

Syntax

Constructs specification (by Context
Free Grammar)

2.1.2, 2.1.3

Introduction

- A designer specifies a language by specifying its syntax and semantics
- To specify syntax, a designer uses
 - Regular expression – tokens
 - Context free grammar – constructs
- The syntax is used
 - by programmers to understand this language
 - by implementers of language to build a compiler

Context Free Grammar

- Motivating example: arithmetic expression, as a nested structure, can not be represented by regular expressions
- An attempt to define arithmetic expression (in English)
 - A number is an *arithmetic expression*
 - A variable (identifier) is an *arithmetic expression*
 - If α and β are arithmetic expressions, $\alpha - \beta$ and $\alpha + \beta$ are *arithmetic expressions*.

- *Context free grammar* consist of
 - A set of *terminals* T (each terminal is an identifier or a character)
 - A set of *non-terminals* N (a non-terminal is a name inside $\langle \rangle$)
 - A *start symbol* S (a non-terminal)
 - A set of *production rules* of the form:
 $P \rightarrow a \text{ string of terminals or non-terminals or space or } |$
Where P is a non-terminal.

- A context free grammar specifies all “valid” sentences of a language.
- Informally, the start symbol represents all sentences “valid” in the language specified by the grammar.

Specifying a language

- To specify a programming language, the syntax is separated into two parts
 - The part for tokens
 - The part for constructs

- Example – the language of arithmetic expressions

- First part – tokens: id, number,

- RE for these tokens

- id \rightarrow letter letter[^]

- letter \rightarrow a | | z | A... | Z

- number \rightarrow (0 | ... | 9) (0 | ... | 9)[^]

- op \rightarrow + | - | * | /

- Second part – constructs: e.g., $4*5-10+5$
 - Context free grammar for expressions
$$\langle \text{expr} \rangle \rightarrow \text{id} \mid \text{number} \mid \langle \text{expr} \rangle \text{ op } \langle \text{expr} \rangle \mid -\langle \text{expr} \rangle$$
- The token names in part one are taken as *terminals* in part two.

Derivations and parse trees

- The question: is a sentence valid in a language?
 - A sentence is valid in a language if it follows its grammar.
 - In other words, the sentence follows the definition of the start non-terminal
 - Example: $10 - 5 - 5$ (try to apply the first production on the start non-terminal)

Derivation

- Derivation
 - A derivation is “a series of *replacement* operations that derive a string of terminals from *the start symbol*.”
 - *Replacement*: replace a non-terminal N by the right hand side of a production whose left hand side is N.
 - <expr> \Rightarrow <expr> op <expr> \Rightarrow <expr> op number \Rightarrow ...
 - <expr> \Rightarrow * number op number op number

- *Leftmost derivation*: replace the leftmost non-terminal
- *Rightmost derivation*: replace the rightmost non-terminal

Parsing Tree

- A visual form of derivation – *parsing tree*
 - Example: two parsing trees of $10 - 5 - 5$
- Ambiguous grammar
 - A grammar is *ambiguous* if an input string has two different parsing trees.
 - Problem: will create semantic problems! A legal sentence could have more than one meaning, which is not desirable in most cases.

Specifying a language (2)

- Given an “intended language”, there are infinite number of ways to write its grammar
- What is a good grammar?
 - No ambiguity
 - Reflect the structure of the language
 - Useful to the rest of the compiler (e.g., sentences can be parsed efficiently)

- Find grammars without ambiguity
- The ambiguity in arithmetic is solved by *associativity* and *precedence*.
- We can write a grammar for arithmetic expressions that captures associativity and precedence

Ambiguity removal

- Remove ambiguity by capturing associativity
 - Assume we have only $*$, $/$, id, number
 - $10/x/5$ should be “composed” of “inseparables”:
 $10, x, 5$
 - Then it is grouped as $[(10/5)/5]$ by left associatively
 - So, we have an English definition of *expression*
 - A number or id is an *expression*
 - An *expression* / (number or id) is an *expression*

– CFG is

$\langle \text{expression} \rangle \rightarrow \text{number} \mid$

$\text{id} \mid$

$\langle \text{expression} \rangle / \text{id} \mid$

$\langle \text{expression} \rangle / \text{number}$

- So, we have context free grammar (we use `<term>` to replace `<expr>` earlier)

```
<term> -> number | id | <term> <multOp> number  
          | <term> <multOp> id  
<multOp> -> * | /
```

- Improvement: define “inseparable” as `<atom>`

```
<term> -> <atom> | <term> <multOp> <atom>  
<atom> -> number | id  
<multOp> -> * | /
```

- Remove ambiguity by capturing precedence
 - Now consider: $*, /$, in addition to $+/-$, id, number
 - $10 - 5 * 3 - 15$
 - First find the “inseparable” (with respect to $+,-$) unit $[10]-[5*3]-[15]$ (each unit is a term!)
 - Then group them using associativity: $[[[10]-[5*3]]-[15]]$
 - Note that each “inseparable” (with respect to $+,-$) is exactly captured by `<term>`

`<expr> -> <term> | <expr> <addOp> <term>`

`<addOp> -> + | -`

- More challenges
 - How about allowing parenthesis in addition of all tokens discussed before?
 - The key is () introduces new “inseparable” unit with respect to both +, -, *, /
 - We only need to revise the definition of <atom> to accommodate the “inseparable” unit.

`<atom> -> id | number | (<expr>)`

- Context free grammar is also called *Backus-Naur Form*
 - BNF [using ::= instead of ->, and non-terminals are within <...>]
 $\text{<number> ::= <digit> \mid <digit>\text{<number>}$
 $\text{<digit> ::= 0 \mid 1 \mid 2 \mid 3 \mid 4 \mid 5 \mid 6 \mid 7 \mid 8 \mid 9}$

Properties of CFG

- When the productions allow parentheses, and Kleene star, the CFG is called extended CFG (BNF).
- Note
 - Extended CFG is NOT more powerful than CFG
 - CFG without $|$ is as powerful as the CFG
- For any context free language (i.e., there exists a CFG for it), there are *infinite* CFG for it.

Summary

- We have introduced *context free grammar* to specify constructs in a programming language
- *Derivation* and *parse tree* of a string with respect to a grammar
- Remove ambiguity in a grammar
 - Capturing associativity
 - Capturing precedence
- Some properties of *CFG*