  $0 \leq r_{i_1} < \dots < r_{i_N} \leq 1$ . Then

$$H_N(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in [0, r_{i_1}], \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } x \in (r_{i_1}, r_{i_2}], \\ \frac{3}{4} & \text{if } x \in (r_{i_2}, r_{i_3}], \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 - \frac{1}{2^N} & \text{if } x \in (r_{i_N}, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Thus  $H_N$  is piecewise-constant on  $[0, 1]$ . It is straightforward to argue that such functions are integrable (this is implied by Theorem 7.4.1). Now observe that

$$\left| \frac{h_n(x)}{2^n} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2^n}$$

for each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Since the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n}$  is a convergent geometric series, the Weierstrass M-Test (Corollary 6.4.5) implies that  $H_N$  converges uniformly to  $H$ ; it follows from [Exercise 7.2.5](#) that  $H$  is integrable on  $[0, 1]$ .

**Exercise 7.3.9 (Content Zero).** A set  $A \subseteq [a, b]$  has *content zero* if for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a finite collection of open intervals  $\{O_1, O_2, \dots, O_N\}$  that contain  $A$  in their union and whose lengths sum to  $\epsilon$  or less. Using  $|O_n|$  to refer to the length of each interval, we have

$$A \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^N O_n \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=1}^N |O_n| \leq \epsilon.$$



- (a) Let  $f$  be bounded on  $[a, b]$ . Show that if the set of discontinuous points of  $f$  has content zero, then  $f$  is integrable.
- (b) Show that any finite set has content zero.
- (c) Content zero sets do not have to be finite. They do not have to be countable. Show that the Cantor set  $C$  defined in Section 3.1 has content zero.
- (d) Prove that

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in C \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin C, \end{cases}$$

is integrable, and find the value of the integral.

*Solution.* (a) Suppose  $f$  is bounded by  $R > 0$  on  $[a, b]$  and let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Because the set of discontinuous points of  $f$  has content zero, we can choose a partition  $Q$  of  $[a, b]$  such that the discontinuities of  $f$  are contained in the interiors of subintervals whose total length is strictly less than  $\frac{\epsilon}{4R}$ . Letting  $K$  be the union of the remaining subintervals, we see that  $f$  is continuous on  $K$  and also that  $K$  is compact, being a finite union of closed and bounded intervals. Thus  $f$  is uniformly continuous on  $K$  and, as in the proof of Theorem 7.2.9, we may refine the partition  $Q$ , subdividing  $K$  as necessary, to obtain a partition  $P = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$  of  $[a, b]$  such that the indices  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  can be expressed as the disjoint union  $A \cup B$ , where:

- (i)  $f$  is continuous on  $\bigcup_{k \in A} [x_{k-1}, x_k]$  and  $M_k - m_k < \frac{\epsilon}{2(b-a)}$  for  $k \in A$ ;
- (ii) the discontinuities of  $f$  are contained inside  $\bigcup_{k \in B} (x_{k-1}, x_k)$  and  $\sum_{k \in B} \Delta x_k < \frac{\epsilon}{4R}$ .

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} U(f, P) - L(f, P) &= \sum_{k=1}^n (M_k - m_k) \Delta x_k \\ &= \sum_{k \in A} (M_k - m_k) \Delta x_k + \sum_{k \in B} (M_k - m_k) \Delta x_k \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{2(b-a)} \sum_{k \in A} \Delta x_k + 2R \sum_{k \in B} \Delta x_k \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \\ &= \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $f$  is integrable on  $[a, b]$ .

- (b) Let  $A \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  be finite and let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. If  $A$  is empty then the open interval  $(-\frac{\epsilon}{2}, \frac{\epsilon}{2})$  suffices to show that  $A$  has content zero. Suppose therefore that  $A$  is not empty, say  $A = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$ . For each  $1 \leq n \leq N$ , let

$$O_n = \left(x_n - \frac{\epsilon}{2N}, x_n + \frac{\epsilon}{2N}\right).$$

Then  $A \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^N O_n$  and

$$\sum_{n=1}^N |O_n| = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\epsilon}{N} = \epsilon.$$

Thus  $A$  has content zero.

