Name	F20PA D1	
Description	Marking rubric to be used by the project supervisor and second reader for the first deliverable on the honours project.	
Rubric Detail		
		Levels of Achievement
Criteria		Marks
Name of marker		0 to 0 points
Abstract, Aims, Objectives, Project Description		0 to 10 points  Are these clearly expressed, testable, and achievable?
Literature Review		O to 40 points  How relevant is the literature that is covered? Is there missing material? Is it well structured? Are good quality sources used and properly cited? How strong are the comparative and critical aspects?
Requirements for System/Research Hypothesis/Research Questions		0 to 20 points  Are the requirements/hypothesis clearly expressed, testable, and achievable?  Have any initial design activities been conducted?
Strategy for testing and evaluation		0 to 10 points Is a suitable evaluation planned? Is a sound/rigorous methodology being proposed?
Project Plan and Professional, Legal, Ethical, and Social Issues		O to 20 points  Is a realistic project plan and timetable proposed? Has a risk analysis been performed and sensible mitigation plans proposed? Is there a safe core to the project, with scope for more challenging activities? Does the student show a good understanding of the PLES issues relevant to the project and discussed these?
Plagiarism		O to O points  Do you believe that the dissertation is free from serious plagiarism? YES/NO If no, please comment. (It is assumed that you have reviewed the TurnItIn report.)
Additional com	ments	0 to 0 points

Print | Close Window