- (c) Recall from Section 3.1 that the Cantor set  $C$  is defined as the intersection  $C = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n$ , where each  $C_n$  consists of  $2^n$  closed intervals each of length  $3^{-n}$  and such that

$$\cdots \subseteq C_2 \subseteq C_1 \subseteq C_0 = [0, 1].$$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given and choose  $N \in \mathbf{N}$  such that

$$\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^N + \left(\frac{1}{10}\right)^N < \epsilon.$$

The set  $C_N$  consists of  $2^N$  closed intervals each of length  $3^{-N}$ ; suppose these intervals are  $[x_k, y_k]$  for  $1 \leq k \leq 2^N$ , so that  $y_k - x_k = 3^{-N}$ . For each  $1 \leq k \leq 2^N$ , let

$$O_k = \left(x_k - \frac{1}{2^{N+1}10^N}, y_k + \frac{1}{2^{N+1}10^N}\right),$$

so that  $[x_k, y_k] \subseteq O_k$  and

$$|O_k| = \frac{1}{3^N} + \frac{1}{2^N 10^N}.$$

Then

$$C = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n \subseteq C_N = \bigcup_{k=1}^{2^N} [x_k, y_k] \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{2^N} O_k$$

and  $\sum_{k=1}^{2^N} |O_k| = \sum_{k=1}^{2^N} \left(\frac{1}{3^N} + \frac{1}{2^N 10^N}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^N + \left(\frac{1}{10}\right)^N < \epsilon.$

Thus  $C$  has content zero.

(d) Let

$$D_h = \{x \in \mathbf{R} : h \text{ is not continuous at } x\}.$$

We claim that  $D_h = C$ . First, suppose that  $x \notin C$ . Since  $C$  is closed, the complement of  $C$  is open and so there exists some  $\delta > 0$  such that  $(x - \delta, x + \delta) \subseteq C^c$ . Thus  $h$  is constant on the proper interval  $(x - \delta, x + \delta)$ ; it follows that  $h$  is continuous at  $x$ . Now suppose that  $x \in C$ . To show that  $h$  is not continuous at  $x$ , it will suffice to show that for any  $\delta > 0$  there exists some  $y \in (x - \delta, x + \delta)$  such that  $y \notin C$ . The existence of some  $\delta$  such that this does not hold implies that  $C$  contains a proper interval. However,  $C$  cannot contain any proper intervals since it is totally disconnected ([Exercise 3.4.8](#)). Thus  $h$  is not continuous at  $x$  and our claim follows.

Abbott does not specify an interval to integrate  $h$  over, but in fact  $h$  is integrable over any interval  $[a, b]$  for  $a < b$ . Let  $g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be the restriction of  $h$  to  $[a, b]$ . Then

$$D_g = \{x \in [a, b] : g \text{ is not continuous at } x\} = D_h \cap [a, b] = C \cap [a, b].$$

It is straightforward to verify that if a set has content zero, then the intersection of that set with any other set also has content zero. Thus, by part (c),  $D_g$  has content zero and it follows from part (a) that  $g$  is integrable. To calculate the integral of  $g$ , let  $P$  be any partition of  $[a, b]$ . As we noted before,  $C$  does not contain any proper intervals. It follows that any subinterval  $[x_{k-1}, x_k]$  of the partition  $P$  contains some  $x \notin C$ , whence  $g(x) = 0$ . Thus  $L(g, P) = 0$  and, because  $P$  was an arbitrary partition of  $[a, b]$ , it follows that

$$\int_a^b g = L(g) = 0.$$

---

[UA] Abbott, S. (2015) *Understanding Analysis*. 2<sup>nd</sup> edition.